Anatomical and Functional Estimations of Brachial Artery Diameter and Elasticity Using Oscillometric Measurements with a Quantitative Approach

Keiichiro Yoshinaga, Satoshi Fujii, Yuuki Tomiyama, Keisuke Takeuchi, Nagara Tamaki

Abstract
Noninvasive vascular function measurement plays an important role in detecting early stages of atherosclerosis and in evaluating therapeutic responses. In this regard, recently, new vascular function measurements have been developed. These new measurements have been used to evaluate vascular function in coronary arteries, large aortic arteries, or peripheral arteries. Increasing vascular diameter represents vascular remodeling related to atherosclerosis. Attenuated vascular elasticity may be a reliable marker for atherosclerotic risk assessment. However, previous measurements for vascular diameter and vascular elasticity have been complex, operator-dependent, or invasive. Therefore, simple and reliable approaches have been sought. We recently developed a new automated oscillometric method to measure the estimated area (eA) of a brachial artery and its volume elastic modulus (VE). In this review, we further report on this new measurement and other vascular measurements. We report on the reliability of the new automated oscillometric measurement of eA and VE. Based on our findings, this measurement technique should be a reliable approach, and this modality may have practical application to automatically assess muscular artery diameter and elasticity in clinical or epidemiological settings. In this review, we report the characteristics of our new oscillometric measurements and other related vascular function measurements.
Introduction

Noninvasive vascular function measurements have been used to detect early stages of atherosclerosis and have contributed to the risk assessment of subjects with risk factors for coronary artery disease [1–3]. Vascular functional measurements include vascular anatomical changes, arterial stiffness, blood flow changes, and vascular elasticity in several vascular beds from microcirculation in coronary arteries and brachial arteries to that in large arteries and the aorta. Several diagnostic modalities have been developed to noninvasively measure vascular function. Among these, ultrasound is widely used in clinical and community study settings [4]. Ultrasound can estimate vascular anatomical changes and functional changes under appropriate stress protocols (table 1) [2]. Upper-arm ultrasound measurements can be used to estimate vascular diameter, endothelial function using flow-mediated dilatation (FMD), and endothelial-independent vasodilator function using nitroglycerin (NTG) stress [5, 6]. In this regard, ultrasound measurement plays an important role in estimating the function of peripheral arteries and is considered to be one of the standard vascular function measurements. However, data acquisition and data analysis require training, and there can be an issue with the reproducibility of ultrasound measurements [7]. Therefore, many investigators have developed alternative new approaches for vascular function measurements to evaluate anatomical changes or functional abnormalities. We have developed positron emission tomography (PET) to measure coronary endothelial function [1, 8, 9]. PET is accurate and coronary specific, but it can be performed in a limited number of facilities and is used mainly as a research tool. For wider clinical use, several noninvasive measurements have also been developed, including pulse wave velocity (PWV) to measure arterial stiffness in the large arteries [10–13]. The original PWV method of carotid-femoral PWV is the reference standard and can be used to evaluate large artery stiffness [13]. Another approach involving brachial-ankle PWV (baPWV) has been developed. baPWV can also be used to easily measure PWV...
with blood pressure (BP) measurement cuffs and has been validated against invasively derived aortic PWV [14]. Therefore, baPWV is widely applied in clinical settings and is useful in cardiovascular outcome predictions in the Asian population [10, 15, 16]. However, PWV is an index of large artery stiffness associated with structure [3, 17]. In addition, PWV is influenced by BP and heart rate, because elevated BP increases the blood wall tension and reduces vascular elasticity [18, 19]. Increased wall tension due to elevated BP increases the velocity of the bloodstream and hence increases the PWV. The influence of BP may be one of the major limitations of PWV. Cardio-ankle-vascular index (CAVI) is not affected by BP. Data acquisition with CAVI is basically similar to that with PWV but as with PWV, CAVI evaluates the vascular function of only large arteries, a fact that represents a major limitation of this approach [20]. Augmentation index is also influenced by BP and heart rate. Therefore, alternative noninvasive approaches that are not influenced by BP or heart rate are also being sought. In contrast, vascular elasticity is not considered to be influenced by a patient’s BP at the time of measurement and can be measured through an oscillometric approach [11, 21]. We therefore aimed to make new vascular anatomical and functional measurements using an oscillometric approach [22].

