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Abstract
Current research on the effects of pharmacological agents on human neurophysiology finds its roots in animal research, which is also reflected in contemporary animal pharmaco-electroencephalography (p-EEG) applications. The contributions, present value and translational appreciation of animal p-EEG-based applications are strongly interlinked with progress in recording and neuroscience analysis methodology. After the pioneering years in the late 19th and early 20th century, animal p-EEG research flourished in the pharmaceutical industry in the early 1980s. However, around the turn of the millennium the emergence of structurally and functionally revealing imaging techniques and the increasing application of molecular biology caused a temporary reduction in the use of EEG as a window into the brain for the prediction of drug efficacy. Today, animal p-EEG is applied again for its biomarker potential – extensive databases of p-EEG and polysomnography studies in rats and mice hold EEG signatures of a broad collection of psychoactive reference and test compounds. A multitude of functional EEG measures has been investigated, ranging from simple spectral power and sleep-wake parameters to advanced neuronal connectivity and plasticity parameters. Compared to clinical p-EEG studies, where the level of vigilance can be well controlled, changes in sleep-waking behaviour are generally a prominent confounding variable in animal p-EEG studies and need to be dealt with. Contributions of rodent pharmaco-sleep EEG research are outlined to illustrate the value and limitations of such preclinical p-EEG data for pharmacodynamic and chronopharmacological drug profiling. Contemporary applications of p-EEG and pharmaco-sleep EEG recordings in animals provide a common and relatively inexpensive window into the functional brain early in the preclinical and clinical development of psychoactive drugs in comparison to other brain imaging techniques. They provide information on the impact of drugs on arousal and sleep architecture, assessing their neuropharmacological characteristics in vivo, including central exposure and information on kinetics. In view of the clear disadvantages as well as advantages of animal p-EEG as compared to clinical p-EEG, general statements about the usefulness of EEG as a biomarker to demonstrate the translatability of p-EEG effects should be made.
Historical Introduction

The present paper complements papers on human pharmaco-electroencephalography (p-EEG) in this IPEG special issue with a historical introduction on contemporary animal p-EEG research. Instead of giving a comprehensive review of the effects of drugs on EEG and EEG-defined sleep in animal research per se, it provides an extensive overview of the past and present application of especially pharmaco-sleep-wake EEG (p-sleep EEG) in experimental pharmacological research as well as in the drug discovery and development process for psychoactive drugs [see also Drinkenburg et al., this issue, pp. 151–164]. Contemporary applications of animal p-EEG can be subdivided into spontaneous versus stimulation- or event-related EEG. In this paper, the importance of control of the vigilance level and the translational roots of animal p-EEG and p-sleep EEG will be outlined in view of its present restrictions and challenges, emphasising the need for preclinical methodological standardisation [1].

Electroencephalography

The present translational value, existing issues and the potential for contributions of animal p-EEG within its applications are most adequately understood when a historical perspective on the development of quantitative EEG is appreciated (more detailed accounts can be found elsewhere [2–5]). While the discovery by Luigi Galvani of muscle contractions in frog legs, generated by ‘animal electricity’, marked the start of electrophysiology in 1780, an electrophysiology-based physiology of the nervous system was first developed in the mid to late 19th century by Carlo Mateucci in Bologna and Emil Du Bois-Rymond in Berlin. Their research culminated in the pioneering work of Julius Bernstein and his membrane theory for the resting and action potential in nerve tissue in 1902, which eventually led to the formulation of Hodgkin and Huxley’s Nobel Prize winning model of action potential generation in 1952. Studies of the peripheral nervous system progressed rapidly to the molecular level; however, the electrophysiology of the brain remained more elusive. In 1875 Richard Caton was the first to describe spontaneous electrical current fluctuations in the brain of rabbits and monkeys using two electrodes and a sensitive mirror galvanometer [6]: ‘Feeble currents of varying direction pass through the multiplier when the electrodes are placed on two points of the external surface of the skull.’ Similar observations were made independently by Vasily Yakovlevich Danilevsky in Kharkiv, Ukraine, who, in 1877, was also the first to describe the effect of electrical brain stimulation in animals in his thesis entitled *Investigations into the Physiology of the Brain* [7]. Around 1890, Adolf Beck in Krakow used rabbits and dogs to study electrical brain potentials in response to sensory stimulation as well as spontaneous rhythmic fluctuations of brain activity, and described the disappearance of oscillations when the eyes were stimulated with light [8, 9].

