Asthma Bronchiale and Exercise-Induced Bronchoconstriction
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Abstract
Exercising regularly has a wide range of beneficial health effects; in particular, it has been well documented to help in the management of chronic illnesses including asthma. However, in some individuals, exertion can also trigger an exacerbation of asthmatic episodes and subsequent acute attacks of breathlessness, coughing, tightness of the chest and wheezing. This physiological process is called exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) whereby post-exercise forced expiratory volume in 1 s is reduced by 10–15% from baseline. While EIB is highly prevalent in asthmatics and presents with similar respiratory symptoms, asthma and EIB are not mutually exclusive. The aim of this review is to present a broad overview of both conditions in order to enhance the understanding of the similarities and differences distinguishing them as two separate entities. The pathophysiology and mechanisms underlying asthma are well described with research now focussing on defining phenotypes for targeted management strategies. Conversely, the mechanistic understanding of EIB remains largely under-described. Diagnostic pathways for both are established and similar, as are pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic treatments and management approaches, which have enhanced success with early detection. Given the potential for exacerbation of asthma, exercise avoidance is common but counterproductive as current evidence indicates that it is well tolerated and improves quality of life. Literature supporting the benefit of exercise for EIB sufferers is at present favourable, yet extremely limited; therefore, future research should be directed in this area as well as towards further developing the understanding of the pathophysiology and mechanisms underpinning both EIB and asthma.

Introduction
Regular physical exercise has a plethora of beneficial health effects. Participating regularly in exercise can decrease the incidence of developing heart disease, diabetes and many other health problems. Exercise also helps

strengthen the immune system, releases ‘feel-good’ endorphins into the bloodstream and can help in the maintenance of a healthy body weight [1]. Many studies have also shown that physical exercise can help manage and improve symptoms seen in chronic illnesses, one such disease being asthma [2, 3]. Asthma is a common chronic respiratory illness that can be defined as a chronic but reversible inflammation of the airways. Typically, it is characterised by acute attacks of breathlessness, coughing, muscle tightness in the chest and wheezing [3]. These acute attacks are often caused by asthma triggers such as pollen, common colds or exercising without warming up [3, 4].

Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) is commonly seen in patients suffering from asthma. It is characterised by wheezing, coughing and chest tightness and is brought on by rigorous exercise [5–8]. In most cases, a decrease in post-exercise forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV$_1$) of 10–15% of the pre-exercise measurement is seen [9–11]. Obstruction of airflow is usually most severe between 3 and 15 min after exercise [12] with a refractory period lasting up to 3 h following exacerbation of EIB [6].

Due to exercise often being a trigger for asthma, some asthmatics begin to avoid exercise. However, this in fact further deteriorates the poor health of these patients, thus resulting in a deteriorating cycle of exercise avoidance and further deconditioning of the skeletal muscles [13]. Many studies have found that regular exercise can improve asthma symptoms by increasing endurance capacity, lung function and quality of life [3, 14]; however, less is known about the effects of exercise on EIB. Although asthma and EIB are linked, they should be considered separate entities. This review presents a broad overview of asthma bronchiale (more commonly referred to as just asthma) and EIB, in order to distinguish one from the other but also to understand their inherent link. It also aims to provide a summary of diagnosis, treatment and management.

**Prevalence**

Asthma is a significant burden for the global community, impacting both quality of life measures and health economics. Given its universal nature, there have been multiple attempts to project worldwide prevalence values over the last decade. Estimates published in 2004 reported that 300 million people were diagnosed with asthma, a figure which is expected to rise by 100 million in the following 20 years [15]. This estimate was calculated using data collected between 1988 and 1996 from both the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood [16] and the European Community Respiratory Health Survey [17], which used inconsistent sampling and recruiting methods and a non-standardised definition for asthma. Furthermore, the reported findings were based upon respondent self-report of wheezing, which, given the heterogeneous nature of asthma, cannot be considered a true indication of clinically diagnosed asthma. The matter of global prevalence was readdressed with emphasis on more robust methods [18]. Data collected from 2002 to 2003 through the World Health Survey [19] indicated that 4.5% of the world’s adult population (18–45 years of age) have been diagnosed with asthma by a doctor or have previously received treatment for asthma (clinical asthma).

