22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome due to a Translocation t(6;22) in a Patient Conceived via in vitro Fertilization
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The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (OMIM 188400; http://omim.org/) is caused by a submicroscopic deletion of the long arm of chromosome 22 and affects ~1 in 4,000 births, making it the second most prevalent genetic syndrome after Down syndrome [Sedghi et al., 2012]. The high frequency is related to the susceptibility of the 22q11.2 region to rearrangements due to the presence of 8 chromosome-specific low-copy repeats (LCRs) within 22q11.2, named LCR-A to LCR-H [Shaikh et al., 2007]. These LCRs contain a complex modular structure and a high degree of DNA sequence identity (>96%), which mediates nonallelic homologous recombination, resulting in chromosome 22 rearrangements. The most frequent (in ~90% of the patients) are the 3-Mb deletions between LCR-A and D and the 1.5-Mb deletions (~10–12% of the patients) between LCR-A and B. Rare, atypical 22q11.2 deletions can also affect distinct chromosome regions involving other LCRs [Shaikh et al., 2007; Nogueira et al., 2008].

The main characteristics observed in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome are dysmorphic facial features, cardiac anomalies, cleft palate, velopharyngeal and thymic insufficiency, hypoparathyroidism, immune deficiency, developmental...
delay, and psychiatric disorder [Basset et al., 2011; McDonald-McGinn and Sullivan, 2011; Baker and Vortman, 2012]. However, there is a wide phenotypic variability in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome, even within family members [McDonald-McGinn and Sullivan, 2011].

Deletion 6p (OMIM 612582) is a rare event within the population [Mirza et al., 2004]. Patients with similar distal deletions involving the 6p25pter region have a recognizable malformation pattern including downsloping palpebral fissures, flat nasal bridge, small nose, hypertelorism, midface hypoplasia, low-set ears, hearing loss, high-arched palate, ophthalmological, anterior eye chamber and craniofacial abnormalities, Dandy-Walker malformation/variant, congenital heart defects, language impairment, and developmental delay [Lin et al., 2005; Nakane et al., 2013]. Impairment of the central nervous system and developmental delay of variable degrees are observed in all patients described [Martinet et al., 2008]. The clinical phenotype of these patients is probably caused by haploinsufficiency of one or more genes located in 6p25 [Cellini et al., 2012] and based on the patient described by Anderlid et al. [2003]; the critical region of the 6pter deletion syndrome was narrowed down to a 2.1-Mb interval.

Here, we present a 4-year-old girl conceived via in vitro fertilization (IVF) with characteristic features of a 22q11.2 deletion, who showed an unusual unbalanced translocation involving chromosomes 6 and 22 in a karyotype with 45 chromosomes.

**Fig. 1.** A Frontal and lateral profile view of the patient at the age of 2½ years. B Partial karyotype showing the normal chromosome 6, the der(6) and the normal chromosome 22. C FISH with DiGeorge/VCFS TUPLE 1 probe showing one signal from the 22q11.21 probe in the normal chromosome 22 (red) and 2 signals from the 22q13.33 probe (green); one in chromosome 22, and another in the der(6). D Chromosome 22 diagram showing the 22q11 region between the LCR-A and LCR-H (grey bar) and the deleted region (red bar) shown by the SALSA MLPA P250 DiGeorge probemix kit probes. Genomic array showing the deletion in chromosome 6 highlighting the 6p25.3 region including the ~0.4-Mb deleted segment (E, F) and in chromosome 22 highlighting the 22q11 region with the ~3.3-Mb deleted segment (G, H). Red bars indicate deletions.
Clinical report

We report on a female patient born at 36 weeks in a triplet birth, conceived via IVF. It was the only pregnancy for the nonconsecu- guinea healthy couple. The Apgar score at birth was 7/8, and she had transient tachypnea of the newborn, requiring mechanically assisted ventilation. She remained in the intensive care unit for her first 4 months for treatment of congestive heart failure, when she was diagnosed with truncus arteriosus. During cardiac surgery, the thymus was not visualized. On physical examination at 2½ years (fig. 1A), she presented with generalized hypotonia, malar hypoplasia, flabby face, asymmetric ears, epicantid folds, hypertelorism, small mouth, downsloanted mouth commissures, long fingers, and swallowing disability. Cardiological evaluation revealed ventricular septal defects, pulmonary stenosis, aortic artery located on the right, persistent ductus arteriosus, and right ven- tricular hypertrophy. She also presented hypoparathyroidism, im- munodeficiency and persistent hypocalcemia. Her weight was 9.4 kg (3rd centile), length 85.5 cm (3rd centile) and her head circum- ference was 45 cm (3rd centile). At 4½ years, she presented with hyperactivity, attention deficit disorder, hypernasal speech with a high-pitched voice, and mild conductive hearing loss. Her weight was 13.3 kg (3rd centile), length 104 cm (15–50th centile) and her head circumference was 47.5 cm (3rd–15th centile). Table 1 de- pict the clinical features of the patient compared to other patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome evaluated in our institution.

