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Introduction

The optimal treatment of acute complicated diverticulitis is a matter of debate and has undergone significant changes. Currently, the main focus of surgical treatment concepts is on controlling the emergency situation triggered by acute complicated sigmoid diverticulitis through interventional and minimally invasive measures.

Methods: This article presents the current data and recommendations on differentiated treatment of acute complicated sigmoid diverticulitis, which are also summarized in a decision tree.

Results: In general, resection of the diverticular sigmoid is needed to treat acute complicated sigmoid diverticulitis, because without resection the recurrence rate is too high at 40%. Since the morbidity and mortality rates associated with emergency resection are extremely high, resulting in the creation of a stoma, efforts are made to control the acute situation through interventional and laparoscopic measures. Therefore, pericolic and pelvic abscesses (Hinchey stages I, II) are eliminated through percutaneous or laparoscopic drainage. Likewise, laparoscopic lavage and drainage are performed for purulent and feculent peritonitis (Hinchey stages III, IV). After elimination of the acute septic situation, interval elective sigmoid resection is conducted. If emergency resection cannot be avoided, it is performed, while taking account of the patient’s overall condition, with primary anastomosis and a protective stoma or as discontinuity resection using Hartmann’s procedure.

Conclusion: Thanks to the progress made in interventional and laparoscopic treatment, differentiated concepts are now used to treat acute complicated sigmoid diverticulitis.

Definition and Incidence of Acute Complicated Diverticulitis

The standardized incidence rate of hospitalization for acute diverticulitis was found – on the basis of a sample consisting of
and Hinchey staging of patients with suspected diverticulitis, to as-
year to 71 per 100,000 per year from 1998 to 2005 [3]. Overall an-
20% of the US population – to have risen from 59 per 100,000 per
year to 71 per 100,000 per year from 1998 to 2005 [3]. Ex-
trapolated to Germany that amounts to around 60,000 cases of in-
patient treatment because of acute sigmoid diverticulitis. Acute di-
verticulitis is divided into uncomplicated and complicated diver-
ticulitis. Acute complicated diverticulitis is mainly stage-divided by
the Hinchey classification [4]. The Hinchey classification, de-
veloped before the advent of routine computed tomography (CT) im-
aging, remains the most widely used classification [5] for acute com-
plicated diverticulitis. The original Hinchey classification di-
vided acute complicated diverticulitis into pericolic abscess con-
fined to the mesentery of the colon (stage I), pelvic abscess result-
ing from a local perforation of a pericolic abscess (stage II), gen-
eralized peritonitis resulting from rupture of pericolic/pelvic abscess
into the peritoneal cavity (stage III), and feculent peritonitis result-
ing from the free perforation of a diverticulum (stage IV) [5].

Most patients with acute uncomplicated sigmoid diverticulitis
respond to medical treatment and generally experience significant
decrease in their abdominal pain, temperature, and white blood

cell count within the first 48 h after initiation of antibiotic treat-
ment [5]. In a minority of patients, non-operative treatment fails
and symptoms either persist or worsen. In these cases, urgent or
semi-urgent surgery may become necessary during the same hospi-
tal stay [5].

In general, percutaneous drainage or surgery is necessary for
acute complicated diverticulitis in the Hinchey stages II–IV.

Between 2002 and 2007, data on 1,073,397 patients who were
admitted to hospital because of diverticulitis were entered into the
American National Inpatient Sample Database. Of these, 78.3%
were emergency admissions, and 21.7% elective admissions. It was
possible to successfully treat 85.92% of emergency admissions with
antibiotics. 1.88% underwent percutaneous abscess drainage, and
surgery was needed for 12.2% [6]. In other studies, the proportion
of patients needing surgical intervention was 25% [7]. Hence,
around 15–25% of patients admitted to hospital because of acute
d diverticulitis require urgent percutaneous abscess drainage or sur-
gical treatment.

