Potential Utility of Multidetector Computed Tomography to Identify both Cardiac Embolic Sources and Coronary Artery Disease in Patients with Embolic Stroke
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Abstract
Objectives: Our objective was to study the potential utility of multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) to identify both cardiac embolic sources and coronary artery disease (CAD) in embolic-stroke patients. Methods: We performed MDCT for 184 patients with embolic stroke but without known CAD. Twenty-six patients had atrial fibrillation. We investigated the prevalence of the potential source of the embolism and the coronary characteristics. Results: Overall, 64 potential embolic sources were detected in 59 patients (32.1%). Left atrial appendage thrombus, left ventricular thrombus and aortic atheroma were detected in 3.3, 0.5 and 15.8% of patients, respectively. Circulatory stasis and patent foramen ovale were detected in 8.7 and 6.5%, respectively. As for coronary calcium score, only 47 patients (25.5%) had a score of zero and 51 (27.7%) had a score of ≥400. Significant CAD was detected in 18 patients (9.8%). One hundred and thirty-seven (74.5%) had coronary plaques. The prevalence of positive remodeling, low-attenuation plaque, spotty calcification and a napkin-ring sign was 7.1, 1.6, 5.4 and 2.7%, respectively. Importantly, only 34 patients (13.0%) had no abnormalities detected by MDCT. Conclusions: Our results suggest that MDCT has potential to identify both cardiac embolic sources and CAD in patients with embolic stroke but without known CAD.
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Recently, ischemic stroke without carotid artery disease has emerged as a new coronary artery disease (CAD) risk equivalent [1]. An American Heart Association/American Stroke Association scientific statement concludes that patients with atherosclerotic stroke should be included among those deemed to be at a high risk (≥20% over 10 years) of further atherosclerotic coronary events [2]. Coronary computed tomographic angiography (CCTA) is a noninvasive modality to evaluate CAD. Its ability to assess obstructive CAD has been demonstrated to be excellent compared with conventional coronary angiography [3, 4]. Its ability to detect coronary plaques and to characterize plaque composition are also well-appreciated [5–7]. Several studies have investigated coronary characteristics of ischemic-stroke patients without known CAD [8–10]. However, these studies excluded embolic-
stroke patients. Cardioembolic stroke can be expected to be associated with a higher likelihood of CAD, probably due to the underlying presence of cardiac disease [11, 12].

Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) has been a gold standard for the detection of potential embolic sources in embolic-stroke patients [13, 14]. However, TEE is a semi-invasive procedure with rare but potentially life-threatening complications. In addition, routine application of TEE is often limited in acute-stroke patients because of acute illness, mental changes, bleeding tendencies and the lack of cooperation by the patient. Moreover, echocardiography is dependent on the properties of the equipment and on the expertise of the technician. Recent studies show multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) to have as much diagnostic accuracy as TEE for the identification of potential embolic sources [15, 16]. We studied the potential utility of MDCT to detect both cardiac embolic sources and CAD in embolic-stroke patients without known CAD.

**Methods**

**Patients**

From January 2012 to May 2015, we performed MDCT for 184 patients with embolic stroke but without known CAD. A diagnosis of embolic stroke was performed according to the TOAST (Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment) subtype classification system [17]. Exclusion criteria were: (1) death, (2) disabling stroke (i.e. a modified Rankin scale score ≥ 3), (3) being older than 80 years, (4) having known CAD and (5) refusing to undergo MDCT. We investigated the source of embolism, and the coronary characteristics consisted of coronary calcium score (CCS) and the prevalence of significant stenosis, coronary plaque and high-risk plaque.

**MDCT**

All patients were scanned with a 64-MDCT scanner (SOMATOM Sensation 64 Cardiac, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). Patients with a heart rate >70 beats/min received oral metoprolol 20 mg before the 64-MDCT scan. To achieve coronary vasodilatation, we administered sublingual nitroglycerin 0.8 mg before the scan.

