Long-Term Follow-Up of Chronic Hepatitis C Patients Treated with Interferon-Alpha: Risk of Cirrhosis and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in a Single Center over 10 Years
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Abstract
Objectives: Interferon (IFN)-based therapy for chronic hepatitis C (CHC) is cost-effective and is associated with reduced risk of disease progression. We aimed to assess the incidence of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and to identify risk factors associated with disease progression. Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 280 CHC patients who were registered at our hospital between 2001 and 2010. Results: About 80% of patients received antiviral treatment. The 10-year cumulative incidence of cirrhosis was significantly lower among patients who received antiviral therapy than among those who did not (8.3 vs. 44.0%; p = 0.001). Among them, patients with sustained virological response (SVR) had a significantly lower incidence of cirrhosis than those without SVR (0.6 vs. 33.9%; p < 0.001). Cox proportional hazards regression showed that SVR was the significant independent factor for reducing the risk of cirrhosis (hazard ratio, HR = 0.03; p = 0.034). The 10-year cumulative incidence of HCC was higher among patients who did not receive antiviral therapy than among those who did (43.9 vs. 6.1%; p < 0.001). Multivariate analysis showed that underlying cirrhosis was the only independent risk factor associated with HCC development (HR = 7.70; p = 0.010). Conclusions: SVR secondary to IFN-based therapy could reduce cirrhosis development in CHC patients. Underlying cirrhosis was the strongest predictor of HCC development.

Introduction
Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a main cause of chronic liver disease worldwide, affecting approximately 130–150 million people globally [1–3]. Of all HCV-infected individuals, 55–85% develop chronic infection, and 15–30% of patients with chronic HCV infection develop cirrhosis. Within this population, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) occurs at an incidence of 1–5% per year [2–5].

In the early 2000s, the introduction of interferon (IFN)-based anti-HCV therapy brought many challenges in the management of HCV infection. A combination of peginterferon and ribavirin has been the standard therapy for patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC) regardless of the genotype of the virus [6]. Treatment for CHC has...
been found to be cost-effective and is associated with a reduced risk of liver disease progression. In a recent study investigating the cost-effectiveness of IFN therapy for HCV genotype 1 in a cohort of 4,000 patients, treatment during compensated cirrhosis resulted in improved survival and decreased cost compared with no treatment [7]. Also, the recent introduction of direct-acting antiviral agents such as boceprevir and telaprevir has been shown to improve sustained virological response (SVR) by up to 63–75% in treatment-naïve HCV genotype 1 patients [8]. Achievement of SVR after treatment has been associated with improvement in disease progression and liver histology, as well as a reduced risk of HCC and liver-related mortality [9–13].

Although the natural history of CHC and the effects of IFN-based therapy on disease progression have been extensively studied in previous reports, there have been few long-term follow-up studies since 2000. Consequently, the present study aimed to assess the incidence of disease progression to cirrhosis and the development of HCC and to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of anti-HCV therapy in patients with CHC in Korea during the past 10 years.

Materials and Methods

Patients

We retrospectively reviewed 523 patients who were registered as having CHC between January 2001 and December 2010 at Korea University College Guro Hospital, Seoul, South Korea. All patients tested positive for both anti-HCV antibodies and HCV RNA. Of this group, 243 patients met one of the following exclusion criteria: insufficient data or a follow-up period of ≤1 year (n = 177), coinfection with hepatitis B virus (n = 9) or HIV (n = 1), acute hepatitis C (n = 1), or HCC that was detected within 12 months after the initial visit (n = 55). Consequently, 280 patients were enrolled for analysis.

Of the enrolled patients, 222 received antiviral treatment for at least 12 weeks. Treatment regimens consisted of either pegylated IFN monotherapy (n = 3, hemodialysis patients) or combination therapy of pegylated IFN (n = 209) or IFN (n = 10) with ribavirin. For patients who received anti-HCV therapy, the starting point of follow-up was considered the time of initiation of antiviral treatment. For the 58 untreated patients, the starting point of follow-up was considered the first follow-up examination. Data collected by February 28, 2013 were used for analysis.

