Children with Dry Skin and Atopic Predisposition: Outcome Measurement with Validated Scores for Atopic Dermatitis
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Abstract
Background: Dry skin is a common skin condition in childhood. Few studies exist investigating the influence of daily skin care on dry skin in infants at risk of developing atopic dermatitis (AD). We aimed to assess the effect of skin care on dry skin in this special cohort using validated scores for AD and analysis of skin microtopography. Methods: 43 children were randomized to group 1 (G1) and group 2 (G2) and 22 infants to group 3 (G3). During 16 weeks, G1 and G3 applied daily a plant-based emollient and G2 a petrolatum-based emollient. The core outcome was assessed by Severity Scoring of Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) and Patient-Oriented SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (PO-SCORAD). The influence on the parents’ life was evaluated by a questionnaire and microtopography by Visioscan\textsuperscript{®} VC 98. Results: The SCORAD index declined significantly until week (W) 16 in all groups (p \leq 0.041). The sleeplessness score analyzed by PO-SCORAD was highly reduced after W12 in G1 and after W16 in G2 (p \leq 0.030). The influence on the parents’ anxiety was reduced in G3 at W12 and W16 (p = 0.016). The Visioscan parameter scaliness strongly diminished at W4 (p \leq 0.049) and W16 (p \leq 0.013) in all groups. Conclusions: This trial demonstrates improved skin conditions and sleep following daily emollient application in infants and children having dry skin and being at risk of AD. Especially parents of infants showed a reduced fear that their children might develop AD. Further studies are required to investigate the preventive effect of daily emollient therapy in this special cohort evaluating the outcome measures used in this trial. © 2016 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Dry skin is a common skin condition in infants and children who may develop or already have atopic dermatitis (AD) [1–4]. Especially infants with at least one parent or sibling meeting the criteria of AD in the past or present have been previously defined as being at high risk to develop atopic disease in childhood [1, 5]. Daily emollient use from birth on may represent a feasible approach for S.S. and M.S. contributed equally to this paper.
the primary prevention of AD especially in these high-risk infants [1, 6]. However, evidence-based recommendations for the management of this target group are still missing. Therefore, a scientific approach to objectively quantify the effects of daily skin care is mandatory. Although many data exist on infants affected by AD, only few clinical studies have quantified or qualified the effect of daily emollient use especially in infants having dry skin and being at risk to develop AD. Recently, a comparison of two different emollients revealed significant effects on skin barrier function [7]. Furthermore, clinical trials especially focusing on children already affected by AD recommend the evaluation of atopic signs and quality of life [8]. However, specific assessment scores of these domains are not available for this special cohort of infants who are predisposed to AD development but not yet affected. So far, the best validated instruments to measure and monitor activity of AD in clinical trials are the Eczema Area Severity Index and the Severity Scoring of Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD) [9]. Especially for infants, the SCORAD seems to be appropriate, as it is the most widely used disease severity scale for all age groups, starting from birth [10–14]. This score combines an assessment of disease extent and intensity, including dryness of unharmed skin, and is called objective SCORAD. In addition, a visual analogue score for pruritus and sleeplessness can be performed to evaluate AD symptoms. The index which considers both is the subjective SCORAD [9, 15, 16]. As the SCORAD comprises the recommended core outcome measure and the intensity of dry skin, it may be a useful method to evaluate the outcome of interventions in infants and children with dry skin and atopic predisposition as well [8].

Moreover, the infant’s signs, symptoms, and quality of life are also transmitted by the parents to the investigator. The affection of their children often has a direct impact on their own quality of life [17]. The Patient-Oriented SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (PO-SCORAD) represents a self-assessment score to involve parents in effective skin monitoring [13, 18]. Sharing a comparable analytic factor as the SCORAD, the PO-SCORAD facilitates the communication between parents and physicians [19–22].

So far, a specific questionnaire evaluating symptoms like emotional distress, sleeplessness, and increased concerns of parents who have children predisposed to AD development is not available to our knowledge.

Therefore, we aimed to objectively evaluate the core outcomes in this defined population using validated scores for measuring the effect of daily emollient application on the development of AD. An accompanying measurement of surface evaluation of living skin (SELS) was performed to correlate the clinical scores to biophysical data quantifying properties like scaliness [23, 24].

Methods

Study Design

A subcohort of 43 children (aged 2–6 years ± 4 weeks) and 22 infants (aged 3–12 months ± 4 weeks) with dry skin and atopic predisposition involved in a clinical study conducted at our study center between 2011 and 2012 was analyzed [7] for the following parameters: SCORAD; PO-SCORAD; influence on the parents’ quality of life, and skin microtopography.