While no large-scale global surveys have been undertaken to identify the prevalence of EIB, there have been numerous smaller studies which offer some insight. Approximately, 40–90% of people suffering from chronic asthma have EIB. EIB is also seen in the non-asthmatic general population, where the prevalence has been estimated to be between 8 and 20%, and is also frequently seen in elite athletes who may or may not have asthma [8].

**Pathophysiology and Mechanisms**

Asthma has a complex pathophysiology and a number of underlying mechanisms. Researchers have identified a need to update the definition of asthma, which is presently a description of a collection of non-specific respiratory symptoms that may also be attributed to other pathologies [5, 20]. During an asthma attack, the muscles in the chest tighten and the airways swell and become inflamed. This inflammation causes a build-up of sticky mucous in the lungs and causes further narrowing of the airways causing breathlessness and the onset of other asthma symptoms [3]. Included in this section is a brief overview of asthma phenotypes, the cellular mechanisms involved and chronic inflammation of the bronchial mucosa occurring in asthma.

Using asthma as an umbrella term, pathophysiological, clinical and demographic characteristics have been clustered together to create phenotypes [20, 21]. Numerous studies have highlighted the need to sub-phenotype asthma based on environmental triggers and clinical attributes in order to put in place effective avoidance measures and undertake the correct treatment pathway [22,
This classification of phenotypes breaks asthma into three major categories: symptom based, trigger induced and biomarker based (fig. 1).

It is possible that with further research both management and treatment may become phenotype specific rather than a blanket approach. Indeed, studies are already using phenotypes as a means of determining treatment for patients, for example the DREAM study, which evaluated 621 severe eosinophilic asthma subjects from 13 countries. This multi-centre double-blind placebo-controlled trial randomised patients to placebo or three varying doses of mepolizumab (from 75 to 750 mg), finding mepolizumab to be an effective and well-tolerated treatment among this high-inflammation cohort [24]. Yet, despite these targeted treatments, the mechanism by which eosinophilic disease modulates exacerbations remains unknown [25]. More recent reviews acknowledge that airway epithelial muscles are responsible for modulating inflammation and remodelling responses in the airways, yet an understanding of the regulatory mechanisms in epithelial plasticity remains elusive [26, 27].

Exploring the individual phenotypes provides the possibility to not only take into account the heterogeneity of asthma but also to begin to understand the physiology and mechanisms underpinning it [4, 7, 20, 21]. For example, in allergic asthma, an episode is exacerbated by an allergen [7]. Sensitisation occurs following subversion of the innate immune system through allergens and the associated chemical constituents. Yet, the nature of immune response is still dependent on a variety of external factors including weight (obesity in particular) [28], age of the individual, genetic make-up and timing of exposure, amongst others [29]. In order for the inflammation process to be activated, an allergen is present prompting the release of IgE antibodies (i.e. eosinophils, mast cells and macrophages). The reaction to allergen exposure can be divided into an early phase, characterised by bronchoconstriction occurring within minutes of allergen expo-

---

**Fig. 1.** Asthma sub-phenotypes separated by symptom-based, trigger-induced or biomarker-based underlying pathogenesis.
sure, and a late phase, characterised by bronchoconstriction recurring hours later. In early-phase reactions, IgE antibodies secreted by plasma cells bind to mast cells, resulting in the release of mediators, namely histamine and cysteinyl leukotrienes, which act to contract the smooth muscle found in the airways [30, 31]. The late phase is stimulated by inflammatory cells including monocytes, neutrophils and eosinophils [32] which once again bind to mediators that mediate smooth muscle contraction. Progress has been made in the identification of the basic mechanisms by which allergic disease occurs and the translation of these mechanisms into the human cell systems [29]. Several endogenous anti-inflammatory mechanisms such as lipids and cytokines are also believed to be defective in allergic disease amongst some individuals, thus intensifying inflammation [33]. Importantly, by identifying cellular, genetic and environmental predictors of atopy and the molecular properties of allergens that facilitate sensitisation, these properties can be manipulated for therapeutic purposes [29], though to date developing novel therapies remains a challenge [33].