Follow-Up and End Point Assessment

All patients were followed up every 3–6 months (or more frequently, if required) for at least 1 year. Follow-up studies included conventional biochemical tests and abdominal ultrasonography or computed tomography (CT). Alcohol consumption was assessed as an all-or-none variable from medical records. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed if fasting serum glucose levels exceeded 126 mg/dl or if there was a need for insulin or an oral hyperglycemic drug to control glucose levels. The aspartate aminotransferase/alanine aminotransferase (AST/ALT) ratio was calculated from laboratory results exactly as described. A patient with SVR was defined as a patient showing clearance of HCV RNA by the end of treatment and at 6 months after the end of treatment. The other patients were classified as nonresponders. The study was approved by the institutional review board of Korea University College Guro Hospital.

The primary end points were disease progression to cirrhosis and the development of HCC. The diagnosis of cirrhosis was based on either histology or the presence of at least 2 of the following factors: documented varices, surface nodularity of the liver, splenomegaly, or platelet counts <120 x 10^9/μL. HCC was diagnosed by histological evaluation or clinically according to the guidelines of the Korean Liver Cancer Study Group and the National Cancer Center [14]. In brief, HCC was defined by one imaging technique (dynamic CT or dynamic MRI) showing an HCC-compatible feature in patients with an α-fetoprotein level of ≥200 ng/ml or two imaging techniques (dynamic CT, dynamic MRI or hepatic angiography) showing an HCC-compatible feature in patients with an α-fetoprotein level of <200 ng/ml.

A chemiluminescence immunoassay was used to determine anti-HCV activity. COBAS AmpliPrep TM (Roche, Indianapolis, Ind., USA) was used to conduct a qualitative test of HCV RNA, and a PCR using an Abbott m2000rt instrument (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, Ill., USA) was used to quantitatively measure the serum HCV RNA level (detection limit <50 IU/ml). The HCV genotype was amplified by PCR using the core region of the HCV cDNA, after which the DNA was sequenced.

Statistical Analysis

The baseline characteristics were summarized as percentages for categorical variables and as medians and interquartile ranges for continuous variables. Statistical analysis was performed using the χ² test or Fisher’s exact test to compare categorical variables and Student’s t test or the Mann-Whitney U test to compare continuous variables. The cumulative incidence rates were determined using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the differences between groups were assessed with the log-rank test. We used the Cox proportional hazards regression analysis to examine the factors associated with the incidence of cirrhosis and HCC. The risk ratio attributable to responses to anti-HCV therapy was calculated using dummy variables (SVR vs. untreated and non-SVR vs. untreated). Variables with p values <0.10 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 20 for Windows.

Results

Patient Characteristics

The baseline patient characteristics were compared between those patients who received anti-HCV therapy and those who did not (table 1). The two groups had comparable distributions of sex and HCV genotypes. Patients who received anti-HCV therapy tended to be younger.
than those who did not. The mean follow-up period was 4.1 years (1–11.6) in patients who received anti-HCV therapy and 4.3 years (1–11.7) in those who did not. The untreated group had significantly lower serum albumin and platelet levels than the treated group. Patients who received anti-HCV therapy had a lower rate of cirrhosis than those who did not (9 vs. 29.3%; p < 0.001).

Within the treated group, most patients (94.1%) received combination therapy of pegylated IFN with ribavirin. Overall, SVR was observed in 167 of the 222 treated patients (76.6%). A total of 63 patients with HCV genotype 1 (60.0%) and 100 patients with HCV genotypes other than 1 (93.5%) achieved SVR.

