Efficacy of Anti-Epileptic Drugs in the Treatment of Tumor and Its Associated Epilepsy: An in vitro Perspective
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Abstract
The change in the therapeutic targets from neuron to glia has proved beneficial in the treatment of many psychiatric disorders. The anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) have been widely prescribed for the treatment of partial and complete seizures, bipolar disorder among others. The current study was carried out to explore the efficacy of some conventional and novel AEDs for the treatment of tumor-associated epilepsy which develops in 29–49% of the patients diagnosed with brain tumors. We used C6 glioma cell line as model system to study the effect of selected AEDs, viz., gabapentin (GBP), valproic acid (VPA) and topiramate (TPM). Morphometry, cell cycle analysis, apoptosis, expression of different protein markers, viz., GFAP, HSP70 and nuclear factor-κB (NFκB) were studied in AED-treated cultures. The study was further extended to rat hypothalamic primary explant cultures, and cell migration and expression of plasticity markers – neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) and polysialylation of NCAM (PSA-NCAM) – were studied in the explants. TPM was observed to show more pronounced increase in apoptosis of glioblastoma cells accompanied by significant downregulation in the expression of HSP70 and NFκB. TPM-treated explants also showed highest process ramification and cellular migration accompanied by intense expression of the plasticity markers as compared to those treated with GBP and VPA. Among the 3 AEDs tested, TPM was observed to show more promising effects on cytoprotection and plasticity of C6 glioma cells.

Introduction
Over the last 2 decades, a new hypothesis has emerged that defines the dependence of neuronal function and seizure susceptibility on glial cells. Several studies suggest the role of glia as a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of epilepsy and other CNS diseases [1, 2]. Antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) have been widely prescribed for the treatment of tumor-associated epilepsy. The targets of most current AEDs are neuronal voltage-gated sodium channels and calcium channels, glutamate receptors or
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and on spinal cord ischemia-reperfusion injury in rabbits. 
Hippocampal and cortical neurodegeneration studies have suggested the neuroprotective role of GBP in sclerosis disease. Several cellular and animal models of amyotrophic lateral 
been reported to exert neuroprotective effects in vivo in several cellular and animal models of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis disease. Furthermore, VPA has been reported to protect the culture of cerebellar neurons against glutamate-induced neurotoxicity.

Another AED, gabapentin (GBP) is prescribed for the cure of pain, alterations of sensation and pruritus associated with skin diseases, movement disorders, migraine prophylaxis and cocaine dependence. Recent studies have suggested the neuroprotective role of GBP in hippocampal and cortical neurodegeneration and on spinal cord ischemia-reperfusion injury in rabbits.

The aim of our study was to test glioprotection and glioplasticity-inducing potential of conventional and new generation AEDs, namely GBP, VPA and TPM. The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed to initially select the appropriate dose of these AEDs. Later, cell cycle analysis was carried out to determine the stage at which selected doses of these drugs arrest the cell cycle. Additionally, toxicity and/or induction of apoptosis or necrosis of these AEDs were also assessed. Morphometric analysis was done to demonstrate the neurite outgrowth potential of these AEDs. And further effect of these AEDs on primary cultures, migration and process outgrowth was assessed using hypothalamic primary explant cultures. Together our findings provide insights on the potential role of GBP, VPA and TPM in glioprotection, and neuronal and glial plasticity that may contribute to the roles of these AEDs as novel therapeutics.

**Methods**

**Cell Culture and Treatments**

C6 glioma cell line was obtained from National Centre for Cell Science, Pune, India. Cells were cultured in 75-cm² vented flasks using Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Sigma Aldrich, USA), which was supplemented with 1× PSN (Life Technologies, USA) and 10% fetal bovine serum (Biological Industries, Israel). Cultures were grown in an incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 95% relative humidity. Undifferentiated cells were subcultured by trypsinization (0.01% PBS) when they reached 70–80% confluency. Cells were seeded in the split ratio of 1:2. The media was changed every other day. The treatment with AEDs was given 2 h after seeding for 72 h in multi-well plates. After treatment, the cells were harvested for viability assays, phase contrast microscopy, immunofluorescence studies and Western blotting. The control group was given a media change alone. The cultures were maintained at low passage numbers and were free of mycoplasma contamination.

