Early Prediction of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy Using Cell-Free Fetal DNA, Cell-Free Total DNA, and Biochemical Markers
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MoM was significantly lower in PE and HDP than in controls. For PE and HDP, the best model included the first-trimester DSCR3, HYP2, and PAPP-A MoM values achieving detection rates of 67 and 58% at a fixed 10% false-positive rate, respectively [area under the receiver operating characteristic curve 0.832 (95% CI 0.689–0.928) for PE; 0.751 (0.607–0.863) for HDP].

Discussion: The study demonstrates the potential utility of combined first-trimester cffDNA, cfDNA, and PAPP-A for the early prediction of PE.
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Abstract
Objective: To evaluate the predictive value of separate and combined tests using cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA), cell-free total DNA (cfDNA), and biochemical markers for the early detection of pregnancies with hypertensive disorders. Methods: A nested case-control study was conducted with 135 singleton pregnancies including 17 gestational hypertension cases, 34 preeclampsia (PE) cases, and 84 controls. We performed real-time quantitative PCR to measure levels of DSCR3 and RASSF1A as cffDNA markers and HYP2 as a cfDNA marker in the first and early second trimesters. Levels of pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A), α-fetoprotein, β-human chorionic gonadotropin, unconjugated estriol, and inhibin A were also determined. Results: Compared with controls, the median levels and multiples of the median (MoM) values of HYP2 were significantly higher in the PE and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) groups at 6–14 and 15–23 weeks. Frist-trimester PAPP-A

Introduction
Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP), which consist of preeclampsia (PE) and gestational hypertension (GH), are the most common complications of pregnancy and are associated with adverse health outcomes for the mother and her offspring. PE, diagnosed by newly elevated blood pressure and proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation, occurs in about 5–8% of pregnancies [1–3].
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is an important cause of perinatal death, preterm birth, and intrauterine growth restriction [2, 4]. GH is defined as newly elevated blood pressure after 20 weeks of gestation but without proteinuria. GH occurs in about 6–17% of pregnancies and is also associated with preterm delivery and infants who are small for their gestational age [1, 3]. Although the precise etiology has yet to be elucidated, the placenta plays a central role in its pathogenesis because of abnormal or inadequate uteroplacental circulation [5, 6].

The discovery of placenta-derived cell-free fetal DNA (cfDNA) in maternal blood has opened new avenues in noninvasive prenatal diagnosis [7]. Several studies have found an increase in cfDNA level in maternal plasma in pregnancies complicated by PE as compared with normal pregnancies [8–11]. cfDNA has been considered as a potential biomarker for predicting PE in a low-risk population [12]. Originally, cfDNA was determined by quantifying Y chromosome-specific sequences, but these methods depend on the gender and genetic variations of the fetus [8–11]. Therefore, tissue-specific epigenetic DNA methylation differences found between placental trophoblast cells and maternal blood cells are now used to measure cfDNA [13, 14]. One potential marker is the promoter of the RASSF1A gene, which is reported to be hypermethylated in the placenta and hypomethylated in maternal blood [13, 14]. Recently, Papantoniou et al. [15] reported that increased levels of cfDNA and total cell-free DNA (cfDNA) in maternal plasma can be detected by quantification of RASSF1A at 11–13 weeks of gestation in women who developed PE.

Combinations of first- and second-trimester biochemical markers are highly effective in screening for aneuploidy [16]. Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) and β-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) are used as first-trimester screening, while maternal serum α-fetoprotein (AFP), β-hCG, unconjugated estriol (uE3), and inhibin A (InhA) comprise the standard serum α-fetoprotein (AFP), β-hCG, unconjugated estriol (uE3), and inhibin A (InhA) are used as first-trimester screening, while maternal serum α-fetoprotein (AFP), β-hCG, unconjugated estriol (uE3), and inhibin A (InhA) comprise the standard biochemical markers (PAPP-A, AFP, uE3, and InhA) in the first and second trimesters are altered in pregnancies with hypertensive disorders. We also evaluated the predictive values of cfDNA, cfDNA, and biochemical markers, individually and in combination, for the early detection of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy.

**Methods**

**Study Population**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Committee of Cheil General Hospital (No. CGH-IRB-2013-54). All patients provided written informed consent for the collection of samples and subsequent analysis. All women underwent the integrated test for fetal Down syndrome screening. As part of the screening, serum levels of PAPP-A were measured at 11–13 weeks of gestation and serum levels of the quadruple test markers AFP, uE3, β-hCG, and InhA were measured at 15–20 weeks using routine automated analyzers. The values were transformed to multiples of the median (MoM) after adjusting for gestational age and maternal body mass index (BMI).