Progression to Cirrhosis

We assessed the incidence of cirrhosis development in patients without cirrhosis. A total of 243 patients were included in this analysis. Other than age, there were no significant differences in clinical characteristics between the patients who received anti-HCV therapy and those who did not (online suppl. table S1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000369206). During a mean follow-up period of 4.1 years, a total of 17 patients (7.0%) developed cirrhosis. Progression to cirrhosis was noted in 8 of the 202 patients who received anti-HCV therapy (4.0%) and in 9 of the 41 patients who did not receive anti-HCV therapy (22.0%). Figure 1a shows the cumulative incidence of cirrhosis. In patients who received anti-HCV therapy, the cumulative incidence rates of cirrhosis were 3.2, 4.3 and 8.3% at 3, 5 and 10 years after starting therapy, respectively, with a mean annual incidence rate of 0.8%. In patients who did not receive anti-HCV therapy, the cumulative incidence rates were 19.5, 31.6 and 44.0% at 3, 5 and 10 years after enrollment, respectively, with a mean annual incidence rate of 4.4%.

To determine which factors significantly influenced disease progression to cirrhosis, univariate and multivariate analyses were performed. The univariate analysis was performed with the following 11 variables: age, sex, alcohol intake, BMI, albumin level, platelet count, international normalized ratio (INR), AST/ALT ratio, genotype, antiviral treatment (indicating no SVR), and presence of cirrhosis. In that analysis, the following 4 factors were shown to have significantly affected disease progression to cirrhosis: age ≥ 60, albumin ≤ 3.5 g/dl, platelets ≤ 120 × 10³/μl, and antiviral treatment (no SVR). A multivariate analysis showed that SVR (hazard ratio, HR = 0.03; confidence interval, CI = 0.00–0.27; p = 0.034) and a platelet count ≤ 120 × 10³/μl (HR = 3.35; CI = 1.12–9.99; p = 0.030) were independent factors for cirrhosis development (table 2). Further analysis of SVR showed that the 9.3-year cumulative incidence of cirrhosis was significantly lower in patients with SVR (0.6%) than in those without SVR (33.9%; p < 0.001) or in untreated patients (44.0%; p < 0.001; fig. 1b). The cumulative incidence of cirrhosis did not differ between patients without SVR and untreated patients (p = 0.446).

During the follow-up period, clinical decompensation developed in 12 out of 54 cirrhotic patients (22.2%).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the 280 patients at enrollment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients with anti-HCV therapy (n = 222)</th>
<th>Patients without anti-HCV therapy (n = 58)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>55 (47–63)</td>
<td>63 (52–71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n</td>
<td>117 (52.7%)</td>
<td>31 (53.4%)</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.6 (21.9–25.4)</td>
<td>23.8 (21.7–25.1)</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use, n</td>
<td>20 (9.7%)</td>
<td>5 (14.3%)</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n</td>
<td>19 (9%)</td>
<td>7 (18.9%)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST, IU/l</td>
<td>61 (34–103)</td>
<td>50 (40–92)</td>
<td>0.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT, IU/l</td>
<td>58 (30–126)</td>
<td>55 (27–111)</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin, g/dl</td>
<td>4.2 (4.1–4.4)</td>
<td>4.1 (3.8–4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>1.05 (1.00–1.10)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.02–1.18)</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (×10³/μl)</td>
<td>169 (143–221)</td>
<td>146 (95–195)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV RNA &gt;600,000 IU/ml</td>
<td>124 (58.8%)</td>
<td>28 (65.1%)</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV genotype, n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>106 (49.5%)</td>
<td>22 (51.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>108 (50.5%)</td>
<td>21 (48.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis, n</td>
<td>20 (9%)</td>
<td>17 (29.3%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most (9 patients) had cirrhosis at baseline. The most common clinical cause of decompensation was ascites, which occurred in 9 patients (16.7%). Other events included variceal bleeding in 6 patients (11.1%), hepatic encephalopathy in 3 patients (5.6%) and jaundice in 3 patients (5.6%).