**Cell Viability Assay**

The cell viability was assessed by MTT assay [14]. C6 cells at 30–40% confluency were treated with 1–100 μM concentrations of AEDs (GBP, VPA and TPM; Sun Pharmaceutical Ind., Ltd., India) and incubated for 72 h. The cell viability was estimated by measuring the absorbance of purple colored formazan crystals formed by the activity of mitochondrial dehydrogenases.

**Morphology Studies**

Morphological changes in C6 glioma cells treated with AEDs were studied by phase contrast microscopy. These experiments were performed in 24 well plates containing coverslip seeded with 10,000 cells/ml. The phase contrast images of cultures were taken using Phase Contrast Inverted Microscope (Nikon TE2000).

**Morphometry**

The effect of AEDs on the cell differentiation was investigated. To achieve that, C6 cells were studied for number and length of process outgrowths. This study was done as described previously in our laboratory [15]. Briefly, C6 cells were seeded at a density of 5,000 cells/ml in 48 well plates. After incubation with the AEDs, cells were fixed with 25% glutaraldehyde solution (final concentration in the medium was 2.5%) for 90 min followed by 3 washings with PBS at room temperature. The cells were then stained in a solution containing 1% toluidine blue and 1% methylene blue in 1% borax (sodium tetraborate) for 1 h at room temperature. The staining solution was then removed and cells were washed with water and air-dried overnight at room temperature. Bright field images were taken using inverted microscope (Nikon TE2000). Length and number of outgrowths were measured using Image Pro Plus software version 4.5.1, and the analysis was done using Microsoft Excel and Sigma Stat for windows (version 3.5).

**Annexin V-FITC (Apoptosis) Assay**

To further explore whether AEDs cause apoptosis and necrotic cell death, cell suspension was harvested from cells cultured and treated in 90-mm Petri dishes. Cells from 4 Petri dishes were pooled together. Cells were stained with Annexin V conjugated with FITC and PI using Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (Miltenyi Biotech, San Diego, Calif., USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the cells from the control and treated groups were obtained after centrifugation at 5,000 rpm. Cells were resuspended in 1 ml of 1× binding buffer, and 10 μl Annexin V-FITC was added and incubated for 15 min in a darkroom. The pellet was obtained by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm and resuspended in 500 μl of 1× binding buffer and 5 μl of propidium iodide solution was added immediately prior to analysis by BD Accuri C6.
flow cytometer (BD Biosciences Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, Calif., USA). Cycloheximide (50 μg/ml) was used as a positive control.

Cell Cycle Analysis
To elucidate whether AEDs modulate signaling pathways associated with cell cycle, cells were grown and treated in 90-mm Petri dishes. Cells from 4 Petri dishes were pooled together. After 72 h of treatment, cells were trypsinized and collected along with the floating cells. The pellet was obtained by centrifugation and was resuspended in 1 ml PBS (ice cold). Fixation of cells was done in ice-cold 70% ethanol. Staining of cells was done with propidium iodide. Cell sorting was done using BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer. Analysis was done using CFlow Plus software (BD Biosciences).