The design of the study [7] consisted of an active application phase lasting 16 weeks. Participants were examined at study entry (baseline) and at week 4 ± 4 days (W4), week 12 ± 4 days (W12) and week 16 ± 7 days (W16). At each visit, the SCORAD was assessed; parents were asked to complete the PO-SCORAD and a questionnaire on the effect on the parents’ quality of life. The self-assessment questionnaires were completed prior to the physical examination and before imaging of skin microtopography [7]. The trial was approved by the local ethics committee.

Population

Participants with dry skin and atopic predisposition (modified Erlanger Atopy Score ≥4) [25, 26] were included. In addition, one or both parents of the participants had a current or previous history of AD, allergic rhinitis, conjunctivitis, or asthma [7]. Exclusion criteria were a current or previous AD, immunocompromised disease or severe illness, increased or decreased body temperature (≤35 or ≥38.5°C), congenital and/or contagious skin disorders, skin irritation affecting measurements, current treatment with topical or systemic corticosteroids or macrolides, any topical or systemic therapy within 4 months prior to study entry for more than 3 days with corticosteroids, pimecrolimus, antihistamine, and/or further phototherapy, and participation in another study. After obtaining written informed consent from both legal guardians, eligible children were randomly assigned to one of two different intervention groups [children group 1 (G1) and children group 2 (G2)] using concealed random allocation by a person not being involved in the study. Eligible infants were assigned to infant group 3 (G3). Randomization was performed by using block randomization with a block length of four [7].

Intervention

The three intervention groups consisted of two groups of children (G1 and G2) and one group of infants (G3) [7]. G1 and G3 received plant-based skin care with two different formulated emollients; the cream had a higher content of natural lipids and plant extracts compared to the lotion. Both skin care formulations are available in pharmacies and health stores. The control group (G2) received basic skin care treatment with a petrolatum-based lotion and cream (German Drug Codex, DAC basic cream). Both are adjusted in their lipid and ethanol concentration to the lotion or cream base used in G1 and G3.

The lotion was applied to the entire body surface excluding the face. The face only received cream. The forearm received cream as well as lotion.
Table 1. Influence on the quality of life of parents with children having dry skin and atopic predisposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence on parents’ quality of life</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Over the last week, approximately how much was your sleep on average disturbed each night because of your child?</td>
<td>Not at all, A little, A lot, Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Over the last week, has your child’s eczema interfered in taking part or enjoying family activities?</td>
<td>Yes, No, n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Over the last week, how many consultations were needed because of your child’s skin disease?</td>
<td>None, 1/year, 5/year, &gt;5/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Over the last week, were you very anxious that your child may develop AD?</td>
<td>Yes, No, n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Over the last week, how much has the skin of your child influenced your everyday life?</td>
<td>Not at all, A little, A lot, Very much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Have there been problems with your child due to treatment over the last week?</td>
<td>Yes, No, n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a. = Not applicable.

Study products (Ice Plant Body Care Lotion, Intensive Ice Plant cream, Dr. Hauschka Med, and DAC cream and lotion) were manufactured and labelled by WALA Heilmittel GmbH (Bad Boll, Germany). No other emollients were allowed except sun cream. However, parents were instructed to use physical sun protection. They were advised to retain their routine bathing procedures with the usual cleaning products. The last application of emollients was more than 12 h before assessments [7].

Outcome Variables and Clinical Evaluations
All measurements were performed under controlled conditions (room temperature between 22 and 26°C, and relative humidity of 40–60%) after an acclimatization period of at least 10 min.

SCORAD
In the subcohort, the SCORAD index was assessed to quantify the clinical severity of skin conditions including dryness and using this established score for AD to measure the recommended core outcomes [9, 11–13]. The same investigator assessed and analyzed subjective and objective items separately. The percentage of body surface involved was recorded by the 6 intensity items dryness on the left side of the body was chosen for the measurements [9].

Skincare Microtopography
Skin surface analysis by Visioscan® VC 98 (Courage + Khazaka electronic GmbH, Köln, Germany) according to the SELS method is based on the evaluation of an image of living skin taken under certain illumination. The image of the skin is taken by a built-in charge-coupled device camera. The pictures are electronically processed for quantitative analyses. The Visioscan® has two halogenide lights arranged on opposite sides to illuminate the skin uniformly. The spectrum of the lamps and their intensity as well as their location enables the analysis of the skin surface without interfering of reflections from deeper layers. By means of the additional software (SELS), different parameters can be calculated. In our subcohort, the parameters scaliness contributing to skin dryness, roughness, smoothness, and wrinkles were assessed on the right leg at each visit by Visioscan®. If the right leg could not be assessed at study entry, the left side of the body was chosen for the measurements [23].