Concept of Oscillometric Approach for Evaluating Vascular Elasticity

Arterial stiffness can be measured using the information regarding arterial pressure and diameter changes. Vascular elastic modulus ($V_E$) is the pressure change required for 100% increase in vascular diameter or pressure change per square centimeter for 100% extension [21]. $V_E$ is defined as the change in the BP-CP (cuff pressure) difference (transmural pressure) per 1% increase in the cross-sectional area at P1, where $P1 = P0 + 40$ mm Hg [22]. The highest CP can cause complete collapse of the brachial artery. The highest CP may be slightly greater than BP. Therefore, $P0$ at diastole on the transmural pressure axis was set at less than zero and is associated with complete vascular occlusion [22]. Therefore, vascular elasticity is only minimally influenced by BP at the time of measurement and can be measured through an oscillometric approach [11, 21]. If BP increases, the arterial wall will become less distensible. In this regard, vascular elasticity will increase in parallel with the increasing BP. To minimize this BP influence, pressure would be applied to the outside of the artery using a BP cuff. With the appropriate CP, the transmural pressure can be maintained. Akimoto et al. [23] addressed this concept in the discussion section of their article. We applied this concept to our measurements. Our system estimated $V_E$ at the same intramural pressure point as P1. In response to rising BP, our system raised CP, thereby maintaining constant transmural pressure on the vascular wall. Therefore, a patient’s BP at the time of measurement may not have much impact on vascular elasticity. As shown in figure 5, our system initially measures BP. As the second step, our system determines the required CP to induce complete arterial occlusion at diastole. This pressure is defined as P0. Theoretically speaking, using constant or equal intramural pressure can minimize the influence of BP. However, this theory was developed through physics laboratory observation, and human arteries do not behave in the same way as tube models. Therefore, our system can only minimize the influence of BP. Although our system does not provide an oscillogram report, our system automatically evaluated the oscillogram. When the envelope is free from error, our system proceeds with further measurements. We took measurements at 5 points to obtain the pressure-volume curve (fig. 5). Increasing the number of measurement points may improve the accuracy of measurements. However, increasing the number of sample points requires longer data acquisition time, and therefore, we have maintained 5 measurement points. Ideally, the number of points of measurement should be increased, and doing so should be a next step.
Previous studies have estimated the VE using different approaches. Bank et al. [11] estimated VE using a water-filled BP cuff with an external ultrasound. Kinlay et al. [24] estimated this marker using intravascular ultrasound and a catheter. These approaches measured vascular area changes during various pressure changes. These approaches obtained BP through arterial catheter or applanation tonometry, approaches that were either complex or invasive and therefore not suitable for clinical practice. For these reasons, we aimed to develop new vascular anatomical and functional measurements using an oscillometric approach [22].

The system we developed can automatically evaluate the estimated area (eA) and VE. The newly developed device is the Health Chronos TM-2771 prototype (A&D Company, Tokyo, Japan; fig. 1, 2) [22, 25]. This system estimates the absolute value of cross-sectional vascular area instead of vascular volume. Thus, the original VE equation was modified as follows [25]: 

$$VE = \frac{\Delta \text{pressure}}{100 \times \frac{\Delta \text{area}}{\text{area}}} \text{mm Hg/\%}.$$  

The principal concept of VE estimation was to evaluate the association between Δarea and Δpressure, while the blood vessel remained circular. The correct measurements should be performed with CP below a certain point so that the vessel remains circular and does not buckle. The main control unit creates various fixed precise volumes of air, which are capable of changing the tube size, giving rise to the so-called tube law [26]. Differences between intramural BP and CP can be obtained using oscillometric measurements. This is the basic concept of this automated system. Using the pressure-volume curves, this oscillometric method quantitatively estimates vascular eA and VE (fig. 3).

