Assessment of Liver Fibrosis with Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging Using Different b-values in Chronic Viral Hepatitis
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Abstract
Objective: To examine the effectiveness of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values and to compare the reliability of different b-values in detecting and identifying significant liver fibrosis. Subjects and Methods: There were 44 patients with chronic viral hepatitis (CVH) in the study group and 30 healthy participants in the control group. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) was performed before the liver biopsy in patients with CVH. The values of ADC were measured with 3 different b-values (100, 600, 1,000 s/mm²). In addition, liver fibrosis was classified using the modified Ishak scoring system. Liver fibrosis stages and ADC values were compared using areas under the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Results: The study group’s mean ADC value was not statistically significantly different from the control group’s mean ADC value at b = 100 s/mm² (3.69 ± 0.5 × 10⁻³ vs. 3.7 ± 0.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) and b = 600 s/mm² (2.40 ± 0.3 × 10⁻³ vs. 2.5 ± 0.5 × 10⁻³ mm²/s). However, the study group’s mean ADC value (0.99 ± 0.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) was significantly lower than that of the control group (1.2 ± 0.1 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) at b = 1,000 s/mm². With b = 1,000 s/mm² and the cutoff ADC value of 0.0011 mm²/s for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis, the mean area under the ROC curve was 0.702 ± 0.07 (p = 0.0015). For b = 1,000 s/mm² and the cutoff ADC value of 0.0011 mm²/s to diagnose significant liver fibrosis (Ishak score = 3), the mean area under the ROC curve was 0.759 ± 0.07 (p = 0.0001). Conclusion: Measurement of ADC values by DWI was effective in detecting liver fibrosis and accurately identifying significant liver fibrosis when a b-value of 1,000 s/mm² was used.

Introduction

Hepatic fibrosis develops primarily as a consequence of chronic viral hepatitis (CVH). As fibrosis progresses, it can result in cirrhosis and end-stage liver diseases [1, 2] making it vitally important to diagnose fibrosis before patients develop early or established cirrhosis. Liver biopsy is the gold standard for staging liver fibrosis and evaluating necroinflammatory changes [1, 3]. However, liver biopsy is an invasive method and has inherent risks exemplified by bleeding. Additionally, biopsy is limited by interobserver variability and sampling error [4, 5]. Because of these limitations, noninvasive methods, for in-
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Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI was done using a 1.5-tesla MR system (General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wis., USA). Before the DWI examinations, fat-saturated T1-weighted axial, T1 in- and out-of-phase, fat-saturated T2-weighted axial, and single-shot fast spin echo T2-weighted coronal images were performed. The DWI images were obtained at: b-values of 100, 600, and 1,000 s/mm²; TR/TE, 8,000/67–91; matrix, 128 × 128; NEX, 1.0; FOV, 24 cm; slice thickness, 5 mm; interslice gap, none; diffusion direction, all; acquisition time, 32 s; coil, torso.

Statistical Analysis

A receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to evaluate ADC values and the stage of liver fibrosis. The ROC analysis was also performed to decide ADC cutoff values to differentiate liver fibrosis at 100, 600 and 1,000 s/mm² gradients. The optimal cutoff values that represented maximized test sensitivity and specificity using the Youden index were used. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (PPV, NPV), and p values were determined using ROC analysis.

Results

Of the 44 biopsies, 23 (52.2%) had Ishak scores of ≥3 while 21 (47.8%) had a score of ≤2. The mean ADC values of the study and the control groups did not differ significantly from each other at b-values of 100 s/mm² (3.69 ± 0.5 × 10⁻³ vs. 3.7 ± 0.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/s; p = 0.257) and 600 s/mm² (2.40 ± 0.3 × 10⁻³ vs. 2.5 ± 0.5 × 10⁻³ mm²/s; p = 0.345). However, at the b-value of 1,000 s/mm², the mean ADC value of the study group (0.99 ± 0.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) was lower than that of the control group (1.2 ± 0.1 × 10⁻³ mm²/s; table 1), and the difference was statistically significant (p = 0.001).

For the b-value of 1,000 s/mm², when the ADC value for the detection of liver fibrosis was accepted as ≤0.0011 mm²/s, the mean area under the ROC curve was 0.702 ± 0.07 (p = 0.0015). The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 61.3, 80, 81.8 and 58.5%, respectively (table 2; fig. 4).
At the b-value of 1,000 s/mm², when ≤0.0011 mm²/s was accepted as the cutoff ADC value for the detection of significant liver fibrosis (Ishak score ≥3), the mean area under the ROC curve was 0.759 ± 0.07 (p = 0.0001). The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 56.5, 93.3, 86.7 and 73.7%, respectively (table 2; fig. 5).

