Comparison of Histochemical Staining Methods and Correlation with Transient Elastography in Acute Hepatitis
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Abstract
Objective: To compare Masson’s trichrome (MT), Sirius red (SR) and orcein staining in acute hepatitis (AH) and to correlate them with transient elastography (TE), a noninvasive method to assess hepatic fibrosis. Methods: We evaluated liver stiffness by TE in a cohort of 34 consecutive patients and assessed MT-, SR- and orcein-stained biopsies using the METAVIR scoring system and digital image analysis (DIA). Results: MT and SR both showed severe fibrosis (stage III–IV, DIA = 12.7%). Orcein showed absent or mild fibrosis (stage 0–II, DIA = 4.4%; p < 0.05). In 29/34 cases (85%), stiffness values were >12.5 kPa, in keeping with SR/MT but not with orcein results. Conclusions: Even though in AH true elastic fibrosis is typically absent or mild, TE shows elevated stiffness values, in keeping with SR/MT evaluations. If not properly evaluated in the clinical context, these results would lead to an overestimation of fibrosis. Orcein is the only staining able to evidence the absence of true elastic fibrosis, which is a typical feature of AH. This is the first study comparing different staining procedures performed on AH biopsies by DIA versus TE.

Introduction

The utility of liver biopsy in diagnosing acute liver damage is still subject to controversy. Acute hepatitis (AH) lacks significant elastic fibrosis by definition [1] and pathologists usually perform more than one staining to evaluate liver fibrosis, such as silver impregnation for reticulin fibers, chromotrope aniline blue for collagen, and Masson’s trichrome (MT), picro-Sirius red (SR), Victoria blue or orcein stain for elastic fibers.

The results of these staining methods are not always congruent because they highlight different connective components, which increase during the progression of AH. SR and MT staining highlight the collagenous component of liver fibrosis, whereas, according to Scheuer and Lefkowtich [1], Victoria blue or orcein staining are
important to distinguish between recent and old fibrosis because they highlight elastic fibers, which may be considered the product of true active formation of new septa. Elastic fibers are usually absent in normal portal tracts. Nevertheless, proper orcein staining is sometimes difficult and depends on the technician’s skills. Other techniques have been introduced with the aim to best quantify liver fibrosis, such as computer-assisted digital image analysis (DIA) of liver collagen, in which the quantitative assessment of liver fibrosis is usually performed on SR-stained sections, with SR being the preferred histochecanical method when quantifying liver fibrosis [2, 3]. As Standish et al. [3] report ‘Some groups have used reticulin or trichrome stains, and others have suggested the use of immunohistochemistry but, due to variability in staining and difficulty in accurate thresholding, these techniques can suffer from ‘poor reproducibility’. Moreover, previous studies showed that the amount of liver collagen obtained with DIA is significantly correlated with clinical outcome in chronic hepatitis [4, 5].

Finally, transient elastography (TE), a recent noninvasive method to assess hepatic fibrosis by FibroScan (Echosens) [6], has found wide acceptance in the clinical management of liver diseases and, in some studies, TE values in AH were strongly elevated, achieving values usually in the diagnostic range of liver cirrhosis [7–9].

However, no studies have been performed to assess if the above-mentioned staining methods, which were used to evaluate liver fibrosis semiquantitatively and quantitatively, correlate with TE results in AH.

The aim of this study is to compare MT-, SR- and orcein-stained slides of AH cases using semiquantitative and quantitative methods. Furthermore, we would like to verify if liver stiffness assessed by TE correlates with the results obtained using the different histological staining methods.

**Materials and Methods**

This prospective study assessed consecutive samples of liver biopsies obtained from patients with a clinical diagnosis of AH made at the Pathology Department, University Hospital of Palermo, collected between January 2009 and December 2012.

One hundred and seven cases of AH [defined by increased alanine aminotransferase levels (ALT; >10× the upper limit of normal) for a period less than 6 months and without a previous history of liver disease] have been observed during the enrollment period, and 34 of the 107 patients underwent liver biopsy in order to better define the etiology of liver damage with the help of histological features.

Indeed, in common clinical practice as well as for ethical considerations, no patient was subjected to liver biopsy when the diagnosis and the pathogenesis of the disease was clinically well defined. Due to the small number of AH cases biopsied in our casuistry, we included and analyzed AH cases of different pathogenesis: 17 drug-related cases (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in 13 cases and amoxicillin in 4 cases); 13 autoimmune hepatitis cases; 2 acute HCV-related hepatitis cases and 2 cases of unknown etiology which, for convenience, have been merged into a single group.

