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Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD), a major cause of blindness worldwide, is a degenerative disease of the macula, often leading to progressive vision loss. According to the World Health Organization global eye disease survey report, 14 million people are blind or severely visually impaired due to AMD [1]. AMD has an early and a late stage, with visual impairment occurring during the late stage of the disease. AMD is quite prevalent in the elderly population; in 1 large population-based study of subjects 75 years of age and older, 30% had signs of early AMD, and 7% had signs of late AMD [2]. Currently, ‘late AMD’ is defined by the presence of 1 of 2 key features: (1) the growth of new choroidal vessels breaking through into the neuroretina, known as ‘choroidal neovascularization’ (CNV) or (2) progressive atrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), choriocapillaris and photoreceptor layers, known as ‘geographic atrophy’ (GA) [3]. GA and CNV are not necessarily mutually exclusive and can occur simultaneously in the same eye. This co-occurrence of the 2 subtypes of late AMD is generally not considered a distinct entity in clinical and research settings; therefore, less is known about eyes progressing to the
combined phenotype [4]. In this article, we review the current literature regarding the epidemiology, genetics, clinical presentation, and treatment options for the combined GA/CNV phenotype of AMD.

**Method of Literature Search**

We conducted an electronic search via PubMed for articles published up to October 2015. The search terms used were as follows: 'geographic atrophy', ‘choroidal neovascularization’, 'simultaneous', and 'age-related macular degeneration'. We selected relevant publications to be included in this review. We also searched the reference lists of those articles, and relevant articles were included in the review.

**Background**

*Early versus Late AMD*

The Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) established a staging schema for AMD [5]. In the early stage, patients are typically asymptomatic, with drusen seen on fundus examination and retinal imaging. Commonly utilized imaging techniques include color fundus photography, spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), near-infrared reflectance (NIR-R), and fundus autofluorescence (FAF). Drusen consist of a heterogeneous mixture of esterified lipids, amyloid, vitronectin, complement elements, and several proteins, including apolipoprotein B and E [6–9]. Other early AMD findings include pigmentary changes and subretinal drusenoid deposits (SDD) [10, 11]. The time of progression from early to late AMD differs from patient to patient. An analysis based on the Beaver Dam Eye Study demonstrated that, in subjects aged 43–86 years with signs of early AMD in both eyes, the cumulative 15-year incidence is 13.5% for GA and 14.8% for CNV [12]. Late AMD is diagnosed based on characteristic clinical examination and imaging findings, which will be discussed in the following two sections.

*General Characteristics of GA*

GA is a progressive process leading to a slow, irreversible decline in visual function. Clinicopathological studies have defined GA as areas of cell death in the RPE, outer neurosensory retina, and choriocapillaris [3, 13–15]. Unlike CNV, GA usually spares the foveal center until late in its course. On color fundus photography, it presents as unilobular or multilobular sharply delineated atrophic areas of severe depigmentation or absence of RPE cells, with a minimum diameter of 175 μm, through which larger choroidal vessels can be easily visualized [16]. GA can be further visualized using NIR-R, near-infrared autofluorescence (NIA), FAF, and SD-OCT imaging [17]. On NIR-R, atrophic patches are hyperreflectant, and on NIA and AF these patches are hypofluorescent, due to the absence of RPE cells [13, 18, 19]. While FAF has traditionally been used to supplement color fundus photography in the evaluation of GA, work by Forte et al. [19] suggests that NIA might detect RPE cell loss at GA borders earlier than FAF, and another study by Kellner et al. [20] suggests that NIA and FAF are equally capable of GA detection. An advantage of FAF in imaging GA is that it demonstrates the multilobular nature of most GA lesions clearly when this is not apparent on fundus photography or NIR-R imaging [21]. On SD-OCT, RPE atrophy is seen. Multiple pathological pathways, including immunological [22], vascular [23], and oxidative stress-induced pathways [14, 15], have been proposed to be responsible for GA onset and growth. More recently, SDD, also known as reticular macular disease, have been strongly associated with GA prevalence and growth [21, 24].