The term ‘electrocerebralogram’, which was later modified linguistically by Berger into ‘elektrenkephalogramm’, was introduced by Pravdich-Neminsky, who also produced the first pictorial demonstration of the EEG in 1912 on the basis of animal recordings of electrical brain activity with a string galvanometer [10]. While conducting animal EEG studies flourished in Eastern Europe, not much EEG research took place in the rest of the world until Hans Berger, who in 1902 had already attempted to record from a dog’s brain, started his work on human EEG in 1924 in Jena and produced a series of 14 reports between 1929 and 1938 – ‘Über das Elektrenkephalogramm des Menschen’ [11]. Among these reports are the first descriptions of alpha and beta waves, sleep EEG and the effect of hypoxia on the brain, but also the first human p-EEG recordings in 1931 on the alpha power-increasing effects of cocaine, the alpha power reduction after morphine, the generalised power decrease after chloroform and the dose-dependent effects of scopolamine on alpha and beta. In the mid-1930s these findings were replicated by Davis in the USA, by Durup and Fessard in Paris, and by Adrian in Cambridge [12]. From this time onwards electroencephalography bloomed as a part of clinical neurological practice and psychiatry both in Europe and the USA, while the use of superficial EEG recordings in experimental research declined in favour of single neuron studies by the late 1940s. In the next decade, EEG was established as an important diagnostic and guidance instrument for neurosurgery and epileptology, while it also became an essential tool in sleep research due to the pioneering work of Kleitman and Dement [13] in Chicago.
Pharmaco-Electroencephalography

In Berlin in the early 1930s, Fischer [14] and Kornmüller [15] were the first scientists to describe the toxic effects of picrotoxin and a number of other convulsive substances, once again firstly on animal EEG. However, apart from some spurious animal p-EEG studies, there was little activity in this research field in the years following. This changed after the late 1950s, when novel antipsychotic (chlorpromazine) and antidepressant (imipramine) drugs were discovered and developed; in a back-translational way, the description of their clinical EEG effects generated much interest for the study of these compounds in animal experimentation. Most of these studies were at that time done in rabbits, cats, dogs and monkeys, and only occasionally in rodents. With the increasing clinical importance of EEG and with the growing number and expanding relevance of pharmaco-therapeutic treatments in psychiatric care during the 1950s and 1960s, attempts were being made to correlate the acute effects of psychotropic drugs on the EEG in healthy volunteers with their clinical efficacy in psychiatric patients [16–25].

The resulting EEG-based orthogonal classification system for psychotropic drugs consisting of a thymoleptic (antidepressant)/psychostimulant axis versus a neuroleptic/anxiolytic axis was shown to have predictive validity when Itil et al. [26] tested the antihistamine mianserin, which was being developed by Organon as an anti-inflammatory drug; the EEG-based classification system, however, classified this drug as an antidepressant. The antidepressant therapeutic potential of mianserin was subsequently confirmed in clinical studies supporting the predictive value of this p-EEG-based classification system. Consequently, the pharmaceutical industries that were active in the development of psychotropic drugs massively implemented and embraced p-EEG, since suitable predictive models in phase I clinical development were lacking in pharmaco-psychiatry. These clinical developments also spurred interest in preclinical, animal p-EEG on the basis of the idea that if p-EEG in phase I human volunteers could be used to predict the psychotropic potential of novel compounds, there might also be value in trying to develop a similar predictive classification system based mainly on the spectral analysis (FFT) of animal p-EEG. Especially since the animal models for psychiatric disorders available at the time lacked face validity and translational potential. p-EEG thus became one of the first translational biomarkers in psychotropic drug development, long before translational medicine was defined as a key process in drug development. Animal p-EEG was relatively cheap when compared to clinical EEG recordings, and the throughput of compounds was much higher, especially if small rodents were used rather than cats, dogs and monkeys. Thus, animal p-EEG groups emerged in the industry in the early 1980s, exemplified by Ciba-Geigy [27], Duphar [28–30], Janssen Pharmaceutica [31, 32], Organon [33–35], Sandoz [36] and Synthélabo [37]. When clinical p-EEG failed to accurately predict the therapeutic value of a number of compounds (e.g. maroxetine [38]), pharmaceutical companies lost confidence in clinical EEG as a reliable efficacy biomarker, and consequently scaled down or sometimes closed their preclinical EEG facilities. With the parallel emergence of structurally and functionally revealing imaging techniques (i.e. CT, MR, fMRI, PET, SPECT) and the increasing application of molecular biology, the use of EEG as a window into the brain for the prediction of drug efficacy was further reduced in the 1990s. However, during this period p-EEG still proved its biomarker relevance for topics such as (pre)clinical pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) modelling (e.g. the action of benzodiazepines) and the monitoring of the depth of anaesthesia and sleep for the development of anesthetic and hypnotic drugs [39, 40].

