Assessment of Third Molar Impaction Pattern and Associated Clinical Symptoms in a Central Anatolian Turkish Population
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Abstract
Objectives: The purpose of this study was to assess the pattern of third molar impaction and associated symptoms in a Central Anatolian Turkish population. Material and Methods: A total of 2,133 impacted third molar teeth of 705 panoramic radiographs were reviewed. The positions of impacted third molar teeth on the panoramic radiographs were documented according to the classifications of Pell and Gregory and of Winter. The presence of related symptoms including pain, pericoronitis, lymphadenopathy and trismus was noted for every patient. Distributions of obtained values were compared using the Pearson \(\chi^2\) test. Nonparametric values were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test. Results: The mean age of the subjects was 30.58 ± 11.98 years (range: 19–73); in a review of the 2,133 impacted third molar teeth, the most common angulation of impaction in both maxillaries was vertical (1,177; 55%). Level B impaction was the most common in the maxilla (425/1,037; 39%), while level C impaction was the most common in the mandible (635/1,096; 61%). Pain (272/705; 39%) and pericoronitis (188/705; 27%) were found to be the most common complications of impaction. Among 705 patients (335 males, 370 females), pericoronitis was more prevalent in males (101; 30%) and usually related to lower third molars (236; 22%). The retromolar space was significantly smaller in females (p < 0.05). Moreover, there was a significant difference in retromolar space for the area of jaw (maxillary: 11.3 mm; mandibular: 14.2 mm) and impaction level (A: 14.7 mm; B: 11.1 mm; C: 10.3 mm; p < 0.05). Conclusion: The pattern of third molar impaction in a Central Anatolian Turkish population was characterized by a high prevalence rate of level C impaction with vertical position. Pain and pericoronitis were the most common symptoms usually associated with level A impaction and vertical position.

Introduction

Tooth impaction is a pathological situation in which a tooth cannot or will not erupt into its normal functioning position [1]. In human dentition, the third molars have the highest impaction rate of all teeth [1]. The major factors related to tooth impaction are lack of space, limited skeletal growth, increased crown size and late maturation of the third molars [2]. Although impacted third molars may remain symptom free indefinitely, they could give cause for various symptoms and pathologies, such as
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pericoronitis, pain, swelling, distal caries, bone loss, root resorption of adjacent teeth, odontogenic cysts and tumors [3]. It is considered that the occurrence of pathology resulting from impaction has a multifactorial origin [4]. Eruption status, position and angulation have an impact on these symptoms [4]. The decision whether or not to remove a mandibular third molar is probably one of the most frequent treatment decisions in the dental profession [3, 5, 6]. Hashemipour et al. [7] had noted that the anatomical position of impacted third molars shows important variations which anticipate difficulty of extraction. Several methods have been used to classify impaction [4]. This classification is based on many factors, which are the level of impaction, the angulation of the third molars and the relationship to the anterior border of the ramus. The depth or level of maxillary and mandibular third molars can be classified using the Pell and Gregory classification system, where the impacted teeth are assessed according to their relationship to the occlusal surface of the adjacent second molar [2].

**Fig. 1.** Pell and Gregory classification. Level A: the occlusal plane of the impacted tooth is at the same level as the occlusal plane of the second molar (the highest portion of the impacted third molar is on a level with or above the occlusal plane); level B: the occlusal plane of the impacted tooth is between the occlusal plane and the cervical margin of the second molar (the highest portion of the impacted third molar is below the occlusal plane but above the cervical line of the second molar); level C: the impacted tooth is below the cervical margin of the second molar (the highest portion of the impacted third molar is below the cervical line of the second molar).

**Fig. 2.** Winter’s classification. Vertical impaction: the long axis of the third molar is parallel to the long axis of the second molar (from 10 to –10°); mesioangular impaction: the impacted tooth is tilted toward the second molar in a mesial direction (from 11 to 79°); horizontal impaction: the long axis of the third molar is horizontal (from 80 to 100°); distoangular impaction: the long axis of the third molar is angled distally/posteriorly away from the second molar (from –11 to –79°); others (from 101 to –80°).
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Material and Methods

A retrospective study was made of 705 patients (335 males and 370 females) with at least 1 impacted third molar detected on panoramic radiography at the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology from February to August 2014. The study plan was approved by the administration of the Faculty of Dentistry. Exclusion criteria were records of patients aged <19 years with any pathological dentoalveolar condition, any craniofacial anomaly or syndrome such as Down syndrome, cleidocranial dysostosis or the presence of incomplete records or poor-quality orthopantomograms, incomplete root formation of third molars or absence of adjacent second molars, a history of any dental extraction or orthodontic treatment. When reviewing panoramic radiographs, clinical records of patients were also examined, and related symptoms including pain, pericoronitis, lymphadenopathy (LAP) and trismus were noted for every patient. All impacted third molar teeth on panoramic radiographs were reviewed by a single examiner (S.Y.) using a Cliniview 10.0.2 (Instrumentarium, Tuusula, Finland) X-ray viewer to determine the levels of eruption and angulations. In order to minimize the risk of false assessments caused by fatigue, no more than 50 radiographs were evaluated at a time. The depth of impacted lower third molars in relation to the occlusal plane was recorded according to the classification of Pell and Gregory (fig. 1). The angulation of an impacted third molar was documented based on Winter’s classification with reference to the angle formed between the intersected longitudinal axes of the second and third molars (fig. 2). The distance from the ramus to the distal surface of the second molar (retromolar space) was also measured (fig. 3).

