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Abstract

\textbf{Background:} Intradialytic hypertension is a condition where there is an increase in blood pressure (BP) from pre- to post-hemodialysis; this condition has been recently identified as an independent mortality risk factor in hypertensive hemodialysis patients. The mechanisms and management of intradialytic hypertension have been explored in numerous research studies over the past few years. \textbf{Summary:} Patients with intradialytic hypertension have been found to be more chronically volume overloaded compared to other hemodialysis patients, although no causal role has been established. Patients with intradialytic hypertension have intradialytic vascular resistance surges that likely explain the BP increase during dialysis. Acute intradialytic changes in endothelial cell function have been proposed as etiologies for the increase in vascular resistance, although it is unclear if endothelin-1 or some other vasoconstrictive peptide is responsible. There is an association between dialysate to serum sodium gradients and BP increase during dialysis in patients with intradialytic hypertension, although it is unclear if this is related to endothelial cell activity or acute osmolar changes. In addition to probing the dry weight of patients with intradialytic hypertension, other management strategies include lowering dialysate sodium and changing antihypertensives to include carvedilol or other poorly dialyzed antihypertensives. \textbf{Key Messages:} Hemodialysis patients with intradialytic hypertension have an increased mortality risk compared to patients with modest decreases in BP during dialysis. Intradialytic hypertension is associated with extracellular volume overload in addition to acute increases in vascular resistance during dialysis. Management strategies should include reevaluation of dry weight and modification of both the dialysate prescription and medication prescription.

Introduction

Hemodialysis is a life-sustaining procedure for end-stage kidney disease patients, but an accepted consequence of hemodialysis is the tendency for blood pressure (BP) to change frequently both during and between hemodialysis treatments. Large variability in BP measurements during hemodialysis is a risk factor for increased...
mortality in end-stage kidney disease patients [1]. The adverse outcomes associated with large decreases in BP during hemodialysis are well known [2], but nephrologists should be aware of the clinical significance of increases in BP during hemodialysis, as well. Intradialytic hypertension is an increase in BP from pre- to post-hemodialysis that has been shown to be associated with poor outcomes [3–5]. In recent years, numerous studies have explored the possible mechanisms responsible for intradialytic hypertension yielding potential interventions to consider in this high-risk group of patients. The purpose of this review is to provide the most recent update in the epidemiology and pathogenesis of intradialytic hypertension and to consider the implications for management in the clinical setting.

**Epidemiology**

Cohort data demonstrates that the expected response to a hemodialysis treatment is a reduction in systolic BP of about 10–15 mm Hg with BP decreasing steeply during the first hour and then decreasing more slowly for the remaining duration of the treatment [6]. However, there is a spectrum of BP responses, with a notable subgroup even demonstrating increases in BP during the treatment. While BP variability occurs frequently both during and between hemodialysis treatments in most hemodialysis patients, observing BP patterns over prolonged periods of time will help identify those patients who experience intradialytic hypertension most frequently. One recent cohort study defined intradialytic hypertension as an increase in systolic BP ≥10 mm Hg from pre- to post-dialysis to identify the prevalence of this phenomenon over long-term follow-up. Over the course of 6 months, intradialytic hypertension occurred in over 90% of the patients at least once [7]. The median percentage of treatments where intradialytic hypertension occurred during that 6-month period in this cohort was 18% such that 50% of the subjects experienced intradialytic hypertension in 18% of the treatments or less, and the remaining 50% experienced intradialytic hypertension in 18% or more of their treatments. Intradialytic hypertension occurred in at least 31% of the treatments in the quartile of subjects that experienced it most often. Finally, there were 9% of the subjects whose mean change in systolic BP from pre- to post-hemodialysis, when assessed over 6 months, was an increase of at least 10 mm Hg. In an even larger cohort study (n > 100,000) extending out to 5 years, 10% were also noted to have a similar mean increase in systolic BP of at least 10 mm Hg [3]. To summarize, the overall frequency with which this phenomenon occurs will vary from cohort to cohort through different periods of time. However, there is clearly a subset of patients who experience this routinely.

