Until Death Do Us Part: Necrosis and Oxidation Promote the Tumor Microenvironment
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Summary

Tumor proliferation is concomitant with autophagy, limited apoptosis, and resultant necrosis. Necrosis is associated with the release of damage-associated molecular pattern molecules (DAMPs), which act as ‘danger signals’, recruiting inflammatory cells, inducing immune responses, and promoting wound healing. Most of the current treatment strategies for cancer (chemotherapy, radiation therapy, hormonal therapy) promote DAMP release following therapy-induced tumor death by necroptosis and necrosis. Myeloid cells (monocytes, dendritic cells (DCs), and granulocytes), as well as mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) belong to the early immigrants in response to unscheduled cell death, initiating and modulating the subsequent inflammatory response. Responding to DAMPs, MSCs, and DCs promote an immunosuppressive milieu, while eosinophils induce oxidative conditions limiting the biologic activity of DAMPs over time and distance. Regulatory T cells are strongly affected by pattern recognition receptor signaling in the tumor microenvironment and limit immune reactivity coordinately with myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Means to ‘aerobically’ oxidize DAMPs provide a novel strategy for limiting tumor progression. The present article summarizes our current understanding of the impact of necrosis on the tumor microenvironment and the influence of oxidative conditions found within this setting.

I take thee to my weddyd wyf, to have and to hold fro thys day forwarde, for better for wors, for richer for pover, in sikenesse and in helthe, tyl deth us departe, yf holy Chyrche wyl it ordeyne; and thereto I plyght the my trouthe.' The Sarum Rite Saint Osmund, Bishop of Salisbury, England, 11th century

Inflammation, Necrosis and Cancer

In sickness and in health, both scheduled (apoptotic) and unscheduled (necrotic, necroptotic, ferroptotic etc.) cell death, arising naturally or subsequent to disease, release factors which can promote chronic inflammation. Cancer is just as fundamentally a disorder of cell death as much as it is a disorder associated with proliferation, in almost half of individuals causing their death. Chronic infection and inflammation contributes to at least 25% of all cancer cases worldwide [1]. Autoimmunity, persistence of pathogens, and cancer are associated with chronic inflammation. This is the pathologically conducive microenvironment favoring both the initiation and progression of cancer [1, 2]. Chronic inflammation promotes acquisition of genomic instability by enabling survival during prolonged exposure of tissue to endogenous mutagens, initially heightened apoptosis coupled with higher cellular turnover, prolonging autophagic survival, emergence of necrotic death, and promotion of neoplastic transformation.

Indeed, an inflammatory response may not necessarily impute by itself a good prognosis. Both T effector cells and T regulatory cells are found within the tumor microenvironment, providing complementary roles, as well as bone marrow-derived myeloid progenitors [2]. These include tumor-associated macrophages and CD103+ dendritic cells (DCs) promoting an immune response to tumor [3, 4].
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Indeed, an inflammatory response may not necessarily impute by itself a good prognosis. Both T effector cells and T regulatory cells are found within the tumor microenvironment, providing complementary roles, as well as bone marrow-derived myeloid progenitors [2]. These include tumor-associated macrophages and CD103+ dendritic cells (DCs) promoting an immune response to tumor [3, 4].
The production and consumption of released factors, including chemokines and cytokines, within stressed tissues as well as its chronicity may promote tumor death or progression.

The mammalian immune system is reciprocally composed of dynamic networks of immune cells and non-immune cells, enabling metabolic homeostasis, timely eradication of effete cells, and protection against pathogens. Simultaneous tolerance towards self-antigens and, conversely, reactivity to new or occult antigens occurs in settings of tissue damage and wound healing. When tissue homeostasis is perturbed, mediators such as cytokines, chemokines, matrix remodeling proteases, reactive oxygen species (ROS), and bioactive mediators such as histamine induce mobilization and infiltration of leukocytes and mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) into damaged tissue. Indeed, oxidative stress, in addition to its ability to recruit inflammatory cells, can limit metastasis of tumors [5, 6]. Subsequently, the complementary processes of inflammation and wound healing begin. Inflammatory immune response focuses on the elimination of pathogens responsible for cell damage and induces a rather cytotoxic environment while wound healing is characterized by phagocytosis of cell debris and apoptotic cells, immune suppression, enabling epithelialization and synthesis of extracellular matrix (ECM), resolving inflammation and restoring tissue homeostasis.