Study Protocol
The full trial protocol can be obtained from the Department for Dermatology and Allergy, Clinical Research Center for Hair and Skin Science, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin.

Statistical Analysis
Data are described as absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables, and as means ± SD for quantitative measurements. Between-group comparisons were performed using the χ² test for categorical and the Mann-Whitney U test for quantitative variables. Accordingly, McNemar and the Wilcoxon signed rank test were used to test for differences between variable time points concerning the investigated scores. Values of p ≤ 0.05 are considered significant; Bonferroni correction has not been performed. All statistical analyses were done with the commercially available software SPSS 21.
Results

Baseline characteristics of the 43 children (G1, n = 22 and G2, n = 21) and 22 infants (G3) are presented in Table 2.

During the study period, 1 child dropped out at each visit (V) in G1. The reasons were the use of topical corticosteroids longer than 3 days (V1 and V3) and the request of the participant (V2). In G2, 4 children dropped out due to loss of contact (V1), time difficulties (V2), consent withdrawal (V2), and the use of topical corticosteroids longer than 3 days (V3). In G3, 1 infant dropped out at V2 because parents wished to discontinue the study due to the development of a skin rash [7].

**SCORAD**

The SCORAD index (subjective SCORAD) and the objective SCORAD declined significantly until W16 in all groups (p ≤ 0.041) except for the SCORAD index in G3 at W12 (p = 0.056; fig. 1). No group-specific differences were observed.

At study entry, 75.8% of the entire sample had an extent of dry skin <3%. At the end of the study, 93% had an extent of involved skin <3%; the percentage of participants with an extent of dry skin ≥3% declined from 24.2 to 7% at the end of the study (fig. 2a).

Significant reductions in subjective and objective parameters were found when analyzing the different items of the SCORAD index. The extent of involved skin was decreased in all participants irrespective of the 3% at W12 in G2 (p = 0.027) and W16 in G3 (p = 0.020) with a significant difference between G1 and G3 at V1 and G2 and G3 at V2 (p ≤ 0.018; fig. 2a). The extent of involved skin showed a range from 0 to 41.5% at study entry and from 0 to 16.0% at the end of the study. The objective intensity parameters showed a significantly reduced erythema score in G3 until W16 (p ≤ 0.045; fig. 2b) and a significantly declined dryness score in all groups until W16 (p ≤ 0.035; fig. 2c). There were no significant differences between the groups. The scores of edema, excoriation, oozing/crust or lichenification showed no significant differences between the groups (p ≥ 0.107) until W16 (p ≥ 0.063).

---

**Table 2.** Baseline characteristics of the study participants according to the study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>G1 (n = 22)</th>
<th>G2 (n = 21)</th>
<th>G3 (n = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erlanger Atopy Score (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>8.68 ± 2.44</td>
<td>8.48 ± 2.73</td>
<td>7.82 ± 2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (63.6)</td>
<td>9 (42.9)</td>
<td>11 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (36.4)</td>
<td>12 (57.1)</td>
<td>11 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature birth, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (90.9)</td>
<td>21 (100.0)</td>
<td>15 (68.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature birth, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD), months</td>
<td>47.86 ± 12.15</td>
<td>38.62 ± 15.12</td>
<td>7.64 ± 2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergy type I + IV infant, n</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>4 (19.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD: mother (childhood), n (%)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD: father (childhood), n (%)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>4 (19.0)</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD: mother, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td>3 (14.3)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD: father, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>3 (14.3)</td>
<td>4 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other eczema: mother, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other eczema: father, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis: mother, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (59.1)</td>
<td>12 (57.1)</td>
<td>10 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis: father, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>10 (47.6)</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic conjunctivitis: mother, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (50.0)</td>
<td>9 (42.9)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic conjunctivitis: father, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (36.4)</td>
<td>8 (38.1)</td>
<td>10 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma: mother, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (18.2)</td>
<td>4 (19.0)</td>
<td>4 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma: father, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergy type I + IV: mother, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (45.5)</td>
<td>11 (52.4)</td>
<td>7 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergy type I + IV: father, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>9 (42.9)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analyzing the subjective parameters, the pruritus score was significantly decreased at W4, W12, and W16 in G1 and G2 (p ≤ 0.030; fig. 2d). At baseline, the pruritus score was significantly higher in G1 (2.46 ± 2.3) and G2 (2.28 ± 2.5) compared to G3 (0.9 ± 1.3; p ≤ 0.043).

There were no significant differences at the end of the study.