Explant Cultures from Neonatal Rat Brain and AEDs Treatment
The explant cultures of neonatal rat brain from 2-day-old pups were performed in part as described by Boss et al. [16]. Animal care and procedures were followed in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Ethical Committee, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India. Briefly, rat pups were sacrificed by decapitation. Brain was removed from the cranial and kept in sterile ice-cold Solution I (1× KRB, 1.2 mM MgSO4, 3 mg/ml BSA; KRB: 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 14 mM glucose, 0.015% phenol red). Hypothalamus was separated from the brain, and meninges around the tissue were removed by gentle rolling on sterile filter paper sheet. The separated region was kept in 3 ml of Solution I. The tissue was minced into 0.2 mm pieces with a sterile scalpel by moving in 2 orthogonal directions. The minced tissue was kept on poly-L-lysine coated cover slips in a multi-well plate and covered with 50 μl of Neurobasal medium (Life Technologies, USA). It was incubated at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 in a CO2 incubator. After 2 h, the medium was replenished and explants treated with AEDs in different wells for 72 h.

Immunofluorescence Staining
All cells/explants including the control as well as the treated ones were washed with 1× PBS thrice for 5 min each and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Cells/explants were given a single wash with 1× PBS and permeabilization was carried out with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS (PBST) for 15 min followed by blocking with 2% BSA (prepared in PBS) for 30 min at room temperature. Cells/explants were incubated with the respective primary antibody (rabbit anti-GFAP (1:100); mouse anti-HSP70 (1:500); mouse anti-NFκB (1:250); Sigma Aldrich, USA) prepared together in 2% BSA at 4°C overnight. The membranes were blocked (5% skimmed milk in 0.1% TBST) and immediately incubated with respective primary antibody rabbit anti-GFAP (1:2,000); mouse anti-HSP70 (1:2,000); mouse anti-NFκB (1:2,000) (Sigma Aldrich, USA) at 4°C overnight, followed by the respective secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit for GFAP and goat anti-mouse for HSP70 and NFκB) conjugated with horse radish peroxidase (HRP) (1:5,000; Merck Millipore, USA) and mouse anti-NCAM (1:250; Sigma Aldrich, USA) as an internal control for protein loading. Immunoreactive bands on membrane were visualized using ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and the antibody-labeling intensity (relative optical density) was quantified using Image Quant LAS 4,000 (GE Healthcare, USA).

Statistical Analysis
Values are expressed as mean ± SEM from at least 3 independent experiments. The Sigma Stat for Windows (version 3.5) was adopted to analyze the results by one-way analysis of variance in order to determine significance of the means. Values of p < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

Cell Viability Assays
To determine that the selected concentration of AEDs is not cytotoxic and has the potential to induce glioprotection, cell viability of these compounds was tested using MTT assay. Cells were treated with different concentrations of GBP (1–100 μM), VPA (1–100 μM) and TPM (1–
100 μM) for 72 h (fig. 1a). Significant change in cell morphology, along with considerable rounding in cells, was observed in GBP-treated cells at a concentration of 100 μM and in VPA- and TPM-treated cells from 50 μM onward (data not shown). Significant downregulation in cell viability was observed at 100 μM concentration in all the treatment groups. For further studies, the sub-toxic concentrations of AEDs used were 50, 10 and 10 μM for GBP, VPA and TPM, respectively.

AEDs Induce Differentiation-Like Morphology in Glioblastoma Cells

To elucidate morphological changes in C6 cells after treatment with AEDs, phase contrast images of treated and control cultures were taken (fig. 1b). Treatment of C6 cells with GBP, VPA and TPM resulted in their differentiation showing longer processes accompanied by the shortening of cell body as compared to untreated control cells. Further morphometric analysis was done to compare the number and length of the processes in control and treated cells. After 72 h of incubation with the AEDs, the length of processes in GBP-, VPA- and TPM-treated cells showed a significant increase (p < 0.05; fig. 2a), though the number of processes in treated cells and control cells were almost similar (fig. 2b).

