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Abstract
Most recently, a specialized and carefully monitored exercise training and rehabilitation program has been recommended as add-on to medical treatment in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (class I, level of evidence A). Three prospective randomized, controlled trials, 10 prospective uncontrolled trials, 2 retrospective studies and 2 case series in more than 470 patients with severe pulmonary hypertension (PH) and right heart failure reported beneficial effects of a specialized exercise training and rehabilitation program, i.e. significant improvement in symptoms, exercise capacity, cardiorespiratory function and quality of life, compared with untrained controls. All training studies reported an acceptable safety profile, and some uncontrolled studies showed excellent 1- and 2-year survival rates. However, most studies had a quite small sample size (ranging from 2 to 183 patients) and an uncontrolled design, and they were not designed to assess hemodynamic changes, time to clinical worsening and survival. Nevertheless, there is large evidence that exercise training programs should be performed by centers experienced in both PH patient care and rehabilitation. The best method and duration of the training, characteristics of supervision, and the mechanisms resulting in symptom improvement and increased functional capacity are unclear. In this review, we summarize data of molecular and clinical effects of exercise training in PH patients. Furthermore, we discuss safety data and the role of a self-care management of exercise training in these patients.
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Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a clinical condition characterized by progressive vascular remodeling with increased pulmonary vascular resistance and right ventricular overload that may ultimately lead to right heart failure and death [1, 2]. Several clinical conditions can cause PH, such as pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) (idiopathic or associated with other diseases), chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) or left heart and lung diseases. Within the last 12 years, ten new PAH-targeted drugs have been approved, leading to crucial advances in symptoms, exercise capacity, hemodynamics and outcome of PAH patients [3]. Riociguat is the first drug which has been approved for CTEPH [4]. However, despite optimized medical treatment, most PH patients remain with impaired exercise capacity, quality of life (QoL), right heart function and decreased survival [5]. Therefore, nonpharmacological approaches as add-on might be useful. Until recently, patients with PH/PAH were advised to limit their physical activity due to the risk of sudden right heart failure/death [6]. Recently, several studies analyzed the effect of specialized exercise training and rehabilitation programs for PH patients as add-on to medical treatment [7–14]. They revealed improvements in the 6-min walking distance (6MWD), exercise capacity, QoL, peak oxygen consumption and outcome in patients continuing with the exercise training at home.

On these grounds, the 5th PH World Symposium consensus statement [3] recommended supervised and closely monitored exercise and respiratory training as add-on to medication therapy (class I, level of evidence: A). On the other hand, several concerns still exist regarding the safety of exercise training. The European Society of Cardiology advises PH patients to avoid excessive physical activity that may lead to distressing symptoms (class III, level of evidence: C) [1].

Furthermore, several other issues of exercise training in PH need to be further addressed: (1) there are only few small randomized controlled studies (RCTs) analyzing the effect of exercise training. Larger-scaled multicenter studies are urgently required. (2) In most studies with a positive effect of exercise training, rehabilitation was started in hospital at least for the first 3 weeks. Outpatient rehabilitation programs have been less well established for PH patients so far. (3) For PH/PAH patients, specialized rehabilitation programs need to be standardized and established in further countries. (4) The exact mechanisms underlying clinical improvement due to exercise training are not yet understood. (5) Effects of exercise training on hemodynamics, assessed by right heart catheterization, have not yet been evaluated. (6) Long-term RCTs to analyze the effect of exercise training on time to clinical worsening and survival are missing.

In this review, we will firstly focus on available data regarding possible molecular effects of exercise training derived from animal models and studies in humans. Secondly, we will summarize the studies on clinical effects of exercise training which have been published so far and discuss the importance of self-care management.

Possible Molecular Effects of Exercise Training on PH

Although the exact mechanisms of exercise training have not yet been completely clarified, important cellular and molecular changes associated with training have already been described in PH.