During her first 3 years of life, she presented recurrent respira- tory infections, 2 of which were severe, requiring intensive care treatment. Immune system evaluation on different occasions during these first years showed normal IgG (range from 404 to 739 mg/dl) and IgA (range from <40 to 88 mg/dl) levels and a low IgM level (range from 27 to 60 mg/dl) according to age. In this same period, T and B lymphocytes were analyzed on 4 different occasions: T CD4+ ranged from 33 to 47% (824–2,545/ml); CD19+ ranged from 13 to 23% (309–684/ml); T CD8+ ranged from 48 to 8% (117–547/ml); CD19+ ranged from 13 to 23% (309–684/ml). At 1 year of age, naive T lymphocytes were normal (39 and 60% for CD4 and CD8, respectively), and she showed a predominant proportion of naive B-lymphocytes (92%). Natural killer cell numbers were normal at all evaluations. She was routinely immunized according to the Brazilian schedule and showed positive response to 7 serotypes (4, 6B, 9V, 14, 18C, 19F, and 23F) of conjugate pneumococcus vaccine. In- travenous immunoglobulin was recommended when she was 3 years old, with an improvement of respiratory infections.

She was clinically diagnosed with a 22q11.2 deletion syndrome when she was 2 years old. Her 2 brothers had no major abnormalities; both had transient hypogammaglobulinemia of infancy, which they overcame.

Cytogenetic and Molecular Studies

Chromosome analysis was performed on 72-hour lymphocyte cultures from the patient, her parents and brothers, according to standard procedures. G-banding karyotype at the 550-band level revealed a translocation involving chromosomes 6 and 22 in a 45 chromosomes karyotype, with additional material in the short arm of chromosome 6 and one missing chromosome 22 (fig. 1B).

DNA was extracted with Gentra Puregene Blood Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, Md., USA). MLPA (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) was performed with SALSMA MLPA P250-B2 Di-George kit and analyzed with GeneMarker Software (SoftGenetics, State College, Pa., USA). The analysis revealed a deletion of the probes corresponding to the proximal region of chromosome 22q up to the LCR-B (fig. 1D) showing the result: rsa 22q11.2(2P250)×1. Genome-Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, Calif., USA), analyzed using Affymetrix Chromosome Analysis Suite Software (Affymetrix) showed a 0.4-Mb deletion of chromosome 6p and a 3.3-Mb deletion of chromosome 22q (fig. 1E–H), with the following result: arr[hg19] 6p25.3(366,332–771,504)×1,22q11.21(16,894,612–20,196,469)×1.

FISH performed in metaphase chromosomes using DiGeorge/ VCFS TUPLE 1 probe (Cytocell) showed one copy of the 22q11.2 probe in the normal chromosome 22 and 2 copies of the 22q13.3 probe – one in the normal chromosome 22 and another in 6p (fig. 1C). The patient’s parents and siblings presented normal cyto- genetic and molecular technique results, indicating a de novo rearrangement. Thus, the karyotype of the patient was given as 45,XX,der(6)t(6;22)(p25.3;q11.21)dn,–22.

Discussion

Our patient was conceived via IVF in a triplet pregnancy. While several studies found a high degree of ab- normalities in pregnancies conceived through infertility

Table 1. Clinical features of 21 patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome evaluated in our institution compared to the patient with t(6;22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Patients with deletion* (n = 21)</th>
<th>Our study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td>14/21</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplasia</td>
<td>7/19</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetric face</td>
<td>5/21</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmologic abnormalities</td>
<td>2/17</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downslanting palpebral fissures</td>
<td>2/18</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overfolded helices</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing loss</td>
<td>8/18</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prominent nasal bridge</td>
<td>9/21</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulbous nasal tip</td>
<td>9/21</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic nasal alae</td>
<td>7/21</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft palate</td>
<td>5/21</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velopharyngeal insufficiency</td>
<td>11/21</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertelorism</td>
<td>10/18</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downturned oral commissures</td>
<td>8/18</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long and thin fingers</td>
<td>15/21</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypocalcemia</td>
<td>5/19</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphopenia</td>
<td>2/19</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus abnormalities</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of sociability</td>
<td>11/18</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disabilities</td>
<td>15/19</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number of patients with the condition/number of patients evaluated.
Unbalanced translocations involving monosomy 22pterq11 in a 45 chromosome constitution have been described in association with 22q11.2 deletion [Back et al., 1980; Kelley et al., 1982; Faed et al., 1987; Jancar and Karki, 1989; Reddy et al., 1996; Jaquez et al., 1997; Carretalá et al., 1998; Damatova et al., 2009; Dundar al., 2009; McGoey and Lacassie, 2009; Shuib et al., 2009; Zrnová et al., 2012; Hassold and Francke, 2012; Lazaraviciute et al., 2014], none of these with a proven LCR 22q11.2 breakpoint. Our patient showed a breakpoint within the LCR-B, which has AT-rich palindromic sequences frequently involved in constitutional translocations, such as in the recurrent translocation t(11;22) (q23;q11.2) [Gotter et al., 2004; Kato et al., 2012]. Considering that similar repetitive regions cannot be observed in chromosome 6p25.3, the patient’s translocation could be randomly formed by the nonhomologous end-joining mechanism.

