Targeting GLI1 Suppresses Cell Growth and Enhances Chemosensitivity in CD34+ Enriched Acute Myeloid Leukemia Progenitor Cells
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Abstract

Background/Aims: Resistance of leukemia stem cells (LSCs) to chemotherapy in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) causes relapse of disease. Hedgehog (Hh) signaling plays a critical role in the maintenance and differentiation of cancer stem cells. Yet its role in AML remains controversial. The purpose of the present study is to investigate the role of GLI1, the transcriptional activator of Hh signaling, in AML progenitor cells and to explore the anti-AML effects of GLI small-molecule inhibitor GANT61. Methods: The expression of GLI1 mRNA and protein were examined in AML progenitor cells and normal cells. The proliferation, colony formation, apoptosis and differentiation of AML progenitor cells were also analyzed in the presence of GANT61. Results: Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells, containing more CD34+ cells, expressed higher level of GLI1 compared to U937 and NB4 cells with fewer CD34+ cells. Consistently, a positive correlation between the protein levels of GLI1 and CD34 was validated in primary AML progenitor cells. GANT61 inhibited the proliferation and colony formation in AML cell lines. Importantly, GANT61 induced apoptosis in CD34+ enriched Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells, whereas it induced differentiation in U937 and NB4 cells. Furthermore, GANT61 enhanced the cytotoxicity of cytarabine (Ara-c) in primary CD34+ AML cells, indicating that inhibition of GLI1 could be a promising strategy to enhance chemosensitivity. Conclusions: The present findings suggested that Hh signaling was activated in AML progenitor cells. GLI1 acted as a potential target for AML therapy.
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Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous disease with poor overall clinical outcome. Although clinical advances in AML have been achieved, disease relapse remains an unsolved problem [1, 2]. Leukemia stem cells (LSCs), which give rise to leukemic blasts, are resistant to therapy and responsible for disease relapse [3, 4]. Thus, new approaches that target LSCs are needed.

The Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway is an evolutionarily conserved developmental pathway which regulates cell proliferation, migration and differentiation during embryonic development [5, 6]. In the absence of Hh, Patched (PTCH) suppresses the activity of Smoothened (SMO) by preventing its localization to the cell surface. Hh pathway is activated when Hh ligand binds to PTCH, relieving its inhibition on SMO and leading to activation of GLI family transcription factors (GLI1, 2, 3), which translocate to the nucleus to regulate the transcription of Hh target genes [7-10]. Among the GLI family members, GLI1 acts as both a transcriptional activator and a Hh target gene [11]. Importantly, GLI1 is regarded as the most reliable indicator for Hh pathway activation [12].

The deregulation of Hh signaling has been linked to the development of hematologic malignancies [13-15]. Current studies suggested that Hh signaling contributed to tumor maintenance, growth and resistance to chemotherapy in hematopoietic neoplasms, including diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and myeloid leukemia [16, 17]. In addition, Hh signaling participated in cancer stem cells (CSCs) survival and expansion in multiple myeloma (MM), chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) and acute leukemia. For example, the CSCs of MM expressed higher levels of Hh components than the mature plasma cells [18]. Inhibition of Hh pathway by SMO inhibitor cyclopamine efficiently targeted CML stem cells in vitro as well as in vivo [19, 20]. Recent studies also reported that Hh signaling was activated in AML, and that inhibition of Hh signaling induced apoptosis and reduced drug-resistance in AML cells [21]. However, it still remained unclear whether Hh signaling was important for the maintenance of leukemia progenitor cells in AML.

Recently, Hh signaling pathway inhibitors, such as SMO and GLI inhibitors, have drawn great attention. For example, cyclopamine was found to be a potent inhibitor of SMO with anti-tumor activity in vitro and in vivo system [7]. In light of mounting evidence that Hh pathway activation occurred downstream of SMO, inhibitors that affected downstream of SMO, such as specific GLI inhibitors [22-24], are urgently required. GANT61, a GLI inhibitor, blocked GLI function and inhibited tumor cell growth in a GLI-dependent manner [25]. Recent studies demonstrated that GANT61 effectively induced cell death in colon carcinoma, neuroblastoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells [26-28].