The score sleeplessness represented no significant differences in all groups (p ≥ 0.125) and between the groups (p ≥ 0.232).

**Fig. 1.** Reductions in the SCORAD index (including subjective and objective signs and symptoms; a) and objective SCORAD (excluding the subjective symptoms sleepless and pruritus; b).

**Fig. 2.** Significant reductions in subjective and objective items of the SCORAD index. a Involved skin. b Erythema. c Dryness. d Pruritus.
PO-SCORAD

The score of the involved body surface diminished in G3 (p = 0.003) after W12 and in G3 as well as G1 after W16 (p ≤ 0.008; fig. 3a). There were significant differences between G2 and G3 at baseline (p = 0.025) and between G1 and G2 compared to G3 at W4 (p ≤ 0.009). In G1 and G3, the erythema score was reduced at W16 (p ≤ 0.047) with significant differences between G1 and G3 at W12 (p = 0.008) and between G2 and G3 at W4 (p = 0.009; fig. 3b). The dryness score decreased in G1 at W16 (p = 0.012) and in G2 at W4 (p = 0.035; fig. 3c). In G1, the pruritus score dropped at W12 and W16 (p ≤ 0.049) and in G2 at W4 and W16 (p ≤ 0.030) with significant differences between G1 and G3 at baseline (p = 0.015; fig. 3d). The score of sleeplessness was significantly reduced in G1 after W12 (p = 0.030) and in G2 after W16 (p = 0.016; fig. 3e). There were significant differences between G2 and G3 at W4 (p = 0.034) and W16 (p = 0.027). The parameter scratching dropped significantly (p = 0.047) after W4 (V1 = 0.05 ± 0.224) and W16 (p = 0.031; V3 = 0.16 ±
0.501) in G1 compared to baseline (V0 = 0.50 ± 0.74). The parameters of edema, oozing, crust, hyperplasia, hemorrhage, fissure, and scaling showed no significant results in the group comparison (p ≥ 0.233) until W16 (p ≥ 0.125).

Influence on the Parents’ Life

The impact on the parents’ sleep was significantly reduced after W12 in G1 (p = 0.008) and W16 in all groups (p ≤ 0.016; fig. 4). In G3, the anxiety of the parents that infants may develop atopic disease decreased significantly from 73.7% (14 of 22 parents) at study entry to 33.3% (6 of 21 parents) at W12 and W16 (p = 0.016). The other questions indicated no significant differences during the 16-week intervention (p ≥ 0.125).

Skin Microtopography

The parameter scaliness measured at the right mid-lateral thigh was significantly diminished in all groups at W4 (p ≤ 0.049) and W16 (p ≤ 0.013), and additionally in G2 at W12 (p = 0.005; fig. 5). There were significant differences between G1 and G2 at baseline (p = 0.026) and between G2 and G3 at baseline (p = 0.011) and W16 (p = 0.007). The parameter smoothness was significantly reduced in G3 at W12 (V2 = 28.59 ± 6.33, p = 0.003) compared to V0 (36.48 ± 14.65). The wrinkles increased significantly (p ≤ 0.003) in G3 at W4 (V1 = 47.77 ± 9.17) and W16 (V3 = 47.56 ± 6.16) compared to baseline (V0 = 41.42 ± 5.52) and decreased in G1 at W16 (V3 = 36.41 ± 3.91, p = 0.026) compared to V0 (39.31 ± 4.52). Significant differences (p ≤ 0.007) between G1 (38.97 ± 5.33) and G2 (40.74 ± 4.10) compared to G3 (47.77 ± 9.17) were observed at W4, W12 (G1 = 38.00 ± 5.21, G2 = 41.45 ± 6.36, G3 = 46.31 ± 6.11), W16 (G1 = 36.41 ± 3.91, G2 = 38.54 ± 3.31, G3 = 47.56 ± 6.16) and between G1 and G2 at W16 (p = 0.029). The parameter roughness showed no significant differences. However, significant (p ≤ 0.046) differences exist between G1 (1.03 ± 0.49) and G2 (1.11 ± 0.64) compared to G3 (0.92 ± 1.18) at W12 and W16 (p ≤ 0.028, G1 = 1.09 ± 0.47, G2 = 1.32 ± 0.67, G3 = 0.84 ± 0.78).

Discussion

While guidelines for the treatment of children with manifest AD already exist [29, 30], no standardized recommendations for skin care are available for infants and children with atopic predisposition.