A native scan without contrast dye was performed to determine the total calcium burden of the coronary tree (sequential scan with 32 × 0.6 mm collimation, tube current 60 mA as at 120 kV). Contrast-enhanced CT angiography data were acquired with the use of a spiral scan with 32 × 0.6 mm collimation, 330-ms gantry rotation, a pitch of 0.2 and tube voltage of 120 kV. Contrast agent (50–60 ml; 370 mg iodine/ml) was injected intravenously (4.0 ml/s) followed by a 30-ml saline chaser. Transaxial images were reconstructed using an ECG-gated half-scan reconstruction algorithm (temporal resolution 164 ms) and kernel B30f. Late-phase imaging, using prospective electrocardiographic gating for added images of the left atrial appendage (LAA), was obtained 1 min after starting the injection of contrast media.

**CCTA Image Interpretation**

CT data sets were transferred to an offline workstation (Aquarius NetStation, TeraRecon Inc., San Mateo, Calif., USA) for image analysis. The CCS for all patients was calculated with dedicated software, which calculates the total amount of calcium on the basis of the number, areas and peak HU of the detected calcified lesions [21].

The contrast-enhanced CT angiography data were evaluated by 2 reviewers blinded to the clinical characteristics of the patients, using maximum intensity and curved multiplanar reconstruction techniques along multiple longitudinal axes and also transversely. Standard display settings were used for the evaluation of the contrast-enhanced 64-MDCT scans (window width 800 HU; window center 250 HU). We defined calcified plaque as any structure with a density >130 HU which could be visualized separately from the coronary lumen, assigned to the coronary artery wall and was identified in at least 2 independent planes [22]. We defined noncalcified plaque as any structure which could be assigned to the coronary artery wall, had a CT density less than the contrast-enhanced coronary lumen but greater than the surrounding connective tissue and was identified in at least 2 independent planes [22]. We defined positive remodeling as a diameter at the plaque site >10% larger than that of the reference segment [23]. We defined spotty calcification as <3 mm in size on curved multiplanar reformation images and occupying only 1 side on cross-sectional images [24]. In noncalcified plaque or plaque with spotty calcification, we measured the lowest CT number of 5 areas of minimum region of interest using the axial image. We defined low-attenuation plaque as <30 HU on CT [23]. We defined a napkin-ring sign as the presence of a ring of high attenuation around certain coronary artery plaques and the CT attenuation of a ring presenting higher than those of the adjacent plaques and <130 HU [25]. We defined high-risk plaque as a plaque with positive remodeling, low-attenuation plaque, spotty calcification or a napkin-ring sign. Two reviewers identified coronary segments and classified the segments as normal (smooth, parallel or tapering borders), as having nonsignificant stenosis (luminal irregularities or <50% stenosis) or with significant stenosis (>50% stenosis).

Informed consent for clinical procedures and research protocol was received from all patients studied. The study was approved by an institutional review board.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD. Discrete variables are expressed as counts or percentage.
Results

The clinical characteristics of the studied patients are shown in Table 1. Twelve patients had chronic atrial fibrillation and the other 6 had paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Table 2 shows the prevalence of potential cardiac embolic sources. Overall, 64 potential embolic sources were detected in 59 patients (32.1%). LAA thrombus was detected in 6 patients: 3 with sinus rhythm, 2 with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and 1 with chronic atrial fibrillation. Circulatory stasis was detected in 16 patients: 3 with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and 13 with chronic atrial fibrillation.

Table 3 shows the CCS and coronary artery characteristics of the studied patients. Only 47 (25.5%) had a zero CCS and 51 (27.7%) had a high-risk CCS defined as CCS ≥400. Significant CAD was detected in 18 patients (9.8%). Surprisingly, 8 patients had chronic total occlusion in at least 1 coronary artery, and 7 had multivessel CAD. The prevalence of high-risk plaque was 8.7%. Importantly, only 34 (15.0%) had no abnormalities detected by MDCT. Figures 1–4 show a representative case of LAA thrombus, PFO, aortic atheroma and CAD, respectively.

Table 1. Characteristics of 184 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>69.1±13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M:F</td>
<td>122:62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>140 (76.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>108 (58.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>38 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>36 (19.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>30 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal</td>
<td>6 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>20 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS, mg/dl</td>
<td>130.0±44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>5.9±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC, mg/dl</td>
<td>203.7±43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mg/dl</td>
<td>137.2±86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mg/dl</td>
<td>53.6±16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mg/dl</td>
<td>123.5±38.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as n (%) or means ± SD. BS = Blood sugar; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglyceride.