In this study, we showed that GLI1 was overexpressed in CD34+ subpopulation of AML cells. GLI1 inhibitor GANT61 induced AML cells apoptosis and differentiation. Moreover, combination of GANT61 with chemotherapeutics displayed a synergistic anti-proliferative effect on primary CD34+ AML cells. Thus, our study provided the evidence that targeting GLI1 could be a novel strategy for AML treatment.

Materials and Methods

Materials

GANT61 (ENZO) and Vismodegib (Selleck) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in a 10 mM stock solution and stored at -20°C. Cytarabine (Ara-c) was purchased from Pfizer AB (Sollentuna) and was dissolved in DMSO in a 1 mM stock solution and stored at -20°C.

Cell lines and cell culture

KG1a and Kasumi-1 cell lines were obtained from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen and Zellkulturen GmbH (DSMZ) (Braunschweig) and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented...
with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone). According to immunological studies by DSMZ and others, KG1a and Kasumi-1 cells are characterized by high fraction of CD34^+ early progenitor cells [29, 30]. U937 and NB4 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Following informed consent and in accordance with the approval of the Institutional Review Boards, primary clinical specimens were obtained from patients with newly diagnosed AML and healthy donors. Bone marrow mononuclear cells (BMMCs) were enriched by Ficoll-Hypaque (MP Biomedicals) density gradient centrifugation. Primary AML CD34^+ and normal CD34^+ cell separation were conducted by using a Magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) CD34 MicroBead kit (Miltenyi biotech). Over 90% of the enriched cells were CD34^+ as confirming by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS, Beckman) analysis.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell viability was assessed by WST-8 assay (Dojindo). Cells (1×10^4/ml) were seeded in 96-well plates and treated with indicated concentrations of reagents for 48 h. Then, 10 µl WST-8 solution was applied to each well. Cells were incubated at 37°C for another 4 h. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm by using a multi-well plate reader (Bio-Rad Microplate Reader).

**Lentiviral production and transduction**

Lentiviral short hairpin RNA (shRNA) plasmid (Gene Pharma) targeting GLI1 and the nontargeting control were transfected into 293T cells using Lipofectamine 2000 Reagent (Invitrogen). The viral supernatants containing GLI1 shRNA and control shRNA were used to infect Kasumi-1 and U937 cells. The shRNA sequences were as follows: GLI1 (ACCATTACGAGCCTCATTC) and control (GCAAGCTGACCTGAAGTT).

**Colonial-forming assay**

Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 0.9% methylcellulose (Sigma) and 10% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO_2. The colonies (containing 40 or more cells) were counted under light microscopy after 14 days culture.

**Wright-Giemsa staining**

Morphological signs of differentiation were detected by Wright-Giemsa staining. Cells were treated with GANT61 and/or ATRA for 96 h. Smears of control and GANT61 and/or ATRA treated cells were stained with Wright-Giemsa solution for 25 min, rinsed with distilled water and air dried. Cell morphology was studied by light microscopy.

**Sub-G1 population assay**

Single-cell suspensions were fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol, labeled with propidium iodide (PI, 50 µg/ml, Sigma) for at least 15 min in dark at 37 °C and analyzed directly on a FAC Scan as described [31].

**Measurement of apoptosis by Annexin V/PI analysis**

After collecting and washing twice with PBS, GANT61 treated and untreated cells were resuspended in the binding buffer (500 µl). FITC-Annexin-V (5 µl) was added to the cells followed by addition of 5 µl PI according to the protocol of the Annexin V-FITC/PI kit (EMD Biosciences). The samples were then incubated for 15 min in the dark and subjected to flow cytometry evaluation.

**Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA was extracted with Trizol isolation reagent (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription was performed by using a reverse transcriptase first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen). PCR was performed with Platinum® SYBR® Green qPCR SuperMix (Invitrogen) as recommended by the manufacturer. The primers used were listed in Table 1. GAPDH was used as the internal control.

**Immunocytochemical staining**

Primary leukemia blasts and normal BMMCs were washed with phosphate buffered saline and smeared glass slides by cyto spin. The slides were fixed and incubated in H_2O_2 blocked with 1% bovine serum...
albumin (BSA), and incubated with rabbit anti-GLI1 antibody (1:200; Bioss). Slides were then incubated with the secondary antibody, visualized by H2O2-diaminobenzidine, counterstained with hematoxylin and dehydrated. Moderate or strong cytoplasm staining was considered as positive reaction. The degree of immunocytochemical staining of specimens was evaluated by 3 independent pathologists.

**Western blot**

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer. The protein concentration was determined by Bradford method. Equal amounts of cell extract were subjected to electrophoresis in SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked and then incubated with GAPDH (Epitomics) or GLI1 (Biolegend) at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation for 1 h RT with appropriate secondary antibodies. Antibody binding was detected with an enhanced chemiluminescence kit.

**Flow cytometric staining**

For analyzing the purification of sorted cells, PE-conjugated CD34 antibody (BD Biosciences) was applied as recommended by the manufacturer. PE-conjugated CD11b antibody (Ebioscience) was used for cell differentiation detection. Expression of CD34 or CD11b on cell surface was measured by flow cytometry.

**Statistical analysis**

Unless otherwise indicated, all experiments were conducted in triplicate. Data were presented as means ± SD. The patients were divided into 2 groups (GLI1-low and GLI1-high) based on the expression levels of GLI1 protein determined by western blot analysis. High expression of GLI1 was defined as the ratio of (GLI1 protein absorbance - background absorbance) versus (GAPDH absorbance - background absorbance) ≥ 0.50. The comparison among characteristics of the groups were made using χ2 test for the binary variables, Pearson and Spearman correlation and linear regression analysis for the continuous variables. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistics were calculated by GraphPad Prism software and SPSS software, version 13.0.

**Results**

**GLI1 is overexpressed in CD34+ population enriched AML cell lines**

Kasumi-1, KG1a, U937 and NB4 AML cells were stained with PE-conjugated CD34 antibody and subjected to flow cytometry analysis to determine the percentage of CD34+ cells. The percentages of CD34+ cells were 98.2 ± 0.43% in Kasumi-1 cells, 96.6 ± 0.65% in KG1a cells, but were hardly detectable in U937 and NB4 cells (Fig. 1A). We then examined mRNA expression of Hh signaling components, including GLI1, GLI2, GLI3, SMO and PTCCH1 in these four cell lines. qRT-PCR analysis showed that high expression of GLI1 and SMO were observed in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells when compared with U937 and NB4 cells (Fig. 1B). In addition, protein expression of GLI1 was correlated with the mRNA level (Fig. 1C). These results suggested that GLI1 might play an important role in leukemia progenitor cells. Since GLI1 is the ultimate activated transcription factor of Hh signaling, we further continued to investigate the role of GLI1 in AML and the effects of targeting GLI1.
GLI1 is overexpressed in primary AML samples and positively correlated with the ratio of CD34+ population

We next evaluated the expression of GLI1 in BMMCs from AML patients (N = 40) and healthy donors (N = 20). qRT-PCR analysis showed that the levels of GLI1 mRNA were 3.6-fold higher in the samples derived from AML patients than that derived from healthy donors (P < 0.05; Fig. 2A). We also verified expression of GLI1 protein in bone marrow specimens by immunocytochemical staining. The results showed that more positive staining of GLI1