*General Characteristics of CNV*

CNV is defined by the growth and invasion of new fragile choroidal vessels through Bruch’s membrane. It is characterized on retinal imaging by the following features: hemorrhages, exudates, detachment of the RPE or retina, and/or subsequent disciform scars [14, 15, 25]. CNV has historically been classified into 3 types based on fluorescein angiography imaging findings: classic, occult, and minimally classic. Classic CNV corresponds to early fluorescein leakage on fluorescein angiography and is localized above the RPE on SD-OCT, whereas occult CNV is anatomically confined to the area below the RPE and is defined by late fluorescein leakage and poorly defined margins on fluorescein angiography. Lesions that combine both patterns, with a predominance of occult CNV, are called minimally classic lesions [14, 25]. A more modern classification of CNV lesions is based on both the origin and extent of neovascularization: type I vessels originate from the choroid and remain sub-RPE, type II vessels also originate from the choroid but break through the RPE while remaining subretinal, and type III vessels originate from the retinal arteries. Type III CNV has also been referred to as retinal angiomatous proliferation [26, 27], to emphasize that it is not truly choroidal in origin. Several pathological factors are thought to be involved in...
CNV. Hypotheses include an immunological response to RPE damage or degenerative changes of the choroidal vasculature (which might account for CNV development via an ischemic response) [14, 25]. The common end point of these pathological cascades is their ability to trigger the secretion of angiogenic factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), with subsequent choroidal vessel growth.

The Combined GA/CNV Phenotype of AMD

Prevalence and Incidence

Population-based studies give us insight into the prevalence of each form of late AMD. In subjects aged 75 years and older, the Beaver Dam Eye Study reported a GA prevalence of 2% and a CNV prevalence of 5.2% [2]. Similarly, in the Blue Mountains Eye Study, CNV was twice as common as GA [28]. While these studies report on each form of late AMD individually, the seemingly distinct entities, GA and CNV, can coexist in the same eye. Of note, several older histopathological studies have reported the prevalence of the combined GA/CNV entity, which may not always be evident clinically. In a study of 46 eyes with a clinical diagnosis of GA, Sarks [29] found that 15 eyes had subclinical CNV on histology. In 2 other histopathological studies, it was found that 22 eyes of 63 patients with clinical bilateral CNV also had areas of RPE atrophy on histology, and 86/760 eyes with a premortem diagnosis of AMD demonstrated both CNV and RPE atrophy histologically [30, 31].

The incidence of the combined GA/CNV phenotype has been reported in several clinical studies, with widely varying results, perhaps not surprisingly given that they are from different populations. Sarks et al. [32] demonstrated that 7/208 patients (3.4%) clinically diagnosed with GA in at least 1 eye developed CNV in the GA study eye after an average follow-up period of 6.2 months. Schatz and McDonald [33] reported that 10/50 eyes (20%) diagnosed with GA developed CNV after 2–6 years of follow-up. Sunness et al. [34] reported the 2- and 4-year incidences of CNV in the GA study eye as 6 and 17%, respectively, for 152 patients with a baseline diagnosis of GA in at least 1 eye. The Macular Photocoagulation Study (MPS) Group found that the 5-year incidence of CNV in an eye with GA was 45% for 11 patients in their initial study and 49% for 20 patients in the following study [35, 36]; the higher rates are probably a consequence of small sample size in the MPS Group studies. The Beaver Dam Eye Study found that, of eyes with GA at baseline, 10.9% (6/55) progressed to CNV over 5 years; of note, the development of CNV in GA eyes was more frequent if CNV was present in the fellow eye [37]. The difference in the follow-up period and in the number of participants recruited could explain the disparity in the incidence rates among the various studies. AREDS found that 0.4% of 3,212 eyes free of late AMD at baseline developed concomitant GA and CNV after 5 years of follow-up [38]. Both MPS and AREDS were conducted at retinal centers, which may not be representative of all populations. In a study of the simultaneous occurrence of GA and CNV, Grob et al. [39] found that GA tends to occur before CNV.

