Risk of Major Hemorrhage after Kidney Transplantation
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Abstract

Background: Major hemorrhagic events are associated with significant morbidity and mortality. We examined the three-year cumulative incidence of hospitalization with major nontraumatic hemorrhage after kidney transplantation.

Methods: We performed a retrospective cohort study using healthcare administrative data of all adult-incident kidney-only transplantation recipients in Ontario, Canada from 1994 to 2009. We calculated the three-year cumulative incidence, event rate, and incident rate ratio of hospitalization with major hemorrhage, its subtypes and those undergoing a hemorrhage-related procedure. Results were stratified by patient age and donor type and compared to a random and propensity-score matched sample from the general population.

Results: Among 4,958 kidney transplant recipients, the three-year cumulative incidence of hospitalization with nontraumatic major hemorrhage was 3.5% (95% confidence interval [CI] 3.0–4.1%, 12.7 events per 1,000 patient-years) compared to 0.4% (95% CI 0.4–0.5%) in the general population. The crude risk of hemorrhage was 3–9-fold higher in all subtypes (upper/lower gastrointestinal, intra-cranial) and 15-fold higher for gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures compared to the random sample from the general population. After propensity score matching, the relative risk for major hemorrhage and its subtypes attenuated but remained elevated. The cumulative incidence of hemorrhage was higher for older individuals and those with a deceased donor kidney.

Conclusion: Kidney transplantation recipients have a higher risk of hospitalization with hemorrhage compared to the general population, with about 1 in 30 recipients experiencing a major hemorrhage in the three years following transplant.

Introduction

As the prevalent kidney transplantation population grows, there is an increasing need to quantify the risk of general medical conditions to inform patients and to design appropriate interventions to minimize risk. Nontraumatic hemorrhagic events are a common cause of hospitalization in the general population and contribute significantly to patient morbidity, mortality and healthcare...
costs [1–5]. In kidney transplant recipients, the incidence of hemorrhage remains unknown. Previous studies have only been case reports or case series, limited to surgical or medication-related hemorrhage, with a relatively short duration of follow-up [6–12]. It is also unknown whether factors associated with hemorrhage in the general population, such as advancing age, or factors associated with increased complications in transplant recipients, such as donor kidney type, are associated with increased risk [13].

The goal of this study was to determine the three-year cumulative incidence of hospitalization with non-traumatic hemorrhage and related gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures post-kidney transplantation and to stratify the risk of hemorrhage by age, source of organ (deceased or living), and timing (before or after 90 days of transplantation). To place the results into context, kidney transplant patients were compared to a random sample from the general population and sample matched by propensity scores.

**Methods**

**Design and Setting**

All adults (≥18 years) who received a first-time kidney-only transplant in Ontario, Canada between January 1, 1994 and December 31, 2009, were identified by linked healthcare databases in Ontario, Canada (population 13 million) [14]. All healthcare encounters are prospectively entered in administrative databases and are held in a linked, de-identified form, and analyzed at the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES). We conducted this study according to a pre-specified protocol that was approved by the research ethics board at Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre (Toronto, Canada). The reporting of this study followed guidelines for observational studies (online suppl. table S1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000371902) [15].

**Data Sources**

Patient characteristics, covariate information, and outcome data were obtained using records from four linked databases. We identified all patients who underwent kidney transplantation in Ontario through the Canadian Organ Replacement Registry (CORR) [16]. We obtained vital statistics from the Ontario Registered Persons Database (RPDB) that contains demographic information on all Ontario residents who have ever been issued a health card. We identified diagnostic and procedural information on all hospitalizations from the Canadian Institute for Health Information Discharge Abstract Database (CIHI-DAD). We obtained information from the Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP) database, which includes health claims for inpatient and outpatient physician services. We have used a similar approach in previous publications regarding renal health outcomes, kidney transplant outcomes, and health services [17–22]. Whenever possible, we defined patient characteristics and outcomes using database codes that have been proven reliable when compared with manual chart review (online suppl. table S2).

**Population**

We included adult patients who received a first-time kidney-only transplant within a 16-year accrual period. The date of transplant served as the index date (also referred to as the cohort entry date). Patients were excluded if they were <18 years of age, received a previous transplant or a combined organ transplant such as a simultaneous pancreas kidney transplant (patient selection presented in online suppl. fig. S1). For comparison, we used a random sample of controls from the adult, non-transplant general population and matched on age, sex, and propensity score. The index date of the general population control group was a randomly chosen date within the accrual period. Individuals in the general population cohort had similar exclusion criteria as the transplant cohort with the addition of exclusion for a history of kidney disease.