Currently, databases of p-EEG and p-sleep studies in rodents have been set up in various academic centres and within pharmaceutical companies, holding EEG signatures ('fingerprints') of a broad collection of psychoactive reference and test compounds. A multitude of functional EEG measures has been investigated mostly in rats and mice, ranging from simple spectral power and sleep-wake parameters to advanced connectivity parameters with high-density EEG. Reversal studies can also be reliably carried out in animals, comparable to phase I clinical studies; disruption of brain function and concomitant EEG changes can consistently be achieved through pharmacological or behavioural challenges as well as by transgenic manipulation. Such modelled animals are then available for reversal testing with novel test compounds targeting specific brain neurochemistry systems. However, for a valid interpretation of p-EEG effects, in animals, much like in humans, one needs to first appreciate the convoluted modulating relationship between the (p-)EEG and the level of arousal or vigilance, since animal p-EEG poses specific problems in contrast to human p-EEG, in which phase I subjects can be instructed to remain in a relaxed position with their eyes open and without dozing off. Control over behavioural stability is a major challenge in animal p-EEG research, especially since sleep is the most prominent confounding variable in EEG studies. Therefore, attention will first be focused on the incorporation of changes in sleep-waking behaviour and its relevance for animal p-EEG studies.
Animal p-Sleep EEG

Similar to eating and drinking, sleep is an essential physiological process in mammalian species, even though its exact function is still not completely understood. The importance of sleep can also be derived from the fact that the processes involved in the generation and maintenance of sleep and waking are well conserved throughout evolution, providing ample opportunities for translational research, not only for sleep physiology, but also for pharmaco-sleep physiology. As such, the pharmacology of sleep and waking is more translational than cortical p-EEG per se, since it is the evolution of the cortex in particular that sets man apart from most other mammals. However, the translational study of the neuropharmacology of sleep and sleep-EEG also has its inherent problems since sleep is a fragile state and is modified by a number of internal and external factors. As mentioned earlier, taking into account sleep and waking behaviour is especially important in animal p-EEG studies, since changes in behavioural sleep and waking are the most prominent modulators of the EEG. Therefore, before analysing the effects of a drug on the EEG of free-running animals, the confounding effects of changes in sleep-waking behaviour should be eliminated as much as possible [41]. For vigilance-related changes this can basically be done in two different ways. One may try to entrain animals into a stable state of sustained vigilance, for instance by forced locomotor activity [42] or by training the animals to attend to sensory stimuli and study the effects of drugs on the EEG of such a stable state of vigilance. Alternatively, ethnographical analysis of the behaviour of the animal can be used to segment the EEG into discontinuous segments belonging to different behavioural states and then study the effects of a drug on the more homogeneous EEG for the pooled segments of each of these different states [33–35]. This has the added advantage that apart from the effect of a drug on the various behaviour-defined types of EEG, one also obtains the effects of a drug on the ethogram itself [43]. Segmenting the EEG on the basis of sleep and waking behaviour poses a special problem in this context; sleep and especially the different stages of sleep are defined to some extent on the basis of the EEG itself, which means that one cannot study the effects of a drug on sleep-defined EEG in isolation, but always has to take into account the effects of the drug on the hypnogram as well [35]. Drug effects in freely moving animals can be expressed without vigilance control when using a readout that is independent of sleep structure; for instance, the so-called cyclic alternating patterns [44], which indicate rhythmically organised phasic events and arousals over the different stages of sleep, were used by Depoortere et al. [45] as an independent descriptor of drug effects in the rat.