Molecular effects of exercise training have been studied in an animal model with hypoxia-induced PH using treadmill tubes for exercise training. In this regard, a recent study [15] demonstrated that exercise training was able to prevent hypoxia-induced vascular remodeling in mice and improved exercise capacity and pulmonary hemodynamics. In addition to the effect of exercise training, sildenafil treatment was assessed. Mice were trained at 60% of their initial exercise capacity on a treadmill for 5 days/week for 30 min [15]. Physical performance was assessed by spiroergometry, walking distance, walking speed, maximum oxygen consumption and right ventricular pressures. At the end of the study, right ventricular hypertrophy and morphology of pulmonary arteries were investigated. Exercise training significantly improved exercise capacity and also prevented the hypoxia-induced increase in right ventricular systolic pressure. However, neither sildenafil nor the training program prevented right ventricular hypertrophy. Treatment with sildenafil alone did not show a significant effect on right ventricular systolic pressure. Exercise training significantly improved pulmonary vascular remodeling to a similar extent as sildenafil treatment. The molecular cause for these significant improvements remained unclear. The effects were not associated with changes in the expression of the nitric oxide/phosphodiesterase-5/soluble guanylate cyclase pattern, which belongs to targeted pathways for PAH medication. The results of this study suggest that exercise training might be complementary to pharmacological therapy affecting other not yet identified molecular pathways.
Another animal model with Wistar rats using the same exercise protocol revealed significant improvement in capillary density (+86%, \(p < 0.05\)), up to near-normal values [16]. Furthermore, in the same study, exercise training was associated with reductions in pulmonary artery diameters (–46%, \(p < 0.05\)), interstitial volume (–60%, \(p < 0.05\)) and right ventricular end-diastolic pressure (–45%, \(p < 0.05\)) [16]. The authors found a 37% reduction (\(p < 0.05\)) in the ratio between the phosphorylated (inactive/prohypertrophic) and the dephosphorylated (active/antihypertrophic) form of glycogen synthase kinase [16]. These results led the authors to speculate that glycogen synthase kinase signaling is probably involved in the positive effects of exercise training in PH.

Exercise training has also been shown to attenuate acute vasoconstriction to hypoxia in mice [17]. However, training did not prevent the development of PH after prolonged hypoxia [17]. These results were in agreement with those of Goret et al. [18], who demonstrated that exercise training was not able to revert the diminished endothelium-dependent and -independent vasorelaxing properties as well as enhanced vasoconstrictor responses of a chronic hypoxia model of PAH.

A growing body of evidence suggests that inflammatory activation may play a pivotal role in PAH. Elevated levels of circulating proinflammatory mediators, such as interleukins and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-\(\alpha\), were found in both PAH animal models [19, 20] as well as PAH patients, and were associated with a poor outcome [20]. Exercise training has demonstrated to reduce inflammatory activation in several cardiovascular diseases [21]. Indeed, 12 weeks of exercise training resulted in reduced serum levels of TNF-\(\alpha\) as well as proinflammatory cytokines in chronic heart failure, which is the most frequent cause of PH [22]. This anti-inflammatory response of exercise training was associated with an increase in the level of maximum oxygen consumption (\(\text{VO}_2\text{max}\)) [22].

Inflammatory activation might have detrimental effects on muscle tissue, which is one of the key determinants of exercise intolerance of PAH patients. Skeletal muscles of PAH patients show a wide spectrum of cellular abnormalities that finally culminate in muscle atrophy and reduced contractility [23–25], which in turn might be reverted by exercise training [26]. A study in PAH patients showed that improvements in cardiorespiratory function are associated with an increase in the percentage of type IIa fibers in skeletal muscles [26]. Furthermore, in PH, exercise training significantly enhanced quadriceps muscle capillarization and oxidative enzyme activity, leading to an increase in muscle strength [9]. Exercise training might also exert a positive action on blood gas exchange leading to better muscle oxygenation [27].

Exercise training markedly increased right ventricular capillary density up to 25% (\(p < 0.05\)) in stable PAH, whereas it was associated with worsening of survival and an increase in vascular remodeling in instable PAH rats [28]. However, the same authors found no effect of exercise training on right ventricular hypertrophy, remodeling as well as fibrosis in stable PH rats [28]. In this animal model, exercise training in progressive PH significantly decreased survival and led to a decrease in workload compared to stable PH [28].