**Outcomes**

The clinical significance of intradialytic hypertension lies in the fact that among hypertensive hemodialysis patients, those with intradialytic hypertension appear to have some of the worst outcomes. Prior observational analysis showed that compared to patients whose systolic BP decreased by at least 10 mm Hg from pre- to post-hemodialysis, those with increases in BP of that magnitude had a higher odds ratio for hospitalization or mortality after 6 months [4]. Intradialytic hypertension identified patients with decreased survival in comparison to patients whose BP was low in another study with follow up of 2 years [5]. Since these studies, new epidemiologic data have placed intradialytic hypertension in direct comparison with the known morbidity associated with intradialytic hypotension. In a cohort of more than 100,000 hemodialysis patients followed for more than 5 years, a mean systolic BP decrease of 14 mm Hg represented the group with the best survival [3]. The highest mortality occurred in patients with either a 30 mm Hg decrease or any increase in systolic BP. This important study highlights the risk associated with intradialytic hypertension and the need to identify the underlying mechanism responsible for it.

**Pathophysiology**

**Extracellular Volume Overload**

Some of the earliest literature reporting on intradialytic hypertension suggested that the etiology of this ‘paradoxical’ increase in BP was related to dynamic changes in the cardiac output during dialysis [8, 9]. These studies, which were limited by small sample size and lack of control groups for comparison, concluded that reduction in end-diastolic volume that occurred through the process of ultrafiltration enabled BP to increase along with cardiac output. Agarwal and Light [10] demonstrated in retrospective analysis of the dry-weight reduction in hypertensive hemodialysis patients (DRIP) study that dry weight probing over the course of several weeks lowered not only ambulatory BP, but also modified the intradialytic BP slope. The subjects in the dry-weight reduction
arm whose post-hemodialysis weight decreased the most over the course of the trial were noted to change from flat intradialytic BP slopes at baseline to steep declines in intradialytic BP at the end of the study. Although cardiac output was not measured in this study, the conclusion was that the phenotype of intradialytic hypertension could be modified through adjustment in dry weight over time. As more elaborate methods to directly measure fluid volumes in vivo are being utilized in research and clinical settings, the relationship between extracellular volume overload and intradialytic hypertension continues to be explored. Most recently, a cross-sectional study used multifrequency bioimpedance spectroscopy to compare body composition among hemodialysis patients with differing intradialytic BP patterns during a single hemodialysis treatment [11]. While the measurements were only reflective of a single treatment, a major strength of the study was the large sample size that was included. The findings demonstrated that those patients with BP increases during dialysis had higher ratios of extracellular water to total body water. These studies collectively support the practice that the initial approach to patients with intradialytic hypertension should include reassessment of dry weight.

**Vasoconstriction**

While it is appropriate to consider dry-weight reduction in any hemodialysis patient with evidence of poorly controlled hypertension, there should be additional considerations to the etiology of a BP surge in patients with intradialytic hypertension. One case–control study by Chou et al. [12] provided opposing evidence to the theories in the uncontrolled studies by Gunal and Cirit, which state that changes in cardiac output are responsible for intradialytic hypertension. Using cardiac output estimated from echocardiograms and BP measurements before and after hemodialysis, the authors found there was a significant increase in vascular resistance from pre- to post-hemodialysis in the intradialytic hypertension patients compared to patients whose BP did not increase during hemodialysis. There were no significant differences in the change in cardiac output (or in the individual pre- or post-dialysis measurements) between the 2 groups. These authors and others have sought to identify specific mediators of the increase in BP that may be related to endogenous vasoconstrictive pressors. In the Chou et al. [12], there was no evidence that surges in sympathetic nervous system activity (assessed using plasma catecholamines) or in renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system activity (assessed using plasma renin activity) could explain the increase in vascular resistance. They did find, however, imbalances in endothelial cell-derived mediators after dialysis in the intradialytic hypertension patients. Specifically, there were higher levels of the vasoconstrictor endothelin-1 (ET-1) and smaller ratios of the vasodilator nitric oxide to ET-1. Other studies have investigated changes in ET-1 during dialysis in intradialytic hypertension and found suggestive, but not confirmatory evidence, to support this hypothesis. Raj et al. [13] found decreases in ET-1 in hypotension-prone patients with a trend toward increases in ET-1 in the intradialytic hypertension group. El-Shafey et al. [14] found increases in ET-1 in intradialytic hypertension patients, although there was no statistically significant between-group comparison with controls demonstrated.