As mentioned earlier, VE is theoretically not influenced by BP. In fact, our data showed no correlations between the systolic BP (r = 0.19, p = 0.47) or diastolic BP (r = 0.19, p = 0.48) and VE (fig. 4a, b). When vascular function measurements for risk assessments are applied, any index influenced by BP may have an impact on the data. Based on the current data, being able to use VE to determine vascular function measurements is an important feature of the current measurement approach. However, the sample size of this analysis was small. Therefore, we definitely need further study to confirm this finding using a larger study population.
Concept of Oscillometric Approach to Evaluate Vascular Diameter

Vascular dysfunction appears prior to changes in morphology [27, 28]. Therefore, our group has focused on developing functional imaging to detect the earlier stages of atherosclerosis [1, 9]. On the other hand, Otsuka and Munakata [29] pointed out the importance of vascular diameter changes resulting from vascular remodeling. They noted that another advantage of this oscillometric measurement is the ability to estimate the cross-sectional vascular area (eA) [22, 29].

To estimate the eA, the brachial arterial volume was divided by the length of the central cuff. The eA was estimated using pressure-volume curves (fig. 3). The eA was estimated when BP minus CP was identical to diastolic BP. Thus, CP should be 0 mm Hg. At this point, the blood vessel should be dilated and should reflect the exact cross-sectional vascular area. In fact, the eA was closely correlated with the arterial diameter evaluated by ultrasound measurements [22]. Unlike Kinlay’s approach [24], our approach cannot visualize vascular morphological change, but it can at least evaluate important vascular diameter or cross-sectional area information. The latter point presents an additional advantage of this approach over other oscil-
Oscillometric approaches to vascular function measurements including PWV and CAVI. Unlike upper-arm ultrasound, our system does not directly measure the brachial artery’s eA and $V_E$. These measurements come mainly from the brachial artery. However, there are some other small arteries in the upper arm. Therefore, our system evaluates the sum of arterial structural and functional information. The aggregate nature of this information represents a limitation and a disadvantage of this technique compared to upper-arm ultrasound.

**Fig. 3.** Estimated cross-sectional area (eA) and volume elastic modulus ($V_E$) obtained using pressure-volume curves. In the case that CP becomes zero, the calculated cross-sectional vascular area should become the baseline vascular area. P0 is the point of transmural pressure at which the brachial artery is completely occluded. P1 is the $V_E$ measured at the point of transmural pressure. After estimating P0, P1 can be determined using the following equation. $P1 - P0 = 40$ mm Hg. $\Delta A$ is the difference in vascular area. $\Delta P$ is the difference in transmural pressure.

**Fig. 4.** Correlation between BP and $V_E$. a Correlation between systolic BP and $V_E$. b Correlation between diastolic BP and $V_E$. 
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Reliability of Oscillometric Measurements

Any new diagnostic test requires validation before it can be used in clinical settings. To be validated, a new approach must have the same accuracy as that of established methods [8, 14], and its test-retest reproducibility must be evaluated [30]. In the previous study, we showed a high correlation between eA measurements and ultrasound measurements [22]. In brief, we performed the validity assessments and reproducibility assessment of eA and $V_E$ in 16 normal individuals (age 35.2 ± 13.1 years). These normal volunteers underwent oscillometric measurements and brachial ultrasound at rest. The interval between the ultrasound and oscillometric measurement was 4.9 ± 3.7 days. Rest eA correlated with ultrasound-measured brachial artery area ($r = 0.77, p < 0.001$). The oscillometric approach to the eA was thus validated through the standard approach. Oscillometric measurement was performed twice on different days with the mean interval between the two oscillometric measurements being 7.2 ± 5.2 days. Rest eA and rest $V_E$ showed good reliability [eA: intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) = 0.88, $V_E$: ICC = 0.78]. Neither index showed differences between the first and second measurements. The eA was significantly correlated with ultrasound measurements and showed a high ICC, indicating a high level of reliability of measurements. The reliability of eA was slightly higher than that of $V_E$. The slightly lower reproducibility of $V_E$ may be due to differences in individual conditions under which the two measurements were performed on different days. It is widely recognized that vascular function is influenced by several factors [7]. The current approach is automated and is a reliable technique from a technical point of view, even though $V_E$ measurement requires careful subject preparation and careful data acquisition conditions similar to those for ultrasound study [7].

