Bilateral Vestibular Hypofunction: Challenges in Establishing the Diagnosis in Adults
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Abstract
Bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH) probably represents a heterogeneous disorder with different types of clinical pictures, with and without vertigo. In spite of increasingly sophisticated electrophysiological testing, still many challenges are met when establishing a diagnosis of BVH. Here, we review the main challenges, which are a reflection of its often difficult clinical presentation and the lack of diagnostic standards regarding the implementation and interpretation of vestibular tests. These challenges show that there is an urgent need for standardization. The resulting decisions should be used for the development of uniform diagnostic criteria for BVH, which are, at present, not yet available.

Introduction

Vestibular Disorders and Diagnosis
Vertigo and dizziness are frequently encountered in outpatient practices, affecting up to 36% of the population [1]. However, even the more common vestibular diagnoses such as benign paroxysmal positional vertigo and vestibular migraine are often under- or misdiag-
The difficulty of making the right vestibular diagnosis is reflected in the fact that in some populations, more than one third of the patients with a vestibular disease consult more than one physician [3] – in some cases up to more than fifteen [4]. It is necessary to have a correct diagnosis, since an incorrect diagnosis of a vestibular disease may eventually result in increased health care utilization and chronicity [3].

Bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH), currently a less common vestibular diagnosis, is also often under- or misdiagnosed [5, 6]. It poses a diagnostic challenge [7]. Even in the literature, reported prevalence rates vary from 28 to 81 per 100,000 people [6, 8], and the percentages of BVH found in patients who underwent electronystagmography vary from 0.6 to 13.6% [5, 9–11]. This article will discuss the challenges and pitfalls a physician meets when diagnosing BVH.

**What Is BVH?**

BVH is characterized by reduced or absent function of both vestibular organs, the vestibular nerves or a combination of both [12], which results in impairment or loss of the major functions of the vestibular organs: gaze stabilization, maintaining balance, postural control and spatial orientation [13]. The best-known symptoms are oscillopsia (blurred vision), chronic disequilibrium, postural instability and impaired spatial orientation [14–16]. Dandy [17] was the first to describe BVH in 1941, after performing a bilateral vestibular neurectomy for Menière's disease. Nowadays, this symptom complex is known to have many

---

**Table 1. Etiologies of BVH [12, 18 – 44]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic (51%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic/metabolic (13 – 21%)</td>
<td>Antibiotics, furosemide, cisplatin, aspirin, alcohol, vitamin B12 deficiency, folate deficiency, hypothyroidism, styrene poisoning, combination of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug plus penicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious (3.8 – 12%)</td>
<td>Meningitis/encephalitis/cerebellitis, lues, Behçet’s disease, Borrelia infection, herpes simplex virus infection, bilateral neuritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune (10%)</td>
<td>Cogan's syndrome, Susac's syndrome, sarcoidosis, Wegener's granulomatosis, Sjögren's syndrome, colitis, celiac disease, polyarteritis nodosa, antiphospholipid syndrome, other systemic diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurodegenerative</td>
<td>CANVAS, superficial siderosis, episodic ataxia, multiple system atrophy, polyneuropathy, SCA3, SCA6, hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy type IV, other ataxias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic</td>
<td>DFNA-9, DFNA-11, DFNA-15, DFNB-4, mutation chromosome 5q, 6q, 11q, 22q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Supra- or infratentorial lesions, vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplastic</td>
<td>Bilateral vestibular schwannoma, neurofibromatosis type 2, metastasis of lymphoma, malignant tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>Head trauma, iatrogenic (e.g. bilateral cochlear implantation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ear pathology</td>
<td>Bilateral Menière's disease, otosclerosis, bilateral labyrinthitis, cholesteatoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital/syndromal</td>
<td>CHARGE, Usher, Turner, enlarged vestibular aqueduct, Alport syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Presbyvertigo, vestibular atelectasis, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCA = Spinocerebellar ataxia; CHARGE = coloboma, heart defects, atresia of the choanae, retardation of growth and development, genital and urinary abnormalities, ear abnormalities and/or hearing loss.
causes, and BVH probably represents a functionally heterogeneous disorder with different combined or isolated deficits of the semicircular canals and/or otolith organs [18]. Most of the etiologies described are presented in table 1 [12, 18–44]. However, its etiology still remains unclear in approximately 50% of all cases [7, 19].

Challenges in Establishing a Diagnosis of BVH

Challenge One: Recognizing the Impact of BVH

The impact of BVH on quality of life is still controversial, and the handicap is not always recognized [8, 21, 45]. Even the recent literature still reports on patients who underwent a bilateral vestibular neurectomy [46]. Although effects on different aspects of life are not as yet completely well defined, increasing evidence shows that BVH affects different aspects of life significantly [6, 8, 46]. Dizziness handicap inventory scores indicate that 44% of patients perceive the handicap due to BVH to be severe, while 41% view it as a moderate handicap [8]. Quality of life is not only decreased with regard to vision or ambulation dimensions, but also concerning functional and emotional dimensions [46]. Therefore, physical activity, social functioning and vitality decrease [6, 8]; 55% of BVH patients miss school or work, and 75% are on disability. Besides an increased fear of falling, there is a 31-fold increased risk of falling [6]. It can be concluded that BVH not only substantially degrades quality of life but also imposes a socioeconomic burden on society [46].

If BVH occurs already early in life (e.g. via meningitis in childhood), it can impair the development of visual and somatosensory effectiveness in postural control due to its multimodal sensory interdependence [47]. Bilateral deficits in young children have been shown to lead to a delayed development of walking and postural control, delayed oculomotor control and learning difficulties [47, 48]. Recognizing the impact of BVH emphasizes the need to make an accurate diagnosis and helps to understand the other symptoms associated with BVH [47, 49].