Based on the evidence that vasoconstriction may be a predominant mechanism for intradialytic hypertension and that ET-1 has been implicated as a causative mediator for this, further investigation has been directed toward the overall role of endothelial cell dysfunction in intradialytic hypertension. One case–control study found that patients with intradialytic hypertension (defined as an increase in systolic BP of at least 10 mm Hg from pre- to post-hemodialysis in 4/6 screening treatments) had lower flow-mediated vasodilation compared to hypertensive hemodialysis controls with BP decreases of that magnitude during screening [15]. This assessment of endothelial cell function occurred on a non-hemodialysis day such that it was not influenced by the hemodialysis procedure. The intradialytic hypertension patients also had lower levels of circulating endothelial progenitor cells than the controls. Endothelial progenitor cells have been validated as a marker of cardiovascular risk (lower levels indicating higher risk) [16]. These were measured prior to dialysis so that they also were not influenced by the hemodialysis procedure. It is of note that in the Inrig study [15], the change in ET-1 from pre- to post-hemodialysis was not different between the intradialytic hypertension patients and hemodialysis controls. So while endothelial cell dysfunction appears to be greater in intradialytic hypertension patients, the most recent research has focused on specific mechanisms by which the endothelial cells influence intradialytic BP.

**Dialysate Sodium**

Dialysate composition has long been recognized as a factor in intradialytic hemodynamics [17]. As the serum sodium contributes largely to an individual’s serum osmolarity, the role of dialysate sodium should be considered a potential source of intradialytic hypertension. Pre-
Previous studies have demonstrated how fluctuations in dialysate sodium and osmolarity can induce changes in fluid movement from various intracorporeal compartments. Using dilutional techniques to estimate extracellular volume, it was shown that low-dialysate sodium concentration (7% lower than serum) causes intradialytic hypertension with extracellular volume removal that exceeds the total fluid removed during hemodialysis [18]. Movement of fluid from the extracellular space to the intracellular space accounted for the additional extracellular fluid loss, which likely resulted in the increased likelihood for symptomatic hypotension. High-dialysate sodium achieved the removal of fluid from both the intracellular and extracellular spaces such that the overall extracellular fluid reduction was much lower than with hypotonic or isotonic dialysate. A correlation between the dialysate to serum sodium gradient and the reduction in intracellular volume has been confirmed in a subsequent study utilizing whole body bioimpedance spectroscopy [19]. Based on the above studies, it would seem that stabilization of the BP during dialysis could be achieved by maintaining sufficient plasma volume at all times throughout the dialysis procedure to prevent clinically significant reductions in cardiac output. What has not been studied extensively is whether high sodium dialysate causes vasoconstriction via vasopressin increases driven by changes in serum osmolarity. While the clinical application of dialysate sodium modification is typically directed to increasing dialysate sodium to prevent severe intradialytic hypertension, the question remains whether the use of prescribbed dialysate sodium which is higher than serum sodium contributes to intradialytic hypertension.

One randomized crossover study investigated how dialysate sodium modification affected BP in patients with a history of recurrent intradialytic hypertension [20]. In this study, both serum sodium and BP measured throughout the dialysis treatment were much higher when subjects were exposed to high-dialysate sodium (pre-dialysis serum sodium + 5) compared to low dialysate sodium (pre-dialysis serum sodium – 5). The study also sought to explore how endothelial cell function was influenced by the different sodium gradients. Based on experimental evidence in endothelial cell culture that high extracellular sodium concentration impairs nitric oxide release [21], it was hypothesized that the nitric oxide release would be lower and ET-1 would be higher while patients were exposed to high-dialysate sodium. In the randomized study, there was no significant difference in nitric oxide or ET-1 level based on the dialysate sodium, but it was found that the subjects that were exposed to high dialysate as the first intervention had higher ET-1 and lower nitric oxide throughout the study suggesting that there may be some long-lasting carryover effect from high-dialysate sodium.

