The Functional Variant in the 3’UTR of IGF1 with the Risk of Gastric Cancer in a Chinese Population
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Abstract
Background/Aims: IGF-1 can act as an endocrine hormone and its signaling server as essential roles in regulating tumorigenesis. Polymorphisms in IGF-1 have been reported associated with the risk of human cancer, but their association with the risk of human gastric cancer (GC) has not been found so far. In this study rs6218 located in the 3’UTR of IGF-1 was selected to evaluate its relationship with the risk of GC among Chinese population.

Methods: Questionnaire, SNaPshot genotype assay, real time PCR assay, cell transfection and the dual luciferase reporter assay were used in our study. Results: SNP rs6218 in IGF-1 3’-UTR was involved in the occurrence of GC by acting as a tumor promotion factor while rs6128 acting as a risk factor. SNP rs6128 was also could be regulated by miR-603 which caused an up-regulation of IGF-1 in patients with UC and CC genotype. Furthermore, the carriers of UC and CC genotype presented a big tumor size as well as the high probability of metastasis.

Conclusion: In conclusion, our findings have shown that the SNP rs6218 in IGF-1 3’-UTR, through disrupting the regulatory role of miR-603 in IGF-1 expression, rs16128 in IGF-1 might act as a promotion factor in the pathogenesis of GC.

Introduction
Gastric cancer (GC) is defined as cancer that forms in the tissues lining the stomach. Globally, GC is the fifth leading cause of cancer and the third leading cause of cancer mortality, comprising 7% of cases and 9% of deaths [1]. In 2012 GC occurred in 950,000 people
and caused 723,000 deaths [1]. The most common cause is infection by H. pylori, which accounts for >60% of cases [2, 3]. GC is the most common subtype with a poor treatment and survival rate [4, 5]. Age, diet, genetic background and environmental factors are thought to be associated with risk of GC [6, 7]. Although many people are exposed to these risk factors, only a few individuals develop GC in their lifetimes, suggesting that genetic variation may contribute to gastric cancer. Traditional treatment on GC is not enough comparing with the increasing number of people suffering with GC [8, 9]. Improving results with the candidate gene approach have led to its growing acceptance as a potentially useful method for investigating genetic risk factors for GC among Chinese.

IGF-1 is produced primarily by the liver as an endocrine hormone as well as in target tissues via paracrine/autocrine. The IGF signaling pathway has a pathogenic role in cancer [10, 11]. Mainly due to that the IGF1 signals triggered through the insulin receptors (IRs) and IGF1 receptor (IGF1R), respectively, result in activation of the phosphotidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway and protein kinase C [12]. The potential anti-tumor effect of statins has been reported for multiple IGF-1-dependent malignancies. The strong inverse correlation between statin use and colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and gastric cancer [13-16].

MiRNAs are small, non-coding RNA molecules of 19-25 nucleotides which have been reported to play important roles by regulating cell differentiation, proliferation, migration and apoptosis [17]. miRNAs can not only negatively regulate their target genes expression at the posttranscription level through binding to 3’ untranslated regions (UTRs) of their targets message RNAs, [18, 19] but also regulate the 3’UTR region who harboured the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). SNP is the most common human genetic variations, have been proved to be significantly related to the occurrence of diseases including gastric cancer [20]. More and more studies have provided evidences that SNPs located in the miRNA (miRSNPs) binding sites through affecting the binding of miRNAs with the target genes resulted in reduction or increase in the target mRNA translation, and thus being associated with the susceptibility to cancers [21, 22].

In this study, we focused on the SNPs in the 3’UTR of IGF-1 which has rarely been reported before. By using the bioinformatics software (http://www.bioguo.org/miRNASNP/), we obtained all the SNPs which could regulate by different miRNAs as candidate SNPs and further investigated the allele distribution in a case–control study.

Materials and Methods

Study subjects

The hospital-based case-control study consists of 580 patients newly diagnosed with GC and 673 cancer-free controls. All the subjects were genetically unrelated Han Chinese recruited from the Chinese Traditional medicine hospital of Jiangyin (Nanjing, China), January 2010 and September 2014. Patients with other hematological disorders, previous history of cancers, radiotherapy and chemotherapy were excluded. The cancer-free control subjects from the same geographic area showed no evidence of genetic relationship with the cases. The patients were classified according to World Health Organization classification. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Chinese Traditional medicine hospital of Jiangyin, and every patient had written informed consent.

Genotype

We extracted genomic DNA from peripheral whole blood of every validation subject by using QIAamp DNA blood mini kits (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Genotyping was performed with the TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay. The PCR reactions were carried out in a total volume of 5 mL containing TaqMan Universal Master Mix, 80X SNP Genotyping AssayMix, Dnase-free water and 10-ng genomic DNA. The PCR conditions were 2 min at 50uC, 10 min at 95uC, followed by 40 cycles at 95 for 15 sec and 60uC for 1 min. The 384-well ABI 7900HT Real Time PCR System.
Real time PCR assay

Real time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed to determine whether the C to T mutation changed the expression level of IGF-1. The amplification conditions were 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 40 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds, and finally 4°C for 30 minutes for cooling.