Challenge Two: Recognizing the Symptoms of BVH

Unlike when losing other sensory modalities such as vision, hearing or smell, symptoms of vestibular disorders are not always recognized by patients and physicians. Descriptions of the quality or type of dizziness have been found to be unclear, inconsistent and unreliable [50]. For BVH, this may be due to several reasons.

Firstly, due to the heterogeneous origin of the disease, four different types of clinical pictures have been described: (1) recurrent vertigo and BVH – patients have episodes of vertigo occurring over several years, followed by symptoms of vestibular hypofunction; (2) slowly progressive BVH – patients have a gradual onset of symptoms of vestibular hypofunction, without any episodes of vertigo; (3) rapidly progressive BVH – patients have a sudden onset or a rapid progression of symptoms of vestibular hypofunction, with or without episodes of vertigo (this can be seen e.g. in autoimmune disorders or as an effect of vestibulotoxic medication), and (4) BVH with other neurological deficits, such as cerebellar ataxia and neuropathy – symptoms of BVH are combined with neurological symptoms. These four types show a broad variety of clinical pictures, and it is clear that vertigo does not have to be a symptom of BVH. Also, hearing loss or tinnitus does not regularly accompany BVH. While patients with associated episodes of vertigo or hearing loss might seek medical attention early in their clinical course, other patients may have subtle and poorly recognized symptoms, leading to a delay in diagnosis [12, 19, 38, 41, 42].

Secondly, patients are often not aware that they have vestibular organs, until they start to fail. Vestibular controlled gaze stabilization and postural adjustments are reflexes (ves-
tibulo-ocular, vestibulocollic and vestibulospinal) and go unnoticed. This is why vestibular sensation is not included in the five vernacular senses (hearing, vision, smell, taste and touch) [51]. Also, when the vestibular organs fail, nonlabyrinthine inputs to the vestibular nuclei are enhanced, partially filling the gap left by the failing residual labyrinthine input, with sensory substitution [52]. Accurately defining the symptoms of vestibular failure can become more difficult, especially since vertigo does not have to be the presenting symptom [8, 53]. The main symptoms of BVH will now be explained in detail.

**Oscillopsia**

BVH leads to a reduced or absent vestibulo-ocular reflex [14]. Normally, gaze is stabilized by the vestibulo-ocular reflex, which compensates head rotations with equal eye rotations to the opposite direction. In BVH, the vestibulo-ocular reflex is deficient, which leads to the eyes moving along with the head, forcing the patient to make a catch-up saccade [54]. Failure of gaze stabilization leads to excessive motion of images of stationary objects upon the retina during head movements, impairing vision. The illusion of movement of the seen world is called oscillopsia [55]. BVH patients may complain of blurred vision during high-frequency head movements [56]. From our experience we noticed that not all patients are able to recognize that oscillopsia due to BVH only occurs during high-frequency head movements. Therefore, some patients first go to the ophthalmologist to have their vision checked. Unfortunately, visual acuity is often measured in a static condition (without any head movements) and not in a dynamic condition (with head movements). As a result, oscillopsia is often not detected by ophthalmologists. However, it can be detected by testing visual acuity in dynamic conditions, using the test for dynamic visual acuity (DVA) [57], which will be explained in the section Challenge Three: Quantifying BVH.

Not all patients with BVH complain of oscillopsia. Percentages of BVH patients suffering from oscillopsia vary from 25 to 86%, and the degree of subjective complaints is not directly correlated with the severity of BVH as measured with objective tests [5, 7, 10]. Probably, mechanisms other than the vestibulo-ocular reflex may play a role in gaze stabilization during head movements [58].

Having these aspects in mind, oscillopsia can be difficult to acknowledge for patients as well as physicians. Moreover, not having oscillopsia does not rule out bilateral vestibulopathy.

**Imbalance**

BVH patients typically complain of unsteadiness or imbalance. Postural control and spatial orientation depend on vestibular, visual and proprioceptive inputs and on internal estimates based on motor efference. Due to failure of the vestibulospinal reflex in BVH, the multisensory process of postural control is hindered [5, 7, 21, 22, 59]. Especially fast corrections become impaired, and the accuracy of gravity detection decreases. This leads to unsteadiness or imbalance during locomotion and to an increase in falls. Compensation is partially attempted by relying more on the remaining inputs and estimates [60–62]. Therefore, unsteadiness or imbalance increases when the other inputs are challenged, e.g. while walking in the dark or on uneven surfaces [6, 19, 22]. Imbalance and unsteadiness can also occur merely as the result of high-frequency head movements, due to failure of gaze stabilization. BVH patients may report a sensation of the 'image lagging behind' when the head is turned fast (e.g. while taking care crossing the street), which can result in imbalance or unsteadiness [7]. Due to several factors, including the above-mentioned compensation and sometimes a slow progression of disease, unsteadiness or imbalance can be subtle in some patients, not being the key symptom of presentation. This can interfere with making the right diagnosis.
Visual Vertigo

BVH patients rely more on other sensory inputs such as vision [62–64]. However, an increased visual dependence can result in symptoms of vertigo that are provoked or aggravated by specific visual contexts (e.g. supermarkets, movement of objects, driving, crowded places, scrolling down a computer screen, moving windshield wipers). This is called 'visual vertigo'. Patients suffering from visual vertigo have been shown to have abnormally large perceptual and postural responses to disorienting visual environments. This could reflect a difficulty in resolving a visually induced sensory conflict between visual and vestibuloproprioceptive inputs as a result of an increased visual dependence [13, 49, 62]. Unfortunately, many vestibular patients are diagnosed with a pure psychological disorder as a cause of these symptoms [65]. It is therefore important to recognize visual vertigo as a possible symptom of vestibulopathy.