**Development of HCC**

During the mean follow-up period of 4.2 years, HCC developed in a total of 17 patients (6.1%), including 5 of the 222 patients who received anti-HCV therapy (2.3%) and 12 of the 58 patients who did not receive anti-HCV therapy (20.7%). All of these patients had either preexisting (11 patients) or newly developed cirrhosis (6 patients) at the time of HCC diagnosis. The 11.8-year cumulative incidence of HCC was significantly higher in patients with SVR, 33.9% in those without and 44.0% in untreated patients (SVR vs. non-SVR, p < 0.001; SVR vs. untreated patients, p < 0.001; non-SVR vs. untreated patients, p = 0.446). All p values were obtained using the log-rank test.

**Fig. 1.** The cumulative incidence of cirrhosis among CHC patients (n = 243). a The 10-year cumulative incidence was 8.3% in patients who received anti-HCV treatment and 44.0% in untreated patients (p = 0.001). b The 9.3-year cumulative incidence was 0.6% in patients with SVR, 33.9% in those without and 44.0% in untreated patients (SVR vs. non-SVR, p < 0.001; SVR vs. untreated patients, p < 0.001; non-SVR vs. untreated patients, p = 0.446). All p values were obtained using the log-rank test.

<p>| Table 2. Cox multivariate regression analysis for progression to cirrhosis (n = 243) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥60 years</td>
<td>2.15 (0.74–6.31)</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin ≤3.5 g/dl</td>
<td>1.62 (0.32–8.23)</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets ≤120 (×10^3/μl)</td>
<td>3.35 (1.12–9.99)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to anti-HCV therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No SVR</td>
<td>0.68 (0.25–1.86)</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00–0.27)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are 95% CI.
cirrhosis (56.3%) than in those without (7.6%; p < 0.001). The 10-year cumulative incidence of HCC did not differ between patients with SVR and those without (6.3 vs. 6.1%; p = 0.402).

Among the 37 patients with cirrhosis, 20 received IFN-based treatment. The 6-year cumulative incidence of HCC in patients with cirrhosis was significantly lower in patients who received anti-HCV therapy (28.9%) than in those who did not (81.8%; p = 0.019). However, there was no difference between patients with SVR and those without (p = 0.634).

### Discussion

In chronic HCV infection, liver disease progression takes place over several decades and depends on the presence of several cofactors, including alcohol consumption, diabetes mellitus, age of acquisition, and coinfection with other viruses such as HIV and hepatitis B virus [1, 2]. Treatment response is also affected by several factors, including the genotype and stage of fibrosis [1, 2]. Traditionally, Asian patients with chronic HCV infection have a better chance to achieve SVR, even in cases of HCV genotype 1 [15]. In a study of Korean patients with chronic HCV infection, the SVR rate was 53.6% for patients with genotype 1 and 71.4% for patients with genotype 2/3 [16]. These higher SVR rates in Korean patients seem to be partly explained by a higher proportion of favorable *IL-28B* polymorphisms [17]. Also, the demographic patterns, including risk factors and genotype distribution, of the Korean HCV patients were different from those of other countries [18]. The natural history and risk factors for disease progression of chronic HCV infection have primarily been studied in Western countries or Japan, and there is little information on Korean patients [5, 19, 20]. Thus, the current study has provided some useful information about this population.

Although the rate of progression to cirrhosis is affected by various factors, previous studies have reported...
that the annual incidence of cirrhosis is approximately 2.26–5% in untreated patients with chronic HCV infection [2, 10, 21]. The incidence of cirrhosis in our patients who did not receive anti-HCV therapy (4.4%) was not different from incidences reported in previous studies. Our findings showed that anti-HCV therapy reduced the annual incidence of cirrhosis in the 202 treated patients (0.8%). Our study also revealed that the incidence of cirrhosis varied according to patient response to anti-HCV therapy: patients with SVR had a significantly lower cumulative incidence of cirrhosis than patients without SVR (9.3-year cumulative incidence: 0.6 vs. 33.9%).