Sleep is evolutionarily well conserved, which also means that similar sleep stages can be distinguished in non-human, mammalian sleep as in man [46, 47]. Using polysomnography (at least 2 EEG electrodes, an EMG electrode and a movement sensor), rapid eye movement (REM) sleep can be reliably distinguished in all mammals as a state of muscle atonia combined with low-amplitude EEG, whereas waking is also characterised by low-amplitude EEG but the muscle tone is much higher. While some research groups qualify the rest of sleep as one unitary non-REM sleep stage, many others discriminate three, more translational non-REM sleep stages, i.e. deep slow-wave sleep, light sleep as the transition from waking to deep slow-wave sleep, and a transition state between deep slow-wave sleep and REM sleep, named intermediate state or pre-REM sleep [33, 34, 48, 49].

Some species differences in the EEG appearance of mammalian sleep do exist: the occurrence of phasic events during sleep is an example of differences in mammalian sleep behaviour. Ponto-geniculo-occipital (POGO) waves are characteristically observed during feline REM sleep, but such waves are limited to the pons and do not propag- gate to the geniculate nucleus in rodents [50]. Furthermore, rodents lack K-complexes, which are typical for light sleep in humans, while rodents do show sleep spindles during light sleep in a similar way to humans. The translation of p-sleep EEG effects, not only from animal to man, but also from species to species, should be critically assessed because valid translation is not only important from a biomarker perspective or as an early readout of desired therapeutic effects (e.g. hypnotic activity), but also as an early marker of unwanted side effects – the use of many (psycho-)therapeutics is associated with sleep disturbances and sedation.

A drug-induced change in EEG-defined sleep patterns may not necessarily mean that the drug affects the core system of sleep regulation. A drug may affect the slow-wave or theta rhythm generator without affecting the basic neuroanatomical systems involved in deep sleep and REM sleep, respectively. By the same token, one cannot always tell whether a drug changes the hypnogram because it affects the underlying mechanisms of sleep and waking or because it affects one of the classification parameters of the sleep classifier, such as a specific effect on the EEG of a sleep stage which is part of the classification rules (e.g. delta or theta power affecting slow-wave sleep.
and REM sleep, respectively). An automatic sleep classifier may be advantageous over manual classification in this regard, since it will consistently make the same ‘error’. Finally, since an animal can only be in one stage at a certain moment in time, a drug positively affecting the occurrence of one stage automatically reduces the occurrence of other sleep/waking states, thereby posing the question of which effects are primary and which ones are secondary. A drug may for instance reduce REM sleep by either increasing muscle tone, increasing waking without movement, increasing arousability or interfere with the activity of the brainstem REM-on or REM-off cells. This exemplifies the relevance of behavioural monitoring as part of polysomnography when studying the central effects of a drug. This may be the background for the observation that several compounds (e.g. 5-HT2A antagonists) have been shown to increase slow-wave sleep in animals and man [51, 52] without improving subjective sleep quality. Some sleep stages are characterised and classified by the presence of phasic events, such as K-complexes and spindles for light sleep and rapid eye movements for REM sleep. Compounds affecting such phasic events will impact the scoring of these stages without necessarily changing the occurrence or quality of these specific sleep states. The same can be argued for essential non-EEG determinants of sleep stages, such as the muscle atonia of REM sleep, while the effect of a drug on such variables may still be used as a good translational biomarker for pharmacological activity. In some cases the specific drug effects can even lead to dissociation between the effect of the drug on overt behaviour and its effect on EEG or EEG-defined sleep and waking. Benzodiazepines are a classical example of this phenomenon as they increase beta power, which is a characteristic effect of conscious waking, whilst overly producing sleep [53]. Behavioural sleep with an activated EEG pattern can also be found for some anaesthetics. On the other hand, scopolamine and other anticholinergics can produce slow waves in the EEG while the animals are perfectly awake [54].