Cognitive Deficits

BVH patients often suffer from cognitive deficits such as difficulty concentrating, being in a 'brain fog' or being more tired [8, 52, 66]. Since patients are continuously compensating and trying to avoid imbalance and falling, walking, for instance, is prioritized over secondary tasks such as cognitive ones. It is often said that a patient 'stops walking when talking' [67, 68]. Also spatial learning and memory are affected by loss of labyrinthine input, probably influenced by the hippocampus, which is subject to functional and structural changes [52, 69, 70]. A bilateral atrophy of the hippocampus was found in 17% of a BVH population, which correlated with spatial memory deficits [71, 72]. The anterior hippocampus is also critically involved in emotional processes. Therefore, the hippocampus could be one of the main structures in which the cognitive and emotional effects of vestibular loss interact [73, 74]. Other parts of the brain show changes in resting-state connectivity due to BVH, which may also account for the persistent deficits in visuospatial attention and spatial orientation as well as unsteadiness [63]. In other words, cognitive deficits can be related to vestibulopathy and should not be disregarded while taking the history of a patient.

Psychological or Psychiatric Symptoms

The chronic disequilibrium as well as difficulty performing routine daily activities as a result of BVH can have a psychological impact [3, 8, 46]. This is shown by a high prevalence of psychiatric symptoms among vertiginous patients [75, 76]. For instance, BVH patients more often report autonomic symptoms and somatic anxiety [49]. Besides those, psychiatric conditions such as depression could play a confounding role in the reported health status of patients [46]. In the chronic phase, it is mainly the psychiatric disorders which worsen the clinical picture along a more disabling and debilitating course, not the vertigo symptoms [76]. Taking these factors into account, BVH and psychological and psychiatric symptoms coexist and interfere with each other. Therefore, having a patient with mainly psychological or psychiatric symptoms in addition to dizziness does not directly exempt a physician from performing a vestibular workup.

Neurological Symptoms

BVH may be associated with neurological diseases, such as neurodegenerative diseases [e.g. spinocerebellar ataxia, multiple system atrophy, CANVAS (cerebellar ataxia, neuropathy and vestibular areflexia syndrome)], infectious diseases (e.g. meningitis, encephalitis, cerebellitis), neoplasms, vascular lesions, and others (table 1). Up to 39% of BVH patients may have a vestibular deficit combined with a neurological disorder [12, 20]. In some cases, BVH may precede cerebellar ataxia. Often, BVH is underdiagnosed in cerebellar disorders, probably partly because cerebellar and vestibular disorders have overlapping signs and symptoms [22,
Vestibular disorders may even be improperly diagnosed as a cerebellar syndrome [12]. Therefore, if imbalance is in excess of that expected for the severity of the neurological disorder, one should consider a coexisting BVH [23].

**Autonomic Symptoms**

With the vestibulosympathetic reflex, the peripheral vestibular system also has widespread effects on homeostatic regulatory physiology [77]. It has projections to sites involved in the central regulation of respiratory and cardiovascular activity (blood pressure and heart rate) as well as to sites that mediate the affective and emotional aspects of vestibuloinnervation [77, 78]. Therefore, BVH can, for instance, lead to orthostatic hypotension and to a disturbance in the association between vertigo and panic [77, 79].

**Challenge Three: Quantifying BVH**

For several reasons, BVH is a diagnostic challenge. Firstly, each test has its own limitations in terms of sensitivity, specificity, patient acceptance, costs and duration, and there is still no consensus about diagnostic criteria for BVH [7]. Secondly, since BVH probably represents a functionally heterogeneous disorder with different combined or isolated deficits of the vestibular system, different results from laboratory tests can be expected for different types of BVH [18, 80, 81]. Thirdly, the output parameters of laboratory tests such as the caloric test, rotatory chair tests and (video) head impulse testing [(V)HIT] show a considerable overlap between patients and healthy subjects [82]. Fourthly, clinical vestibular testing primarily measures reflexes [e.g. caloric test, rotatory chair tests, vestibular evoked myogenic potentials (VEMPs)], while perceptual thresholds are not yet routinely used to evaluate vestibular disorders [81]. However, they might be better correlated with complaints [81]. These tests could complement the standard vestibular testing battery used in clinical practice. The main examinations for determining BVH will now be discussed.

**Neuro-Otological and Vestibular Physical Examination**

A complete and thorough neuro-otological and vestibular examination is necessary to find any signs of vestibular hypofunction or any neurological diseases, particularly ataxia. During the neuro-otological assessment, one should pay especially close attention to the oculomotor examination, since abnormal oculomotor findings may be the only or first presenting central signs that may explain the vestibular symptoms [83]. The oculomotor examination is best performed before inducing the substantial head movements that are typical for some major components of the vestibular examination. The vestibular examination includes the Dix-Hallpike and the lateral roll test, positional testing, (V)HIT, the test for DVA, the visually enhanced vestibulo-ocular reflex test, fixation suppression, the Valsalva maneuver (straining against the closed glottis and blowing out against pinched nostrils), the head shake test, the vibration test, the hyperventilation test and the Romberg test on foam rubber or in tandem [84, 85]. The Romberg test mainly diagnoses ataxia and is not specific for a vestibular loss, since it also detects cerebellar and proprioceptive impairment [84, 86]. However, the sensitivity for detecting vestibular deficits increases when the patient stands on foam rubber [87]. The Romberg test on foam rubber has a sensitivity of up to 79% and a specificity of up to 80% for detecting both patients with unilateral and those with bilateral vestibular loss [84, 88]. Although abnormalities in the other vestibular tests during physical examination can be found [85, 89], this review will not focus on them, since the main challenges for diagnosing and quantifying BVH are not encountered in these tests, except for HIT and the test for DVA; they will be discussed separately below.
Head Impulse Testing