The development of tumors over many years typically leads to reciprocal alterations in the host and the tumor, enabling tumor growth, paradoxically in the setting of substantial necrosis and (chronic) inflammation. In these circumstances, genomically unstable/neoplastic cells harness collaborative capabilities (see below) of immune cells and local non-mutated but injured tissues to favor their own survival and proliferation, in part by releasing immune suppressive factors such as transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), IL-10, and kynurenine. Tumor cells escaping eradication induce release of tissue healing factors promoting neo-vascularization and resultant nutrient supply.

Wound healing and tumor stroma formation share many important properties, including accumulation and activation of leukocytes and MSCs in response to released endogenous ‘danger signals’ from injured/necrotic tissue. Damage-associated molecule pattern molecules (DAMPs) are factors found in all stressed cells, including S100 proteins, uric acid, ATP, hyaluronan, heat shock proteins (HSPs), heparan, syndecan, versican, cold-inducible RNA-binding protein (CIRP) [7–10], and high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) [11–16].

Released DAMPs can critically impact the tumor microenvironment by enhancing wound healing processes such as angiogenesis and stroma formation or by influencing the immune response [17–19]. Tumors ‘addicted to death’ [20], stressed ‘internally’ with genomic stress, release DAMPs sustaining conditions associated with chronic inflammation and cell proliferation.

When evaluating a tumor, it is important to assess three elements within its microenvironment consisting of:

- Factors released by
  - tumor cells themselves (including DAMPs) and/or
  - tumor-associated cells consisting of MSCs and fibroblasts, epithelial and endothelial cells, as well as infiltrating leukocytes.
- The quantity and quality of tumor-associated leukocytes.
- The state of activation of tumor and associated cells.

Eosinophilic granulocytes (Eos) and MSCs belong to the subset of cells often found in the setting of wound healing, chronic inflammation, and within the tumor microenvironment. Their presence is associated with the prognosis of cancer patients (see below). DAMPs including HMGB1 and S100A4 from necrotic cells act as chemoattractants and survival/proliferation factors for both Eos and MSCs [21, 22]. Additionally, MSCs and DCs pulsed with S100A4 induce regulatory T cells (Tregs) [19, 23]. Tregs also respond to and are promoted by DAMPs (see below)

Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

Biology of MSCs

Multipotent MSCs, unlike hematopoietic stem cells, originate within the stroma of the bone marrow. They lack typical hematopoietic cell surface markers, including CD34 and CD45, and have the potential to differentiate into several types of tissue cells, such as adipocytes, osteoblasts, and chondrocytes [24]. MSCs were first identified in the 1960s and were regarded as colony-forming fibroblasts. Later, they were shown to have the ability to be induced to differentiate according to external stimuli. Although MSCs reside predominantly in the bone marrow, they are also distributed throughout many other tissues, where they are thought to serve as local sources of dormant (quiescent) stem cells [25] contributing to tissue healing. The contributions of MSCs to tissue formation become apparent in cases of tissue remodeling following injury or chronic inflammation. These conditions are typically accompanied by mobilization of multipotent MSCs and their subsequent recruitment to the site of damage [25]. MSCs contribute to the formation of fibrous scars following injury [26] and, in the setting of wound healing, can act as ‘nurse-like cells’ [27].

This is accomplished by:

- scavenging ROS [28] which are provided by inflammatory cells migrating into sites of damage [29, 30],
- paracrine mechanisms promoting cell proliferation and inducing angiogenesis, and
- induction of local immunosuppression thus protecting regenerating tissue from cytotoxic collateral damage caused by activated local leukocytes ‘sensing damage and danger’ [29, 30].
In the setting of wound healing, MSCs can act as immune regulatory cells by releasing IL-10 or by producing the enzyme indoleamine-dioxygenase (IDO) associated with induction of tolerance and a shift from Th1 to Th2 immune response [31]. Because of their immunosuppressive capacities, MSCs have been applied in clinical trials to treat:

- autoimmune diseases including ulcerative colitis,
- graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) following transplantation of hematopoietic stem cells,
- nonhealing wounds due to local aseptic chronic inflammation.