Figure 1 summarizes the beneficial effects of exercise training on PAH.

**Clinical Effects of Exercise Training on PH**

A consistent body of evidence suggests that exercise training might be a safe and effective therapeutic approach in PH patients. Table 1 summarizes all previously published studies evaluating the effectiveness of exercise training. The recent 5th PAH World Symposium consensus statement [3] upgraded exercise training and rehabilitation as an add-on treatment for patients affected by PAH (class I, level of evidence: A). This recommendation was based on 3 RCTs with only small sample sizes. Therefore, the guidelines strongly encourage further research regarding training modalities, supervision and mechanisms of improvement by exercise training [3].

In the first RCT investigating the effect of exercise training on PH [8], 30 patients affected by PAH and CTEPH were randomized into two groups: the control group received a common rehabilitation program based on nutrition, counseling and physical therapy in addition to optimized medical therapy. Medication remained stable throughout the study. The training group additionally received a specialized exercise program consisting of interval bicycle ergometer training with low workload (5–40 W) for 10–25 min/day. Exercise was administered and supervised 7 days per week, and intensity was individually adjusted according to subjective physical exertion and safety measures [peak heart rate (HR) <120 b.p.m. and oxygen saturation >90%]. Moreover, training comprised guided walks for 60 min, dumbbell training of single muscle groups with low weights for 30 min and respiratory therapy for 30 min for at least 5 days a week. The mental gait training was introduced to patients in order to improve the estimation of their physical abilities and limitations. At discharge, patients were asked to continue
the bicycle exercise training, respiratory exercise and dumbbell training for 12 additional weeks at home, and agreed to be monitored by phone interviews every 2 weeks. This comprehensive exercise program resulted in an improved 6MWD after 3 weeks (+85 ± 56 m) and a further improvement to 96 ± 61 m after 15 weeks (p < 0.0001 control group corrected vs. baseline). Consistently, oxygen uptake at peak exercise and at anaerobic threshold increased over time, along with physical and mental QoL scores.

The other 2 RCTs [13, 14] were performed in the United States by the group led by Chan et al. [14]. In both studies, a different training approach was evaluated: the training group received 24–30 sessions along with 10 weeks of medically supervised treadmill walking for 30–45 min per session. A target exercise intensity of 70–80% of each patient’s HR reserve obtained from the baseline cardiopulmonary exercise testing was used to guide each session. The target HR range was calculated according to the previously published method of Karvonen et al. [29]: from 70 up to 80% of the following HR: (peak HR – resting HR)/resting HR. This program was designed to be feasible for an outpatient PH clinic without requiring hospital admission.

In the first study [14], a 14% improvement in 6MWD was reported (p = 0.002 vs. baseline; p = 0.008 vs. controls). Accordingly, cardiorespiratory function and QoL also improved after 10 weeks of treadmill training.