A brief, high-acceleration head ‘impulse’ can test vestibular function of all semicircular canals. Depending on the semicircular canal tested, the head is rotated in a different direction [90, 91]. A corrective catch-up saccade is made in case of vestibular hypofunction. HIT can be performed with or without the use of a noninvasive video-oculography device (i.e. VHIT). This device consists of goggles that contain a high-speed infrared video camera that tracks eye movements and accelerometers that track head movements [92].

Although applying HIT may sound simple at first, some challenges are met when performing it. The first challenge is to adequately deliver the stimulus: it should be a high-acceleration (1,000–6,000°/s²), rapid (100–200°/s), low-amplitude (10–20°) head rotation. When using VHIT, one should pay attention by avoiding a loose strap, wrong calibration, pupil tracking loss, (mini-)blinks, touching the goggles, patient inattention and investigator-induced bounce; if these are not avoided, they will result in artifacts [93].

The second challenge is not to be fooled by preprogrammed compensatory saccades (‘covert saccades’) that can be invisible to the naked eye of the examiner and can occur (not only) in BVH patients. Consequently, BVH may be missed [94]. A recent study by Strupp et al. indicated that HIT observed by the naked eye of experts is false negative for about 50% of the patients when compared to VHIT [pers. commun. H.K. with Michael Strupp]. This clearly supports the use of the VHIT device, which is able to track these saccades. Examples of normal and abnormal VHIT recordings with overt and covert saccades are presented in figure 1a–c.

The third challenge is to correctly interpret the traces. VHIT traces can have many artifacts, leading up to 42% of uninterpretable traces [93]. Besides these artifacts, eye movements in patients with a vestibular hypofunction can show patterns that challenge interpretations. Ideally, vestibulo-ocular reflex gain is calculated by peak eye velocity divided by peak head velocity [82]. However, artifacts and abnormal patterns distort the process of correct gain calculation, and commercially available software is not yet able to adequately compensate for it. An example of an eye movement pattern that interferes with gain calculation is presented in figure 2. In order not to miss a BVH, a physician should not yet solely rely on software processing for gain calculation, but should be trained in assessing the raw data and should be aware of the impact of deviant eye movement patterns and measurement artifacts [93].

The fourth challenge is to correctly interpret the end result. HIT provides a stimulus for measuring gain of the vestibulo-ocular reflex which is different from those used in other vestibular tests such as the rotatory chair tests or the caloric test; it includes many more high-frequency components than the rotatory chair tests and the caloric test. Differences in response to the caloric test versus the rotation tests versus HIT are especially pointing to this difference in frequency content. It has been shown that a bilateral vestibular loss can be measured with the caloric test, while the responses as measured with HIT are relatively preserved [95, 96]. In other words, it is necessary to understand that the presence of a normal vestibulo-ocular reflex as measured with HIT does not rule out a vestibular deficiency.

Dynamic Visual Acuity

During head movements, efficient stabilization of the image on the retina is necessary to preserve visual acuity [8]. In BVH patients, gaze stabilization fails and can lead to significant deterioration in visual acuity during head movements [97, 98]. Visual acuity in dynamic conditions can be assessed by testing for DVA. DVA testing can be performed in many ways: the patient has to read letters from a visual acuity chart or a computer screen during active or passive, vertical or horizontal head movements, or while walking on a treadmill at different velocities [56, 99]. Passive high-angular-velocity movements (150°/s) have been shown to be most useful for discrimination between healthy subjects and patients with a unilateral or bilateral vestibular loss. However, that study did not include DVA testing by walking on a
Fig. 1. Raw VHIT recordings of different subjects, recorded with the EyeSeeCam system (EyeSeeCam VOG; EyeSeeCam, Munich, Germany). Head velocity traces are shown in gray, eye velocity traces in black. 

a VHIT recordings of head impulses to the right in a healthy subject. The eye movements compensate for the passive head movements.

b VHIT recordings of head impulses to the left in a patient with a peripheral vestibular deficit, resulting in overt saccades (peaks in eye velocity after head movements). The eye movements do not compensate for the passive head movements.

c VHIT recordings of head impulses to the right in a patient with a peripheral vestibular deficit, mainly resulting in covert saccades (peaks in eye velocity during head movements).
A decline of more than 2 lines on the optotype chart is considered abnormal [101], although a loss of 2 lines (0.2 logMAR) is not unusual for healthy subjects. In order to trade sensitivity for specificity, 4 lines may be required [12]. Moreover, DVA may show false-negative results due to mechanisms that at least partially compensate for the retinal instability during head movements [84, 100]. However, in subjects with unilateral and bilateral vestibular loss, computerized DVA testing reached a sensitivity of 94.5% and a specificity of 95.2% [102]. In another group of BVH patients, DVA was impaired in 96% of the cases [7]. To conclude, DVA can help establishing the diagnosis of BVH, but a normal DVA does not definitely rule out BVH, and an impaired DVA does not imply vestibular hypofunction per se. It is still not understood by which specific vestibular deficits (which semicircular canals, which otolith organs and which frequencies) DVA decreases.