Discussion

Our results showed that in embolic-stroke patients, MDCT detected potential embolic sources in 32%, high-risk CCS in 28%, significant coronary stenosis in 10% and high-risk plaque in 9%. Importantly, only 13% of the patients had no abnormalities. Only 1 other study investigated the prevalence of both cardiac embolic sources and CAD in patients with embolic stroke [12]. There are several studies which compared MDCT and TEE for the detection of cardiac sources in embolic-stroke patients.
patients. Ko et al. [15] performed MDCT and TEE in 75 patients. MDCT identified a high-risk, intracardiac embolic source in 8 and an extracardiac source in 20, while TEE found an intracardiac source in 1 and an extracardiac source in 7. Kim et al. [12] found that cardioaortic sources of cerebral embolism were more frequently detected in the MDCT period than in the TEE period (18.1 vs. 6.6%, p < 0.001). Hur et al. [16] performed MDCT and TEE in 137 patients with a recent episode of stroke. They found potential cardiac sources in 80 patients (58.4%) including LAA/left atrium thrombus (8.8%), aortic atheroma (22.6%), circulatory stasis (10.9%) and PFO (10.2%). Their results are similar to ours.

The distinction between LAA thrombus and circulatory stasis is important and was established by the study of Kim et al. [18]. Furthermore, a meta-analysis showed that in a subanalysis of studies in which delayed imaging was performed, the diagnostic accuracy significantly improved to a mean weighted sensitivity and specificity of 100 and 99%, respectively, but the positive predictive value and negative predictive value increased to 92 and 100%, respectively. The accuracy for this technique was 99% [19]. Thus we used the definition of Kim et al. [18].

Previous studies investigated the prevalence of CAD in patients with ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack but without known CAD [8–10]. They showed that 20–
40% of stroke patients had asymptomatic significant CAD, but they excluded patients with embolic stroke. Only a few reports include patients with embolic stroke. Yoon et al. [11] performed CCTA in 175 patients suspected to have had embolic stroke/transient ischemic attack; atherosclerotic plaques were identified in 60 and 21% had occult CAD with stenosis ≥50% of the diameter. Kim et al. [12] found significant CAD in 36% of 200 patients with ischemic stroke but without known atrial fibrillation or CAD. Our results showed that 75% of patients had coronary plaques and 10% had significant stenosis. Surprisingly, 8/18 of our patients had chronic total occlusion.

We also measured CCS. Coronary artery calcification signifies the presence of coronary atherosclerosis, and a strong linear correlation exists between total coronary atherosclerotic plaque burden and the extent of coronary artery calcification [26, 27]. CCS has been found to be the most powerful predictor of cardiac events, providing independent and incremental information over risk factor-based assessments of asymptomatic patients [28, 29]. Thus, the measurement of CCS would have an additional value for the risk stratification of asymptomatic CAD in stroke patients. Our results showed that only one quarter of the embolic-stroke patients had a CCS of zero and another quarter had high-risk CAD.

Recent studies demonstrate that CCTA characteristics of plaques associated with vulnerability are positive remodeling, low-attenuation plaque and spotty calcification [23, 24]. In addition, a napkin-ring sign appears to be an indication of a high-risk coronary plaque [25]. Thus, we defined high-risk plaque as positive remodeling, low-attenuation plaque, spotty calcification or napkin-ring sign. The prevalence of high-risk plaque was about 10%; such patients should receive high-intensity statin therapy to prevent coronary events.

There are some limitations in our study. First, the number of patients was relatively small. Second, we excluded patients who had died, who had disabling stroke and who declined MDCT. It is likely that, because of these exclusions, the coronary characteristics of embolic-stroke patients were underestimated.

In conclusion, our results suggest that MDCT has potential utility to identify both cardiac embolic sources and CAD in patients with embolic stroke but without known CAD. Importantly, our results showed that only 13% of embolic-stroke patients had no abnormalities detected by MDCT. Compared with semi-invasive TEE, MDCT has the advantage of being noninvasive and it has the ability to detect and characterize CAD.
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