Clinical Characteristics

The GA component of the combined GA/CNV phenotype has a presentation similar to GA alone. It progresses slowly over time, leading to a gradual loss of visual acuity, and atrophic areas continue to enlarge independently of CNV development [34]. Likewise, the CNV component of this entity has the same clinical manifestations as CNV alone, including subretinal hemorrhage, exudates in the retinal layer, RPE or retinal detachment, and/or a sudden loss of visual acuity [14, 34, 39]. CNV is active over a shorter period of time than GA [34]. Thus, CNV may be clinically silent, and the appearance of the fundus may be limited to that of GA, leading to underestimation of the actual prevalence of the GA/CNV phenotype. Conversely, the effects of neovascularization, including hemorrhages and exudates, often obscure the central areas of atrophy, also leading to underestimation of the GA/CNV phenotype. In both types of late AMD, drusen and/or SDD can be present [15, 40] and visualized on various imaging modalities, as described earlier, although SDD seen on imaging paradoxically appears to decrease in eyes that progress to CNV [10, 41], whereas SDD are strongly associated with GA at all stages [21, 24, 42]. Figure 1 shows combined GA and CNV in the right eye of a 75-year-old man.

Systemic and Genetic Risk Factors

Risk factors for the subtypes of late AMD have been thoroughly described in the literature. Advanced age, cigarette smoking, low intake of antioxidants, elevated body mass index, family history of AMD, hypertension, large soft drusen, and SDD have been found to increase the risk for both GA and CNV [24, 43–50]. However, few studies have concentrated on the risk factors for the combined GA/CNV phenotype. Sunness et al. [34] studied the clinical and systemic risk factors for CNV development in eyes clinically diagnosed with GA. Systemic factors, such
as gender, age, hypertension, and vitamin use, were not associated with the risk of CNV development in eyes with GA. The effect of smoking was not evaluated due to the limited number of smokers among participants. However, a major clinical risk factor found in the study was the status of the fellow eye; having CNV in the fellow eye significantly increased the risk of developing CNV in the GA study eye. Other ocular factors, such as total atrophic area, configuration of the atrophy, RPE degeneration, phakic status, iris color, and peripapillary atrophy, were not significantly associated with the occurrence of CNV in eyes with GA. The MPS Group studies showed that hypertension, the presence of 5 or more drusen, focal hyperpigmentation, and 1 or more large drusen are risk factors for CNV development. However, neither of the MPS Group studies focused on the combined GA/CNV form, and few patients with GA were included [35, 36]. One recent study showed that the combined GA/CNV entity tends to occur at an older age than GA or CNV alone and is associated with late AMD in the fellow eye, suggesting that the combined phenotype may be a later stage along the same spectrum of disease [4]. There were no differences in rates of hypertension, diabetes, or dyslipidemia, or in smoking status or family history of AMD, between the combined phenotype group and the group with either GA or CNV [4].

Fig. 1. Combined GA and CNV in the right eye of a 75-year-old man. a Multilobular GA is visible on infrared as small round or oval atrophic areas of increased reflectance (green arrows; color in the online version only). b Inactive CNV is shown on SD-OCT as fibrovascular material below the RPE (red arrows). c The color photograph clearly shows the atrophic depigmented oval and round areas of GA (yellow arrows). d GA is seen in the intermediate phase of the fluorescein angiogram as hyperfluorescent lobular lesions due to window defects (blue arrows). e Occult CNV is characterized by an ill-defined area of irregular leakage and stippled hyperfluorescence in the late phase of the fluorescein angiogram (red circle).
though there were higher rates of ARMS2 and HTRA1 risk alleles in the combined phenotype group (not statistically significant). Another study found no difference in CFH allele frequencies between subjects with the combined GA/CNV phenotype and those with GA or CNV alone [4], but contrary to the study by Grob et al. [39], there was a lower frequency (albeit not statistically significant) of the ARMS2 risk allele among patients with the combined GA/CNV form. It appears that the combined phenotype does not have a unique genetic profile, although further research remains to be done.