Caloric Test
The caloric test, first described by Barany, is believed to evaluate the low-frequency part (0.003 Hz) of the horizontal semicircular canal function, which is much lower than the frequency spectrum of natural head movements. This, together with the fact that the caloric stimulus is monaural, implies that the test is considered a nonphysiological vestibular test [7, 103–105]. On the other hand, the caloric test is the only widely used clinical test that exclusively stimulates only one side, in contrast to HIT and all other head rotation tests. Based on extensive research in the previous century, the caloric response is believed to be induced by convection [106], aspecific thermic stimulation of hair cells [107] and endolymph expansion [108].

Many challenges are met when using the caloric test for diagnosing BVH. Firstly, it should be performed in a standardized way, since, in order to get reproducible results, all parameters have to be optimized. Therefore, if possible, one should stop medication that influences the vestibular response (e.g. vestibulosuppressants, some antidepressants). Furthermore, the
room must be completely dark, preventing the patient from being able to visually suppress the elicited vestibulo-ocular reflex, and calibration must be performed prior to each irrigation. A 5-min stimulus interval should be kept between successive irrigations to reduce the residual effects of the previous irrigation. At each irrigation of preferably 30 s, the stimulus must have the same characteristics: the same total volume of at least 250 ml water and the same temperatures for cold and warm irrigations (30 and 44 °C, respectively) [54, 109]. A 1-degree variation in temperature from the intended 30 or 44 °C can already result in a 14% difference in stimulation magnitude [110, 111]. The required thermic stimulus is best achieved by the use of water and not by air [109, 112–114]. Statistically higher slow-component values of the vestibulo-ocular reflex are obtained for water than for air, and evidence shows that air has a poorer test-retest reliability and greater intersubject variability [115, 116]. Based on our extensive clinical experience in comparing air calorics to water calorics in many hundreds of patients, we advise using water calorics. However, responses to water calorics also show considerable test-retest variation and variability between healthy subjects [109]. In the past, responses were quantified by slow-phase velocity (in the culmination phase) of the caloric nystagmus, the maximum nystagmus frequency and the total number of nystagmus beats. The maximum slow-phase velocity at the time of maximum response (culmination phase) occurs generally about 50–60 s after the start of irrigation and is the preferred parameter to be determined. Ice water calorics is not preferred, since it can induce a pseudocaloric nystagmus by activating a latent spontaneous nystagmus [7, 117, 118], and the absence of an ice water response does not prove a complete vestibular areflexia, as was thought in the past. After all, it does not exclude normal vestibular responses to the rotatory chair tests or VHIT at all. Besides delivering the right stimulus, all tests should be performed by a trained, attentive and dedicated technician who is able to interpret results to a certain extent. The patient’s state of alertness is very important, since cortical activity influences the vestibulo-ocular reflex: the reflex is inhibited by drowsiness. The technician should therefore keep the patient aroused e.g. by asking him/her to perform mental tasks or to focus on the vestibular sensation of rotation. If during irrigation the patient has not been attentive enough, it has to be repeated [54, 119]. If not repeated, the measured vestibulo-ocular reflex may be lower than in case of optimal alertness, which could lead to a false-positive diagnosis of vestibular hypofunction.

The second challenge is to have the right frame of reference regarding caloric test outcomes. Therefore, a vestibular laboratory must obtain its own up-to-date normative data, since in the literature it has been shown that, due to local factors, caloric test outcomes may vary widely between laboratories [54, 109]. The average maximum slow-component velocity varies between laboratories from 14.9 to 29.7°/s for cold irrigations and from 12.1 to 30.9°/s for warm irrigations [54, 120–122]. These normative data will probably reveal a high variability among values. For example, in one vestibular laboratory, the 95% prediction interval of the average maximum slow-component velocity may vary from 3.4 to 32.9°/s for cold irrigations and from 6.9 to 55.0°/s for warm irrigations. There is as yet no unanimity among investigators about correcting values for age [123–126]. Also, the asymmetry between labyrinths may be up to 19%, and still be within the normal range [54]. This variability may partly be due to uncontrollable factors such as differences in anatomy of the temporal bone (differences in temperature conduction), blood flow and middle ear fluids – all the more reason to have controllable factors such as stimulus parameters and technical skills optimized and to absolutely avoid any visual suppression [54].

The third challenge is, again, to correctly interpret the values. For B VH, it is first of all important to not only look at the asymmetry. Some laboratories only report the asymmetry between ears, without reporting the total response. This could result in false-negative errors [12]. However, while it is necessary to take the total response into account, there is still no
consensus on the range of responses required for the diagnosis of BVH [7, 10, 118, 127]. A
criterion often suggested for diagnosing BVH is to have a sum of 4 irrigations that is less than
20°/s [7, 12, 18, 95]. While this is highly specific, it could still lead to false-positive results
(partly due to the anatomical variations mentioned above) and also, very importantly, to
false-negative results. The sum of 4 irrigations in one laboratory can already vary from 27 to
169°/s [54]. This implies that using a sum of less than 20°/s will possibly lead to ‘milder’
types of BVH being missed. One of the main problems with the caloric test is the fact that a physician
will hardly ever know what would have been the initial response values of a patient for the
calic test. A patient often visits a physician for the first time, when vestibular complaints
are already present. It is therefore not known when the measured response is low, whether
it is a reflection of already induced vestibular damage or just the physiological initial response.
This remains a challenge. Depending on the criteria for BVH, some authors show that the
calic test only has a sensitivity of 64.6%. This could be the result of highly specific criteria,
anatomical differences or measuring a nonphysiological stimulus, but it could also be due to
the fact that only the lateral semicircular canal is tested by the caloric test [81]. Other parts
of the vestibular system are not tested, such as the remaining semicircular canals and the
otolith organs.