Known demographics and comorbid risk factors for hemorrhage were captured in the three years prior to the index date, including hypertension, prior stroke, prior major hemorrhage, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease [23]. Variables associated with end-stage renal disease, dialysis modality, and the donor type were also captured. Delayed graft function was defined as having at least 1 code for dialysis in the first 7 days after transplantation.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome was hospitalization with nontraumatic major hemorrhage; defined as an International Classification for Disease (ICD) code for hemorrhage from the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract, subarachnoid, intra-cerebral, or other hemorrhage at the time of hospitalization. Other hemorrhage was defined as other nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage. The secondary outcome was the use of in-hospital gastrointestinal-related endoscopic procedures. All transplant recipients and general population controls were followed for three years for the occurrence of the primary or secondary outcome, death, or the end of the follow-up period. A three-year observation period was selected for two reasons: (i) a period of follow-up that could be reasonably adopted in a future interventional trial, testing interventions to prevent hemorrhage and (ii) aligns with any results from the United States as recipients have coverage and data collection for 3 years post-transplantation. Patients were not censored at the time of graft failure since this may lead to bias, given the possibility that loss of transplant function may be associated with bleeding. Hospitalizations for major hemorrhage were identified using ICD revisions 9 and 10 codes, in CIHI-DAD with validation in previous studies (online suppl. table S3) [24–26].

**Statistical Analysis**

Baseline characteristics were compared using standardized differences with a value greater than 10% considered significant. We calculated the three-year cumulative incidence of hospitalization with nontraumatic major hemorrhage (defined as the proportion of patients who experienced the event at least once within the three-years of follow-up) and the three-year incidence rate (defined as the rate per 1,000 person-years of follow-up). For the incidence rates, individuals were censored at the time of a specific type of hemorrhage but were eligible to contribute to subsequent different types of hemorrhage until death or the end of the follow-up period. However, individuals were eligible only to contribute once to the total major hemorrhage outcome. Cumulative incidence 95% confidence intervals were calculated using the Wilson score method. Cumulative incidences of the primary and secondary outcomes were compared to both the random and matched
samples from the general population. The relative risk and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. For the general population comparison, the three-year incidence rate of the primary outcome in the transplant population was standardized based on age and sex distributions of the general population sample. For the matched analyses, individuals from the transplant and control groups were matched on a 1:1 ratio on age, sex, and logit of the propensity score. Covariates in our propensity model included year of cohort entry, rural location, income quartile, atrial fibrillation, stroke, major hemorrhage, myocardial infarction, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, heart valve replacement, congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, coronary revascularization, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, peripheral artery disease, and Charlson Comorbidity Index. Pairs were matched without replacement using greedy matching within a caliper width of 0.2 standard deviations (SD) of the logit of the propensity score. This model was further adjusted for hypertension to account for the imbalance on this covariate between the two groups at baseline after matching. Conditional logistic regression was used to obtain conditional odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals, accounting for the matched pairs. Given the low cumulative incidence, odds ratios were interpreted as relative risks. The three-year cumulative incidence of nontraumatic hemorrhage was further stratified by age group (≤44, 45–64, ≥65 years) and donor type (deceased or living donor). To examine the impact of postoperative hemorrhage risk, we determined the three-year cumulative incidence of nontraumatic major hemorrhage after excluding bleeding that occurred within first 90 days after transplantation.

### Results

#### Baseline Characteristics

Our final cohort included 4,958 incident kidney transplant patients comprising 13,575 person-years of follow-up. The median follow-up was 3.0 years. Comparison of baseline characteristics between transplant recipients and a random sample of the general population is presented in table 1. Patients who received a transplant were older,
more likely male but had similar neighborhood income compared to the general population. As expected, comorbidity was greater among kidney transplant recipients. Most transplant recipients were Caucasian and had received hemodialysis prior to transplantation (table 2). The median time of receiving dialysis prior to transplant was 3.0 years (interquartile range [IQR], 1.0 to 4.5 years). Over half the patients (57.3%) received a transplant from a deceased donor (table 2). Delayed graft function occurred in roughly one-fifth of patients (18.7%). Ninety-six percent (4,756/4,958) of incident kidney transplant patients were age, sex, and propensity score matched to non-kidney transplant patients. The matched cohort baseline characteristics were similar except for hypertension (standardized difference 12%) that was higher in the non-kidney transplant group (online suppl. table S4).

Table 2. Additional baseline characteristics of kidney transplant recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total transplant recipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>4,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>68.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of end-stage renal disease, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>35.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic interstitial nephritis</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycystic kidney disease</td>
<td>12.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>17.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disease</td>
<td>9.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing/unknown</td>
<td>11.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis modality, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vintage (median years)</td>
<td>3.0 (IQR 1.0–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor type, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/missing</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed graft function*, %</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary renal allograft non-function†</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR = Interquartile range. * Delayed graft function is defined as at least one dialysis code in the first 7 days post-transplant date. † Primary renal allograft non-function is defined as at least three codes for dialysis on separate days with at least one code occurring in the first 7 days, in 8–30 days, and in the 31–60 days post-transplant date.

