Usefulness of the Macruz Index for Predicting Successful Percutaneous Mitral Balloon Valvuloplasty in Patients with Mitral Stenosis
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Introduction

The leading cause of mitral stenosis (MS) is rheumatic fever [1]. Rheumatic fever causes characteristic changes in the rheumatic mitral valve, i.e. thickened leaflet edges, fusion of the commissures and chordae tendineae, and chordal shortening [2]. The restricted valve orifice area leads to elevation of the left atrial (LA) pressure, and the resultant LA enlargement can precipitate atrial fibrillation (AF) [3]. P-wave dispersion (PWD), which is the difference between maximum and minimum P-wave dura-
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Abstract
Objective: The aim of this study was to determine whether the Macruz index (P/P-R segment) could predict the severity of valvular involvement and the success of percutaneous mitral balloon valvuloplasty (PMBV) in patients with mitral stenosis (MS). Subjects and Methods: Sixty-one patients with MS eligible for PMBV and 72 healthy subjects (61 females and 11 males) with sinus rhythm were enrolled into this study. PMBV was performed in all patients using a percutaneous transseptal antegrade approach and a multitrack balloon technique. The P/P-R segment ratio and echocardiographic variables were measured before and 48–72 h after the procedure. The optimal cutoff point for differences in the Macruz index to determine clinical success was evaluated by receiver operating characteristic analysis by calculating the area under the curve as giving the maximum sum of sensitivity and specificity for the significant test. Results: In the patient group (mean age 42.9 ± 11.1 years), the preprocedural Macruz index was significantly higher than in the control group (2.79 ± 1.03 vs. 1.29 ± 0.11; p < 0.001). In the successful-procedure group (n = 53), the mean postindex value was significantly lower (2.12 ± 0.71 vs. 2.81 ± 1.0, p = 0.020), and the decrease in the Macruz index was significantly higher than in the unsuccessful-procedure group (p = 0.007). An index decrease of 0.105 was the best cutoff value to distinguish the successful-PMBV group from the unsuccessful-PMBV group (area under the curve = 0.888, 95% confidence interval 0.788–0.988, p < 0.001). Conclusion: The Macruz index was significantly higher in patients with MS compared to healthy subjects. A greater decrease in the Macruz index was associated with a successful PMBV.
tions and which is an electrocardiographic (ECG) AF marker, and MS have been reported to be related in previous studies [4–8]. Reduced PWD and maximum P-wave durations measured on day 1 and day 30 after percutaneous mitral balloon valvuloplasty (PMBV) have been reported [5]. Macruz et al. [9] used a formula based on the ratio of the P-wave duration to the P-R segment to determine right, left, and combined atrial enlargement and accepted values below 1.6 as normal. This simple formula, called the Macruz index, has been linked to LA abnormalities in numerous studies [10–13].

The presence of symptoms with severe to moderate MS and a favorable valve morphology in the absence of a LA thrombus or moderate-to-severe mitral regurgitation (MR) is an indication for PMBV [14]. A successful procedure may affect the ECG parameters in addition to relieving the symptoms [5]. The relationship between PWD, which is a marker for inhomogeneous and discontinuous propagation of sinus impulses [15, 16], and PMBV has been investigated [4, 5, 7], but no data is available on the effects of PMBV on the Macruz index. Therefore, the aims of this study were to investigate the relationship between the Macruz index and the severity of MS and to determine whether this index could be helpful in predicting successful PMBV.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Patient Selection**

Two groups of patients were examined. Group 1 comprised patients who had moderate or severe MS (53 females and 8 males) with a favorable valve morphology and underwent PMBV at our institution from January 2002 to October 2013. Group 2 comprised the healthy control group (61 females and 11 males) without any known heart disease. All patients were in sinus rhythm. Exclusion criteria were: coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, other significant valvular lesions, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and current treatment with antiarrhythmic drugs. The indications for PMBV were: symptoms with moderate or severe MS with a favorable valve morphology, or no symptoms with moderate to severe MS and pulmonary hypertension (pulmonary artery systolic pressure >50 mm Hg) at rest) with a favorable valve morphology. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

**Electrocardiogram**

A 12-lead electrocardiogram was recorded on a paper speed of 25 mm/s, a sensitivity of 1 mV/cm, and filter settings of 0.05–40 Hz for each patient before and 48–72 h after the PMBV calipers and magnifying lens had been used to avoid inaccurate measurements. P-wave duration was measured from its earliest departure from baseline to the end of the P-wave, and the P-R segment was measured from termination of the P wave to the beginning of the first deflection attributable to the ventricular complex. P-wave and P-R segment durations were determined by averaging 5 consecutive beats from the rhythm strip record [17]. The Macruz index was defined as the P/P-R segment ratio [9].