To summarize, using the caloric test for diagnosing BVH is challenging, due to the high
standards necessary for testing and difficult interpretation as a result of inter- and intrasu-
bject variation for which the present diagnostic criteria for BVH are not always sufficient.
When the high testing standards are not adhered to, and the inter- and intrasubject variability
is not taken into account, this will lead to unnecessary false-positive and false-negative diag-
noses of BVH.

Rotatory Chair Tests

Rotatory chair tests could demonstrate residual vestibular function in patients with
severe BVH, when (almost) no vestibular response is measured with the caloric test [128–
130]. It can also provide additional data about central processing of vestibular input from
both labyrinths [54]. Two frequently used algorithms are the sinusoidal harmonic acceler-
atation test (SHAT) and the velocity step test (VST) [131]. The SHAT is often promoted as a real
multifrequency rotation test. However, compared to the optimum frequency sensitivity of the
semicircular canals (ranging from about 0.1 to 10 Hz), the SHAT uses only low-frequency
stimuli ranging from 0.005 to a maximum of 0.64 Hz. Another complicating factor is that the
total SHAT takes considerable time. Therefore, the frequency response might be affected by
changes in alertness of the patient during the test.

The VST involves more high-frequency components compared to the SHAT (step function)
and comes closer to HIT. The first challenge when performing rotatory chair testing is to
conduct it in a standardized way. One should always stop medication that influences the
vestibular response, if possible. Furthermore, the room should be completely dark to avoid
fixation suppression and optokinetic stimuli. The patient must be alert, since alertness during
rotation increases the gain of the measured vestibulo-ocular reflex [54]. It is necessary to
have a well-trained, dedicated and attentive technician who is able to interpret results to a
certain extent. In this way the patient can be kept alert and measurements can be directly
repeated when suboptimal responses are encountered. If the patient is not alert and the tech-
nician does not recognize this, the measured vestibulo-ocular reflex may be lower than in
reality. This could result in a false-positive diagnosis of vestibular hypofunction. Many
vestibular laboratories prefer to have the eyes of the patient open during testing since closing
the eyes decreases gain of the vestibulo-ocular reflex [132]. For the VST, it is preferred to use
the first rotation for familiarization with the test to get responses as accurate as possible
[131].
The second challenge is to have the right frame of reference for the rotatory tests. Regarding gain of the vestibulo-ocular reflex, its values differ very much between vestibular laboratories for the SHAT as well as for the VST. It is therefore necessary for each vestibular laboratory to have its own normative data \[131, 133, 134\]. Furthermore, in the SHAT and the VST, gain is considered to be the most variable parameter between and within subjects, probably as a consequence of factors such as fatigue, alertness, stress and habituation \[119, 131, 135, 136\]. Gain is also reduced by the test itself; rotating in the dark is an artificial condition that reduces gain \[137, 138\]. Moreover, gain is frequency dependent: it increases to a certain extent with an increasing modulation frequency \[119, 139\]. Taking all these facts into account, normative data for a vestibular laboratory can vary widely: for the SHAT, a mean gain of 58.77% with a standard deviation of 13.98% (0.1 Hz, 50°/s peak velocity), and for the VST, a mean gain of 67.66% with a standard deviation of 18.14% (200°/s deceleration after a continuous velocity of 100°/s rotating to the right). However, it has been indicated that SHAT and VST gain parameters can be highly reliable, despite the fact that they are influenced by many other factors \[131\]. Regarding other parameters, directional preponderance can vary widely within one vestibular laboratory, up to a 95% prediction interval of 26% (0.05 Hz, 50°/s peak velocity) \[54\]. Parameters that are believed to be more consistent and reproducible are ‘phase’ in the SHAT and ‘time constant’ in the VST. They are not influenced by the arousal state of the patient \[131, 135, 140–142\]. The literature about the influence of sex differences on response parameters is not really consistent \[135\].

All these facts show that interpreting the results correctly is the last challenge when using the rotatory chair for diagnosing BVH. Some authors suggest that rotatory chair tests should be the gold standard \[12, 143\]. If any abnormalities are found in BVH patients, the strongest effects are often found at low frequencies, with a decrease in gain and an increase in phase \[12\]. However, depending on the criteria, only 53% of BVH patients show abnormal responses on the rotatory chair. This emphasizes the need for establishing a standardized protocol for the diagnosis of BVH patients. Until now, the modulation frequencies necessary to be tested and the cutoff criteria have not yet been established \[7, 144\]. As with caloric testing, a borderline low response, for instance, may be the result of damage due to a vestibular disorder or be just a physiological phenomenon. Without knowing the initial values of a patient, the etiology of the low response will remain questionable.

To summarize, use of the rotatory chair is challenging. In order to get reproducible and consistent results, a high standard for testing is necessary. Due to inter- and intrasubject variation in some parameters, interpretation of the results remains difficult and the diagnostic criteria for BVH are not yet established for this test. It seems that the rotatory chair can be used complementarily with other vestibular tests \[145\], but not as the only test in the diagnostic process of BVH.

Vestibular Evoked Myogenic Potentials

VEMPs are electromyogenic potentials elicited by high-intensity, transient acoustic stimuli and recorded from surface electrodes over tonically contracted muscles. Different types of VEMP are recorded from neck muscles [cervical VEMPs (cVEMPs)] or ocular muscles [ocular VEMPs (oVEMPs); for an overview, see Curthoys \[146\]], and both have been incorporated as part of the vestibular testing battery in many clinics worldwide. A major difference is that the oVEMP is a contralateral response, whereas the cVEMP is an ipsilateral response. This is shown in a study in which the oVEMP was absent on the contralateral side in patients with unilateral vestibular function, but present on the ipsilateral side \[147\]. Furthermore, the cVEMP is an inhibitory response and the oVEMP is excitatory, as shown in a single-motor unit recording study \[148\]. The more uncertain parts of the tests are related to the end organ
responsible for the response. It has been proposed that the oVEMP is mainly mediated by utricular stimulation, while the cVEMP is a saccular response [149].