The slides were stained with hematoxylin-eosin, Shikata’s orcein, MT and SR. Previously reported protocols were applied for these staining methods [1, 10].

SR staining is obtained by dissolving 0.5 g SR in 500 ml of saturated aqueous solution of picric acid. It is specific for many types of collagen (types I, II, III, IV and V). We did not counterstain the nuclei with hematoxylin because the addition of another color could render DIA difficult. In this way, SR is useful to quantify total collagen in liver biopsies due to the dual-color staining obtained, with collagen fibers staining red and parenchyma light pink.

We used the METAVIR scoring system [11, 12] (originally performed in chronic hepatitis C) for the semiquantitative assessment of fibrosis in AH. Shikata’s orcein-, SR- and MT-stained slides were separately evaluated by a liver pathologist (D.C.) blinded to the TE results. We preferred orcein to Victoria blue staining for elastic fibers, because orcein staining, like SR, provides better staining for DIA compared with MT [2, 3].

DIA was performed in all 34 AH cases using the Zeiss AxioVision Rel 4.8 image analysis software on digitized images of Shikata’s orcein- and SR-stained sections by V.C., who was blind to clinical, laboratory and histological information. Therefore, the entire slides were scanned through a histological slide scanner. Quantitative analysis involves the following steps (fig. 1):

- Acquisition of the entire section as a scanned image.
- Image processing and quantitative measurement of the stained fibrous areas (using AxioVision software).
- Percentage ratio of the fibrotic area to the entire sample.

![Fig. 1. a Digitized image of the entire scanned section. SR. b Selection of the area of the entire scanned section. c, d Selection of the SR-stained fibrous areas.](image-url)
Statistical analysis of the results was performed using Student’s t test with SPSS 15.0. Continuous variables are expressed as means ± SD and categorical variables as absolute and relative frequencies. The differences between continuous data were analyzed by t test, and corrected χ² analysis was used for dichotomous or categorical variables. The results were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

Liver stiffness was assessed in all cases at the time of biopsy with a FibroScan (Echosens, Paris). In AH, where liver stiffness values are usually high and similar to the results found in cirrhotic patients, we used a cutoff value of 12.5 kPa [13] for comparisons with histological findings.

Results

Table 1 lists clinical and histological features of the patients included in the study. In all 34 cases, necroinflammatory activity, which was assessed on HE slides, showed a severe grade with spotty and bridging necrosis. MT and SR staining showed the same results with respect to liver fibrosis in all AH cases (percentage of concordance 100%). Hence, the results of the two staining methods, MT and SR, are reported together.

A clear discrepancy was evident between MT/SR staining and Shikata’s orcein staining, with the former always showing bridging fibrosis, suggesting stage III–IV, and the latter suggesting the absence or scanty presence of fibrosis (stage 0–II; fig. 2).

These semiquantitative observations were confirmed by DIA, in which the average percentages of SR-stained areas were significantly greater than the orcein-stained areas: SR 12.7% (±5.6%) and Shikata’s orcein 4.4% (±7.2%; p < 0.035). This statistically significant difference persists after dividing patients according to AH etiology (table 2).

Correlation with TE

In 29/34 AH cases, TE values were ≥12.5 kPa. This was in keeping with the stage III–IV suggested by the semiquantitative assessment of liver fibrosis on MT/SR but not with orcein staining, which indicated the absence of severe fibrosis in all cases (21 cases were evaluated as stage 0–I, 11 cases as stage II and there was no case of stage III–IV; table 3).

Using linear regression analysis, we have not found a significant correlation between TE and ALT values in our AH patients (r² = 0.007; p = 0.796).

Table 1. Clinical and histological features of AH patients (n = 34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>42.1±16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, n</td>
<td>12 (35.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT, U/l</td>
<td>665.8±749.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets, ×10⁹/l</td>
<td>203.4±78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r-Glutamyl transferase, U/l</td>
<td>293.4±323.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE, kPa</td>
<td>16.9±9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of inflammation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11 (32.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23 (67.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen proportionate area, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>12.7±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orcein</td>
<td>4.4±7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means ± SD and numbers of patients (%) are shown.