Management and Treatment

The management of intradialytic hypertension warrants consideration of both the short- and long-term consequences of intradialytic hypertension. One case-control study showed that ambulatory BP was higher in intradialytic hypertension patients compared to other hypertensive HD patients whose BP decreased during dialysis [22]. One can consider approaches to target the higher interdialytic BP or target the intradialytic increase in BP. Table 1 includes a hypothetical case of a patient with intradialytic hypertension and addresses many management options that we will discuss next.

As stated above, we recommend that dry-weight reduction be considered an initial approach in any hypertensive hemodialysis patient. Agarwal et al. [23] demonstrated in a randomized clinical trial that slow probing of dry weight results in decreased BP both in the HD unit and during the interdialytic time period. The efficacy of this approach is yet to be confirmed specifically in patients with intradialytic hypertension, but the evidence that intradialytic hypertension patients tend to be chronically volume overloaded supports consideration of this as the first intervention.

As dry-weight reduction may not be sustainable or tolerable, pharmacologic antihypertensive therapy will be required in most hypertensive hemodialysis patients. Awareness of the dialyzability of commonly used pharmacologic antihypertensive agents is important when evaluating intradialytic hypertension patients [24]. Changing a highly dialyzable drug to a less dialyzable drug should be considered in this patient population, but selecting drugs that may have pleiotropic properties that specifically benefit intradialytic hypertension patients beyond overall lowering of BP should also be considered. There is data from an uncontrolled pilot study that the initiation of carvedilol in intradialytic hypertension patients decreases the ambulatory BP, improves FMD, and reduces the overall percentage of treatments in which intradialytic hypertension occurs [25]. It is not possible to establish the mechanisms responsible for these findings due to the design of the study, but there is some in vitro data that carvedilol can inhibit the release of ET-1 in endothelial cell culture [26]. In the case-control portion of the formerly mentioned pilot study, there were no differences between
intradialytic hypertension patients and controls regarding the change in ET-1 from pre- to post-hemodialysis. Therefore, it is difficult to interpret the significant reduction in change in ET-1 from pre- to post-hemodialysis that occurred with carvedilol. Direct ET-1 antagonists are not routinely prescribed for hypertension alone and are not well studied in the HD population, so it is not currently recommended to consider one of these agents for the specific management of intradialytic hypertension. As more research is done in identifying the exact mechanisms responsible for intradialytic hypertension, the decision of which antihypertensive agent to use may become clearer.

The benefits of lowering the dialysate sodium were shown in the above randomized study [20], where all subjects were prone to intradialytic hypertension at baseline. However, there remains much debate over the individualization of dialysate sodium to manage hypertension in the general hypertensive hemodialysis population [27, 28]. In intradialytic hypertension-prone patients, the BP reduction with lower dialysate sodium may in fact be beneficial as long as BP does not decrease excessively. In general, it is advised to regularly check serum sodium and to closely monitor for intradialytic hypotension if dialysate sodium is lowered. The long-term effects of this intervention are yet to be explored.

**Conclusion**

Intradialytic hypertension is now recognized as a recurrent and persistent phenomenon in a subset of hemodialysis patients. There continues to be epidemiologic evidence that intradialytic hypertension is associated with an increased risk for adverse outcomes that is comparable
to patients who have excessive and dramatic decreases in BP during HD. Patients with intradialytic hypertension may be more chronically volume overloaded than hypertensive hemodialysis patients whose BP decreases during hemodialysis, but they also appear to have a large, unexpected increase in vascular resistance that accounts for the BP increase during dialysis. Endothelial cell dysfunction is prevalent in intradialytic hypertension patients, although it remains undetermined whether ET-1 is the specific mediator of the intradialytic BP surge. Management of intradialytic hypertension patients should include an initial reassessment of dry weight. Patients with persistent intradialytic hypertension should be managed with less dialyzable drugs, and there is some evidence that carvedilol may provide a specific benefit. Modification of the dialysate sodium can be considered, although labs and hemodynamics should be carefully monitored.

Acknowledgments

Current support for the authors include NIH1K23DK096007-01A1 (P.N.V.B.) and institutional support as the Dedman Family Scholar in Clinical Care at UT Southwestern (P.N.V.B.).

Disclosure Statement

The authors have nothing to disclose.

References