Cell lines and cell culture

GC cell lines and SGC-7901 and MKN-45 were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Sciences Cell Bank. All cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) and grown in humidified 5% CO₂ at 37°C. MiR-603 mimics and normal control were obtained from Genepharma (Shanghai, China). The transfection was conducted by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen Corp, CA, USA).

Prediction of miRNAs binding to the SNP

Based on our bioinformatics analysis by using the bioinformatics software (http://www.bioguo.org/miRNAsNP/) to predict the related SNPs in the 3'UTR of IGF-1 which could regulated by different miRNAs.

Construction of luciferase-based reporter plasmids

All the fragment of the 3'UTR containing either C or T allele was amplified. The PCR production was cloned into the pGL3-promoterless luciferase-based plasmid (Promega) at the cloning site between KpnI and XhoI. The amplified fragment was verified by DNA sequencing.

Dual-luciferase reporter assay

The 3'-UTR sequence of IGF-1 predicted to interact with miR-603 or a mutated sequence with the predicted target sites were inserted into the KpnI and XhoI sites of pGL3 promoter vector (Genscript, Nanjing, China). For reporter assay, cells were plated onto 24-well plates and transfected with 100 ng of pGL3-IGF-1 wild, pGL3-IGF-1 mutant and miR-603 mimics, respectively by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen Corp, CA, USA). A Renilla luciferase vector pRL-SV40 (5 ng) was also co-transfected to normalize the differences in transfection efficiency. Transfection was repeated three times in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

The association between rs5742714 and rs6218 genotypes and the risk of GC was evaluated by calculating the odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. Stratification analysis was performed according to the clinical characteristic and risk classification to determine the genotype distribution in cases and controls as well as their association with the risk of GC. The difference of the expression levels of IGF-1 with three genotypes and the difference of the relative luciferase activities between the wild and mutant genotype were evaluated by independent-sample t test. All statistical tests were two-sided and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 13.0 (SPSS Ltd.) and SAS software (version 9.1.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The graphs were generated by Graphpad Prism 5.0 (Graphpad Software, Inc.).

Results

Subject characteristics

The characteristics of the 570 GC patients and 673 healthy controls are summarized. No statistically significant differences were observed between cases and controls in terms of sex and age (both P>0.05). This indicates that the frequency matching was adequate. Patients suffering from smoking exposure indicated to be the susceptible population by comparing with controls (Table 1).

The miRSNPs in the IGF-1 gene 3'UTR

In order to investigate the miRNA associated SNPs in the 3'UTR of IGF-1, we first found all the SNPs from the SNP databases NCBI db SNP Build 129 and ENSEMBL v58 in the
3'-UTR of IGF-1 gene with the minor allele frequency (MAF)>0.05. We then used bioinformatic softwares Diana-Micro, MicroInspector, miranda, mirNASNP, RNAhybrid to predict miRNAs that can bind to the IGF-1 3'-UTR. The miNASNP database was also applied to explore the miRNAs which could also binding to the 3'-UTR of patients harbored the SNP. As shown in Table 2. Finally, we obtained 2 SNPs in the 3'UTR which could be regulated by three different miRNAs (miR-603, miR-580 and miR-3941). The positions of the SNPs in IGF-1 as well as the variants were listed. Further genotyping was performed to detect the distribution of allele gene of the 3 SNPs in our research.

Correlation of rs5742714 and rs6218 with gastric cancer

Interestingly, two SNPs (rs5742714 and rs6218) indicated a significant difference in GC patients respectively; as listed in Table 3, Chi-square statistical analysis results showed that the genotypes of rs5742714 were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium distribution pattern in the healthy control group (P=0.0092). Further, logistic regression analysis results revealed that The GC genotype and CC genotype presented a significant decreased risk of GC as compared with the GG genotype. The IGF1 rs6128 U allele was also shown to be a risk allele (P=0.0041). Logistic regression analyses indicated that individuals with the rs6128 AC genotype...
pe was significantly associated with gastric cancer risk (OR=1.18, 95% CI=1.45-1.79). Individuals having the rs6128 CC genotype had an OR of 1.90 (95% CI=1.36-1.79) for GC compared with individuals having the rs6128 CC genotype. All ORs were adjusted for sex, age, and smoking status, drinking history or family cancer history.

