Transbronchial lung biopsy using regular forceps was and is a common diagnostic technique used in patients with lung infiltrates when, on the basis of clinical background and imaging features, morphological information is deemed necessary to define the diagnosis. This technique is an armamentarium that all bronchoscopists have. and it may contribute to the diagnosis, mainly in cases of peripheral lung tumors or in patients with lesions that have a centrilobular, alveolar and/or perilymphatic distribution [1]. Complications related to this biopsic approach are rare and clinically not relevant in the vast majority of cases (pneumothorax occurs in less than 8% of cases), although bleeding may in rare cases represent a life-threatening event. The biopsies obtained with these small forceps are infrequently clinically significant when complex histopathologic patterns are the morphological background, such as the usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern, nonspecific interstitial pneumonia pattern, and other rarer patterns or diseases [2].

Surgical lung biopsy was and is considered the next biopsic method when a regular transbronchial lung biopsy fails. However, the side effects of this invasive procedure are not negligible (pain lasting for months, air leakage, infections or even mortality, mainly due to acute exacerbation of the underlying disorder, in 2–4% of cases and up to 10% when DLCO is lower than 50% predicted) [3–5]. Furthermore, surgical lung biopsy is not worth performing in elderly subjects mainly due to the presence of comorbidities [6].

More recently, cryoprobes used through a flexible bronchoscope have been utilized to obtain lung tissue [7–10]. The cryosurgical equipment operates by the Joule-Thomson effect, which dictates that a compressed gas released at high flow rapidly expands and creates a very low temperature. The cooling agent is applied under high pressure through the central canal of the probe (Erbokryo CA; ERBE, Tübingen, Germany). Carbon dioxide (CO₂) or nitric oxide are the cooling agents commonly used. The gas at the tip expands due to the sudden difference in pressure relative to the atmospheric pressure, resulting in a drop in temperature at the tip of the probe.

The modalities, however, by which this procedure is carried out vary (as reported in the literature since 2009) [7, 10–23]. The gas more frequently used nowadays (mainly for the presence of specific regulatory rules in the majority of countries hampering the use of nitric oxide in endoscopic suites) is CO₂, although nitric oxide may achieve lower temperatures. The ways by which patients are sedated and intubated or even not intubated are different (table 1). The probes used are of different sizes,
and the freezing time (3–6 s; table 1) as well as the distance from the pleura where the freezing process takes place are also reported to be different. The approaches and tools used to control bleeding are again different. Finally, data on the diverse strategies adopted to sample lung tissue (distance from the pleura, number of samples, samples from the same segment, from different segments of the same lobe or even from adjacent lobes) are still missing.

The main debate regards the setting in which transbronchial cryobiopsies are carried out: in sedated and intubated patients or in patients not intubated and under sedation. In the ‘non-intubated group’, the mean sample size varied from 9 to 17 mm² and the diagnostic yield from 68 to 100%, and complications reported were mainly mild bleeding (up to 4%) and pneumothorax (maximum 3%). In this group, immunocompromised hosts were also included [16].

In the ‘intubated group’, the mean size of samples varied from 9.5 to 64.2 mm² and the diagnostic yield from 76 to 91%, and complications reported were pneumothorax (0–27%) and severe bleeding (up to 53%). Studies assessing the pathological agreement between different pathologists for a diagnosis of UIP were done only in the setting of ‘intubated patients’ [18]. Severe bleeding was described only when a bronchial blocker was not routinely used. In the majority of studies, the larger cryoprobe was utilized (2.4 mm) [23].

The main point is that transbronchial cryobiopsy should be considered a valid competitor with surgical lung biopsy and not with the regular transbronchial lung biopsy using forceps. With this perspective in mind, the biopsic sample size should be many times greater than that obtained by regular transbronchial biopsy and also representative of the periphery of the secondary pulmonary lobule. These results seem to be achieved better in ‘intubated patients’ (the bigger sizes were described for the ‘intubated group’) and when biopsies are taken just 1 cm from the pleura [8, 18]. Weight and diameter of cryobiopsies correlate positively with longer activation times and larger diameters of the cryoprobe [24, 25]. Concerning the dependency on freezing time, a significant increase in biopsy weight was observed after 3 s with the 1.9-mm cryoprobe compared with a freezing time of 2 s, and after 2 s with the 2.4-mm probe compared with a freezing time of 1 s. In the studies published so far, the sample size appears to be bigger when larger cryoprobes or a freezing time >4 s are used. Patients intubated with an orotracheal tube or even rigid tracheoscopes do not need supports for ventilation, although in some centers jet ventilation is used when rigid tools are adopted. Routine use of a bronchial blocker (Fogarty balloon or an-