**Immunosuppressive MSCs Are Recruited to Necrotic Sites within Tumors**

MSCs within tumor tissue influence the biological behavior of tumors as well as the host’s immune response to them. Systemically transferred MSCs can migrate into colon carcinomas [32]. In breast cancer, MSCs promote tumor metastasis [33]. We could show that active DAMPs from necrotic cells are capable of enhancing proliferation and chemotaxis of MSCs in vitro [22] while oxidized DAMPs lose their stimulatory capacities on MSCs [22, 34]. Uric acid, HMGB1, and S100A4 act as chemoattractants and proliferation-promoting factor to MSCs [22]. Moreover, in the presence of S100A4, MSCs induce CD4+ CD25+ FoxP3+ Tregs expressing IL-10 and IDO [23]. Interestingly, HMGB1 and S100A4 are both sensitive to oxidation and need reducing conditions for preserving their biologic activities [35, 36]. Consistently, uric acid (acting as an antioxidant) protects S100A4 from oxidation (thus inactivation) showing a synergistic chemotactic effect when combined with S100A4 [23]. Considering the clinical data demonstrating that the presence of MSCs within the tumor microenvironment is rather disadvantageous for cancer patients [33], induction of oxidative conditions within tumor tissue could significantly impact the biology and response of MSCs to DAMPs and thus provide a therapeutic option.

**Stranger and Danger Models (Signal 0s in the Immune Response)**

Three decades ago, Charles Janeway [37] proposed a conceptual working frame model of the immune system: it protected against infectious pathogens rather than other innocuous foreign antigens, and this discrimination between infectious and innocuous antigens was achieved by antigen-presenting cells (APCs) through recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by their interaction with pattern recognition receptors. The significance of this ‘stranger model’ concept has been well appreciated with Toll-like receptors (TLRs) identified successively, which collectively have been termed pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). Janeway’s model failed to explain initiation of sterile inflammatory responses to tumors, tissue damage or injury including myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents, tissue transplants, allergy and in autoimmune diseases (although microorganisms might contribute to the course of responses in some cases). This inconformity led Polly Matzinger [29] to ponder and advance the ‘danger hypothesis’ in 1994. She postulated that the immune system not only responds to pathogens but also to endogenous signals arising from nonphysiological cell stress, damage, and death. In this model, dying cells release endogenous danger signals (DAMPS). This so-called ‘sterile inflammation’ event initiated by DAMPs (Signal 0) facilitates an early innate and adaptive immune response (Signal 1–4) through activation of APCs in response to injury, trauma, ischemia, cancer, and other tissue damage, regardless of the presence of overt pathogenic infection [38]. It is now well established that necrosis, pyroptosis (inflammatory cell death), necroptosis, ferroptosis, late apoptosis, and autophagy would lead to DAMP release [39], at least under certain circumstances if not all. Notably, many receptors are shared between DAMPs and PAMPs, including members of TLRs, NOD-like receptors (NLRs), AIM2-like receptors (ALRs), RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs) and others, providing coloquy between nonmicrobial and microbial inflammatory responses.

In response to DAMPs and PAMPs, APCs are central to initiating the immune responses and modifying the magnitude of the ongoing response. The most efficient APCs are professional DCs that are pivotal for recognition of antigens/immunogens and manipulation of a series of responses. With such capacities, DCs are indispensable for how immunity is directed and enabled [40]. As professional sentinels, DCs continuously sense and translate the surrounding cues, arising from infection, tissue damage or injury and cytokines, orchestrating a repertoire of immune responses in the settings of various physiological and pathological conditions. Hence, improved understanding of how DCs respond to DAMPs may allow for detailed pathways for immune optimization and new approaches to numerous diseases, including cancer.

**Dendritic Cells**

**DC Maturation**

The immune system is classically separated into innate and adaptive (acquired) immunity. The distinctive feature of innate immunity is its rapid response to a limited repertoire of substrates, including PAMPs and DAMPs, which is promoted and realized by innate myeloid and lymphoid cells. In contrast, adaptive immunity engages two primary types of lymphocytes (T and B cells) encountering antigen and clonally expressing antigen-specific receptors, promoted by VDJC somatic recombination. The transition from innate to adaptive immunity involves DC capture and presentation of antigens to naive T and B cells to promote their effector function.

Schuler and Steinman [41] first identified DC function in vitro in 1985, with evidence that cultured epidermal Langerhans cells (LCs) could drive T-cell clonal expansion more efficiently than freshly isolated LCs. DCs are capable of transiting from immature, antigen-capturing and preserving cells to mature, antigen-presenting cells. ‘Maturation’ is preferential to ‘activation’ because the former represents a process of large-scale differentiation with gradual gain of function, whereas the latter typically refers to on-off or limited arrays of events [40].
DC maturation plays an essential role in dictating the fate of T cells and the subsequent adaptive immunity. Encountering environmental changes, DCs respond quickly and differentiate to mature cells capable of eliciting T-cell acquired immunity. Hence, maturation indicates a comprehensive differentiation and alteration, involving redistribution of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) complex to the surface, acquisition of antigen presentation/cross-presentation capability, up-regulation of surface costimulatory molecules, secretion of cytokines and chemokines, increased capacity to migrate into lymph nodes, and morphological changes/cytoskeleton reconstitution.