It has been well established that EIB is triggered by extensive exercise, yet the underlying mechanisms as to why EIB occurs have not been thoroughly investigated. There are, however, several theories as to why it arises. The first suggests that rigorous exercise puts an extra strain on the cardiovascular and respiratory systems causing both cardiac and ventilation output to increase [5]. This intense exercise causes nasal breathing to become substituted by mouth breathing to counter for the extra oxygen needed. A lack of warming of this air by the traditional warming and humidifying process in the nasal passage results in an increase in blood in the lungs and the linings of the bronchial tree, which causes swelling, leading to obstruction and difficulty in breathing [5]. The second theory suggests that EIB is caused by dehydration of the airways as a result of an increase in ventilation. Dehydration is thought to trigger an increase in osmolarity in the airway lining fluid, which in turn causes the release of inflammatory mediators such as histamine, cysteinyl leukotrienes and prostaglandins. These mediators then cause the smooth muscle in the airways to narrow and cause the formation of airway oedema [14].

**Athletes and EIB**

An estimated 30–70% of elite athletes are predicted to have EIB, which can be exhibited in the presence or absence of other asthma characteristics [34]. A study conducted among United States of America Olympic winter sports athletes from 1998 found that EIB affected nearly one in every four winter sport athletes, and EIB was found to be more prevalent among women [35]. More recent studies assessing 22 varsity sports have found prevalence estimates for EIB of 39%, with 86% of EIB-diagnosed subjects (36 of 42 EIB-positive subjects) having no previous knowledge of their positive EIB status [36]. Another 2014 study in Slovenia found similar results among adolescent athletes with 37.5% being formally diagnosed during the study [37].

Competitive sports depend on an athlete’s ability to perform at their peak, as there may be just seconds that separate the first place from the second. It has been suggested that athletes who have EIB may be at a disadvantage compared to those athletes who do not have the condition. However, its negative effect on athletic performance has not yet been proven [34]. During high-level workouts, athletes have to push their bodies to their limits. In doing so, they cause all the systems in the body to work faster and harder. Exercise has been reported to increase ventilation up to 200 l/min for short periods of time in athletes relying on speed or power and for longer periods in endurance athletes [38]. Due to the extra exercise needed, more oxygen is required to maintain this level of intensity. Simply breathing in through the nose is not efficient enough for the required oxygen; hence, nasal breathing becomes substituted by mouth breathing. One of the results of this exchange is that athletes breathe in a greater amount of not only oxygen but also the surrounding pollutants such as chloramines and ozone and other allergens. Athletes such as swimmers and skiers often train in harsh environments. Swimmers breathe in chlorine regularly and skiers train regularly in harsh cold, dry-air environments. Hence, it is unsurprising that high-level athletes exhibit a greater amount of respiratory illnesses than the general population, limited not only to asthma [14]. Indeed, a study of winter Olympic athletes found skiers to have the greatest prevalence of EIB among all sports with 57% of females and 43% of males diagnosed [35]. Another study on elite summer sports identified a greater risk of asthma among swimmers with 36–79% showing bronchial hyper-responsiveness to methacholine or histamine; however, fewer studies have investigated the occurrence of EIB [38]. Exposure to these sorts of conditions in the long term can have a significant effect on the airways and can lead to epithelial damage, inflammation and remodelling that often end up resembling patterns seen in asthmatic airways [14]. However, when the strain of intensive exercise is removed, after pe-
Diagnosis