Distributions of obtained values were compared using a Pearson χ² test, using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22 software. Distribution of retromolar space was tested for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. When the retromolar space was not found to be normally distributed (p < 0.05), the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare 2-group variables. The Kruskal-Wallis test and pairwise comparisons were used to compare 3-group variables. One hundred panoramic radiographs were re-evaluated after an interval of 1 week to measure intraexaminer error. The Cohen kappa coefficient was found to be 91%.

Results

Of the 705 patients (mean age: 30.58 ± 11.98 years, range: 19–73) with at least 1 impacted third molar tooth, 335 (47.5%) were males and 370 (52.5%) were females; the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.187). From the 705 patients, a total of 2,133 impacted third molar teeth was examined – maxilla: 1,037 (49%) and mandible: 1,096 (51%) –, and the difference was not statistically significant either (p = 0.201). The distribution of symptoms by gender and area of jaw is shown in table 2. Pain (272; 39%) and pericoronitis (188; 27%) were the most common symptoms. The depth of impacted molar teeth and associated symptoms are shown in table 1.
most common complications of impaction, followed by LAP (88; 12%) and trismus (70; 10%). There was no significant difference in frequency of pain, LAP and trismus between genders and areas of jaw. Pericoronitis was more prevalent in males (101; 30%) than females and was usually related to lower third molars (236; 22%). The distribution of symptoms showed significant differences by level of impaction and angulation (p < 0.01), as presented in table 3. The occurrence rate of symptoms showed higher percentages for pain (318; 37%), pericoronitis (112; 44%), LAP (112; 38%) and trismus (87; 38%) at level A impaction than other impaction levels. Also it was noted that most of the symptoms of pain (408; 48%), pericoronitis (101; 40%), LAP (148; 51%) and trismus (107; 47%) were associated with vertically angulated third molars. The retromolar space was significantly smaller in females (13.8 mm) than males (11.9 mm; p < 0.05). Moreover there was a significant difference in retromolar space for the area of jaw (maxillary: 11.3 mm; mandibular: 14.2 mm) and impaction level (A: 14.7 mm; B: 11.1 mm; C: 10.3 mm; p < 0.01; table 4). Pairwise comparisons indicated that the retromolar space showed different results for impaction levels (table 5).

Table 1. Distribution (numbers, percentages in parentheses) of third molar impaction by level of impaction and angulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of impaction</th>
<th>Angulation</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary impacts</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>272 (25)</td>
<td>425 (39)</td>
<td>399 (36)</td>
<td>96 (9)</td>
<td>343 (33)</td>
<td>592 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular impacts</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>210 (20)</td>
<td>192 (19)</td>
<td>635 (61)</td>
<td>313 (29)</td>
<td>144 (13)</td>
<td>585 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>482 (23)</td>
<td>617 (29)</td>
<td>1,034 (48)</td>
<td>409 (19)</td>
<td>487 (23)</td>
<td>1,177 (55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05: statistically significantly different. M = Mesioangular; D = distoangular; V = vertical; H = horizontal; O = other.

Table 2. Distribution (numbers, percentages in parentheses) of symptoms by gender and area of jaw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Area of jaw</th>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Pericoronitis</th>
<th>LAP</th>
<th>Trismus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>maxillary impacts</td>
<td>male (n = 335)</td>
<td>female (n = 370)</td>
<td>total (n = 705)</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>131 (39)</td>
<td>141 (38)</td>
<td>272 (39)</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>404 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>101 (30)</td>
<td>87 (24)</td>
<td>188 (27)</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
<td>16 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>232 (39)</td>
<td>228 (38)</td>
<td>460 (39)</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>420 (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05: statistically significantly different.

Table 3. Distribution (numbers, percentages in parentheses) of symptoms by level of impaction and angulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of impaction</th>
<th>Angulation</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>318 (37)</td>
<td>280 (33)</td>
<td>253 (30)</td>
<td>173 (20)</td>
<td>240 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericoronitis</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>112 (44)</td>
<td>107 (42)</td>
<td>33 (14)</td>
<td>75 (30)</td>
<td>64 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>112 (38)</td>
<td>88 (30)</td>
<td>91 (32)</td>
<td>61 (21)</td>
<td>75 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trismus</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>87 (38)</td>
<td>84 (37)</td>
<td>57 (25)</td>
<td>42 (18)</td>
<td>71 (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05: statistically significantly different. M = Mesioangular; D = distoangular; V = vertical; H = horizontal.
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Table 4. Means and SD of retromolar space (mm) by gender, area of jaw and level of impaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of jaw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of impaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gender and area of jaw assessed by the Mann-Whitney U test, level of impaction by the Kruskal-Wallis test. * p < 0.05: statistically significantly different.