Treatment and the Role of Anti-VEGF Intravitreal Injections

Currently, very different treatment modalities exist for the two late forms of AMD. AREDS demonstrated that nutritional supplements can slow the onset of GA [5], although there is no proven treatment for GA once it occurs. β-Carotene, part of the original AREDS formulation, was shown to increase the risk of lung cancer in patients with smoking history [54, 55]. AREDS2, the follow-up study to AREDS, showed that β-carotene could be safely replaced by the antioxidants zeaxanthin and lutein [56, 57]. Thus, the current formulation includes vitamin E, vitamin C, zinc, copper, lutein, and zeaxanthin.

Intravitreal anti-VEGF therapies are the standard of care for symptomatic CNV, as well as for maintenance treatment, with many patients experiencing significant improvements in visual acuity [58–61]. Currently, there are 3 medications in widespread use: bevacizumab (Avastin; Genentech, Inc., San Francisco, Calif., USA), ranibizumab (Lucentis; Genentech, Inc.), and aflibercept (Eylea; Regeneron, Inc., Tarrytown, N.Y., USA). Anti-VEGF injections were recently studied for their efficacy in treating CNV with underlying GA. Amaro and Roller [62] studied the effect of anti-VEGF treatment on the combined GA/CNV phenotype in a case series of 11 eyes. Favorable anatomical and visual function outcomes were found after treatment with either ranibizumab or bevacizumab. However, the authors mentioned that the improvement in visual acuity in their study was not as remarkable as in other anti-VEGF trials, incriminating underlying GA [62]. Querques et al. [63] found that treatment of CNV with ranibizumab in eyes with concomitant GA resulted in a significant reduction of the morphological manifestations of CNV at 24 months of treatment in 21 naïve eyes; however, visual acuity deteriorated, perhaps because the underlying GA prevented any favorable outcome in visual function.