Annexin V-FITC Assay and Cell Cycle Analysis

To further determine whether the selected doses of AEDs cause apoptotic effect, Annexin V-FITC assay was performed (fig. 3a, b). GBP-treated cells showed 82.8% viable cell population, which was almost comparable to control untreated cells (80.8%), and the number of cells in late apoptosis decreased to 4.1% after treatment with GBP. With 10 μM of TPM, viable cell population was reduced to 74.2%, early apoptotic cells were 17.6% and late apoptotic cells were 7.1% as compared to 80.8, 13.0 and 5.3%, respectively, in case of control cultures. No significant changes were observed in the percentage of viable and apoptotic cells in VPA-treated group as compared to the control group, whereas cycloheximide used as positive control reduced the percentage of live cells and increased the number of apoptotic and necrotic cells (fig. 3a, b). The percentage of necrotic cells in all treatment groups was almost negligible. Additionally, cell cycle analysis (fig. 3c, d) showed the majority of the cells in G0/G1 phase in control group. The number of cells in G0/G1 phase increased with all the AEDs, and VPA-treated cells showed maximum cell number in G0/G1 phase. These data again suggest that AEDs may tend to induce differentiation in C6 glioma cells.

Immunofluorescent Staining and Western Blotting

In the current study, GFAP expression in GBP-, VPA- and TPM-treated cells was downregulated with respect to control cells (fig. 4a–d). Similar trend was observed with Western blotting data but the change was not statistically significant (fig. 4m, n). Furthermore, the effects of selected AEDs on cellular stress response, the expression of marker proteins HSP70 and NFκB were evaluated. The expression of NFκB was upregulated upon treatment of...
cells with GBP and VPA, whereas it was significantly (p < 0.05) downregulated upon treatment with TPM as is shown in immunostaining (fig. 4e–h) and Western blotting data (fig. 4m, n). Cells treated with GBP and VPA showed no change in expression of HSP70, whereas TPM-treated cells showed 16.4% decrease in HSP70 expression though not at significant level on both immunostaining (fig. 4i–l) and immunoblotting (fig. 4m, n).

**Cellular Migration and Process Outgrowth from Hypothalamic Explant Cultures**

The cellular migration and process outgrowth was observed in hypothalamic primary explant cultures of 2-day-old pups upon treatment with selected AEDs (fig. 5). TPM-treated explants showed maximum migratory potential as compared to other drugs. Both the migration of cell bodies and process outgrowth was observed in VPA- and TPM-treated explant cultures particularly in the cells at the periphery of explant, whereas GBP treatment did not show any cellular migration or process outgrowth. Since the selected AEDs are known to act through GABAergic pathway and GAD is the key enzyme in the synthesis of GABA, GAD expression was also studied in the hypothalamic explant cultures, which was enhanced (fig. 5a–d) both at the core and periphery of explants with AEDs treatment. To further analyze the plasticity inducing potential of the selected AEDs, the expression of plasticity markers such as PSA-NCAM and NCAM was also studied. Upregulation in the expression of PSA-NCAM and NCAM was observed in the explants treated with GBP, VPA and TPM as compared to control, and TPM-treated cultures showed more pronounced effects (fig. 5e–p).

**Discussion**

In the current study, C6 glioma cell line was used as a model system to study the in vitro effects of the selected AEDs, viz., GBP, VPA and TPM on glioprotection. Our results showed that the moderate doses of these AEDs were not cytotoxic. GBP treatment resulted in dose-dependent decline in cell viability with significant decrease at 100 μM concentration; so 50 μM concentration of GBP was chosen for further studies. In VPA- and TPM-treated cells, the viability was good up to 10 μM concentration,
which started to decline from 50 μM onward and was significantly decreased at 100 μM. Further experiments with VPA and TPM were performed with 10 μM dose. The phase contrast images and morphometry data showed C6 cells with elongated processes in the AED-treated cells as compared to control cultures. The maximum elongation of processes was observed in GBP-treated cultures, and the treatment using these AEDs only caused increase in the length of the processes with no change in the number of processes. The data suggest that these AEDs induce differentiation like morphology in C6 glioma cells.