The second study [13] was designed to test whether exercise training may improve patients’ fatigue percep-
### Table 1. Summary of published studies on exercise training in PH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Clinical setting</th>
<th>Type of exercise</th>
<th>Training duration</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prospective RCTs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mereles et al. [8], 2006</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>IPAH (73%) CTEPH (27%) WHO-FC II–IV</td>
<td>Aerobic and resistance training (bicycle ergometer) + respiratory muscle training</td>
<td>3 weeks institution based + 12 weeks home based</td>
<td>6MWD QoL (SF-36) WHO-FC Peak VO₂ VO₂ at anaerobic threshold workload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinstein et al. [13], 2013</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>APAH (75%) IPAH (25%) WHO-FC I–IV</td>
<td>Treadmill walking (30–45 min/session)</td>
<td>10 weeks institution based</td>
<td>Fatigue Physical activity 6MWD Treadmill exercise test duration Peak power output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al. [14], 2013</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>APAH (74%) IPAH (22%) Other PAH (4%)</td>
<td>Treadmill walking (30–45 min/session)</td>
<td>10 weeks institution based</td>
<td>6MWD Time to exercise intolerance Peak work rate Peak PETCO₂ Time to anaerobic threshold QoL (SF-36, CAMPHOR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prospective, controlled trials</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox et al. [38], 2011</td>
<td>Non-RCT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>IPAH and CTEPH WHO-FC II–III</td>
<td>Aerobic and resistance exercise + stair climbing</td>
<td>12 weeks on an outpatient basis</td>
<td>6MWD Peak VO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Quintana et al. [35], 2010</td>
<td>Non-RCT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CHD-PAH WHO-FC II–III</td>
<td>Bicycle ergometer and resistance exercise</td>
<td>12 weeks institution based</td>
<td>NYHA-FC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prospective cohort studies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becker-Grünig et al. [12], 2013</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>CHD-PAH WHO-FC II–III</td>
<td>Bicycle ergometer + respiratory muscle training</td>
<td>3 weeks institution based + 12 weeks home based</td>
<td>6MWD Peak VO₂ Workload Good survival (1 year 100%, 2 years 93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Man et al. [9], 2009</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>IPAH WHO-FC II–III</td>
<td>Cycling and quadriceps training</td>
<td>12 weeks institution based</td>
<td>Workload Exercise endurance time Quadriceps strength and endurance Increase in capillarization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grünig et al. [10], 2011</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>IPAH (64%) APAH (36%) WHO-FC II–IV</td>
<td>Aerobic and resistance training (bicycle ergometer) + respiratory muscle training</td>
<td>3 weeks institution based + 12 weeks home based</td>
<td>6MWD Peak VO₂ QoL WHO-FC Workload HR at rest (decrease) and peak (increase) VO₂ at anaerobic threshold Workload at anaerobic threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grünig et al. [7], 2012</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>IPAH (45%) APAH (25%) Other PAH (30%) WHO-FC I–IV</td>
<td>Aerobic and resistance training (bicycle ergometer) + respiratory muscle training</td>
<td>3 weeks institution based + 12 weeks home based</td>
<td>6MWD Peak VO₂ QoL VO₂ at anaerobic threshold Oxygen pulse Systolic PAH at rest Workload</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion and patients’ physical activity, both issues assessed by the Fatigue Severity Scale and Human Activity Profile. After a 10-week intervention, patients in the training group reported higher levels of physical activity (p < 0.05) and reductions in fatigue severity (p = 0.03 vs. baseline) compared to recorded changes in the control group. In this study, no change in 6MWD was recorded. In both studies [13, 14], only female patients were enrolled by chance. This has potentially biased the results. Additional relevant information about exercise training in PAH arises from uncontrolled reports.

In 2010, the impact of exercise training on clinical outcomes (survival and clinical worsening) of 58 patients enrolled in a prospective, single-arm study was investigated.
A series of studies also evaluated whether exercise training may be effective in specific PH subsets. In studies focusing on CTEPH [34] and PH associated with congenital heart [12, 35] and connective tissue diseases [11], the effectiveness of exercise training as add-on therapy was confirmed, and improvements in exercise capacity, QoL and possibly prognosis were shown.

Virtually all studies had positive results on both subjective well-being as well as objective parameters of physical activity in the short term. A meta-analysis of the studies confirmed the positive effects on 6MWD [pooled mean difference 62.18, 95% confidence interval (CI) 45.57–78.78], workload (pooled mean difference 14.88, 95% CI 11.74–18.02), peak VO₂ (pooled mean difference 1.49 l/min/kg, 95% CI 1.09–1.90) and QoL (SF-36 pooled mean difference of all subcales 6.57, 95% CI 4.27–8.87) [36].

However, even though some studies reported excellent survival rates over a follow-up period of up to 3 years (97–100% at 1 year, 94–100% at 2 years and 80–86% at 3 years) [10, 11, 33, 34], to date no study was designed to assess whether exercise training provided patients with a measurable survival advantage. An RCT with survival or at least time to clinical worsening as primary endpoint would be necessary and useful. However, it will be very difficult to perform such a study without appropriate funding since probably several hundred well-characterized PH/PAH patients who are stable on targeted medication have to be followed over at least 2–3 years without significant change in medication.

**Safety of Exercise Training**

In previous studies, exercise training proved to be a beneficial therapy with a good safety profile when closely supervised [7, 10].

