Imaging-Histological Discordance after Sonographically Guided Percutaneous Breast Core Biopsy
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\textbf{Introduction}

Imaging and pathological findings are considered to be concordant when the pathological results provide an acceptable explanation for the imaging features, and discordant when they do not [1]. Once the assessment for concordance is completed, a management plan can be provided. Parikh and Tickman [2] described 5 possible outcomes of imaging-pathology correlation and suggested corresponding management for each category. 1 of them, 'discordant benign lesion', is suspicious for malignancy at imaging (i.e., Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) category 4 or 5) but demonstrates imaging-histological discordance at percutaneous breast biopsy and gives benign pathological results following core needle biopsy (CNB) [3]. Benign lesions with speculative findings can simulate malignant lesions and may be considered in the differential diagnosis as the following: sclerosing adenosis, fat necrosis, mastitis, diabetic mastopathy, fibrocystic changes, ruptured inflammatory cysts, granulomatous mastitis, fibroadenomas, fibroadenomatous mastopathy, and apocrine metaplasia [4]. However, the radiologist should pay special attention to discordant benign lesions a substantial number of which are cancers missed at CNB. In published reports, up to 64% of discordant lesions after percutaneous biopsy were confirmed as cancer by subsequent surgical excision [5]. For an ultrasound (US)-guided 14-gauge CNB, discordant lesions had cancer rates of up to 50% [6]. If there is concern regarding a discordant benign lesion, it is prudent for the radiologist to immediately contact and thoroughly communicate with the interpreting pathologist. According to the outcome of the discussion, the radiologist should report the result and discuss the need for a repeat biopsy with the referring physician or the patient. A surgical biopsy, rather than a CNB, is recommended for a repeat biopsy because of the inconclusive outcome of the first CNB. In recent studies that have assessed discordance...
rates with stereotactic and US-guided CNB, imaging-histological discordance was reported in 3% (range 1–8%) of all lesions; among discordant lesions in those studies, the frequency of cancer at re-biopsy was 14% (range 0–100%) [1, 7–10]. Imaging-histological discordance at stereotactic or US-guided CNB is an indication for re-biopsy, usually surgical excision, to exclude the presence of carcinoma [1]. The current study was undertaken to determine the frequency of discordance at US-guided 14-gauge CNB for category 4 or 5 lesions, and to assess the cancer rate at excisional biopsy in discordant lesions.

**Materials and Methods**

Our study is a retrospective review of data obtained from the clinical, radiology, and pathology archives of our institution. An application was not submitted to the ethics committee.

**Biopsy Technique**

Between November 2009 and June 2012, percutaneous US-guided CNB was performed on 989 consecutive breast lesions in 961 women that were prospectively classified according to BI-RADS as category 4 (suspicious) or category 5 (highly suggestive of malignancy) at our institution. Freehand technique guided by a 7.5-MHz linear transducer mounted on an EUB 420 US unit (Hitachi Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) and with a 6- to 12-MHz broadband transducer mounted on an Acuson Antares US unit (Siemens, Mountain View, CA, USA) was used in each procedure. All procedures were performed with an automated biopsy gun (Bard Magnum, Covington, Georgia, USA) and a 14-gauge core needle with a 22-mm throw, and 4 (minimum 3, maximum 8) core samples were usually obtained. More or fewer core samples were occasionally obtained at the discretion of the radiologist. Informed consent was obtained from all patients undergoing percutaneous biopsy procedures.

**Imaging-Histological Correlation: Definition of Discordance**

Imaging and histological findings were considered ‘discordant’ when the histological findings did not provide an acceptable explanation for the imaging findings. Discordant lesions included lesions that were suspicious for malignancy, lesions in which the histological findings did not account for the imaging pattern, and lesions that were highly suggestive of malignancy but were sampled with benign results, unless the specific histology accounted for the imaging features.

**Wire-Guided Procedure**

Lesions that could be detected by either mammography or US were marked with the help of whichever method applied. Lesions that could be detected by both mammography and US were marked with the help of US. Spring-hook type guide wire was used in the localization procedure. The procedure was performed under local anesthesia. The guide wire was placed into the lesion through the shortest way using anteroposterior orientation, and the marking was considered to be successful if the leading end of the wire was in or adjacent to (up to 1 cm) the lesion. The area marked by the wire was removed along with intact surrounding tissue of at least 1 cm via surgical excision under general anesthesia. The contours of the specimen were marked with sutures to provide a guide for the pathology department. To find out whether the marked lesions were totally excised or not, specimen radiography was requested for all lesions regardless of their radiological features.