Table 2. Comparison of DIA results of SR/MT- and orcein-stained slides in AH (means ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SR/MT, %</th>
<th>Orcein, %</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH (n = 34)</td>
<td>12.7±5.6</td>
<td>4.4±7.2</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-induced AH</td>
<td>13.7±5.1</td>
<td>4.6±6.5</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune AH</td>
<td>11.8±4.8</td>
<td>4.2±7.7</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other AH</td>
<td>12.8±5.0</td>
<td>4.1±8.7</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. FibroScan values and histological fibrosis evaluation with SR/MT and orcein staining in 34 AH patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>FibroScan ≥12.5 kPa</th>
<th>&lt;12.5 kPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR/MT</td>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orcein</td>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation with TE

In 29/34 AH cases, TE values were ≥12.5 kPa. This was in keeping with the stage III–IV suggested by the semiquantitative assessment of liver fibrosis on MT/SR but not with orcein staining, which indicated the absence of severe fibrosis in all cases (21 cases were evaluated as stage 0–I, 11 cases as stage II and there was no case of stage III–IV; table 3).

Using linear regression analysis, we have not found a significant correlation between TE and ALT values in our AH patients (r² = 0.007; p = 0.796).
Discussion

Routine fibrosis assessment is usually carried out based on MT or reticulin staining [3]. However, the issue of elastic fiber staining has been stressed previously since, in case of parenchymal collapse simulating septa, collagen stains could lead to a misdiagnosis of cirrhosis [1, 14]. In our study on AH, SR/MT showed a high level of discordance with orcein staining. Indeed, SR/MT indicated advanced fibrosis (stage III–IV), which was not supported by the paired orcein-stained slides, in which fibrosis was absent or low/moderate (stage 0–II). These findings were confirmed by quantitative analysis showing on average 12.7% SR-positive areas versus 4.4% orcein-positive areas (p < 0.05).

Moreover, in AH, the advanced fibrosis observed by SR/MT is associated with high stiffness values (12.5 kPa), whereas orcein staining correctly shows absent or mild fibrosis. Previous studies reported that in AH or chronic hepatitis of viral origin with severe necroinflammation, liver stiffness values are greatly increased and correlate with high values of aminotransferases [7–9].

Arena et al. [7] hypothesized that liver stiffness measurements (LSM) were correlated with aminotransferase levels at the onset of acute viral hepatitis due to the presence of tissue inflammation and edema, which at this time are likely to be maximal. Therefore, they highlighted ‘the contribution of nonfibrotic modifications to LSM, supported by a progressive normalization of stiffness values in parallel with the decrease of aminotransferase levels’.

Noteworthy, for ethical considerations, they did not perform liver biopsy in AH cases and in their study acute liver damage could only be assessed by peak increases and subsequent changes in serum biochemical markers.

To our knowledge, this is the first study performed on liver biopsies of AH cases including staining with different methods in order to highlight the different components of fibrosis (collagen and elastic fibers). Morphological evidence provided by these staining methods allowed us to better understand the relationship between high levels of transaminases and FibroScan values.

In fact, high aminotransferases values are an index of severe necroinflammatory activity, which, in acute liver disease, results in connective tissue collapse and the formation of collagen passive septa due to hepatocyte necrosis. These features are evidenced by SR/MT staining (both semiquantitatively and quantitatively by DIA) and could affect TE values. In keeping with the literature, in our study, 29/34 patients showed high stiffness values (≥12.5 kPa) and, by definition, all our cases showed transaminase levels >10× the upper limit of normal. However, we have not found a correlation between TE and ALT values in our cohort of AH patients, probably because in patients with markedly elevated ALT value, once collagen passive septa are consistently formed, a further increase in biochemical markers was no longer proportional to increasing stiffness. By contrast, orcein-stained elastic fibers are the product of true new active septum formation and are present only in older fibrosis. Thus, to achieve a diagnosis of AH, which lacks significant elastic fibrosis by definition, orcein seems to be superior to both TE and SR/MT, since TE and SR/MT could lead to a misdiagnosis of severe fibrosis.

In our opinion, the relationship between peak increases in aminotransferase levels and liver stiffness may not simply be explained by ‘the inflammatory infiltration, hepatocyte swelling and tissue edema’, as previously hypothesized [7, 8], but rather by the formation of SR/MT-positive collagen passive septa, which are potentially reversible.

In conclusion, our study highlights the importance of orcein staining in AH. Indeed, if not properly evaluated in the clinical context, SR/MT staining could lead to a wrong diagnosis, resulting in an overestimation of the staging, which is erroneously supported by high values of liver stiffness measurements.
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