Since we found one metaphase cell with 46 chromosomes including the der(6) and a small marker chromosome similar to what could have been a der(22), we can hypothesize that the zygote presented a balanced (6;22) translocation, originating during meiosis or due to a germ cell mosaicism in one of her parents. The zygote may have subsequently lost the der(22), resulting in a 45 chromosome karyotype.

In the majority of patients with these translocations, the loss of the proximal 22q region usually results in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome, although the concomitant deletion of another autosome chromosome in some patients may result in both deletion syndrome phenotypes [Reddy et al., 1996]. There is only one case in the literature involving chromosome 6 in a 45 chromosome karyotype, although it resulted in a larger deletion of the long arm of chromosome 6 that caused a more severe phenotype than the 22q11.2 deletion [Jancar and Karki, 1989].

The 6p deletion in our patient is much smaller (0.4 Mb) when compared to other patients reported in the literature, who had deletions from 2.1 to 8.1 Mb, resulting in a higher number of hemizygous genes and more severe phenotypes [Descipio, 2007; Martinet et al., 2008; Cellini et al., 2012; Nakane et al., 2013]. The 6p deletion in our patient encompassed only 3 genes: IRF4 (interferon regulatory factor 4) [OMIM 601900], EXOC2 (exocyst complex component 2) [OMIM 615329], HUS1B [HUS1 checkpoint homolog b (S. pombe)] [OMIM 609713] and a pseudogene LOC100421511 (MAP/microtubule affinity-regulating kinase 2 pseudogene). While EXOC2 and HUS1B appear to have no correlation with the patient’s phenotype, IRF4, a member of the IRF family of transcription factors, is expressed exclusively in the immune system cells and is part of the biological processes involved with the immunological system. The IRF4 gene appears to play a critical role in the process of immunoglobulin class-switch recombination [De Silva et al., 2012]. During the generation of B cells in the bone marrow, IRF4 is largely redundant with the IRF8, which is part of the same family of genes [Lu et al., 2003]. They are more related to one another than to other genes of the IRF family [Taniguchi et al., 2001]. Considering that our patient shows the 3 classes of immunoglobulins, a single copy of the IRF4 gene, or the redundancy of function of IRF4 and IRF8 genes may maintain the operation of class-switch recombination. Despite the hemizygosity of the IRF4 gene, which appears to have an important role in the immunological system, the immunodeficiency showed by our patient appears to be a result of the 22q11.2 deletion, since the patients described with pure 6p deletion do not show immune defects [Descipio, 2007; Cellini et al., 2012]. Considering the restricted number of genes deleted in 6p25.3 and their functions, we can attribute the patient’s phenotype to the 22q11.2 deletion.

Since the deletion of chromosome 22 in our patient includes the region between LCRs A and B, where the candidate genes for the syndrome are located, she presents some of the expected characteristics. The TBX1 (T-box transcription factor) gene is considered the major candidate for 22q11.2 deletion syndrome [Gao et al., 2015], being associated with cardiovascular defects [Lindsay et al., 2001; Jerome and Papaioannou, 2001], middle and inner ear defects, resulting in sensorineural hearing loss [Funke et al., 2001], and with craniofacial and dental
development delay [Gao et al., 2015]; features we found in our patient.

Most patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome have diminished T-cell numbers in peripheral blood with severity ranging from absent thymic tissue with no circulating T cells, to completely normal T-cell counts [Sullivan, 2008; McDonald-McGinn and Sullivan, 2011]. Patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and microscopic rests of thymic epithelial cells producing circulating T cells have also been reported [Bale and Sotelo-Avila, 1993]. In these patients, because of the limited organ space, the peripheral blood has a diminished supply of T cells [McDonald-McGinn and Sullivan, 2011]. Although the patient’s thymus was not visualized during cardiac surgery, our patient must have remnant thymic tissue because of the T cells found in peripheral blood. Regarding B cells, it has already been demonstrated that patients with a 22q11.2 deletion have a higher frequency of naive B cells compared to controls [Finocchi et al., 2006] and also have an inability to mount an efficacious response to polysaccharide antigens [Schubert and Moss, 1992] as was observed in our patient.

The 22q11.2 deletion in our patient also includes the proximal cat eye syndrome critical region near the centromere. When triplicated, this region results in cat eye syndrome, and when duplicated in association with duplication of 11q, it results in Emanuel syndrome [Choudhary et al., 2013]. Interestingly, the loss of the same region may have no clinical relevance.
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