While Amaro and Roller [62] and Querques et al. [63] analyzed eyes with GA already present, some eyes will develop new GA after CNV onset. Some researchers and clinicians believe that the onset and progression of GA in eyes previously affected by CNV may be accelerated as a result of anti-VEGF injections; this hypothesis is based on suggestive retrospectively reviewed data from the Inhibition of VEGF in Age-Related Choroidal Neovascularization (IVAN) trial, the Comparison of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials (CATT), and the HARBOR (phase III, double-masked, multicenter, randomized, active treatment-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of 0.5 and 2.0 mg ranibizumab administered monthly or on an as-needed basis in patients with subfoveal neovascular age-related macular degeneration) trial [64–66]. Importantly, this theory has not been tested in a prospective study, and patients may be experiencing natural progression of their AMD rather than a treatment-induced effect [65, 67]. Animal models suggest that VEGF, secreted by the RPE, is critical to choriocapillaris and RPE maintenance; mice lacking certain isoforms of VEGF, including VEGF_A, were shown to have atrophy of the RPE similar to GA, with decreased autofluorescence, accumulation of sub-RPE deposits, and loss of barrier properties [68, 69]. Clinically, the macular appearance can vary after anti-VEGF treatment and can involve RPE disturbances, including pigmentary changes and atrophy, as well as atrophy of the choriocapillaris, which could represent precursor lesions to GA or outright GA [70]. Additionally, some patients in another study were reported to experience a decline in visual acuity [71]. Young et al. [72] showed that, in CNV eyes treated with a treat-and-extend protocol of bevacizumab or ranibizumab, progression of RPE and choroidal atrophy was associated with the number of intravitreal injections. The CATT study found that the 2-year incidence of GA in treated eyes was approximately 18%. Further, it showed that the rate of GA onset was higher among patients who were treated monthly with ranibizumab compared to those treated with ranibizumab as needed and those treated with bevacizumab either monthly or as needed [64, 65], and that visual prognosis was quite poor if GA involved the fovea [65]. The study found that ranibizumab, compared to bevacizumab, was associated with a 43% increased risk of GA development. It was proposed that the distinct molecular composition of ranibizumab versus bevacizumab might account for the higher rate of GA onset in patients treated with ranibizumab [65]. On reanalysis of CATT study imaging, Grunwald et al. [73] found no difference in GA onset between patients treated
monthly and those treated as needed, although there was a higher incidence of GA and a greater area of yearly GA growth in those treated with ranibizumab compared to those who received bevacizumab. Subfoveal localization of CNV was associated with a slower GA growth rate compared to CNV not localized to the fovea; similarly, GA near the fovea grew more slowly than GA further from the fovea. Classic CNV was associated with a faster rate of GA growth than minimally classic or occult types. The number of injections was not associated with GA growth rate [73], in contrast to Lois et al. [74], who showed, using FAF imaging, that GA onset was associated with the number of intravitreal anti-VEGF injections. Of the 1,185 participants receiving anti-VEGF therapy assessed by Grunwald et al. [73], 120 (10.1%) developed GA within the first year of the study, and an additional 36 (3.0%) developed GA within the second year. Of note, most new GA was localized within areas of CNV involvement. Further, the CNV-associated GA was clinically indistinguishable from normal de novo GA seen in non-CNV eyes and grew at similar rates as those demonstrated in GA AMD studies [75, 76], suggesting its similarity to normal GA [73]. McLeod et al. [77] showed increased choriocapillaris loss in areas adjacent to CNV, which could explain why more GA was found in these areas within the CATT study analysis [73]. Jaffe et al. [78] found that GA developed in 18% of patients treated with anti-VEGF therapy for CNV. Cho et al. [79] showed that, in subjects with retinal angiomatous proliferation, a subtype of CNV, 16/43 eyes (37.2%) treated with ranibizumab developed GA over the 2-year follow-up period, with baseline subfoveal choroidal thinning, SDD, and GA in the fellow eye being significant risk factors for GA in the study eye. Xu et al. [50] showed that eyes with type I CNV were less likely to progress to GA after anti-VEGF treatment than eyes with other types.

Treating the combined GA/CNV form with anti-VEGF injections is not contraindicated. However, it is important to weigh the risks and benefits of treatment and to consider a different therapeutic approach or dosing regimen in eyes that develop GA. For example, treatment with aflibercept has been shown to be as effective on a bimonthly dosing plan as monthly injections of ranibizumab [80]. In our experience, treating the combined GA/CNV phenotype presents a challenge to the clinician. Even with treatment of the CNV, gains in visual acuity tend to be less than in eyes without GA due to the underlying RPE and photoreceptor atrophy. Patients should be counseled regarding the modest expectations of therapy.

**Conclusion**

GA and CNV are frequently considered separate subtypes of AMD. This hypothesis is supported by their distinct clinical expressions. However, GA and CNV are both associated with common variants in the \textit{CFH} and \textit{ARMS2} genes, they both coexist with drusen and SDD, and they can occur simultaneously with a frequency that is probably underestimated, suggesting a possible overlap between these apparently separate pathological cascades. To our knowledge, no distinct systemic or genetic risk profile has been associated with the combined GA/CNV entity compared to the GA and CNV subtypes. Thus, AMD may have a linear progression, with GA and CNV lying on the same disease continuum, and the combined entity may be a more advanced stage of AMD than either GA or CNV alone. Alternatively, late AMD may be two different disorders that coalesce with similar final expression. Treatment of these patients can present a challenge to the clinician, with the primary concern being limited visual acuity improvement after use of anti-VEGF agents due to underlying GA. In fact, some evidence suggests that anti-VEGF injections may accelerate the onset and/or growth of GA, although this hypothesis requires further investigation. Further studies are warranted to investigate the clinical and genetic characteristics of the combined GA/CNV entity and its relationship to the individual subtypes of late AMD.
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