As all of the studies started treatment in a highly supervised setting, exercise trainings should be implemented by centers experienced in both PH patient care and rehabilitation of compromised patients. Furthermore, exercise training should only be performed in patients who have received optimized PH-targeted medical treatment over at least 2 months before starting the program in order to provide a good safety profile.

The more severely patients are affected the more beneficial effects could be reached by carefully controlled exercise training programs [7]. There were only few side effects reported in this study, ranging from respiratory infections to presyncope and syncope [7].
Infections were the most frequent side effects recorded. They are often observed in PH patients [37]. In most patients, interruption of the training program was not required (maximum interruption 1–2 weeks), and prompt recovery was obtained after administration of antibiotics. The most frequent cardiovascular side effects recorded were syncope and presyncope (1.5%). Other side effects of training were dizziness, arrhythmia, hemoptysis and anemia [7].

In several training studies, patients were also offered a mental gait training in order to improve the estimation of the own physical abilities and limits. Whether this training plays a role for patient safety during continuation of training at home remains to be investigated.

**Self-Management (Continuation of Training)**

Similar to regular medical treatment every day, exercise training is only working if patients continue for at least 15 min/day for 5 days/week.

One of the major concerns about exercise training is whether it is really translatable into different health care systems and may be available for more patients. Indeed, in most cases, exercise training is hampered by the limited availability and high costs of inpatient rehabilitation. In most studies [7, 8, 10–12, 32–34], the training program consisted of a first part of a 3-week inpatient rehabilitation program and a second part of a 12-week home-based individualized training program. After the first part had been performed in a specialized rehabilitation clinic, all patients received a bicycle ergometer for use at home and were asked to continue the bicycle exercise training close to their target HR once daily for a total of 15–30 min for 5 days a week. Furthermore, they were asked to continue respiratory exercises and dumbbell training for 15–30 min every other day according to the manual. In addition, they were advised to walk twice a week. The amount of training at home was supervised by phone calls every 2 weeks by physiotherapists and/or physicians and by an open unstructured questionnaire until the final study assessment. During the home-based respiratory and exercise training, all patients were asked to keep in close contact with the physicians of the training program. Furthermore, the general practitioners and specialized centers admitting the patients were informed and kept involved in monitoring the patients at home. This design results in a good compliance rate. In the first training study [8], 23 of the 25 patients included in the training program stated that they had continued with their exercise training program at home (at least 3–6 times/week). Patients with a higher compliance experienced the best increase in 6MWD (29 ± 21%), while the lowest improvement (6 ± 2%) was reached by 2 patients who had not performed exercise or respiratory training at home regularly (>3 times/week). This may lead to the conclusion that a home-based self-management is a fundamental part of a successful exercise training program, which has to be supervised to enhance patient safety and compliance. However, to date no study assessed whether only home-based exercise training programs might be safe and effective in PH. In our clinical experience, patients are willing to continue with the training program if they have been carefully supervised and taught how to perform it (which took at least 2–3 weeks in hospital) and secondly if they felt a significant improvement in their symptoms and daily exercise capacity. Thus, the efficacy of the program itself and of the supervision is crucial for patients to continue training at home.

**Conclusions**

Exercise training has demonstrated to be a safe and useful add-on therapy in patients with PH of various etiologies. Most studies reported a significant improvement in exercise capacity and QoL due to exercise training as add-on to PAH-targeted medical treatment. Beneficial effects of exercise training seem to be associated with improvements in muscle function, pulmonary perfusion, blood gas exchange and right ventricular function, and inhibition of inflammatory activation and smooth muscle cell proliferation. The underlying molecular effects are still not completely understood.

Carefully monitored exercise training seems to be safe even in severely affected patients with functional class III–IV. Few minor side effects were reported in training studies, with the most frequent being upper respiratory tract infections.

In some uncontrolled studies, excellent survival rates of 97–100% at 1 year and 94–100% at 2 years were reported [10, 11, 33, 34]. However, RCTs assessing the effect of exercises on hemodynamics, time to clinical worsening and survival are missing.
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