Table 5. Pairwise comparisons of impaction levels for the retromolar space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison groups</th>
<th>Test statistics</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Standard test statistics</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Adjusted p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-B</td>
<td>564.601</td>
<td>31.245</td>
<td>18.070</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-A</td>
<td>1,021.125</td>
<td>34.374</td>
<td>29.706</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-A</td>
<td>456.524</td>
<td>38.165</td>
<td>11.962</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05: statistically significantly different.

Discussion

This study showed a high prevalence rate of third molar impaction in the vertical position. This finding confirmed the previous studies of Almendros-Marqués et al. [4], Bataineh et al. [9] and Hugoson and Kugelberg [10], who had reported that the most common angulation was vertical. However, other studies had shown that the most common type was mesioangular impaction [11, 12]. The level of impaction assessed based on the Pell and Gregory classification showed that level B impaction was the most common in the maxilla, similar to the study of Hassan [1], while that of level C was the most common in the mandible. These findings conflict with most of the previous studies that identified the most common position as level A [7, 13, 14]. Further results also conflict with Blondeau and Nach [15] from Canada, and Almendros-Marqués et al. [4] from Spain reported level B as the most common position of mandibular third molars. These differences in angulation and level of impaction could be due to the difference in race, patient selection criteria and study population. Hereof Richardson [16] and Ventä et al. [17] suggested that it would be inaccurate to predict the eruption or impaction of third molars before the age of 20 years because of continuous positional changes during further development.

Pericoronitis is a soft tissue infection located around the crown of a partially impacted tooth, whose appearance implies the accumulation of microorganisms and food remains [4]. The impact of gender on the development and frequency of pericoronitis has been reported in the literature. In the present study, we found a slight tendency in male patients for pericoronitis, but other symptoms showed no gender predominance. In contrast, Bataineh et al. [9] reported that pericoronitis cases were much more frequently seen in female patients than male patients. Likewise Yamalık and Bozkaya [18] found a predominance of females for pericoronitis. However, Almendros-Marqués et al. [4] and Akarslan and Kocabay [2] found no gender predominance for all complaints and pathologies.

The finding of a higher prevalence rate of pericoronitis for impacted third molars in this study confirmed the previous studies of Jamileh and Pedlar [19] and Khawaja [20] that pericoronitis was the most common indication for removal of impacted mandibular third molars. A probable explanation could be that pericoronitis is a common pathological condition of the mandibular impacted teeth.

In the present study, the observation that angulation had a statistically significant impact on the development of pericoronitis and other clinical symptoms confirmed that vertical angulation was an important factor for the development of clinical symptoms. As previously suggested, Leone et al. [21] had reported that the third molars which were most likely to cause pericoronitis were vertical and slightly distoangular teeth. On the other hand, in the studies of Güngörmiş [22] and Kay [23] the majority of pericoronitis cases were reported to be involved with mesioangular impactions. Eventually, Polat et al. [3] suggested that most molars with pathoses were either in a vertical or in a mesioangular position, but this is because such positions have a higher frequency. In this regard, Murad et al. [24] suggested that these differences may be due to geographical variation related to diet.

The eruption level of third molars has also an impact on the development of clinical symptoms. In our study we observed that most of the impacted molars with pericoro-
nishis had erupted to the same level as the adjacent second molar occlusal plane. Similar to our results, Halverson and Anderson [25] reported an association of pericoronitis with the third molar tooth at or below the height of the occlusal plane of the arch. Leone et al. [21] suggested a similar association with the third molar tooth at or above the occlusal plane. Ali et al. [26] suggested that these depths are generally associated more frequently with soft tissue impaction, forming a cuff over partially erupted teeth and starting pericoronitis.

Third molars are the teeth that most commonly follow an abortive eruption path and become impacted. Lack of space seems to be the major cause of abortive eruption. However, eruption cannot be guaranteed despite adequate space available in the jaw [27]. The development of space for the third molar is governed by many factors, including resorption of bone from the anterior border of the ramus, backward slope of the anterior border of the ramus in relation to the alveolar border, forward movement of the dentition, growth in length of the mandible and sagittal direction of mandibular growth [28]. In the present study, we found a significant difference in retromolar space for levels of impaction. Also the retromolar space seemed to decrease while the impaction level was increased. In accordance with our finding, Björk et al. [29] reported that the space behind the second molar was reduced in 90% of cases with mandibular third molar impaction. Ganss et al. [30] reported that when the retromolar space is 13.9 mm in women and 14.3 mm in men, the probability of eruption is 70%. Later on, Ventá et al. [17] stated that if the retromolar space is at least 16.5 mm, the probability of eruption is 100%. With reference to these studies and our results, we can suggest that third molar teeth may be impacted if the retromolar space is lower than 13.8 mm for males and 11.9 mm for females in the Turkish population.

Conclusion

The pattern of third molar impaction in a Central Anatolian Turkish population was characterized by a high prevalence rate of level C impaction with vertical position. Pain and pericoronitis were the most common symptoms usually associated with level A impaction and vertical position. Male patients with an impacted lower third molar had a tendency to develop pericoronitis. The retromolar space influenced the impaction level.
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