General statements about the usefulness of EEG as a biomarker to demonstrate the translatability of drug-induced EEG effects should therefore be made with caution, as it depends first and foremost on the particular EEG/sleep parameter that is being studied. It is obvious that drug effects on animal PGO waves will be difficult to translate, since – although PGO waves have also been described in man – such waves are best observed with intracranial electrodes, which are outside the scope of clinical p-EEG. Anatomical differences are the prime reason that drug effects on theta rhythms, which are characteristic for rodent waking and REM sleep, cannot be translated to effects on scalp REM sleep EEG in humans, since the theta of rodent sleep and waking is generated primarily by the hippocampus [55], which, due to the limited thickness of the cortex, is easily picked up by epidural or scalp EEG electrodes. Theta rhythms are also observed in human cortical EEG, albeit with a somewhat lower frequency (4–7 Hz instead of 6–10 Hz in rodents) and are of cortical origin [101]. The slow waves of slow-wave sleep on the other hand are generated by slow membrane potential oscillations in thalamocortical neurons not only in humans, but also in rodents and other mammalian species [56]. Drug effects on the slow waves of slow-wave sleep are therefore similar between animal and man. The same holds true for sleep spindles, which are comparable between species with respect to their basic physiological mechanisms and their neuropharmacological modulation [57]. By contrast, drug effects on sleep-waking behaviour are generally well translatable between preclinical species and from animal to man, since the neurobiological and neuropharmacological mechanisms involved in the generation of sleep, REM sleep and waking are highly conserved during mammalian evolution, as indicated before.

**Chrono-Pharmacology**

Chrono-pharmacological aspects, i.e. the timing of drug administration in the circadian vigilance cycle, are also relevant for the recording of animal p-sleep EEG effects, because many of these studies are performed in rodents, which are nocturnal animals in contrast to other species commonly used for preclinical p-sleep EEG studies. Often a reversed day-night cycle is employed to make it easier to administer the drugs during the active phase of the rodent circadian pattern. Secondly, and more importantly, one needs to consider that the effect of a given drug is dependent on the timing of drug dosing in the circadian cycle. Hypnotics are given before bedtime, since the desired effect is sleep induction and/or sleep maintenance, which is a target effect during the sleep period, but an untoward effect during the waking period. By the same token, antidepressants are given at night or in the morning to overcome the sedative, respectively activating side effects of specific drugs, although one cannot always overcome these side effects, especially if the half-life of a drug is long in comparison to the sleeping period, resulting in hangover effects. However, it is important to note that the efficacy of hypnotics and anaesthetics in terms of...
sedation and sleep induction differs depending on when the drugs are being administered in the circadian cycle, for instance. Such chrono-pharmacodynamics is not only important, but the pharmacokinetics of a drug can also vary, depending on the time of drug dosing [58]. This means that for translational studies drugs need to be administered during the same circadian phase. Another relevant chrono-pharmacological question is whether the p-EEG effects of a drug are translatable and consistent over the various sleep and waking states, independent of its effects on sleep structure. For most drugs, the p-EEG effects are generally similar across the circadian cycle when corrected for the sleep/waking state, be it that the size of the effect may differ depending on sleep stage. There are notable exceptions for drug effect on phasic events, which are restricted to specific sleep states, or drug effects on EEG parameters used for sleep classification. Finally, especially for p-sleep studies, the consistency of effects with multiple or chronic dosing is to be considered in view of the development of tolerance and sensitisation. Not only with multiple dosing, but also with single dosing, it is advisable to record for sufficiently long periods compared to the half-life of a drug, enabling potential rebound effects to be detected on sleep and sleep-defined EEG.

**p-Sleep as a Tool for Drug Profiling**

A comprehensive overview of drug effects on animal sleep per se is beyond the scope of the present paper, but much of the basic neurochemical mechanisms involved in sleep-waking behaviour and its regulation have been revealed through animal p-EEG studies. Apart from the use of pharmacological tools to study basic sleep mechanisms, the modulation of sleep/waking by synthetic compounds for drug profiling, i.e. the characterisation of new chemical entities and their potential therapeutic scope for drug development in the pharmaceutical industry, has also frequently been used. Drug profiling using EEG-defined sleep in animals was initiated in the 1970s in an attempt to mimic the success of clinical drug profiling in healthy volunteers using drug effects on vigilance-controlled EEG. As it is difficult to control vigilance in animals, one may study the effects of drugs on waking epochs in freely moving animals after sleep-wake classification with the added advantage of obtaining information concerning the drug effects on sleep-waking behaviour. Using this paradigm, compounds can easily be profiled around the activation-sedation or stimulant-hypnotic axis. Stimulants can be discerned if a motor component is studied (which is relevant for the discrimination of active waking from passive waking). Sedatives can be discriminated from hypnotics if non-REM sleep is divided into light sleep and slow-wave sleep. Such work in rodents is highly translational to what is observed in healthy volunteers.