Fig. 1. The expression of GLI1 in AML cell lines. (A) Kasumi-1, KG1a, U937 and NB4 cells were stained with PE-conjugated CD34 antibody and subjected to flow cytometry analysis to determine the purity of CD34+ cells. (B) Statistical data showed the expression of Hh signaling transcriptional factors GLI1, GLI2, GLI3, SMO and PTCH1 in AML cell lines using qRT-PCR analysis. (C) The protein expression of GLI1 in AML cell lines was analyzed by western blot.
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was presented in AML samples than normal controls (8/10 versus 1/10, \( P < 0.05 \); Fig. 2B), consistent with expression at the mRNA level. Similarly, GLI1 protein expression levels were evaluated by western blot analysis. As shown in Fig. 2C, 70% of AML specimens displayed
high expression of GLI1 protein, whereas 10% of normal controls showed high expression, indicating that the expression of GLI1 could be important for AML development. We next assessed the correlation between the expression of GLI1 protein and clinical variables. As shown in Table 2, GLI1 levels were positively correlated with the ratio of CD34⁺ progenitor population ($R = 0.725$, $P < 0.01$; Fig. 2D), but not significantly correlated with other clinical parameters.

To assess whether GLI1 was overexpressed in CD34⁺ AML progenitor cells, we measured the levels of GLI1 mRNA in CD34⁺ and CD34⁻ cells isolated from the samples of 10 AML patients and 6 healthy donors. The expression of GLI1 mRNA in CD34⁺ AML progenitor cells were 3.2 and 5.3 fold higher than that in the CD34⁺ normal cells and CD34⁺ AML cells, respectively (Fig. 2E). Western blot analysis showed that GLI1 protein was highly expressed in CD34⁺ cells, compared with CD34⁻ cells of paired AML samples (Fig. 2F). These results indicated that the expression of GLI1 could be critical for the maintenance of stemness in the AML progenitor cells.

**GANT61 suppresses the growth of AML cells**

We next examined the anti-leukemia effects of targeting GLI1. Kasumi-1, KG1a, U937 and NB4 cells were exposed to GANT61 (0 - 100 µM) for 48h and the cytotoxic effects were determined by WST-8 assay. Result showed that GANT61 induced a significant cytotoxic effect.

### Table 2. Relationship between GLI1 protein levels and clinical characteristics of AML cases. Abbreviations: BM, bone marrow; FAB, French-American-British classification; CR, complete response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLI1 expression</th>
<th>Total N=40</th>
<th>Low N=12</th>
<th>High N=28</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(y)</td>
<td>median(range)</td>
<td>38(14-71)</td>
<td>46.1(15-71)</td>
<td>34.7(14-67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>(male/female)</td>
<td>25/15</td>
<td>7/5</td>
<td>17/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC(10⁹/L)</td>
<td>median(range)</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>41.1(1.7-178.4)</td>
<td>50.6(1.8-189.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB(g/L)</td>
<td>median(range)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>86.5(56-130)</td>
<td>85.6(48-140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT(10⁵/L)</td>
<td>median(range)</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>70.9(8-455)</td>
<td>44.9(4-97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM blast</td>
<td>median(range)</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>52.5(17-84)</td>
<td>63.4(31-90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34 (%)</td>
<td>median(range)</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>26.3(2.7-71.7)</td>
<td>77.6(10.2-98.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAB classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3-ITD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3-ITD-mut</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3-ITD-wt</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytogenetics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR rate (%)</td>
<td>72.5(29/40)</td>
<td>75(9/12)</td>
<td>71.4(20/28)</td>
<td>$P &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse rate (%)</td>
<td>17.5(7/40)</td>
<td>16.7(2/12)</td>
<td>17.8(5/28)</td>
<td>$P &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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in all tested cell lines in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3A). The IC_{50} values of GANT61 were 49.3 ± 5.7, 30.9 ± 6.0, 9.7 ± 2.3 and 13.2 ± 2.8 μM for Kasumi-1, KG1a, U937 and NB4 cells, respectively. The anti-proliferative effects of GANT61 were further determined by colony formation assay for 14 days. As shown in Fig. 3B, treatment with 20 μM GANT61 resulted in a reduction in colony formation to 67.5 ± 7.2%, 71.5 ± 8.9%, 43.5 ± 4.8% and 53.7 ± 3.4% in Kasumi-1, KG1a, U937 and NB4 cells, further supporting an anti-proliferative effects of GANT61 on AML cell lines. We also tested the effects of the SMO antagonist, vismodegib, on AML cells. The colony formation capacities were significantly reduced in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells compared to U937 and NB4 cells after treatment with 1 μM vismodegib (Fig. 3C).