We further evaluated the reliability of oscillometric measurements by applying NTG stress. NTG induces maximal vasodilatation [7]. Unlike FMD or other pharmacological stress,
NTG is not influenced by a subject’s condition and can almost always induce maximal vasodilation [31]. Therefore, NTG stress may be a useful stress technique for evaluating the reliability of new vascular functional tests. In our previous study, the eA significantly increased after NTG stress, which was similar to what ultrasound measurements showed. The NTG stress study further confirmed the reliability of oscillometric measurements. Based on our findings, our previous study suggests the importance of stress studies in evaluating new methods of measuring vascular function.

**Practical Aspects of Oscillometric Measurements**

Noninvasive vascular functional measurements are usually used for epidemiological research studies and risk stratifications in patients who have atherosclerotic risk factors. In this regard, simple data acquisition and shorter data acquisition times are desirable. For this purpose, PWV can be performed within a few minutes, similar to the case with ankle-brachial index measurements, and is suitable for larger epidemiological studies [15]. In contrast, FMD usually takes 30–40 min [5, 7]. To generate the pressure-volume curves, the main control unit of our oscillometric approach creates several different pressures within the BP cuff following initial BP measurements (fig. 5). Each cuff occlusion takes 10 s, and measurement of occlusion pressure has 5 steps. After this measurement, additional measurement for the tube law is performed. Therefore, the total measurement time is 6 min. This system requires a relatively short data acquisition time and can therefore be applied for many patients in clinical settings. In addition, when the measurements are taken, the BP cuff needs to be put on the upper arm. This procedure is almost identical to taking a standard BP measurement and does not require specific skills. Among the noninvasive vascular function measurements, this oscillometric measurement should be categorized as a simple automated approach.

**Future Directions for Oscillometric Measurements**

The goal of developing any new method of measuring vascular function is to achieve wide clinical use. In this regard, FMD, PWV, and CAVI have been useful in showing arteriosclerosis risk stratifications in various study populations [4, 32, 33]. As the initial step, we evaluated the age-related vascular diameter change and elasticity change. Vascular diameter increases and vascular function alters in association with aging [34–37]. According to our previous study, eA and V<sub>E</sub> were correlated with increasing age (eA: r = 0.54, p = 0.045; V<sub>E</sub>: r = 0.81, p < 0.001) [22]. These data imply that these oscillometric measurements can be used in clinical settings. The V<sub>E</sub> is not influenced by a patient’s BP at the time of measurement. Many treatments to reduce atherosclerosis may result in a change to BP. Parameters not influenced by BP can be examined to reliably evaluate the therapeutic effects of hypertension treatments on vascular function, and therefore, our new system could play an important role in evaluating the effects of treatments. This possibility is currently under investigation.

The exact mechanism of attenuated V<sub>E</sub> is also important, and our previous study did not evaluate the exact mechanisms. Using BP cuff occlusion is somewhat similar to performing FMD. In this regard, attenuated V<sub>E</sub> may partially reflect endothelial dysfunction. However, we have not confirmed this possibility, and this possibility is still open to examination.
Conclusion

We have developed the new quantitative automated oscillometric measurement technique to assess brachial artery cross-sectional area and vascular elasticity. This measurement technique can detect morphological and functional changes simultaneously. Therefore, this modality may have practical application in quantitatively assessing muscular artery elasticity and diameter responses in both clinical settings and epidemiological studies. These possibilities are currently under investigation.
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