Characteristics of Tumor-Associated-DCs (TA-DCs)

DCs are found in the microenvironment of several types of cancer and confer a better prognosis [42–47]. DAMPs and tumor-associated cytokines including VEGF, IL-10, and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) can profoundly limit the ability of DCs to promote a TH1 response [19, 48]. TA-DCs are immunosuppressive and can also induce regulatory T-cell expansion [19, 46, 49]. Besides their immunosuppressive nature, TA-DCs are able to produce angiogenic factors promoting tumor survival, progression, and metastasis [42, 47, 50]. Additionally, DCs cultured in the presence of tumor factors can lose CD14/CD45 and express endothelial markers including CD31, CD34, von Willebrand factor, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2), and VE-cadherin [51–55].

The Tumor Microenvironment Limits the Efficacy of DC Vaccination

TA-DCs are usually described as immature cells with low expression of co-stimulatory molecules and incapable of inducing robust antitumor immune responses [55–59]. In vivo matured DCs loaded with tumor antigens are trapped within the tumor microenvironment and thus incapable of migrating into regional lymph nodes [60]. Current strategies to circumvent the immunosuppressive influence of the tumor microenvironment target either local bioactive factors such as cytokines and chemokines, or tumor-associated cells including myeloid-derived suppressor cells and Tregs. Indeed, depletion of Tregs before vaccination with cationic proteins, which in addition to their cytotoxic character are also potentially important for tissue remodeling and clearance of cellular debris [79]. Eos are falsely thought to be responsible for the cell death and tissue damage commonly observed in disease states associated with increased numbers and tissue-specific recruitment [80].

Eos mediate their effects via at least three independent mechanisms in addition to the release of cytokotxin and chemokines. These mechanisms enable Eos to modulate the intensity of inflammation: i) Eos are potent regulators of local inflammatory responses [81]; ii) recruited Eos are a source of ROS [82] and established small molecule lipid mediators of inflammation. In particular, Eos generate cyclooxygenase (i.e., LTC4, LTD4, LTE4, and LTB4) [83, 84], 5-HETE [85], PGE2 [86], and platelet-activating factor (PAF) [87]. The capability of cyclooxygenase to mediate primary inflammatory responses such as edema [88], the recruitment of other pro-inflammatory leukocytes [89], and the induction of tissue histopathology [90] uniquely positions these molecules as mediators of inflammation. iii) Eos are a prodigious source of cytokotxins associated with tissue repair and remodeling (see table 2 in [17]).

A growing body of literature suggests that both Eos-mediated immune regulation and tissue repair/remodeling in particular may represent important non-overlapping Eos effector functions [79].
In addition to their capacity to synthesize and release a variety of immune regulatory molecules [17], some studies have suggested that Eos may function as APCs [91–96]. In the presence of exogenous CpG-DNA, a PAMP, human eosinophils enhance maturation of autologous DCs [81]. While Eos-derived neurotoxin did not contribute to the described effect, DCs internalized MBP, released from CpG-stimulated Eos [81]. Thus, induction of DC maturation by Eos may be due to released MBP, in response to necrosis.

Eos may also affect local T-cell responses by modulating the balance between Th1 and Th2 immune responses (e.g., through Eos-derived IDO production of kynurenine [31]). Importantly, IDO is essential for the induction of tolerance by tissue-recruited T cells [31, 97, 98]. Thus, similar to other Eos-mediated immune suppressive activities (e.g., the potential induction of Tregs through TGF-β production [99]), Eos-derived IDO may also play a crucial role in immune suppression. Eos are capable of both synthesizing and releasing cytokines characterized as either Th1 (e.g., IFN-γ), Th2 (e.g., IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13), Th3 (TGF-β, IL-10), and possibly Th4 (IL-17 [100–102] also known as Th17) cytokines, or acute phase responses (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, and VEGF) (see tables 1 and 2 in [17]).