As tumor cells are resistant to apoptosis, the apoptosis inducing potential of these drugs might be an additional effect of these AEDs. The number of apoptotic cells was found to have increased (as supported by Annexin V-FITC assay) in TPM-treated cells as compared to the control cells, which is suggestive of its inhibitory role in tumor progression. TPM has been shown to play inhibitory role in tumor progression in mice-bearing Lewis lung
Fig. 4. Immunofluorescent and Western blot analysis. Immunofluorescent staining of GFAP (a–d), NFκB (e–h) and HSP70 (i–l) in control, 50 μM GBP, 10 μM VPA and 10 μM TPM-treated C6 cells. Images were captured using Nikon A1R confocal microscope. Scale bar represents the length of 50 μM. m Representative blots for GFAP, NFκB and HSP70 in control and drug-treated C6 cells. n Quantitative densitometric analysis for markers is shown in histogram. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM from 3 independent experiments. * Represents statistically significant (p < 0.05) difference in the expression of markers in treated cells with respect to control cells.
carcinoma, and this feature has been attributed to the inhibition of angiogenesis by downregulation of osteopontin, VEGF and carbonic anhydrase II [17, 18]. However, no significant change in number of apoptotic cells was observed in GBP- and VPA-treated cells, which suggests that GBP and VPA are not acting via apoptosis pathway for tumor suppression. Furthermore, the cell cycle analysis showed the majority of the AED-treated cells in G0/G1 phase with maximum number of cells arrested in G0/G1 phase seen in VPA-treated cells. The arrest in G0/G1

Fig. 5. Primary explant cultures study. Hypothalamic explants from 2-day-old pups stained for GAD (a–d), PSA-NCAM (e–h) and NCAM (i–l). Overlay images (m–p) show the co-localization of PSA-NCAM and NCAM. Images were captured using Nikon A1R confocal microscope. Nuclei were stained by DAPI. Scale bar represents the length of 50 μM.
phase upon AED treatment is again suggestive of induction of differentiation like phenotype in C6 cells, which subsequently leads to apoptosis as evident from the Annexin V-FITC assay. The regulation of cell cycle and apoptosis pathways play an important role in the growth and development of cancer. Targeting the cell cycle has recently emerged as an attractive approach for the treatment of various cancer types. Anti-cancer drugs currently in clinical use such as paclitaxel [19] and bendamustine [20] have been shown to arrest specific cell cycle phase and also induce apoptosis of target cancer cells. VPA, which is in clinical development phase, has also been shown to selectively arrest cell cycle in G1 phase [21].

Glial cells show reactive gliosis as a generic response to brain damage [22]. The hallmark feature of reactive gliosis is hypertrophy of cellular processes of astrocytes accompanied by upregulation of intermediate filament proteins, including GFAP, which has been reported in CNS trauma, hypoxia, around growing tumors and in many neurodegenerative conditions [23]. In the current study, downregulation in the expression of GFAP upon treatment with selected doses of AEDs in C6 cells may suggest their potential to prevent reactive gliosis. Maximum downregulation in GFAP expression was observed in TPM-treated cell cultures. Thus, these drugs may pose an overall protective effect in tumor-associated epilepsy in patients suffering from glioblastoma, TPM being the most promising drug among the three. GBP has been reported to induce neuroprotection in pilocarpine-induced status epilepticus rats by reducing reactive gliosis as is evident from GFAP downregulation [24]. The expression pattern of many molecular chaperones like heat shock proteins expressed in mitochondria, such as HSP70 have been found to be closely linked to cell proliferation stages in mammals [25, 26]. Various reports have suggested highly upregulated expression of HSP70 in tumor cell lines; however, in normal cell lines, its expression is only stress inducible [27]. The downregulation of HSP70 expression in tumor cells has been reported to commit them to apoptosis [28]. Downregulation of HSP70 expression in C6 cells after treatment with GBP, VPA and TPM supports the apoptosis data of the current study. Highest percentage of downregulation in HSP70 expression in TPM-treated cultures correlates well with the highest number of early and late apoptotic cells observed in this treatment group.