Further psychotropic properties have been claimed on the basis of alterations of p-sleep, e.g. REM suppression for antidepressants. This may be a relevant characteristic since depressed patients often have shortened REM sleep latencies, a longer time spent in REM and an increased REM intensity, suggesting a disinhibition of REM sleep. Antidepressants suppress REM sleep both in man and in various animal species, with only a few exceptions, which may be related to the fact that many antidepressants directly or indirectly facilitate serotonergic and noradrenergic transmission, which inhibit the activity of REM-on cells. To date, it is still heavily debated whether the decreased REM sleep is an essential feature of the therapeutic effect of antidepressants or whether it is an epiphenomenon of the pharmacological effect of the majority of the current antidepressant drugs. The major limitation of existing antidepressant therapies is their delayed onset of antidepressant action. Rapid-acting interventions such as sleep deprivation provided promising neuroplastic mechanisms for implementing novel rapid-onset treatment strategies. Clinical trials found that a single subanaesthetic dose of ketamine induces a rapid (within 2 h) and sustained (1–2 weeks) antidepressant effect in treatment-resistant patients with major depression [59]. Like sleep deprivation, ketamine enhances slow-wave activity (1–4 Hz) during non-REM and neurotrophins, such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), which are central and peripheral surrogate biomarkers of synaptic plasticity [60, 61]. Current research efforts of glutamatergic transmission indicate that blockade of the NMDA receptor neurotransmission exerts its initial rapid antidepressant properties via a prolonged change in glutamatergic signalling downstream by increasing the activation of the AMPA receptor mTOR pathway. This leads to activity-dependent release of BDNF and critical local neuronal circuits converge via enhanced synaptic plasticity and neuronal synchronisation [62, 63]. Thus, the increase in sleep EEG slow waves appears to be a marker of the acute increase in BDNF and rapid antidepressant effects in clinical and preclinical studies, whereas improved sleep quality is associated with an extended mood response [64, 65].

With respect to other psychotropic drug classes, the p-sleep profiles of anxiolytics and antipsychotics also
group together in a discriminant analysis and the result-
tant classifier has been used to successfully predict the
activity of novel compounds. The dysfunction of hypo-
cretin/orxin neurons has been implicated in the neuro-
ological disorder of narcolepsy [66]. Narcolepsy is char-
acterised by excessive daytime sleepiness, cataplexy and a
direct onset of REM sleep. The histaminergic (H3) recep-
tor garnered a great deal of interest from the pharmaceu-
tical industry to circumvent the orexin defect by its wak-
ing properties. Several histamine H3 receptor antagonists
are in clinical development not only for their stimulant
and nootropic effects in treatments for neurodegenera-
tive conditions, but also for the treatment of narcolepsy
and cognitive deficits due to sleep deprivation. In rats, the
time-course, wake-promoting effect of H3 receptor an-
tagons was less dramatic than the effects of the psycho-
stimulants amphetamine and modafinil [67]. In orexin-
deficient mice, the H3 antagonist GSK189254 promoted
waking and reduced narcoleptic episodes [68]. In healthy
men, sleep deprivation was associated with enhance-
ments in delta and theta activity and reductions in alpha
and beta activity, whereas the H3 receptor antagonist
MK-0249 and modafinil reduced delta and theta activity
and enhanced alpha and beta activity [69].

Pharmacological therapy specifically targeting insom-
ia requires defined pharmacodynamic timing [70]. The
GABA-A receptor modulators eszopiclone and zolpidem
are widely used to help induce and maintain sleep. Ideal
therapeutics of insomnia will, unlike most of the conven-
tional therapies with long half-lives and undesirable
mechanisms of action related to adverse effects on other
central nervous systems, promote sleep throughout the
resting period, maintain normal sleep architecture, and
will be devoid of residual drowsiness upon awakening. In
the last few years, orexin (hypocretin) receptor antago-
nism has been shown to be an effective mechanism of
promoting somnolence while avoiding residual somno-
ence during subsequent waking periods when exposures
drop to subthreshold receptor occupancy levels prior to
the awake period [71–74]. The distribution of target re-
ceptors may have important clinical implications in terms
of their specificity to desired sleep effects with reduced
concerns of off-target effects. Orexin receptors have a
more focused distribution compared to the broader dis-
tribution of GABA receptors and their concomitant more
diverse actions. While both eszopiclone and zolpidem
significantly increase slow-wave sleep and disrupt EEG
power spectra during non-REM sleep, DORA-22, a dual
Orexin1 and Orexin2 receptor antagonist, promotes
somnolence without altering the neuronal network EEG
activity observed during sleep [75, 76]. Supported by pre-
clinical animal p-sleep EEG findings, novel selective
orexin-2 antagonists have recently been proposed for
clinical testing in primary insomnia [77]. Other hypnotic
medications with a shorter duration of action than many
traditional benzodiazepines and potentially less risk of
tolerance and abuse have also been explored; ramelteon,
for example, is a novel MT1 and MT2 melatonergic ago-
nist that was effective in promoting sleep in experimental
animals such as rats, cats and monkeys [78].