Finally, our study population consisted of 58 patients showing discordance between benign histological findings of a CNB and suspicious imaging findings. 58 cases underwent subsequent wire-guided surgical excision. We reviewed the clinical findings and histopathological results of CNB and surgical excision of the 58 discordant cases.

**Statistical Analysis**

Concordance of the quantitative data with the normal distribution was examined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. In the analysis of the data discordant with the normal distribution, t-test was used for the independent groups, and the definitive statistics were shown as mean ± standard deviation. In the analysis of the data discordant with the normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney U test was used, and the definitive statistics were shown as median (25–75 percentile). The chi-square test was used to analyze the qualitative data. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

We performed US-guided 14-gauge CNB on 989 breast lesions in 961 women. We reviewed 58 (5.8%) cases that had imaging-histological discordance after percutaneous breast biopsy and underwent subsequent excisional biopsy. The clinical findings and pathological results of US-guided 14-gauge CNB are summarized in table 1. Of the 58 discordant cases, the pathological results for surgical excision were malignant in 16 (27.5%) cases. All 58 patients were women (mean age 50.4 years). Between benign (n = 42) and malignant (n = 16, upgrade group) cases, no statistically significant differences were found (p > 0.05) in terms of age, age at menarche, age at birth of first child, number of children, history of breast surgery (for benign lesions), oral contraceptive use, and breast feeding duration.

Family history of breast cancer (1 first-degree relative) was present in the overall discordant group (n = 58) in 15 (25.9%) cases, and specifically in the upgrade group (n = 16) in 5 (31.3%) cases. With respect to tumor location in the discordant group, in 34 (58.6%) cases the primary tumor was located in the upper outer quadrant, in 8 (13.8%) cases in the upper inner quadrant, in 14 (24.1%) cases in the retro-areolar region (central), in 1 (1.7%) case in the lower outer quadrant, and in 1 (1.7%) case in the lower inner quadrant. In the upgrade group, in 9 (56.3%) cases the primary tumor was located in the upper outer quadrant, in 3 (18.8%) cases in the upper inner quadrant, and 4 (25%) cases in the retro-areolar region (central).

Of a total of 58 discordant lesions, 45 (77.5%) were BI-RADS category 4, and 13 (22.5%) were BI-RADS category 5. Of the 45 lesions that were classified as BI-RADS 4, 36 (80%) were diagnosed as benign and 9 (20%) were diagnosed as malignant. Of the 13 lesions that were classified as BI-RADS 5, 6 (46%) were benign and 7 (44%) were malignant. The malignancy rate was higher for lesions that were BI-RADS 5 compared to BI-RADS 4 (7/13, 53.8%; 9/45, 20%) (p = 0.021).

For the upgrade group (n = 16), the histopathological results of the initial US-guided 14-gauge CNB were as follows: 3 (18.7%) cases of benign breast tissue, 4 (25%) cases of focal fibrosis (fig. 1), 4 (25%) cases of ductal hyperplasia, 2 (12.5%) cases each of fibroadenoma and benign papilloma, and 1 (6.3%) case of sclerosing adenosis. Subsequent excision revealed the following malignancies: 9 (56.3%) invasive ductal carcinomas (figs. 2 and 3), 4 (25%) phylloides tumors, and 3 (18.8%) ductal carcinomas in situ. 7 (43.8%) cases in the upgrade group presented with a palpable lump (table 2).
Clinical characteristics | Total (n = 58) | Benign (n = 42) | Malignant (n = 16) | p
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Age, years | 50 ± 11 | 51 ± 14 | 50 ± 10 | 0.875
Age at menarche, mean (range), years | 13.3 (11–17) | 13 (12–14) | 13.5 (12–14) | 0.775
Age at birth of first child, mean (range), years | 22 (15–35) | 21 (18–24) | 22 (21–26) | 0.194
Children, mean (range), n | 1.9 (0–3) | 2 (2–2.3) | 2 (1.3–3) | 0.595
History of breast surgery, n | 19 | 12 | 7 | 0.351
Oral contraceptive use, n | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0.475
Breastfeeding duration, n | 12 ≤ months | 29 | 21 | 8 | 1.000
12 > months | 29 | 21 | 8 | 1.000
Family history of breast cancer, n | 1 first degree relative | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5
No family history | 38 | 27 | 11 | 5
Family history of any cancer | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5
Tumor location (quadrants), n | Upper outer | 34 | 25 | 9 | 0.021
Upper inner | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0.021
Lower outer | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.021
Lower inner | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.021
Central | 14 | 10 | 4 | 0.021
BI-RADS category, n | 4 | 45 | 36 | 9 | 0.021
5 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 0.021
Core needle biopsy results, n | Benign breast tissue | 20 | 17 | 3 | 0.021
Ductal hyperplasia | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0.021
Focal fibrosis | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0.021
Benign papilloma | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0.021
Fibroadenoma | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0.021
Sclerosing adenosis | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.021
Microglandular adenosis | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.021
Apocrine metaplasia | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.021
Fibrocystic change | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.021