Diagnosis of asthma is usually done through a lung function test, review of the patient history and also a physical examination. EIB is diagnosed by measuring the change in lung function after exercise. A number of tests can be used to measure lung function including bronchodilator reversibility testing, which involves the use of a spirometer and a bronchodilator and measures the speed and quantity of air breathed out after exposure to bronchodilators. Bronchoprovocation tests can also be used and are conducted after exposure to asthma triggers such as exercise, mist and cold air [14, 39]. FEV₁ changes are most commonly looked at after testing; this is mainly due to their strong repeatability as compared to peak expiratory rates. After 30 min of exercise, a FEV₁ percentage is generated, which represents the change in baseline FEV₁ minus the lowest FEV₁ value generated after exercise. The drop in FEV% has to be >10% to classify a person as having EIB according to American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines. The severity of the condition is classified into mild (>10 to <25%), moderate (>25 to <50%) and severe (>50%) [39]. The patient history may reveal a past or current history of wheezing, coughing and tightness of the thorax.

**Fig. 2.** Diagrammatic representation of diagnosis and treatment options for EIB.
Early detection of asthma and EIB can lead to the development and implementation of a number of strategies that can be employed to reduce the impact that these conditions have on an individual and their quality of life. A combination of non-pharmacological and pharmacological options can be employed for the treatment and management of asthma and EIB (fig. 2). People suffering from asthma are encouraged to see a physician regularly and develop an asthma action plan to prepare for exacerbations. They should also educate themselves and become familiar with the types of activities and environmental stimuli that could trigger their asthma attacks [3]. Low-to-moderate intensity warm-up exercises are recommended before the commencement of intensive exercise for patients that have EIB. This has been shown to reduce EIB in more than 50% of patients suffering from EIB [14]. Given that inhalation of cold air is associated with exacerbation of EIB, it may be prudent to advise wearing a scarf or something similar immediately prior and during outdoor exercise in order to add moisture and warm the inhaled air. Further non-pharmacologic management strategies include controlling weight, improving fitness, increasing endurance capacity, lung function and quality of life, with many studies showing that lack of engagement in physical exercise, particularly in the early adolescent years, can have a socially isolating impact on individuals [14, 40, 41]. A review published by Carson et al. [3] in 2013 found that exercise was overall well tolerated amongst asthmatic patients and recommended that it should be promoted as no adverse side effects were found in relation to asthma symptoms due to exercise. Although links have been found between exercise and improvements in asthma symptoms, the role that exercise has in improving the symptoms of EIB remains at present more controversial. In a randomised controlled study of children, exposing half of them to exercise training and leaving the other half without treatment, Fanelli et al. [42] found that the children who were exposed to exercise demonstrated a significant reduction in EIB severity as measured by power output and comparison of before and after training values. However, our search managed to find only one study to support this, and other studies looking into this link found inconclusive results.

Further research into the precise mechanisms as to how asthma and EIB occur is needed, which may lead to better treatment and management options. More randomised controlled trials are necessary to evaluate the effects that exercise has on improving EIB, particularly for biological indicators suggesting that exercise may actu-
ally reduce airway inflammation (for example IgG, histamine responsiveness, sputum and serum eosinophil cell count, C-reactive protein, etc.). Rigorous evaluations examining the effect that EIB has on elite athletes would also be useful in determining if, indeed, EIB has a quantifiable impact on performance.

**Conclusion**

The prevalence of asthma and EIB is continuing to rise in developed countries despite improvements in diagnosis and disease management. There are three primary asthma phenotypes, characterised as symptom based, trigger induced and biomarker based. EIB is known to be caused by strenuous exercise, yet the mechanisms underpinning these episodes are still not well known. Subsequently, many people avoid exercise due to fear of an exacerbation or asthma attack, although the current evidence suggests that exercise is not only safe and well tolerated, but can also provide a protective effect through improving exercise endurance and, consequently, the body’s ability to cope with strain. Research is needed to gain a better understanding of the mechanisms behind asthma and EIB, which may facilitate improvements in diagnosis, treatment and possibly even prevention.
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