Consequently, other target pathways and potential
novel drug treatments are being studied for their implica-
tion in sleep and circadian rhythmicity disorders, anxiety
and depression, and in cognitive disturbances using pre-
clinical p-EEG and p-sleep EEG. The central activity
of ligands acting at 5HT7, mGluR2, mGluR5, mGluR7 and
MCH1 has been characterised in rodents: inhibition of
REM sleep occurrence was observed with 5HT7 antago-
nists [79–81], mGluR2 agonist and positive allosteric
modulators (PAMs) [82, 83], and with mGluR7 PAMs
[84]. While mGluR2 antagonists and negative allosteric
modulators (NAMs) and mGluR5 PAMs exhibit arousal-
promoting properties [85–87], mGluR5 NAMs consoli-
dated deep sleep time and cortical delta activity in pre-
clinical as well as clinical studies [85, 88, 89]. Lastly,
MCH1 antagonists decreased deep sleep without homeo-
static recovery sleep [48].

The use of animal p-EEG effects during EEG-defined
sleep for the prediction of their potential therapeutic
scope and efficacy has thus been shown to be a tool of
which the validity depends on the specific therapeutic
area and which needs careful interpretation in view of its
limitations, as outlined above. The value of animal p-EEG
for pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic drug profil-
ing appears to be much more general.

**Translational Considerations of Animal p-EEG
Applications**

Differences in cortical development across the evolu-
tionary tree impact on the differences of what is ‘seen’ by
superficial EEG electrodes between animals, including
humans. Source localisation of EEG effects in animals is
more difficult in most species compared to humans due
to the smaller size of the brain, which is also more embed-
ded in the skull, making it more difficult to use a 3D EEG
imaging methodology such as LORETA. However, a new
spatial mapping technique for modelling large-scale neu-
ronal networks in mouse brains equivalent to human
neuroimaging has been recently developed [90–92]. A
polyimide-based array of 32 or 40 EEG microelectrodes
was implemented to detect the location of the sources un-
derlying EEG activation of depth brain structure by opto-
genetics or epileptic seizure loci in mice, which opens a
new avenue of linking human brain mapping with aber-
rant molecular neuronal processes and circuits in animal
models of diseases.

There are also clear advantages of animal p-EEG com-
pared to clinical EEG studies apart from the already men-
tioned higher throughput of animal p-EEG in drug de-
velopment. Epidural electrodes are standard, eliminating
skull and superficial muscle artefacts. The reduced corti-
cal thickness gives a better resolution of the activity of
midbrain structures, which can also be monitored more
easily by intracerebral electrodes. Intracerebral cannulas
provide means for local (e.g. intracerebroventricular) ad-
ministration of drugs or large molecules that do not read-
ily cross the blood brain barrier. Lastly, the possibility of
combining p-EEG with behavioural analysis or novel
methodologies (e.g. optogenetics; calcium imaging) pro-
vides unique neuroscientific research opportunities. Not-
withstanding the differences with clinical applications,
animal p-EEG has excellent (pre)clinical biomarker prop-
erties, being continuous, objective, repeatable, reproduc-
ible, sensitive, relatively inexpensive and widely available.