Table 1. Clinical findings and pathological results of the discordant cases, and comparison between benign and malignant cases.
Discussion

Imaging-guided CNB has been proven to be reliable and accurate for the diagnosis of both benign and malignant diseases of the breast. Imaging-pathology correlation is of critical importance in imaging-guided breast biopsies to detect possible sampling errors and avoid diagnostic delay. Several investigators have recommended re-biopsy or surgical excision in 9–18% of cases [8, 10–12].

When microcalcifications detected in mammography are sampled, specimen radiography can ensure that sufficient amounts of microcalcification have been removed via cutting needle biopsy or vacuum biopsy; however, in US-guided biopsy, it is more difficult to demonstrate that the sample is sufficient [13, 14]. US-guided biopsy is a technically easy procedure, and generally provides reliable sampling. However, sampling can be insufficient due to lesion size, morphological properties, inner structure, and location. In this study, the cases in which radiology-pathology discordance was found after US-guided cutting needle biopsy had heterogeneous lesions for which the assessment of sufficiency of sampling is not as easy as with biopsies taken for microcalcifications. US is used as the guide for all biopsies of lesions that are easily assessed sonographically. For percutaneous needle biopsies, US is a quick, practical, X-ray-free, cost effective, and reliable guide method which provides high patient comfort. With US, sampling is possible not only for solid masses but also for a broad range of lesion groups such as complex cysts, structural disruption, focal heterogeneity, and focal acoustic shadowing foci. However, especially in non-solid masses and small lesions, demonstrating whether or not a sufficient sample has been obtained is not easy. If a lesion is easily seen on mammography, post-biopsy mammography control is capable of demonstrating that the sample has been obtained from the right region [13, 14]. However, in 14-gauge needle biopsies, changes are generally not sufficient to yield marked findings in mammography relating to the breast tissue and the non-calcified lesion. The diagnostic accuracy of imaging-guided CNB is quite high, and false negativity rates in the literature range from 0 to 9%. However, when assessing biopsy results, possibility of false negativity should be considered.

Table 2. Histopathological analysis of the malignant casesa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Palpability</th>
<th>Histopathological findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>core biopsy results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>palpable</td>
<td>focal fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>palpable</td>
<td>benign papilloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>palpable</td>
<td>fibroadenoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>ductal hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>benign breast tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>ductal hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>palpable</td>
<td>focal fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>ductal hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>focal fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>sclerosing adenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>palpable</td>
<td>benign breast tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>ductal hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>palpable</td>
<td>fibroadenoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>benign papilloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>non-palpable</td>
<td>focal fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>palpable</td>
<td>benign breast tissue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aUpgrade group: discordant cases which had a pathologic diagnosis of malignancy on excisional biopsy. IDC = Invasive ductal carcinoma; DCIS = ductal carcinoma in situ.
The frequency of imaging-histological discordance at percutaneous biopsy ranges from 1 to 6%, and for lesions with discordant results at percutaneous biopsy subsequent surgical excision has demonstrated carcinoma in 0–64% of cases [1, 7, 8]. However, these data were obtained mainly from stereotactic biopsies. Liberman et al. [1, 15] reported that the frequency of imaging-histological discordance with US-guided percutaneous 14-gauge CNB was approximately 3%, and the upgrade rate at re-biopsy was 10–25%, in their large series. In the current study, the frequency of imaging-histological discordance and the upgrade rate at re-biopsy were 5.8% (58/989) and 27.5% (16/58), respectively, which is in agreement with the literature [7, 12].

In this study, the upgrade rate to carcinoma was 20% in BI-RADS category 4 lesions and 53.8% in BI-RADS category 5 lesions. This means that 1 in 2 BI-RADS 5 lesions and 1 in 5 BI-RADS 4 lesions in the histologically upgraded group showed malignancy in subsequent excisional biopsy.

In conclusion, we found imaging-histological discordance in 5.8% of lesions sampled with US-guided 14-gauge CNB. Imaging-histological discordance is an indication for surgical excision because of the high (27.5%) prevalence of carcinoma in these lesions. Our study suggests that careful imaging-histological correlation can minimize the risk of delayed diagnosis of breast cancer.
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