Diagnosis in Acute Complicated Diverticulitis for
Hinchey Staging

CT imaging has become a standard tool to aid in the diagnosis
and Hinchey staging of patients with suspected diverticulitis, to as-
dress disease severity, and to help plan treatment [7]. In a prospec-
tive study of 542 patients with acute left-sided diverticulitis, triple-
contrast CT scans (intravenous, oral, and rectal) were compared to
water-soluble contrast enema. CT scan had a significantly higher
diagnostic sensitivity of 0.98 versus 0.92 (p < 0.01). Only in 29% of
cases with CT-proven abscess did colonic contrast enema show in-
direct evidence of this [8]. According to the American College of
Radiology, CT with intravenous and possibly supplementary oral
and rectal contrast is the investigation of choice for suspected acute
diverticulitis [9]. The Clinical Practice Guideline Task Force of the
American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons also recommend
CT scans of the abdomen and pelvis as the most appropriate initial
imaging modality in the assessment of suspected diverticulitis [7].

Indication for Surgery in Acute Complicated
Diverticulitis

In general, surgery is recommended for complicated diverticulitis
after the first episode since the risk of recurrent disease without sur-
gery is very high. However, when age or comorbidities prohibitively
increase perioperative risks, it may be appropriate to approach com-
plicated diverticulitis with conservative treatment alone [5].

Patients with multiquadrant peritonitis or overwhelming infec-
tion due to purulent (Hinchey stage III) or feculent (Hinchey stage
IV) peritonitis are typically acutely ill or appear toxic and require
expedited fluid resuscitation, antibiotic administration, and opera-
tion without delay [7].

Following successful conservative treatment of mesocolic ab-
sces (Hinchey stage I) of <3–4 cm or mesocolic abscesses (Hinchey stage
I) of >4 cm or pelvic abscesses (Hinchey stage II) with percutaneous drainage or laparoscopic lavage, elective colec-
tomy should typically be advised, because retrospective data have
shown recurrence rates as high as 40% [7].

Interventional and Surgical Treatment of Acute
Complicated Sigmoid Diverticulitis in Hinchey
Stages I and II

Abscess formation, probably the result of a contained perfora-
tion, is a common complication of acute diverticulitis and occurs
in 15–20% of patients [7]. The literature supports the concept that
percutaneous drainage allows a majority of patients (52–74%) to
avoid urgent operation and undergo interval elective, one-stage
colecotomy [10–14].

Not all abscesses require interventional drainage for resolution.
Abscesses smaller than 3–4 cm in size are often treated conserva-
tively with antibiotic therapy alone [11]. However, patients who do
not clinically improve without drainage should undergo percuta-
neous drain placement or laparoscopic lavage and drainage [7]. Pa-
tients with abscesses larger than or equal to 4 cm can be managed
with CT-guided abscess drainage followed by referral for surgical

There are several limitations to percutaneous drainage of diver-
ticular abscesses. First, accessibility is not always possible because
of small bowel loops in contiguity with the fluid collection [10]. Sec-
ond, drainage, when feasible, is not always successful. Reported
failure rates for diverticular abscesses range from 15 to 30%. Third,
when drainage is feasible and initially successful, abscess recur-
rence or fistulas may occur, compromising the performance of an
elective surgical resection [10].
Patients without an adequate radiographic window to permit safe percutaneous drainage may be candidates for operative drainage that is typically accomplished laparoscopically [7].

In a systematic review [15], it was possible to successfully treat 39 of 44 pelvic abscesses (Hinchey stage II) through laparoscopic lavage and drainage. This has led to the creation of a decision tree for acute complicated sigmoid diverticulitis in Hinchey stages I and II depicted in figure 1.