The effect of rs5742714 and rs6128 on the regulatory role of miRNAs in IGF-1 expression

Since the SNP rs5742714 and rs6128 were predicted to locate in the binding site of miR-508 and miR-603 or miR-3941, respectively. We hypothesized that the expression of IGF-1 might be regulated by these microRNAs, which can be impacted by rs5742714 and rs6128. To test whether or not the inhibitory role of these miRNAs impacted by the two SNPs, we first detected the expression level of IGF-1 expression level in patients harbored the GG, GC and CC genotypes as well as the patients with UU, UC and CC genotypes. We found that patients with UC or CC genotypes presented a significantly increased level of IGF-1 by comparing with the patients with UU genotype; however there was no difference in rs5742714 which has GG, GC and CC genotypes (Fig. 1A, 2A). We then constructed pGL3 vectors including the allele-specific binding sequences (Fig. 1B, 2B and 3A), and then co-transfected it with miR-508, miR-603 or miR-3941 as well as the controls in GC cell lines including SGC-7901 and MKN45. As presented in Fig. 1C and 1D, we found that the expression of C-allele-specific of both pGL3 construct was not significantly suppressed by miR-508 on rs5742714 as well as C allele-specific mutation on rs6128 by miR-3941 (Fig. 1C,D and Fig. 3B,C) However, the IGF-1 promoter activity were significantly suppressed by miR-603 by C-allele-specific mutation on rs6128. These findings suggested that the inhibitory affection of the UC or CC genotype of IGF-1 might be regulated by miR-603.

Stratified analyses of association between IGF-1 polymorphism and GC risk

Then, we did stratified analysis of the association of the rs6218 genotypes with the clinicopathological parameters of GC (Table 4). We found a significant association of the...
Fig. 2. SNP rs6218 in 3’UTR of GC patients with UC/CC genotype can up-regulate of IGF-1 transcription by deregulated by miR-603. A: The expression level of IGF-1 was determined by RT-PCR in patients with UU, UC and CC genotypes. B: Bioinformatics predicted the binding site between the miR-603 with IGF-1 and the mutation types were conducted into the pGL3 plasmid as presented. C: Cells were co-transfected with miR-603 mimics or control, Renilla luciferase vector pRL-SV40 for 48 h. Both firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured in the same sample. Firefly luciferase signals were normalized with Renilla luciferase signals. Left panel indicated the SGC-7901 cell line while the right indicated MNK45 cell lines. Data was presented as the mean ± SEM. * indicates a significant difference (P<0.05).

Fig. 3. miR-3941 could not regulate 3’-UTR of IGF-1 of patients with UC/CC genotypes of SNP rs6218. A: Bioinformatics predicted the binding site between the miR-3941 with IGF-1 and the mutation types were conducted into the pGL3 plasmid as presented. C: Cells were co-transfected with miR-3941 mimics or control, Renilla luciferase vector pRL-SV40 for 48 h. Both firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured in the same sample. Firefly luciferase signals were normalized with Renilla luciferase signals. Left panel indicated the SGC-7901 cell line while the right indicated MNK45 cell lines. Data was presented as the mean ± SEM. * indicates a significant difference (P<0.05).
rs6128 genotypes with the tumor size, and tumor metastasis. Compared with the UU homozygote, the carriers of UC and CC genotype presented a small tumor size as well as the low probability of metastasis.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the relationship between miRSNPs within the 3'-UTR of IGF-1 gene and the risk of GC. We observed that IGF-1 rs2866943 CT or TT genotype is associated with significantly decreased GC risk compared with CC genotype and the increased risk of CC and AC genotypes comparing with AA genotype of SNP rs6029959. We further found that the SNP rs2866943, locating in the binding sites of miR-218 through disrupting the inhibitory role of miR-218 on IGF-1 expression, played an important role in the development of GC.

IGF1 was found to be SNP and related to clinical features of many malignancies including prostate cancer, breast cancer, cervical cancer, etc. Early in 2007, variation in the 5'-untranslated region (UTR) of the IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 genes may be influencing IGF serum levels and prostate cancer risk in African-Americans [23]. SNP C>T at the -383 position of P1 promoter may be one of the helpful prognostic markers in the diagnosis of cervical cancer development of women with persistent infection in the ectocervical epithelium. And IGF1 rs2946834 polymorphism is associated with clinical outcome of HER2-positive breast cancer patients. However, no snp reports can be found about gene polymorphisms of IGF-1 was related to gastric cancer, however, the overexpression of IGF-1 was found to be seriously related to carcinogenesis and metastasis of gastric cancer [13, 14, 24, 25]. We investigated that there is two snp locis in the 3'UTR region of IGF1 and could potentially bind to three miRNAs, and after further investigation, the mutation of rs6218 can effectively affect the binding of miR-603 to 3'UTR region of IGF-1 can affect the activity of promoter of IGF-1 can further increase the transcription of IGF-1, which can serve as one of the epigenic factors of increased production of IGF-1 in human gastric cancer.

In summary, we reported the first evidence that the SNP rs5742714 and rs6218 in IGF-1 3'-UTR was involved in the occurrence of GC by acting as a protective factor. SNP rs6218 was also could be regulated by miR-603 which caused a up-regulation of IGF-1 in patients with UC and CC genotype. This SNP was also found to be related to the clinicopathological features of GC, suggesting it may have important roles in promoting tumor development. Our results support the hypothesis that genetic variants interrupting miRNAs mediated regulation tumor suppressors would be involved in gastric cancer etiology.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feather</th>
<th>UU</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>UU vs UC P Value</th>
<th>UU vs CC P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 60</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Size(cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤3cm</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3cm</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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