**Echocardiographic Evaluation**

All of the patients underwent comprehensive 2-dimensional (2D) and color Doppler transthoracic echocardiography (Vivid 7 Ultrasound System; GE, Horten, Norway) before and after PMBV. Measurements were obtained at rest in the left lateral decubitus position. Wilkins’ echo scoring system [18] was used to calculate the valvular score according to leaflet mobility, thickness, calcification, and subvalvular lesions. The LA diameter was measured by M-mode echocardiography, and the planimetric method or the pressure halftime method (in the absence of significant MR) was used to calculate the mitral valve area (MVA). Mean transmural diastolic valve gradients (mMVG) were calculated using a Doppler ultrasound scanning assessment. MR was evaluated with color flow Doppler. Continuous-wave Doppler was used to calculate the peak pressure gradient of the tricuspid regurgitation jet velocity, and the systolic pulmonary artery pressure was calculated by adding the right atrial pressure (10 mm Hg) to this value. All patients were screened for LA thrombus with a 2D transesophageal echocardiogram less than 24 h prior to the procedure.

**Percutaneous Mitral Balloon Valvuloplasty**

After 12 h of fasting and under local anesthesia and mild sedation (diazepam 2.5–5 mg), all eligible patients (n = 61) underwent PMBV via a percutaneous transseptal antegrad approach and a multitrack balloon technique. The pressure on the right and left sides of the heart was evaluated before and after the procedure. The procedure was terminated if a satisfactory result (MVA >1.5 cm² or valve area increment ≥50% without >2+ MR) was achieved.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows, version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). The normality of the distributions of continuous variables was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were reported as means ± SD or medians (range), where applicable.

Differences in mean values between groups were compared using Student’s t-test. Nominal data were analyzed by Pearson’s χ² test. Whether the statistically significant difference in the mean mitral index between the case and control groups was to be continued or not was evaluated by analysis of covariance after adjustment for age. The mean differences between pre- and post-PMBV measurements were compared using a paired t-test; otherwise, the Wilcoxon signed-ranked test was applied for comparison of median values. The degree of association between continuous variables was evaluated by Spearman’s rank correlation analyses.

The optimal cutoff point for differences in the Macruz index to determine clinical success was evaluated by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis by calculating the area under the curve as giving the maximum sum of sensitivity and specificity for the significant test. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy rates were also calculated at the best cutoff point for differences in the mitral index. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Results

There were no significant differences between patients and controls in terms of the mean age (42.9 ± 11.1 vs. 40.4 ± 8.6 years, p = 0.704), body surface area (1.75 ± 0.16 vs. 1.74 ± 0.22, p = 0.410), blood pressure (98.5 ± 10.0 vs. 100 ± 9.7, p = 0.502), or left ventricular ejection fraction (64 ± 3 vs. 65 ± 3, p = 0.740). The mean LA diameter was significantly higher in the patient group compared to the control group (4.54 ± 4.21 vs. 3.6 ± 0.17 cm, p < 0.001). The preprocedural mean Macruz index value was significantly higher in the patient group compared to the control group (2.79 ± 1.03 vs. 1.29 ± 0.11, p < 0.001; table 1).

Among patients who underwent PMBV (n = 61), 53 (86.9%) experienced a successful PMBV. In the unsuccessful-PMBV group (n = 8; 13.1%), MR >2° occurred in 3 patients, the MVA remained <1.5 cm² in 3 patients, and the MVA increment in 2 patients was <50%. The median valvular score was 8 (5–10) in all patients. In the unsuccessful-procedure group, 5 patients had a valvular score >8, and 3 patients had a score ≤8.