Open Surgical Treatment of Acute Complicated Sigmoid Diverticulitis in Hinchey Stages III and IV

In the majority of cases, the first diverticulitis attack is the most dangerous and is associated with the highest perforation risk [16]. Free perforation with generalized purulent or feculent peritonitis often necessitates emergency sigmoid resection, and indeed discontinuity resection of the sigmoid colon using Hartmann’s procedure, with its attendant high morbidity and mortality [17]. There continues to be a lively debate about whether sigmoid resection with primary anastomosis, and possibly a protective stoma, or discontinuity resection using Hartmann’s procedure should be performed in cases of free perforation with purulent (Hinchey stage III) or feculent (Hinchey stage IV) peritonitis. 16 retrospective studies have been published on this topic and their results analyzed in 3 systematic reviews [18]. The systematic reviews [2, 17, 19] showed that discontinuity resection using Hartmann’s procedure was associated with a mortality rate of 15–19%, whereas for primary anastomosis it was only 4.9–9.9%. Only for 45% of patients a reversal of Hartmann’s procedure was performed, i.e. continuity was later restored. Besides, the reversal operation was associated with a morbidity rate of 30–40% and a mortality rate of 5%. Furthermore, the quality of life following discontinuity resection and subsequent reversal operation was poorer than after primary anastomosis [20].

In addition to the systematic reviews, 2 prospective randomized multicenter trials have been published in the past 2 years [21, 22]. The first study did not find any difference in morbidity and mortality between primary anastomosis with a protective ileostomy and Hartmann’s discontinuity resection in similar patient collectives. Reversal ileostomy was carried out significantly more often than reversal of Hartmann’s procedure (90 vs. 57%; p = 0.005). Reversal ileostomy had a lower complication rate, shorter duration of operation, shorter hospital stay, as well as lower costs [21]. Likewise, the second study did not discern any difference in morbidity and mortality between primary anastomosis with a protective ileostomy and Hartmann’s discontinuity resection. However, in that study the stoma reversal rates were identical (64.3 vs. 60%; p = 0.659) [22].

Based on the available data, it is no doubt advisable to use the patient’s risk profile as the chief determinant when deciding whether to opt for primary anastomosis with a protective stoma or discontinuity resection using Hartmann’s procedure. If the patient has comorbidities (ASA III or IV), severe sepsis, or long-standing feculent peritonitis, preference should definitely be given to Hartmann’s discontinuity resection. If the patient is in a relatively good general state and there is peritonitis of recent onset and only a mild septic reaction, creation of a primary anastomosis, possibly with a protective stoma, can be considered [23].

Laparoscopic Treatment of Acute Complicated Sigmoid Diverticulitis in Hinchey Stages III and IV

In recent years, laparoscopic treatment of perforated sigmoid diverticulitis has been increasingly used as an alternative to open

---

**Fig. 1.** Decision tree for the treatment of paracolic and pelvic abscesses (Hinchey stages I, II) in acute complicated sigmoid diverticulitis.
surgery for Hinchey stages III and IV [15, 24]. Sigmoid resection is now completely omitted in emergency procedures, and only abdominal lavage and drainage are performed. A systematic review reported the findings for 231 patients treated by means of laparoscopic lavage and drainage. Here 44 patients had Hinchey stage II, i.e. abscess of the small pelvis, 178 patients had Hinchey stage III with purulent peritonitis, and 8 patients had Hinchey stage IV with feculent peritonitis [15]. It was possible to successfully treat 95.7% of patients using lavage and drainage alone. The morbidity rate was only 10.4%, and mortality was 1.7%. It was possible to conduct elective sigmoid resection in the later course for 87% of patients. These positive outcomes have since been confirmed in 17 retrospective studies with a total of 768 patients [18].

Two prospective randomized multicenter trials are currently being carried out, one in the Netherlands [25] and one in Scandinavia [26], with the aim to ascertain the role of laparoscopic lavage and drainage compared with resection of purulent and feculent perforated sigmoid diverticulitis (Hinchey stages III, IV). The indication for laparoscopic lavage and drainage should be based on stringent criteria until such time as the findings of these studies are published. Feculent peritonitis with a visible perforation site no doubt constitutes an exclusion criterion [18].
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