Expression of NFκB was found to be upregulated in GBP- and VPA-treated cells but these changes were not statistically significant. NFκB plays diverse roles ranging from inhibition of apoptosis, induction of differentiation to induction of cell survival pathways [29]. Hence, it may be suggested that these drugs induce pathways which help in maintaining homeostasis and stabilizing cellular machinery. In addition, VPA is known to be a potent neuroprotective agent against LPS-induced neurotoxicity, by decreasing levels of proinflammatory factors released from activated microglia [30]. VPA-induced protection has also been reported by Penas et al. [31] after spinal cord injury. NFκB is an anti-apoptotic protein, and a significant (p < 0.05) downregulation in NFκB expression upon treatment with TPM may suggest that the apoptosis induction by TPM may be facilitated by downregulation of NFκB pathway.

Various animal and human studies have reported GABAergic dysfunction in mood disorders and bipolar patients [32]. GAD is the key enzyme responsible for the synthesis of GABA. Our results of hypothalamic explant cultures from 2-day-old pups showed enhanced expression of GAD after treatment with VPA and TPM, with more promising effects in TPM-treated group. It is supported by the potential mechanism of action of these AEDs through GABAergic upregulation as is evident from increased GAD expression in the explant cultures treated with these drugs. It has been proposed that VPA might compensate for a potential GABAergic deficiency through the enhancement of GABA brain concentration [33].

Hypothalamic explant cultures (with normal phenotype) showed intense PSA-NCAM and NCAM immunostaining, the markers of neurite outgrowth, synaptic plasticity and neuronal migration. NCAM is a developmentally regulated protein that has been implicated in various cellular processes, such as cell–cell adhesion, cell migration and synaptic plasticity [34]. Enhanced cellular migration as well as expression of both PSA-NCAM and NCAM, particularly in the cells at the periphery of explant in VPA- and TPM-treated cultures, supports the neuronal-glial plasticity-inducing potential of these drugs. Process outgrowth of migrating cells may suggest that these AEDs promote neurite outgrowth as well as enhance neuronal and glial plasticity. Previous studies have reported that many anticancer compounds inhibit the growth of cancerous cells without arresting the growth of normal cells [35, 36]. Another study reported that VPA administration enhanced polysialylated NCAM paralleled by reduced invasiveness of tumor cells in various neuroblastoma cell lines in a time-dependent manner [37]. Cellular outgrowth and process ramification were predominantly increased in the periphery of VPA- and TPM-treated explant cultures, with TPM showing more
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pronounced effects. Expression of PSA on NCAM is associated with cellular migration [38]. PSA on the extracellular domain of NCAM reduces cell adhesion by acting as a spacer, allowing dynamic changes in the membrane contacts making extension or outgrowth easier [39]. The current study with AEDs may suggest their potential role in promoting process outgrowth as well as neuronal and glial plasticity through upregulation of plasticity marker proteins. Several previous studies from our lab have reported the potential role of PSA-NCAM in neuronal-glial interactions in adult hypothalamus and neuroendocrine plasticity [39, 40].

The people suffering from glioblastoma who have been reported to have epileptic seizure attacks are 29–49% [41–43]. Our current data suggest that among the 3 AEDs studied, TPM may be the most promising drug for the treatment of tumor and its associated epilepsy by directing the glioma cells toward differentiation and then inducing apoptosis, keeping the surrounding normal cells in cytoprotective environment. Also, these AEDs promote neurogenesis in primary hypothalamic explant cultures that supports the glioprotective and neuronal plasticity inducing potential of these AEDs in normal cells. Since these AEDs are being prescribed for a wide range of clinical conditions such as treatment of neuropathic pain and post-traumatic stress disorder, the current data may add to the unexplored potential of these drugs, which may contribute to the field of glioscience.
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