We performed a nested case-control study of women with singleton pregnancies who received regular antenatal care at the prenatal care unit of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Cheil General Hospital between August 2010 and August 2014. Maternal blood samples were collected at 6–14 and 15–23 weeks of gestation. This study was conducted with 135 singleton pregnancies including 17 GH cases, 34 PE cases, and 84 controls. In addition, maternal blood samples and paired placental samples were collected during the first and third trimester. Placental samples were collected during the first trimester by chorionic villus sampling during conventional prenatal diagnostic procedures and during the third trimester after cesarean section delivery.

PE was defined as hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, twice, 44 h apart) and proteinuria (≥0.3 g/day urine collection and/or ≥1+ on dipstick testing) after 20 weeks of gestation [23]. GH was defined as de novo hypertension, without proteinuria, arising after 20 weeks of gestation and returning to normal postpartum [23]. Normal pregnancy was defined as term delivery (≥37 weeks) without medical or obstetric complications. Data on pregnancy outcomes were collected from maternal and pediatric records. No participants in this study had a history of chronic hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or PE.

**Bisulfite Direct Sequencing**

To confirm the methylation patterns of candidate epigenetic markers DSCR3 (cfDNA) and HYP2 (cfDNA), which were reported by previous studies using tiling array [24, 25], we analyzed changes in the methylation patterns of the DSCR3 and HYP2 genes using bisulfite direct sequencing. Genomic DNA was extracted from 6 normal pregnancies and 6 PE pregnancies using 3 pairs of placental tissues and maternal blood cells from the first (n = 3) and third trimesters (n = 3) from each group using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Extracted genomic DNA (1 μg) was bisulfite converted using an EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The bisulfite-converted DNA was then amplified by PCR. The sequences of PCR primers are presented in online supplementary table S1 (see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000444524 for all online suppl. material).
After PCR amplification, products were purified using a PCR purification kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea) and sequenced using a PRISM BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif., USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing products were analyzed using an ABI 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), and electropherogram traces were interpreted with DNA sequencing analysis software version 5.3 (Applied Biosystems). All CpG sites in DSCR3 were completely unmethylated in the maternal blood cells (online suppl. fig. S1A). Methylated CpG sites in DSCR3 were only detected in placental tissues (online suppl. fig. S1A). All CpG sites in HYP2 were completely methylated in both the maternal blood cells and placental tissues (online suppl. fig. S1B). There was no significant difference in the methylation pattern of the first- and third-trimester placental tissues between the PE and control groups.

DNA Extraction and Enrichment of Methylated DNA
Maternal blood samples (10 ml) were collected in EDTA tubes and were immediately centrifuged at 1,600 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant plasma was re-centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4°C and aliquoted into 1 ml for circulating cell-free DNA extraction. The peripheral blood cell portion was re-centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The cell-free DNA was extracted from 1 ml of maternal plasma using the QIAamp DSP Virus Kit (Qiagen). The MethylMiner™ methylated DNA enrichment kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif., USA) by methyl-CpG binding domain (MBD) biotin protein was used to isolate methylated DNA from circulating DNA that was extracted from maternal plasma. Finally, the isolated methylated DNA was concentrated using a DNA concentrator (Zymo Research Corp., Irvine, Calif., USA) and then eluted in a final volume of 30 μl. Validation was performed using the control DNA (methylated DNA and unmethylated DNA) included in the kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Real-Time Quantitative PCR
We analyzed the levels of maternal plasma cfDNA and cfdNA using real-time quantitative PCR in all samples without failure of MBD capture. Quantifications of the DSCR3 and RASSF1A genes as cfDNA markers were performed in duplex reactions with the HYP2 gene as a cfdNA marker. Real-time quantitative PCR amplification was performed using the ABI 7500 Real Time System (Applied Biosystems, Branchburg, N.J., USA). The duplex reactions were set up in a volume of 20 μl, using 5 μl 4X NEXpro™ Dia PCR Master Mix (Geneslabs, Seongnam, Korea) and 6 μl of the methylated plasma DNA captured by MBD. Primers and probes were used at final concentrations of 250 nM each for DSCR3, RASSF1A, and HYP2. Sequences of primers, probes, and amplicons are shown in online supplementary table S1. A standard curve using serial dilutions of single-stranded synthetic DNA oligonucleotides specific to the DSCR3, RASSF1A, and HYP2 amplicons (Bioneer) was employed. Each standard was amplified in triplicate and included on every PCR plate. All samples were amplified in triplicate and the final data reflected the average of the results.

Statistical Analysis
Comparisons between outcome groups were done by the χ² test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and by the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables, both with post hoc Bon-
ferroni correction. The results of cfDNA and cfDNA for all subjects were converted to MoM values. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated from the logistic regression after adjustment for BMI. The performance for screening by DSCR3, RASSF1A, HYP2, and PAPP-A MoM values, individually and in various combinations, was determined by areas under the ROC curve (AUC) and detection rate at a fixed false-positive rate.