Previous data on this target group revealed the development of improved skin barrier function following daily emollient application [7]. In addition, Simpson et al. [1, 6] suggested that a daily application of a moisturizer from birth on may prevent the development of AD. Therefore, emollient therapy for the primary prevention of AD is now in the focus of research. The challenge in primary prevention is the definition of an incident case of AD and standardized outcome measures in AD research [31]. The recommended outcome measurements for AD also showed significant improvement in the condition of dry skin.

The SCORAD index is a valid score to quantify the extent and the intensity of clinical signs of AD, including dryness of unharmed skin and the severity of AD symptoms [9]. In accord with previous studies on the SCORAD index and AD prevalence in children, we also found

![Fig. 4. Significant improvement in parents’ sleep.](image-url)

![Fig. 5. Significant reductions in the microtopography parameter scaliness in all groups starting at W4 until W16.](image-url)
an index in our subcohort categorized as mild at baseline [32, 33]. Despite this low SCORAD index, children and infants registered a positive and a significant benefit of the skin care regimes in our trial. During the 16-week treatment, the SCORAD index and the objective SCORAD decreased significantly in all groups (fig. 1), with the greatest improvement noticed for skin dryness (fig. 2c) followed by erythema (fig. 2b), and reduction in the extent of involved skin (fig. 2a). Additionally, the amount of participants having an extent of involved skin ≥3% dropped from 24 to 7% at the end of the study. Xerosis has a high prevalence in childhood and is one of the most important signs in AD [2]. Both skin care regimes significantly reduced the SCORAD item intensity of skin dryness (fig. 2c) in all groups and of pruritus (fig. 2d) in both groups of children (G1 and G2). It has been shown that in children with manifest AD the improvement in xerosis by an emollient therapy resulted in a decreased objective SCORAD [34] and a subsequent decrease in the risk to develop percutaneous sensitization [35–37].

Furthermore, biophysical parameters represent an important adjunct in the comprehensive evaluation of emollient effects on skin barrier function [7, 38]. The skin microtopography confirmed the decrease in skin dryness measured by the SCORAD showing a significantly diminished scaling on the leg in all groups (G1–G3; fig. 5). These results indicate an improved skin condition by daily application of emollients.

Correcting subclinical skin barrier dysfunction by improving skin hydration in predisposed infants seems to be an important approach to reduce the risk to develop AD in childhood [6, 7].

In addition to objective parameters of AD assessed by the investigator, it is of increasing interest to involve patients in the treatment process [9, 19]. The PO-SCORAD is a validated score to comprehensively assess AD symptoms and severity by patients or parents (on behalf of the children) and seems to correlate with the SCORAD [13, 21]. The PO-SCORAD showed a trend to decreases in the clinical sign dryness and the symptom pruritus, as seen in the course of the SCORAD. Moreover, during the 16-week intervention, the PO-SCORAD significantly decreased for involved skin (fig. 3a) and erythema (fig. 3b). However, the appraisement of the symptom sleeplessness by the parents differs between PO-SCORAD and SCORAD in the present study [22]. The sleeplessness score was significantly reduced (fig. 3e) in G1 at W12 and G2 at W16 using the PO-SCORAD in contrast to no significant outcome in the SCORAD. In addition to the PO-SCORAD, we distributed a questionnaire to assess the influence on parent’s life. In this study, the daily emollient application showed a positive impact on the parents’ sleep with a significant improvement in all groups at each visit (fig. 4). This result is supported by a significant improvement in sleep in the PO-SCORAD. Interestingly, the influence on the parents’ anxiety was obviously restricted to infants with a significant decrease at W12 and W16. The treatment of dry skin seems to improve the quality of life in our cohort [39, 40]. Involving the parents in the observation of the symptoms and severity of dry skin may help parents build confidence and enhance their compliance with regular, possibly preventive skin care.

As shown previously, daily skin care revealed a significant improvement in skin barrier function measured by biophysical techniques in children with dry skin and atopic predisposition [7]. In this study, significant improvements in the symptoms and severity in this specific cohort were determined using outcome measures for AD trials. Moreover, a significant improvement in the sleep of the children and their parents was observable. The present results may help to develop standardized skin care recommendations for infants predisposed to atopy. Additionally, the results may contribute to the definition of standardized outcome measures for future trials considering infants with atopic predisposition and dry skin, and therefore might close the current gap [8].

Thus, increasing awareness of dry skin in infancy and childhood by pediatricians and parents may help to lower the risk of developing AD due to an appropriate emollient intervention [6].

**Limitations**

The present study discusses a possible approach in the evaluation of core outcomes in children with dry skin and atopic predisposition using recommended scores for AD. So far, the scores are validated only for children with AD.

**Statement of Ethics**

The trial was approved by the local ethics committee and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines.

**Disclosure Statement**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
References