In the past decade preclinical EEG has gained strength
as a biomarker of the pharmacological activity of central-
ly active drugs, i.e. for target engagement. One of the best
examples is the characterisation and analysis of the EEG
effects of benzodiazepines in rat and man. By studying the
PK-PD relationships of a range of benzodiazepines with
respect to their effects on the EEG beta power, it was ob-
served that there is an excellent translation of receptor
affinity at the BZ receptor, as measured from in vitro
binding experiments, to the in vivo potency of the same
compounds, as derived from rat EEG [93]. Using such
advanced mechanism-based PK-PD modelling, the in
vivo potency and intrinsic efficacy for novel GABAergic
compounds could be predicted [94]. While there are such
common p-EEG effects for large groups of drugs, it should
also be mentioned that small pharmacological differences
may have a major impact on the EEG. This is exemplified
by gaboxadol, a GABA receptor agonist that acts as an
agonist at extrasynaptic, heteromultimeric GABA recep-
tor ionophores by interacting at the junction of the alpha4
and beta subunits, whereas classical benzodiazepines act
at the alpha1-gamma2 subunit interface of synaptic ben-
zodiazepine/GABA receptors [95]. Both compounds
have hypnotic properties in rat and man, but benzodia-
epines increase beta power and reduce theta power in the
sleep EEG, whereas gaboxadol increases delta and theta
power without an effect on the beta band [96, 97].

While there is translational consistency from rodent to
man regarding the benzodiazepine-induced beta power
increase or the opioid-induced increase in delta power,
translatability is not a universal phenomenon for all p-
EEG effects. 5HT1A partial agonists, for instance, pro-
duce a clear theta increase in rodents, whereas they are
associated with a theta reduction in humans, probably be-
cause the origin of superficial theta in rodent and man is
different, as already mentioned above. Both these latter
effects can be used for PK-PD modelling and the PK-PD
models are translational from rodent to man, suggesting
that even if the p-EEG profiles in rat and man are differ-
ent, one can still use the preclinical p-EEG effects to pre-	dict the clinical behaviour of such drugs in phase I trials.

**Methodological Standardisation**

In the preceding paragraphs attention has been focused
on the impact of sleep-waking behaviour and timing of
drug dosing in animal p-EEG studies, but there are nu-
merous other relevant variables that can affect the out-
come of animal p-(sleep) EEG studies, which may be obvi-
ous, but which are not always adequately mentioned in
publications and which may contribute to the many dis-
crepancies often observed in study results for a particular
drug. Such variables relate, amongst others, to the subject
of study (animal species/strain – large strain differences
have for instance been observed in the occurrence of sleep
spindles between rat strains), age (sleep structure in ani-
mals is strongly influenced by age, as it is in humans),
living conditions (circadian light intensity, ambient tem-
perature, feeding, social vs. individual housing, handling
and other potential stress factors), recording conditions
(placement of recording electrodes, grounding, reference,
cable vs. telemetric recordings, novelty of the recording
environment, i.e. home cage vs. experimental cage, light/
temperature/feeding/background noise during recording,
video monitoring for behavioural control and interpre-
tation of aberrant EEG findings, movement detection),
study design (sex, menstrual cycle, route of administra-
tion, inclusion of placebo and/or reference drugs, blin-
ding, definition of baseline, cross-over vs. parallel designs,
acute vs. chronic dosing, power calculations), data capture
(recording bandwidth, sampling frequency, filtering), sig-
nal analysis (artefact rejection, sleep classification algo-
rithms, spectral analysis procedures), the classification al-
In conclusion, the present applications of p-EEG and p-sleep EEG recordings, in comparison to other brain-imaging techniques like MEG and MRI, which are difficult to apply in animals due to restraint requirements, or PET, which is expensive and requires the availability of appropriate radioligands, provide a common and relatively inexpensive window into the living brain early in the pre-clinical and clinical development of psychotropic drugs. They provide information on the impact of drugs on arousal and sleep architecture, characterising their neuropharmacological characteristics in vivo, including the relevant question of central exposure and due to the high temporal resolution of p-EEG also elaborate information on kinetics (onset, peak effect time, duration of action).

The p-EEG is thus thought to have significant potential as a translatable, intermediate biomarker of central pharmacodynamic activity and eventually in particular cases as an efficacy marker. However, its full potential could not yet be realised due to the lack of methodology standardisation, especially between academia and industry research, rendering data pooling and meta-analyses flawed and the assessment of translatable problematic [100]. Therefore, this review paper essentially forms a prequel to novel IPEG guidelines for animal p-EEG and animal p-sleep EEG studies.1

1 Such guidelines are presently being prepared de novo as a follow-up to the IPEG human guidelines which have already appeared [98, 99]; with this paper the IPEG would also like to invite people who think they can further contribute to the formulation of such guidelines to contact the first author.
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