For all statistical analyses, p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA).

Results

Clinical Characteristics of the Study Population

The clinical characteristics of the study groups are shown in Table 1. There were no statistical differences in maternal age, nulliparity, fetal sex ratio, alcohol intake, or smoking in the patient groups compared with the control group. The patient groups had significantly higher pre-pregnancy BMI than the control group. As expected, patient groups had significantly higher systolic and diastolic blood pressures than the controls. Gestational age at delivery and birth weight were significantly lower in the patient groups compared with the controls. Delivery by cesarean section was higher in the PE and HDP groups than in the controls.

Levels of Prenatal Screening Biochemical Markers in the First and Second Trimesters

Table 1 shows the distribution of median MoM values of all biochemical markers in the control and patient groups. The GH group had significantly lower uE3 and significantly higher InhA than the controls. The PE group had significantly lower PAPP-A than the controls. The HDP group had significantly lower PAPP-A and significantly higher InhA than the controls.

Levels of cfDNA and cfDNA in Maternal Plasma in the First and Second Trimesters

All data are presented as median levels (copies/ml) and MoM values in Table 2. At 6–14 weeks, the median levels and MoM values of HYP2 in both the PE and HDP groups were significantly different from the control group. At 15–23 weeks, the median levels and MoM values of HYP2 were significantly higher in both the PE and HDP groups than in the controls. In the GH group, compared with the controls, these significant alterations of HYP2 were not
observed in their median levels and MoM values. In addition, there were no significant differences between patient groups and controls in the other epigenetic markers, \textit{DSCR3} and \textit{RASSF1A}.

**Table 3.** Predictive values for PE and HDP of single marker and various combined markers in the first trimester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUC (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Detection rate, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5% FPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}</td>
<td>0.563 (0.435–0.685)</td>
<td>0.4499</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A}</td>
<td>0.617 (0.489–0.734)</td>
<td>0.1358</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.682 (0.557–0.790)</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.697 (0.591–0.789)</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3} and \textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.687 (0.561–0.795)</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.680 (0.554–0.789)</td>
<td>0.0289</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3} and \textit{RASSF1A}</td>
<td>0.630 (0.501–0.747)</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.707 (0.581–0.814)</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.814 (0.670–0.914)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.803 (0.659–0.905)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.820 (0.677–0.918)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.832 (0.689–0.928)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A}, \textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.823 (0.680–0.920)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.820 (0.677–0.918)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{RASSF1A}, \textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.824 (0.679–0.922)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>HDP</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>5% FPR</th>
<th>10% FPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}</td>
<td>0.561 (0.442–0.675)</td>
<td>0.3945</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A}</td>
<td>0.617 (0.489–0.734)</td>
<td>0.1358</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.675 (0.559–0.778)</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.664 (0.564–0.753)</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3} and \textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.684 (0.568–0.786)</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.682 (0.565–0.784)</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3} and \textit{RASSF1A}</td>
<td>0.578 (0.458–0.691)</td>
<td>0.2961</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{HYP2}</td>
<td>0.683 (0.565–0.786)</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.735 (0.591–0.850)</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.730 (0.587–0.844)</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.739 (0.596–0.853)</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.751 (0.607–0.863)</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{RASSF1A}, \textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.741 (0.598–0.854)</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{RASSF1A} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.737 (0.593–0.851)</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{DSCR3}, \textit{RASSF1A}, \textit{HYP2} and \textit{PAPP-A}</td>
<td>0.743 (0.598–0.857)</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{FPR} = \text{False-positive rate.} \)

Evaluation of the Predictive Values of Single and Combined Markers

The AUC and detection rate at fixed 5 and 10% false-positive rates for the single marker and various combinations at 6–14 weeks are presented in Table 3. The combination of the first-trimester \textit{DSCR3}, \textit{HYP2}, and \textit{PAPP-A} MoM values for PE and HDP was the best predictive model with an AUC of 0.832 (95% CI 0.689–0.928) and 0.751 (95% CI 0.607–0.863), respectively. Using the best model, screening at fixed 5 and 10% false-positive rates, the detection rates of PE were 54.0 and 67.0%, respectively. Using the best model, screening at fixed 5 and 10% false-positive rates, the detection rates of HDP were 47.0 and 58.0%, respectively.

**Discussion**

\textit{HYP2}, located on chromosome 13, is hypermethylated in the placenta as well as in maternal blood cells [25]; based on this report, we determined \textit{HYP2} as a hyper-
methylated total cfDNA marker. However, HYP2 has not been applied and validated for clinical usefulness in adverse pregnancy outcomes. This study has first established a new approach of HYP2 as a total cfDNA epigenetic marker by quantitative analysis of HYP2 level in maternal plasma of normal pregnancies and pregnancies with hypertensive disorder.