Furthermore, our multivariate analysis demonstrated a beneficial effect of SVR for preventing disease progression to cirrhosis in patients with chronic HCV infection. The HR for disease progression to cirrhosis was 3.0 for the patients without anti-HCV therapy and 1.6 for the patients without SVR. This finding is consistent with that of several other reports, suggesting that patients who respond differently to anti-HCV therapy have a different incidence of disease progression to cirrhosis [12, 22, 23]. In a large-scale, nationwide, multicenter study in Taiwan, the annual incidences of cirrhosis in an untreated group, an IFN-treated group without SVR, and an IFN-treated group with SVR were 2.26, 1.99 and 0.74%, respectively [10]. Bruno et al. [24] and Shiratori et al. [13] assessed changes in liver histology after IFN therapy. Both studies demonstrated that liver histology progressively improved in patients with SVR, whereas untreated patients and patients without SVR had unchanged fibrosis. Although the present study did not confirm the cirrhosis development by histology, our study provided a longer period (over 10 years) of observation addressing the long-term benefits of anti-HCV therapy. In our multivariate analysis, low platelet count was also an independent factor for disease progression to cirrhosis. The platelet count reflects the severity of CHC and is traditionally used to estimate the degree of fibrosis [25, 26]. Our findings indicated that platelet count, as well as antiviral response, could be used to stratify the risk of disease progression to cirrhosis in patients with CHC.

In the present study, preexisting liver cirrhosis was the only significant independent risk factor for HCC development (HR = 7.70; p = 0.010). This result is consistent with almost all clinical studies; advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis are the strongest predictors of HCC development [9, 27–30]. The incidence of HCC with CHC and cirrhosis is estimated at 1–4% per year [1, 2]. The overall annual incidence rates of HCC in our study were 0.6% for patients who received anti-HCV therapy and 4.4% for patients who did not. This relatively high incidence of HCC in the patients who did not receive anti-HCV therapy might be a result of selection bias. Reasons for not receiving anti-HCV therapy included the presence of cirrhosis, as well as older age (table 1). In the present study, 30% of patients had cirrhosis, and >50% were aged >60 years, which would have excluded them from treatment. This is a limitation of this retrospective study.

The present study demonstrated in a univariate analysis that a favorable response to treatment is associated with a lower risk of HCC development, but this result failed to reach statistical significance (p = 0.154) in a multivariate model. Recently, however, SVR after treatment of HCV-infected persons at any stage of fibrosis has been associated with a low rate of progression towards decompensation or HCC [31–37]. In the current study, approximately 70% of the patients (n = 12) who developed HCC were included in the untreated group. Most of them had underlying cirrhosis and would have been excluded from anti-HCV treatment. The study included a relatively small number of patients, and the resulting selection bias (presence of cirrhosis) might have affected the results, indicating no beneficial role of SVR in preventing HCC.

To eliminate the effect of cirrhosis, we analyzed the development of HCC in only the patients without cirrhosis (n = 243). In multivariate analysis, SVR (HR = 0.09; CI = 0.01–0.76; p = 0.028) was the only factor that was independently associated with the development of HCC (online suppl. table S2). Recently, there is increasing evidence that SVR at week 12 was equivalent to SVR at week 24 for guiding dosing and treatment strategies. Further studies on the effect of early SVR (SVR 12) for predicting the development of cirrhosis and HCC are warranted [38].

This study had several limitations. It was not a prospective controlled trial, which may confound the interpretation of results. In addition, it included a small number of patients, and the age distribution and proportion of patients with cirrhosis were not well matched between the treated and untreated groups. For ethical reasons, however, it is difficult to perform a prospective study on the effectiveness of anti-HCV therapy in preventing disease progression to cirrhosis and the development of HCC. Another limitation is selection and referral bias. Our center is a tertiary center, and so the patients in our study may differ from patients in the overall community. To overcome these limitations, the use of propensity score analysis or a large multicenter cohort is required.
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However, our study did provide a relatively long period of observation, thereby addressing the long-term effect of anti-HCV therapy on disease progression to cirrhosis. In conclusion, the current study demonstrated that SVR secondary to anti-HCV therapy could reduce cirrhosis. Thus, patients with cirrhosis need close monitoring for the development of HCC, and future studies on achieving SVR are warranted to prevent disease progression to cirrhosis.
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