Table 1. Control and study groups’ mean ADC values at b-values of 100, 600 and 1,000 s/mm²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b-values, s/mm²</th>
<th>ADC, n × 10⁻³ mm²/s</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control (n = 30)</td>
<td>CVH (n = 44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.69 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the b-value of 1,000 s/mm², when ≤0.0011 mm²/s was accepted as the cutoff ADC value for the detection of significant liver fibrosis (Ishak score ≥3), the mean area under the ROC curve was 0.759 ± 0.07 (p = 0.0001). The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 56.5, 93.3, 86.7 and 73.7%, respectively (table 2; fig. 5).

For the b-value of 1,000 s/mm², when the ADC cutoff value for the detection of fibrosis and significant liver fibrosis (Ishak score ≥3) was accepted as ≤0.0009 mm²/s, PPV values increased up to 100% but sensitivity and NPV values decreased (table 3).
Discussion

In the current study, the ADC values were effectively used to detect and identify significant liver fibrosis. Further, the b-value of 1,000 s/mm² was more reliable than b-values of 100 and 600 s/mm² for detecting fibrosis and significant fibrosis. The detection of significant fibrosis (Ishak score ≥3) is clinically essential because only patients with significant liver fibrosis are considered suitable for antiviral treatment [22, 23]. Patients with milder fibrosis, on the other hand, are often not given an aggressive treatment due to the cost and toxicification associated with antiviral treatment [22].

Our findings are in accordance with the study of Taouli et al. [1], which showed that ADC values drawn from DWI were useful for the evaluation of liver fibrosis at b-values of 500 s/mm² or larger. Using a sample larger than that of Taouli et al., we found that 1,000 s/mm² was more effective than the b-value of 600 s/mm² in detecting liver fibrosis. Our results are also in line with the study of Bakan et al. [16], in which ADC values obtained at the b-value of 1,000 s/mm² were found to be effective in identifying significant fibrosis. Bakan et al. further concluded that at a b-value of 1,000 s/mm² ADC values were helpful in differentiating between every combination of fibrosis stages except for F0 versus F1 and F1 versus F2.

Most studies that compared ADC values of fibrotic and normal livers found that patients with cirrhosis had lower ADC values than healthy participants [1, 10–18]. Sandrasegaran et al. [15], using b-values of 50 and 400 s/mm², concluded that although there was a statistically significant difference between ADC values of cirrhotic

---

**Table 2.** Sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), PPV and NPV for the detection of liver fibrosis and significant liver fibrosis (Ishak score ≥3) at a b-value of 1,000 s/mm² and for ADC ≤1.1 × 10⁻³ mm²/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Se</th>
<th>Sp</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver fibrosis</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>58.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant fibrosis</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishak score ≥3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), PPV and NPV for the detection of liver fibrosis and significant liver fibrosis (Ishak score ≥3) at a b-value of 1,000 s/mm² and for ADC ≤0.9 × 10⁻³ mm²/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Se</th>
<th>Sp</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver fibrosis</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant fibrosis</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishak score ≥3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and nonfibrotic liver, ADC values were not helpful in differentiating F2 fibrosis from lower or upper stages of fibrosis. On the other hand, Koinuma et al. [18] found a relationship between fibrosis scores and ADC values at b-values of 0 and 128 s/mm². In the study of Boulanger et al. [19] there was no statistically significant difference between ADC values of patients with hepatitis C and ADC values of the control group at 5 different b-values changing from 50 to 250 s/mm². When interpreting the results of these 3 studies, it is important to keep in mind other findings (e.g. Le Bihan et al. [24, 25] and Yamada et al. [26]) that overestimated ADC values at lower b-values due to the perfusion effect. In the current study, to prevent such an overestimation larger b-values were used. Our findings suggest that ADC values at 1,000 s/mm² were more effective than ADC values at b-values of 100 and 600 s/mm² in detecting liver fibrosis.

Potential limitations of our study were that similar numbers of patients were not used at each stage of fibrosis and the activity score of hepatitis was not evaluated. Future studies with a larger sample that is distributed evenly among different stages of fibrosis are recommended although such studies could inevitably take a longer period of time than the current study.

**Conclusion**

Our findings showed that ADC values at 1,000 s/mm² were helpful in detecting liver fibrosis. However, b-values <600 s/mm² could not accurately quantify liver fibrosis. Based on the accurate detection of significant fibrosis, the use of ADC values at 1,000 s/mm² could potentially be useful in making a decision about antiviral treatment.
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