GANT61 induces apoptosis in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells

To determine if the inhibition of growth induced by GANT61 in AML cells was caused by induction of apoptosis, we examined apoptosis by detecting Annexin V/PI expression and

![Fig. 3. GANT61 suppresses AML cell growth. (A) AML cell lines were treated with different concentrations of GANT61 for 48 h. Cell viability was assessed by WST-8 assay. (B) The line graph showed the effect of GANT61 on colony formation capacity in AML cell lines. (C) AML cell lines were treated with different concentrations of vismodegib. The line graph showed the effect of vismodegib on colony formation capacity. * P < 0.05, ** P< 0.01, *** P < 0.001.]
sub-G1 population. Treatment with 60 µM GANT61 for 48 h, the rates of apoptosis were 73.9 ± 8.4%, 35.7 ± 5.5%, 12.9 ± 2.1% and 14.4 ± 3.0% in Kasumi-1, KG1a, U937 and NB4 cells, respectively (Fig. 4A). Consistently, incubation with 60 µM GANT61 led to a significantly increased sub-G1 population in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells (45.8 ± 4.7% and 31.5 ± 1.6% respectively; Fig. 4B), but induced a mild cell death in U937 and NB4 cells (8.3 ± 1.4% and 15.9 ± 1.7% respectively). These findings suggested that CD34+ population could be sensitive to GLI1 inhibition and subsequently underwent apoptosis.

Due to the resistance of CD34+ enriched AML cell lines to chemotherapeutics and the high expression of GLI1 in CD34+ enriched AML cell lines, we sought to determine whether GANT61 may increase the drug sensitivity. The CD34+ and CD34− populations were sorted from BMMCs from 4 AML patients and 3 healthy donors. After incubation with 60 µM GANT61 for 48 h, the survival was analyzed by WST-8 assay. The results showed that the CD34+ primary AML cells were more sensitive to GANT61 compared with the other groups (the survival rate of CD34+ AML cells was 40.1 ± 16.6%, while CD34− AML cells was 78.1 ± 11.8% and CD34− normal cells was 89.7 ± 4.0%, Fig. 4C). We further examined the combinational effect of Ara-C and GANT61. As shown in Fig. 4D, combinational effect of Ara-C and GANT61 induced a significantly reduction of cell survival compared with each agents alone (the survival rate of Ara-c alone was 86.7 ± 9.6%, while GANT61 alone was 62.2 ± 9.1%, and the combination of Ara-c and GANT61 was 35.8 ± 6.7%).

**GANT61 induces differentiation in U937 and NB4 cells**

Given that GANT61 markedly suppressed proliferation but caused minimal apoptosis in U937 and NB4 cells, we next investigated the effect of GANT61 on differentiation capacity...
Knockdown of GLI1 reduces cell viability and induces apoptosis in AML cells
We next suppressed the expression of GLI1 by shRNA in Kasumi-1 and U937 cells, as detected by western blot analysis (Fig. 6A). Knockdown of endogenous GLI1 protein resulted in these cells. The expression of myeloid differentiation marker CD11b, was analyzed for AML cells after treatment with all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA) and/or GANT61. The percentage of cells expressing CD11b were increased by 5.5-fold and 3.6-fold in U937 and NB4 cells respectively after treatment with 20 μM GANT61 compared with control (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, combination of ATRA and GANT61 increased the fraction of CD11b+ cells by 2.1-fold and by 2.4-fold in U937 and NB4 cells compared with treatment with ATRA alone (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, treatment with ATRA and GANT61 resulted in minimal differentiation in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells (Fig. 5A). Cyto-morphological evaluation also showed an increased percentage of cells with nuclear lobulation in U937 and NB4 cells, but not in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells (Fig. 5B). Cells with lobulation were counted under a microscope and the data was shown in Fig. 5B. Taken together, our findings showed that GANT61 induced myeloid differentiation in U937 and NB4 cells which contained less CD34+ population.
Fig. 6. Knockdown of GLI1 reduces cell viability and induces apoptosis in AML cells. (A) Kasumi-1 and U937 cells were infected with GLI1 shRNA or control lentivirus for 48 h and subjected to western blot for GLI1 expression. (B) Cell viability was assessed by WST-8 assay. (C) Annexin V/PI analysis was performed in Kasumi-1 and U937 cells after infected with GLI1 shRNA or control lentivirus for 48 h. * P < 0.05.