We found that median levels of total cfDNA (HYP2 gene) were significantly increased at 6–14 and 15–23 weeks’ gestation in PE and HDP. Moreover, the MoM values of total cfDNA in PE and HDP were significantly different from those in the controls. Our findings are consistent with previous reports that cfDNA in maternal plasma was significantly elevated in patients with PE compared with controls [10, 11, 15, 26, 27]. Recently, in the early PE group, compared with the control group, there was a significant increase in the median total cfDNA at 11–13 weeks but the MoM values were not significantly different between the two groups [28]. It is possible that increased release of cfDNA from the abnormal placenta and reduced cfDNA clearance due to inflammation and impaired liver and kidney function are responsible for elevated cfDNA levels [29, 30]. Maternal DNA, which constitutes the majority of cfDNA, may originate from activated leukocytes that are present in increased numbers in PE, and thus might reflect the generalized maternal inflammation state [31]. Plasma cfDNA levels were significantly higher in patients with HELLP syndrome than in patients with PE without HELLP syndrome [32]. HELLP syndrome is characterized by extensive tissue damage (hepatocellular necrosis and hemolysis), which supports the hypothesis that cellular necrosis might be responsible for increased cfDNA levels in the maternal circulation, at least in PE. However, more detailed studies will be necessary to elucidate the underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms.

Several studies have reported that plasma or serum levels of cfDNA are higher in women with established PE than in normotensive controls. Cotter et al. [33] described this increase at a mean gestation of 16 weeks, and Papan-toniou et al. [15] reported differences in the amount of cfDNA at 11–13 weeks in patients who subsequently developed PE. Other studies, however, do not share this observation and present contradictory findings. Crowley et al. [34] did not find increased cfDNA levels before 20 weeks of gestation, and Bauer et al.’s results were inconclusive [35]. Stein et al. [36] also reported no alterations of cfDNA levels in the second trimester in pregnancies with PE. In the present study, the median levels and MoM values of cfDNA (DSCR3 and RASSF1A genes) at 6–14 and 15–23 weeks were not significantly different between the PE and control groups. These contradictory findings may be attributed to the use of different genes and methodologies for the quantitation of cfDNA and the selection of different gestational ages of maternal sampling points.

GH is differentiated from PE by the presence of proteinuria. Proteinuria is a consequence of abnormal transglomerular passage of proteins due to increased permeability of the glomerular basement membrane and impaired reabsorption by the epithelial cells of the proximal tubules in the kidney. Our study revealed that levels of cffDNA and cfDNA were not significantly different in the GH group. GH might not be associated with impaired clearance of cffDNA and cfDNA due to aberrant transrenal excretion of circulating cffDNA and cfDNA because patients with GH do not have protein in the urine or other signs of organ damage. This result could also be explained by findings from other investigators comparing placental pathology in pregnancies with GH and PE [37, 38]. They suggested that placental pathology is confined to PE. Endothelial dysfunction and imbalance between pro- and antiangiogenic factors are specific to PE, but not GH [39]. Therefore, it has been suggested that GH and PE are separate disease entities with different pathophysiology and mechanism.

PAPP-A, a protease for insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-4 [40], is produced by developing trophoblast cells and is used for aneuploidy screening in many countries. If the level of PAPP-A is insufficient to successfully cleave IGF binding protein-4, it remains in its bound, inactive form. Therefore, less free IGF may lead to diminished fetal and placental growth [41]. PAPP-A is established as a biomarker for the early prediction of PE and is recommended by the Fetal Medicine Foundation [42]. Despite a significant association between low PAPP-A levels and adverse pregnancy outcomes, PAPP-A is a poor single screening marker for PE [43]. However, when combined with other screening markers, the test performance of PAPP-A improves considerably [43]. Spencer et al. [44] reported that combining first-trimester serum PAPP-A with uterine artery mean pulsatility improved PE prediction. Akolekar et al. [42] developed an effective first-trimester screening model for PE using PAPP-A, placental growth factor MoM values, uterine artery pulsatility index, and mean arterial pressure. In this study, the combination of the first-trimester cfDNA and cfDNA epigenetic markers with PAPP-A improved the predictive value for PE and HDP.

In conclusion, this study shows that the combination of the first-trimester DSCR3, HYP2, and PAPP-A MoM values is the best predictor for early detection of PE.
Therefore, this combination could be useful for predicting PE. However, this study is limited by its relatively small sample size and the inclusion of only Korean women. Therefore, external validation of this combination in a larger-scale study in different ethnic populations is needed to confirm that it is a powerful tool for the early prediction of women at risk for developing PE.
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