Incidence of Hemorrhage

Within the first three years of transplantation, 173 of 4,958 kidney transplant recipients were hospitalized with nontraumatic hemorrhage (3.5%, 95% CI 3.0–4.1%) compared to 428 of 100,000 individuals in the general population (0.4%, 95% CI 0.4–0.5%). The relative risk of hemorrhage was eight-fold higher in the kidney transplant recipients compared to the general population (RR 8.2; 95% CI 6.9–9.7) (table 3). The corresponding crude rates of nontraumatic major hemorrhage were 12.7 per 1,000 person-years in transplant recipients versus 1.44 per 1,000 person-years in the general population. After standardizing age and sex to the general population, the standardized nontraumatic major hemorrhage rate of the transplant group was 11.4 events per 1,000 person-years. The age, sex, and propensity score matched transplant and general population groups had hemorrhage rates of 12.3 and 1.6 per 1,000 person years, respectively.

The three-year cumulative incidence of hemorrhage post-transplant and crude RR by the type of bleeding compared to the general population was as follows: lower gastrointestinal (LGI) (1.8% vs. 0.2%; RR 9.1, 95% CI 7.1–11.7); upper gastrointestinal (UGI) (1.6% vs. 0.2%; RR 8.7, 95% CI 6.7–11.3); intra-cerebral (ICH) (0.2% vs. 0.04%; RR 6.2, 95% CI 3.3–11.8); and subarachnoid (SAH) (≤0.1% vs. 0.03%; RR ≤3.4, 95% CI 1.3–8.7) (table 3). In-hospital gastrointestinal-related endoscopic procedures were similarly increased among transplant recipients (7.9% vs. 0.5%; RR 15.6, 95% CI 13.7–17.8) (table 3). The three-year cumulative incidence for the age-, sex- and propensity score-matched transplant cohort was as follows: LGI 2.4% (OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.3–2.2); UGI 1.1% (OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.1–2.3); and ICH 0.2% (OR 1.6, 95% CI 0.6–4.1) (table 3).

Subgroups Analyses

There was a steady increase in the three-year cumulative incidence of major nontraumatic hemorrhage by age with an over two-fold increase between those ≤44 and ≥65 years (fig. 1). Similarly, the three-year cumulative incidence of nontraumatic hemorrhage was almost three-fold higher in those who received a kidney from a deceased versus a living donor (4.8% vs. 1.8%). After exclu-
sion of nontraumatic major hemorrhage events within 90 days of the transplantation procedure, the three-year cumulative incidence decreased from 3.5% to 2.3% (95% CI 1.9–2.8%). There was still a roughly five-fold increased risk of nontraumatic hemorrhage beyond 90 days post-transplantation compared to the general population. After excluding hemorrhages within the first 90 days post-transplant, the event rate decreased from 12.7 to 7.97 per 1,000 person years.

**Discussion**

In this large retrospective cohort study, we identified a considerable risk for major nontraumatic hemorrhage among kidney transplantation recipients and this was significantly higher than in the general population. The three-year risk was greater among kidney transplant re-
cipients compared to the general population across all subtypes of hemorrhages and for related in-hospital gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures. The increased risk of hemorrhage was attenuated but was still statistically significant after matching on age, sex, and propensity score. There was more than a two-fold increase in the incidence of hemorrhage among the oldest age group compared to the youngest and among recipients of a kidney from a deceased versus living donor. Approximately, two-thirds of events occurred after the immediate postoperative period (>90 days). Taken together, this study highlights the burden of hemorrhage in kidney transplant recipients and the need to develop and evaluate preventative strategies.

We are the first, to our knowledge, to report the incidence of total hemorrhage in the kidney transplantation population. Previous reports are limited to single case reports or case series, medication- or cause-specific hemorrhagic complications, or peri-/postoperative-related hemorrhage [6, 9–12, 27–30]. In contrast, our study provides a cumulative picture of the impact of hemorrhage and its associated hospitalizations in kidney transplant recipients.

We examined the specific subtypes of gastrointestinal and central nervous system-related hemorrhage and found 2- to 9-fold increases in incidence of each subtype relative to the general population. There are few, and often indirect, previous studies available for comparison [31–34]. The increase in hemorrhage risk is not surprising as in general, risk factors for specific subtypes of hemorrhage appear to be more common among kidney transplantation recipients. Upper and lower gastrointestinal lesions such as duodenal ulcers, gastritis, *H. pylori* infection, and solid tumors are more common among kidney transplant recipients [33, 34]. An American database (USRDS) study reported a considerably higher three-year cumulative incidence of intra-cerebral hemorrhage of 1.1% (95% CI 1.0–1.2%) compared to the 0.2% (95% CI 0.1–0.4%) observed in our cohort [32]. This discrepancy may be due to the higher proportion of African Americans and earlier study era in the American study. Of note, intracerebral hemorrhage was associated with a particularly poor outcome with an adjusted hazard ratio of 10.6 (95% CI 8.9–12.5) for mortality illustrating the specific importance and poor outcomes associated with hemorrhage in this site [32].