Discussion

In the present study, we found that GLI1 was highly expressed in CD34+ enriched Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells, compared with U937 and NB4 cells (Fig. 1B and C). Similar phenomena were confirmed using the samples derived from AML patients and the healthy donors (Fig. 2A, B and C). Moreover, our data showed that targeting GLI1 by GANT61 significantly inhibited AML cells growth (Fig. 3A and B). Interestingly, the decreased growth induced by GANT61 was caused by different mechanisms, which could be dependent on the CD34 expression (Fig. 4A and Fig. 5B). Finally, our data showed that inhibition of GLI1 significantly enhanced the sensitivity to traditional anti-leukemic drug, including Ara-C and ATRA (Fig. 4D and Fig. 5A). These findings indicated that GLI1 could be a promising target for AML therapy.

The Hh signaling leads to activation of GLI, which transcriptionally regulates various target genes. The precise control of Hh signaling activity is critical during embryogenesis, while aberrantly activated Hh signaling contributes to the development of various human malignancies [32]. The GLI family of transcription factors regulates the expression of target gene that determines the Hh-dependent survival. GLI1 and GLI2 are the activators of Hh signaling, and constitutive activation of at least one of them is critical for cancer development [25]. Recent studies reported a correlation between expression levels of GLI1 in tumor samples with disease progression and poor clinical outcome [33, 34]. Higher GLI1 expression predicted a worse survival in AML [35]. In this study, we found that GLI1 was overexpressed in BMMCs in a significant proportion of de novo AML patients (Fig. 2A, B and C). This finding supported the role of GLI1 in cell proliferation and survival and suggested potential involvement of Hh signaling in the pathogenesis of AML.

Emerging data demonstrated a specific involvement of Hh signaling in hematologic malignancies [19, 20, 36, 37]. It was reported that overexpression of the genes in Hh pathway during progenitor cell expansion was associated with malignant transformation
in CD133+/CD34+ cells [38]. In MM, Hh pathway activation promoted the expansion of CSCs whereas inhibition of Hh pathway resulted in terminal differentiation, loss of self-renewal, and exhaustion of the malignant clone [18]. Furthermore, the genes in Hh pathway, such as GLI1, PTCH1 and SMO, were significantly upregulated in BCR/ABL-positive LSCs [39]. We found that GLI1 was overexpressed in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells that contained more CD34+ population, and that GLI1 levels were also significantly higher in primary CD34+ AML cells than CD34+ AML cells and CD34+ normal cells (Fig. 2E and F). Importantly, GLI1 protein expression was positively correlated with the ratio of CD34+ population in BMMCs of AML samples (Fig. 2D). These studies suggested that activation of Hh signaling in progenitor cells might confer LSCs survival and self-renewal advantages and contribute to disease relapse. Thus, strategies selectively targeting Hh signaling might efficiently eradicate LSCs and prevent the recurrence of the disease.