The median postprocedural MVA was significantly higher than the preprocedural MVA (1.70 vs. 1.15 cm², p < 0.001). The median postprocedural systolic pulmonary arterial pressure (36 vs. 45 mm Hg) and mMVG (5 vs. 11 mm Hg) were significantly lower than the preprocedural values (p < 0.001). The mean postprocedural Macruz index values were significantly lower than the preprocedural values (2.23 ± 0.79 vs. 2.79 ± 1.03, p < 0.001; fig. 1). There was no significant difference in terms of the median pre-LA and post-LA diameters (4.6 vs. 4.3 cm, p = 0.182; table 2).

There was no significant correlation between pre-MVA and preindex values (r = 0.045), post-MVA and postindex values (r = -0.127), or change in MVA and change in index values (r = -0.172; p > 0.05). There was also no significant correlation between pre-LA and preindex values, post-LA and postindex values, or change in LA diameter and change in index values (p > 0.05). There was no significant correlation between valvular scores and preindex values, valvular scores and postindex values, or valvular scores and change in index values (p > 0.05; table 3).

Table 1. Baseline clinical characteristics of the patients with MS and the control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Patient group (n = 61)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 72)</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>42.9 ± 11.1</td>
<td>40.4 ± 8.6</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8 (13.1%)</td>
<td>11 (15.3%)</td>
<td>0.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>53 (86.9%)</td>
<td>61 (84.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area, m²</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>98.5 ± 10.0</td>
<td>100 ± 9.7</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>62.1 ± 6.8</td>
<td>60 ± 7.9</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>79 ± 7</td>
<td>79 ± 5</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA diameter, cm</td>
<td>4.54 ± 4.21</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction, %</td>
<td>64 ± 3</td>
<td>65 ± 3</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macruz index</td>
<td>2.79 ± 1.03</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Macruz index measurements before and after PMBV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pre-PMBV</th>
<th>Post-PMBV</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macruz index</td>
<td>2.79 ± 1.03</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVA, cm²</td>
<td>1.15 (0.80–1.50)</td>
<td>1.70 (1.00–2.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA diameter, cm</td>
<td>4.60 (3.90–5.70)</td>
<td>4.30 (4.00–5.70)</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>45 (27–90)</td>
<td>36 (24–75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMVG, mm Hg</td>
<td>11 (5–25)</td>
<td>5 (2–12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as means ± SD or medians (range) unless otherwise stated.
There was no significant difference in terms of preindex values in either the successful- or the unsuccessful-PMBV group (p = 0.873). However, in the successful-procedure group the mean postindex values were significantly lower (p = 0.020), and the decrease in postindex values compared to preindex values was significantly higher than in the unsuccessful-procedure group (p = 0.007; table 4).

The area under the ROC curve was statistically significant for distinguishing the successful-PMBV group from the unsuccessful-PMBV group based on the change in postindex values compared to preindex values (area under the curve = 0.888, 95% CI 0.788–0.988, p < 0.001; fig. 2). The best cutoff point of the ROC curve to distinguish the successful-PMBV group from the unsuccessful-PMBV group with changes in postindex values compared to preindex values was −0.105, with a sensitivity of 88.5%, a specificity of 87.5%, and positive and negative predictive values of 97.9 and 53.8% (table 5).

Discussion

In this study, the Macruz index was significantly higher in the patient group compared to the control group, but there was no significant correlation between valvular scores, the severity of valvular involvement, and the Macruz index in the patient group. After PMBV, the Macruz index decreased significantly, and the decrement was more pronounced in the successful-procedure group.

In our study, an increased LA pressure and LA diameter in patients with MS resulted in a higher Macruz index [19] compared to healthy subjects with a normal LA diameter. Previously, the Macruz index has been shown to be a more helpful ECG index of atrial function abnormality rather than anatomic abnormality in different diastolic dysfunction patient subsets [10, 20]. Moreover, it has been claimed that the Macruz index should be useful for diagnosis of MS [21]. MS is a mechanical cause of diastolic dysfunction leading to increased resistance to diastolic ventricular filling; therefore, in our study, the de-
crease in the Macruz index might suggest an acute fall in LA pressure after successful MBVP.

In this study, there was no significant correlation between preindex and pre-MVA or pre-LA diameters, or between postindex and post-MVA or post-LA diameters. Equally, there was no significant correlation between index change and improvement in MVA or LA diameter. The lack of a significant correlation in these values could be due to remarkable electrical and structural changes that could occur in the months following the procedure. Our results confirmed the findings of the study of Turhan et al. [4] and Kazemi et al. [5], who reported no significant correlation between PWD and echocardiographic parameters in patients with MS who underwent PMBV [4, 5]. Our findings support the view that the disease process is more complicated than can be explained by simple ECG and echocardiographic parameters, and these results could differ in each patient depending on the discrepant characteristics of the disease and the patients.