The elevated risk of hemorrhage is consistent with, but lower, than the risk in the dialysis population. Using a similar definition, we previously reported a three-year incidence of hemorrhage of 14.4% (four-fold elevation) among greater than 11,000 dialysis patients compared to the general population [35]. Reasons for the differing risk between the two populations include selecting a healthier segment of the dialysis population for transplantation, medication exposures (for example, heparin during intermittent hemodialysis), uremia-related platelet dysfunction, and vascular access complications [36, 37]. It appears the receipt of a kidney transplant may attenuate some of the hemorrhage risk. Lentine et al. compared transplant recipients to individuals on the waiting list, reporting a reduction in the hemorrhagic stroke risk following transplantation [32]. Whether kidney transplantation in and of itself reduces the risk of other subtypes of hemorrhage remains unknown.

The risk of bleeding was not uniform and increased with age and when the organ was obtained from a deceased rather than a living donor and was highest in the first 90 days post-transplantation. Age is a well-recognized and reported risk factor for hemorrhage in the general population often representing the accrual of comorbid illnesses, medications, and hemorrhage-related pathology [2, 4, 5]. Receipt of a deceased donor organ is associated with an increased risk of complications such as delayed graft function, need for early renal replacement therapy, and escalation of immunosuppressive therapies all of which may contribute to the hemorrhage risk [38]. Similarly, one-third of hemorrhages occurred in the first three months after the transplantation procedure, the period when procedure-related complications, maximal doses of steroids and immunosuppressive and the need for renal replacement therapy are highest. Despite this, the majority of hemorrhagic events occurred after the initial three-month period, indicating there are also considerable longer-term risks.

Our study quantifies the significant burden of hemorrhage in the kidney transplant population highlighting the need for monitoring and population-specific interventions to reduce the risk. Advancement in surgical techniques, elimination of the use of anticoagulants in the peri- and immediate post-surgical period and minimization of steroid-use represent interventions that could reduce the hemorrhage risk in the early post-transplant period [11, 29, 39, 40]. Evidence for monitoring and interventions to reduce the longer-term risk of hemorrhage is limited. Aggressive cancer screening, *H. pylori* eradication, careful consideration of the risks/benefits of antithrombotic medications, and the role of acid suppressing medications represent areas for further investigation. Use of in-hospital, gastrointestinal-related endoscopies for screening and/or therapeutic interventions around the...
time of hemorrhagic events occurred commonly in our
cohort at 7.85% (15-fold increase compared to the gen-
eral population). However, the role and optimal periodic-
ity of endoscopic outpatient screening remains unclear. Other screening strategies such as fecal occult blood test-
ing for colonic lesions and neurological imaging for an-
eurysms in specific populations (polycystic kidney dis-
ease) are supported by evidence and should be utilized
[33, 41, 42].

There are limitations to our study that warrant men-
tion. We considered only nontraumatic major hemor-
hrage validated by previous studies and not minor hem-
orrhages such as hemoptysis or epistaxis as these are cur-
rently not validated. We did not include recurrent events
of the same sub-type of hemorrhage so our findings may
represent an underestimate of the true burden of hemor-
rhage in this population. We examined only hemorrhag-
ic and endoscopic events at hospitalization and thus did
not include hemorrhages or procedures from the outpa-
tient setting. We did not account for medication usage
that may contribute to the hemorrhage risk, including
warfarin or anti-platelet usage, given that only a subset of
our recipients would be covered by our provincial drug
plan with data captured by our administrative databases.
In our adjusted analyses we accounted for co-morbid
conditions where antithrombotic medication prescrip-
tion is common (example coronary artery disease) and
this could partially reduce confounding by these medica-
tions. Transplantation patients may undergo more inten-
sive monitoring and follow-up resulting in better capture
of events. We also lacked measures of graft function at the
time of hemorrhage. Lastly, although we used a matched
propensity score analysis, there remains the possibility of
unmeasured confounders.

In conclusion, we found a significant increase in the
risk of nontraumatic major hemorrhage among kidney
transplant recipients compared to the general population.
The risk of hemorrhage increases with age, receipt of a
deceased donor kidney and is highest in the early post-
transplantation period. Further investigations of screen-
ing and targeted interventions to reduce the burden of
hemorrhage in this population are warranted.
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