Due to the potential important role of Hh signaling in cancer, the development of strategies targeting the Hh signaling has attracted a number of attentions. Recently, the major targeting points for Hh signaling inhibitors were Shh neutralizing antibodies, SMO protein inhibitors (such as cyclopamine and GDC-0449) and GLI protein inhibitors (such as HPI-1 and GANT61) [40]. In this study, we found that GANT61 effectively inhibited the growth of AML cell lines (Fig. 3A and B), suggesting that targeting the GLI transcription factors efficiently suppressed AML growth.

We further showed that GANT61 induced apoptosis in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells in a dose-dependent manner, but only caused minimal apoptosis in U937 and NB4 cells (Fig. 4A and B). Interestingly, treatment with GANT61 stimulated the expression of CD11b antigen and nuclear lobulation in U937 and NB4 cells but not in Kasumi-1 and KG1a cells (Fig. 5A and B), suggesting that GANT61 induced different anti-cancer effects in stem cells and non-stem cells population. A recent study reported that inhibition of Hh signalling induced apoptosis by decreasing c-myc, cyclin D1 and Bcl-2 protein expression, ERK and JNK phosphorylation, and increasing Caspase-3 cleavage in OPM1 MM cells [14]. Moreover, inhibition of Hh signaling suppressed clonogenic growth of NCI-H929 MM cells by inducing terminal plasma cells differentiation rather than cytotoxicity [18]. Therefore, different molecular mechanisms might account for the pro-apoptosis or pro-differentiation effects induced by GANT61 in cancer cells.

Increasing evidence indicates that Hh signaling is critical for maintaining the stemness of CSCs, which are generally insensitive to chemotherapy [37, 41, 42]. Hh signaling regulates expression of CSC-related markers, such as aldehyde dehydrogenase, Bmi1, snail, Wnt2, PDGFRα, jagged-1, CD44, and c-MET [43-46]. Moreover, functional studies have indicated that the putative CSCs, such as CD133+ population in Glioma and colon cancer cells, CD44+CD24+ population in breast cancer cells, ALDH+ population in pancreatic cancer cells and BCR/ABL positive population in CML cells, were sensitive to pharmacological inhibition of the Hh pathway [39, 46-49]. Our data showed that primary CD34+ AML cells underwent proliferation inhibition by treating with GANT61, while minimal toxicity has been observed in CD34+ normal cells (Fig. 4C). Thus, our results suggested a specific anti-leukemia activity and a favorable therapeutic application of GANT61.

The current available data provide a rationale for using GANT61 as adjuvant therapeutic agents to increase the sensitivity to current chemotherapeutic agents. A study revealed that the combination of GANT61 with vincristine reversed chemoresistance in Lucena-1 cells [17]. Another study showed that treatment with GANT61 and rapamycin produced a synergistic anti-proliferative effect on myeloid leukemic cells [50]. Consistently, our results showed that GANT61 significantly enhanced the cytotoxic effects of Ara-c in primary CD34+ AML cells (Fig. 4D), indicating that GANT61 could potentiate the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs.

In summary, we showed that GLI1 was overexpressed in AML progenitor cells. Targeting GLI1 by GANT61 potently inhibited the growth of the AML CD34+ cell fraction and enhanced the sensitivity to Ara-C. Taken together, our results suggested that GLI1 was a promising therapeutic target for AML.
Abbreviations

AML (Acute myeloid leukemia); Hh (Hedgehog); PTCH (Patched); SMO (Smoothened); Ara-c (Cytarabine); MM (Multiple myeloma); CSC (Cancer stem cell); LSC (Leukemia stem cell); BMMC (Bone marrow mononuclear cell); ATRA (All-trans-retinoic acid); CLL (Chronic lymphocytic leukemia); CML (Chronic myeloid leukemia).

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of Quentin Liu lab for their critical comments and technical support. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81130040 to Q. Liu), the Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province (No. 2013B021800089 to Z.-J. Long, No. 2013B021800087 to Q. Liu, No. 2015A030310050 to B. Long, No. 2013B021800127 to X.-Z. Tong) and the Medical Scientific Research Foundation of Guangdong (No. B2012115 to Y. Hu).

Disclosure Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