### Table 1. Transbronchial cryobiopsy for diffuse lung disease: different approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author [Ref.]</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>OT</th>
<th>RB</th>
<th>LM</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>GA+JV</th>
<th>GA/DS</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>Bronchial blocker</th>
<th>Cryoprobe size, mm</th>
<th>Freezing time, s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Babiak [10]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griff [12]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kropski [13]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarmus [14]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruchter [15]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruchter [16]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruchter [17]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casoni [18]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pajares [19]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poletti [7]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griff [20]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gersman [22]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagneyer [23]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernández-González [21]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OT = Orotracheal tube; RB = rigid bronchoscope; LM = laryngeal mask; NI = no intubation; GA = general anesthesia; JV = jet ventilation; DS = deep sedation; LA = local anesthesia; Y = yes; N = no; x = method used.
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other blocker) should be made only in intubated patients
or in patients with a laryngeal mask, and it appears to
prevent the potentially dangerous effects of major bleed-
ing [8, 18, 23]. The rate of pneumothorax varies in differ-
ent series, and it seems to be significantly higher when
the biopsies are obtained just beneath the pleura or in sub-
jects with the UIP pattern (a higher pneumothorax rate of
28% was reported by Casoni et al. [18], but in this study
the biopsies were obtained a maximum of 1 cm from the
pleura and the samples showed a UIP pattern in 75% of
cases). Differences between intubation using orotracheal
tubes and rigid tracheoscopes appear irrelevant, although
the use of tracheoscopes might allow pulmonologists to
handle instruments in larger ‘operating fields’ as the ven-
tilatory supports are very similar.

The strategies used to collect samples are also differ-
ent. The distance between the point of resistance (consid-
ered to be the point nearest to the visceral pleura) and the
site in which the probes are frozen varies from 2 cm to less
than 1 cm. One study [18] reported good pathologic in-
terobserver variability (similar to that observed in surgi-
cal lung biopsy specimens) in recognition of the UIP pat-
tern when the biopsies were obtained less than 1 cm from
the pleura, suggesting that this approach is valuable in
order to identify this complex morphological pattern.
The majority of studies reported retrieving lung tissue
from one segment, and only a minority collected lung
samples from different segments of the same lobe. No
prospective studies have been published yet comparing
these two approaches in terms of diagnostic yield and
rates of complications. Even less information is available
on biopsies performed in different ipsilateral lobes, al-
though this approach seems to be reasonable in cases in
which the CT scan pattern differs greatly between differ-
ent lobes (i.e. pleuroparenchymal fibroelastosis with lower-
lobe ‘fibrosis’).

The procedural standard of transbronchial cryobiopsy
in diffuse parenchymal lung disease is not yet homoge-
neous. In table 2, the strengths and weaknesses of the
different approaches are summarized. It appears that
intubation and utilization of general anesthesia or deep
sedation have advantages: the control of severe bleeding
is easier, and the larger ‘operating field’ allows the use of
different tools without stopping the procedure (radial
echographic probes, additional aspiration tubes, etc.).
Rigid tubes, being larger and not bended, are the best
choice in this context. However, when using rigid tubes,
general anesthesia is mandatory, and the technical effort
required is higher. Furthermore, because after any sam-
ping the flexible bronchoscope needs to be removed, in-
tubation is more comfortable for the patients. Regarding
the probes, the 2.4-mm size appears to provide larger
samples mainly when the freezing time exceeds 4 s (5/6 s
is the freezing time used at the Morgagni Hospital and at
the Tübingen University Hospital).

Finally, new prospective studies comparing the differ-
ent strategies adopted to sample the lung parenchyma are
needed in order to assess if the diagnostic yield, mainly in
complex morphological patterns such as UIP, is higher if
the biopsies are obtained just beneath the pleura or about
2 cm away when different parts of the lung are sampled,
and also to assess the minimum number of samples nec-
essary to obtain a diagnosis.
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