In order to use VEMPs as a diagnostic tool, it is imperative to identify, understand, and when possible, control the pitfalls in VEMP testing. Firstly, it is important to realize that there is no standardized testing method, not for the cVEMP and even less so for the oVEMP [150, 151]. Many variables have been described to influence the outcome (patient position, electrode placement, frequency and intensity of the stimulus, etc.). Although general guidelines have been published [152], improvements are needed before VEMPs can be considered a reliable test. Since no standardized method is used, it is difficult to compare outcomes between studies. Therefore, it necessary for each laboratory to gather its own normative database from which pathological outcomes can be evaluated. This database should contain VEMP responses of healthy subjects of varying age groups, since both cVEMPs and oVEMPs show reduced outcomes with increasing age [153, 154].

Secondly, different VEMP outcome metrics can be used to assess vestibular function. Recent studies have described the use of the interaural asymmetry ratio to compare the left with the right ear in order to aid in identifying the affected ear in Menière’s disease [155]. In strictly unilateral diseases this could be a helpful outcome; however, when there is a suspicion or chance that both ears are affected, this ratio could underestimate the disease [156]. Therefore, in BVH this outcome measurement has little value. Peak-to-peak amplitude is another method of assessing the VEMP waveform, in which the distance between positive and negative peaks is measured. For cVEMPs as well as oVEMPs, the peak-to-peak amplitude changes when the vestibular apparatus is affected, and this response varies by the stimulus frequency [157]. Therefore, it is preferable to measure VEMPs with multiple stimulus frequencies [158, 159]. In most of the current literature, only a single measurement, made at one frequency, was used to assess VEMP response (mostly at 500 Hz), which substantially limits the sensitivity of the test. Peak-to-peak amplitude also co-varies with muscle contraction intensity, which can be a significant confounding variable. Recent studies have shown that normalization of the VEMP response during signal processing to correct for the muscle activity significantly reduces the variability in cVEMPs in healthy subjects [160]. Also, VEMP thresholds at multiple frequencies yield, at least in Menière’s disease patients, a more sensitive measure with less intersubject variability (in normals), further increasing the clinical utility of the cVEMP [van Tilburg et al., submitted paper]. Threshold measurements in oVEMPs have also been shown to differ between healthy and pathological subjects [161]. Furthermore, using only a present/absent criterion, the degree of damage to the otoliths is not measurable. A recent study showed that there was a significant decrease in cVEMP threshold in Menière’s disease patients when these patients were tested 2 times with at least 3 months between tests, suggesting a progressive decrease in otolith function [van Tilburg et al., submitted paper]. The unaffected ear showed no significant difference in threshold.

Thirdly, it is important to correctly interpret the results. Some studies use VEMPs in the evaluation of BVH; however, the application of VEMPs is often not optimal, making it difficult to interpret the results. Two papers described patients with absent cVEMPs and normal caloric responses, demonstrating a new subtype of idiopathic bilateral vestibulopathy called ‘dissociated bilateral vestibulopathy’ [11, 80]. However, some patients were older than 70 years, in which case age could also be a very likely (physiological) explanation for the absent responses. Other patients had vertigo attacks, and even though they did not have hearing loss, this could be a first sign of Menière’s disease, since some of them were still young (below 45 years). Although it is most likely that BVH can affect different parts of the vestibular system separately [18, 19], an absent response of VEMPs does not indicate a vestibular deficit per se.

In conclusion, VEMP testing is an emerging and valuable addition to the vestibular function testing ‘toolbox’, since it permits an assessment of each otolith organ in a way not
previously available. The details of the underlying physiology and the precise methods of performing, analyzing and interpreting VEMP responses are still evolving and not yet standardized. More research is needed to determine how VEMPs are most accurately performed and interpreted.

Other Diagnostic Tests

The value of posturography in the diagnosis of BVH is limited, since it lacks specificity. It does not discriminate very well between vestibular disorders and other causes of imbalance such as cerebellar ataxia [12]. The accuracy of subjective visual vertical testing for BVH has still to be refined [162]. Many other tests can also be used in the diagnostic process if necessary: audiometry, measuring blood pressure, measuring orthostatic hypotension, blood tests (including autoantibodies, complement factors, folate, vitamin B12, renal function, thyroid function, glucose, genetics, etc.), imaging (e.g. magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography), lumbar puncture, sensory nerve action potentials, speech assessment, etc. [18–20, 23, 40, 89]. However, these tests are mainly used for determination of coexisting problems or the etiology of BVH (table 1), not for an evaluation of vestibular function. Since they do not specifically contribute to establishing the presence of BVH, they are not within the scope of this review.

Challenge Four: Establishing the Diagnosis of BVH

To establish a correct diagnosis in vestibular patients is difficult: a clear diagnosis is not possible in up to 40% of vertigo patient subgroups [4]. As may be concluded from the challenges mentioned above, establishing the diagnosis of BVH is not an exception to this: it can be complicated. This results from its often difficult clinical presentation (e.g. vertigo does not always occur), the lack of uniform criteria for BVH, the heterogeneity of BVH, different settings in which patients are seen (otorhinolaryngology, neurology, ophthalmology, etc.), the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity for each test which determines the cutoff criteria, the (inherent) shortcomings of the tests and the fact that patients’ subjective sensations do not always match up with the objective laboratory measures [3, 7, 18, 54]. Regarding criteria for BVH, different ones can be used which could probably complement each other. Three examples extracted from the literature are shown in table 2 [7, 12, 80, 163].