The most striking finding of the present study is that the difference between preindex and postindex values was useful in distinguishing between the successful- and unsuccessful-procedure groups in this cohort. Although there was no significant difference in preindex values between the successful- and unsuccessful-procedure groups, the mean postindex values were significantly lower in the successful-procedure group compared to the unsuccessful-procedure group.

Numerous studies have investigated the effect of an acute reduction of atrial stretch after PMBV on both ECG [4, 5, 22–24] and echocardiographic parameters. Demirkan et al. [7] found decreased PWD and improved left-sided intra-atrial electromechanical delay after successful PMBV, and they suggested that successful PMBV reduces the susceptibility to AF even in the early period. Kazemi et al. [5] demonstrated a decrease in Pmax and PWD only after a successful procedure [5]. In our study, although we did not observe a significant correlation between LA diameter and the Macruz index, the Macruz

Table 4. Change in the Macruz index before and after PMBV according to clinical success

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macruz index</th>
<th>Unsuccessful-procedure group (n = 8)</th>
<th>Successful-procedure group (n = 53)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-PMBV</td>
<td>2.83±0.92</td>
<td>2.76±1.05</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-PMBV</td>
<td>2.81±1.00</td>
<td>2.12±0.72</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in the Macruz index</td>
<td>−0.02±0.20</td>
<td>−0.64±0.63</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. ROC analysis indicating the best cutoff value for the change in Macruz index that predicts clinical outcomes and diagnostic performance measures (n = 61)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Mitral index difference</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>n/total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>TP/(TP+FN)</td>
<td>46/52 (88.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI for the AUC</td>
<td>0.788–0.988</td>
<td>TN/(TN+FP)</td>
<td>7/8 (87.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>TP/(TP+FP)</td>
<td>46/47 (97.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best cutoff point</td>
<td>&lt;−0.105</td>
<td>TN/(TN+FN)</td>
<td>7/13 (53.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>(TP+TN)/(TP+TN+FP+FN)</td>
<td>53/61 (88.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC = Area under the curve; TP = true positive; FN = false negative; TN = true negative; FP = false positive; PPV = positive predictive value; NPV = negative predictive value.
index may be more representative of LA functional changes rather than anatomical changes. We observed a more pronounced reduction in Macruz index when the procedure was successful, suggesting that a reduction in Macruz index after PMBV might have indicated a reduction in LA pressure. In addition to decreased LA pressure, decreased systolic pulmonary artery pressure can affect the P-wave indices [26]; therefore, the decreased pulmonary pressure might have contributed to the ECG changes. An effective procedure causes delay or even prevention of the initiation of AF by inhibiting electrical and structural remodeling of the atria [5, 27]. Patients with rheumatic MS and AF have a worse overall prognosis compared to the general population [2] and they are strongly advised to receive oral anticoagulation treatment regardless of their CHA2DS2-VASc score (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age, diabetes mellitus, stroke, vascular disease, and female gender) because of their higher embolic risk profile related to LA structural changes [28]. Hence, embolic complications in MS are a major cause of morbidity and mortality; early detection of patients who could benefit from oral anticoagulation treatment for stroke prevention is crucial. Compared to healthy subjects, patients with MS had a greater Macruz index. This index may be useful, like PWD, for demonstrating inhomogeneous and discontinuous propagation of sinus impulses, and it may provide clues to the arrhythmic tendency of the atria in patients with MS. PMBV, when successful, may reduce the arrhythmic tendency of the atrial wall by reducing the stretch on it.

The most important limitations of this study were its retrospective design and small sample size. In addition, the Macruz index is not applicable to patients with AF. Another limitation was the absence of follow-up data for these patients, and hence we have no information on whether patients with a higher Macruz index and/or a less decreased index experienced AF or not. Further studies with a prospective design are needed to investigate the AF incidence in patients with MS and a higher Macruz index.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the Macruz index was higher in patients with MS than in healthy subjects. This index decreased after the PMBV procedure probably due to the diminished LA pressure. The greater decrease in the Macruz index after PMBV was associated with a successful PMBV.
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