As shown, there are still challenges regarding all the options. For example, the criteria in table 2a do not take tests of otolith function into account. This could lead to an underestimation of BVH when considering the option of dissociated bilateral vestibulopathy. Furthermore, the cutoff criterion for reduced caloric responses probably mainly yields a high specificity. Sensitivity may be put at a disadvantage in less severe cases of BVH or in individuals with high initial caloric responses (before they developed BVH). Also, the criterion of a reduced gain for rotatory chair testing is not defined. The (partial) definition on the basis of the parts affected displayed in table 2b only uses the present/absent criterion for VEMPs, which could lead to an underestimation of BVH. On the other hand, it does not yet consider a physiological or age-related absence of VEMPs, which could lead to an overestimation of BVH. Table 2c shows a (partial) definition of BVH on the basis of severity. However, it is a challenge to define vestibular loss; for instance, caloric and rotatory chair tests have a broad range of normative data. It is questionable to determine the extent of vestibular loss if the initial values are not known. Also, the definition does not specify whether it comprises only vestibular loss or also loss in functional parameters. After all, as stated earlier, patients’ subjective sensations do not always match the results of the laboratory tests [7]. One of the factors contributing to this issue could be the basic health condition of patients. For example, in obstructive sleep apnea, this is given as one of the explanations why some patients are able to withstand a certain amount of sleep disruption better than others [164]. Therefore, the severity of obstructive sleep apnea may be determined by objective laboratory findings, combined with
daytime sleepiness as measured by a short questionnaire [164]. For some vestibular patient groups, such an influence of their basic health condition has already been known for physical as well as mental domains: imbalance is often greater in patients with CANVAS, due to the comorbidity of polyneuropathy and ataxia [89], and patients with an anxious, introverted temperament could be more prone to develop chronic subjective dizziness [165]. However, also less well-known factors could belong to the basic health condition, such as the ability to effectively use mechanisms that at least partially compensate for the consequences of vestibular hypofunction [100]. The severity of BVH can therefore most likely be determined not only by objective laboratory findings but also by using a combination of objective laboratory findings together with a specification of the handicap related to the dizziness. For hearing-impaired patients, functional hearing ability is partially assessed by speech audiometry. Since there is as yet no vestibular ‘speech audiogram’, functional impairment due to BVH is at this moment probably best measured by using questionnaires.

Overall, establishing the diagnosis of BVH in a patient with a severely affected vestibular system could very well be possible, since patient history and vestibular tests, when correctly applied and interpreted, will all be indicative of BVH. However, in many cases, the vestibular system is less severely affected, or strong compensatory mechanisms or psychological comorbidity play a role. In these patients, establishing the diagnosis of BVH is a great challenge at
this moment. It still remains up to the physician, who has to combine the clinical picture and outcomes of (not all congruent) objective laboratory tests, to decide whether a patient suffers from BVH or not.

Future in Diagnosing BVH

There is an urgent need for diagnostic standardization regarding the implementation and interpretation of vestibular tests. The resulting decisions should be used for the development of uniform diagnostic criteria for BVH. Regarding vestibular tests, besides standardizing their implementation, an evaluation of cutoff points for BVH is necessary. At this moment, cutoff points are mainly in favor of a high specificity, putting sensitivity at a disadvantage, especially in caloric and rotatory chair tests. For VHI, defining the interpretation of traces is necessary; quantification is not always possible, and physicians cannot yet solely rely on software. Concerning DVA, determining various aspects could be helpful in establishing the diagnosis of BVH. It has not been extensively investigated in milder clinical presentations of BVH, lacking evidence of its value in these patients. Also, the best way to perform DVA testing is not uniform (e.g. passively shaking the head, walking on a treadmill). For VEMPs, criteria should be defined as to how to perform, analyze and interpret them. Once this is established, VEMPs must be included in the criteria for BVH, especially taking the possibility of otolith involvement into account.

Regarding criteria, we would propose BVH to be established on the basis of a combination of patient history, physical examination, vestibular tests (including VEMPs) and perceived handicap as measured by questionnaires (e.g. the Dizziness Handicap Inventory). Once established, BVH could be classified according to severity, taking not only objective measures but also functional impairment into account. A classification according to severity could be important, since much progress has been made in developing a vestibular implant [144, 166–168] and such a classification could facilitate patient selection. If necessary, a subdivision into probability groups (e.g. definite BVH, probable BVH, etc.) can be made to facilitate decision making in cases with less congruent test results. The role of measurements of vestibular perceptual thresholds is not yet certain, but if they will develop into one of the standard routine vestibular tests, they might become the ‘speech audiogram’ for vestibular disorders. In close cooperation with other societies and institutions, the International Standardization Committee of the Bárány Society has defined new international standards for several vestibular syndromes (e.g. benign paroxysmal positional vertigo, Menière’s disease, vestibular migraine). It is, among others, currently working on a definition of BVH, including diagnostic criteria.

Conclusions

Many challenges are met when establishing the diagnosis of BVH. These reflect its often difficult clinical presentation (e.g. vertigo does not always occur) and the lack of diagnostic standards regarding the implementation and interpretation of vestibular tests. Therefore, there is an urgent need for standardization. The resulting decisions should be used for the development of uniform diagnostic criteria for BVH, which are, at present, not yet available.
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