**Eosinophils Are Recruited to Necrotic Sites in Cancer**

Neoplastic tissues undergoing necrosis activate Eos and induce their migration in vitro [21, 103] and in vivo [103, 104]. A quantitative assessment of Eos recruitment/accumulation in solid tumors showed that the tissue eosinophilia is apparently mediated by one or more factors released directly from necrotic tissues within the tumor [79, 105]. Studies linking Eos recruitment and activations with cell death and necrosis abound. In particular, Stenfeldt and colleagues [103] discovered that damaged epithelial cell lines (e.g., genital (HeLa), respiratory (HEP-2), and intestinal (HT29) cells) induce Eos migration, the release of putative tissue-damaging factors such as Eos secondary granule proteins, and secretion of Eos-derived pro-fibrotic factors such as fibroblast growth factors (FGF-1 and -2) and TGF-β1. In a B16-F10 melanoma murine model, eosinophilic infiltration of tumors occurred from the earliest palpable stages with significant accumulation only within the necrotic center and the outer capsular regions [105]. Tumor-associated tissue eosinophilia or blood eosinophilia of patients with gastrointestinal cancers is a highly significant and favorable prognostic factor [17, 106–110].

The two most dominant effector functions ascribed to Eos results from the production of ROS (due to their oxidative burst) and the release of cationic granule proteins (following degranulation). Indeed, DAMPs not only promote Eos’ survival but also induce their degranulation (release of MBP and EPO) and their oxidative burst in a dose-dependent manner [21]. Interestingly the oxidative burst of Eos is the most sensitive detectable response to necrosis-associated factors (DAMPs) regardless of the origin/neoplastic transformation of necrotic cells [21].

Compared with other leukocytes, Eos have the highest capacity to produce ROS with their capacity being 10- to 100-fold greater than neutrophils [111–113]. The stimulatory effect of necrotic material is abolished following oxidation [21, 22] suggesting a possible role for Eos capable of oxidizing necrotic cell debris. Dose-dependent release of MBP (degranulation) follows stimulation of Eos with necrotic material [21]. MBP also induces neutrophil O₂ production [114, 115] which may also contribute to oxidation of necrotic material. MBP serves as a factor amplifying [79] local ‘danger signals’ released from stressed cells. Additionally, we assessed the dose-dependent release of EPO following stimulation with DAMPs [21]. EPO acts as an enzyme catalyzing the oxidation of chloride, bromide, and thiocyanate to their respective hypohalous acids with even higher oxidative capacity than peroxide itself, which is consistent with the oxidative role of Eos within stressed/necrotic tissues.

We propose that the response to necrotic material is a fundamental mechanism that promotes eosinophil trafficking into stressed/necrotic tissues such as that found in cancer and in chronic inflammatory diseases including asthma or ulcerative colitis. Production of ROS by Eos encountering necrotic/stressed cells induces oxidation and thus inactivation of DAMPs.

Eos are not only able to eliminate effete and damaged tissue but are also attracted and activated by stressed and damaged cells. It is likely that stressed cells attract and activate Eos by expression of molecules such as MHC class I chain-related A (MIC-A), MIC-B, Letal [116] as well as UL16 binding proteins (ULBP). These stress-associated molecules serve as ligands for NKG2D, described first on NK cells [117] and subsequently on eotaxin-activated Eos [118] and T cells [119]. Thus, tumor-associated Eos appear to have at least two dominant non-overlapping activities: i) destructive effector functions which may limit tumor growth and cause recruitment and activation of other leukocytes and ii) immune regulative and remodeling activities which suppress immune response and release of cytokines, promoting wound healing and resultant tumor proliferation.

Consistent with the hypothesis that DAMPs initiate innate immune cell activation when encountering microbes or parasites [30], Eos are often first responders to tissue damage and likely mediate some aspects of tissue remodeling and repair. Interestingly, Eos infiltration is used by some groups as a poor prognostic factor associated with transplant rejection [120, 121].

**Eosinophils Are Sentinels of Effective Tumor Immunotherapy**

Eos are frequently observed within regressing tumors in the setting of immunotherapy with IL-2 [122, 123], IL-4 [124, 125], GM-CSF [126], and antibodies to CTLA-4, but their appearance has been an interesting sidenote the significance of which has remained largely unknown. In particular, the anti-tumor effects of successful cytokine therapy of cancer with IL-2 had been associated with the identification of degranulating Eos within the tumor [122, 123], suggesting that Eos effector functions (e.g., direct [122] or antibody-dependent [127] tumor cell lysis or the immune regulative capacity of Eos modulating the local tumor microenvironment) may play a role in the anti-cancer activities mediated by systemic IL-2 administration. However, despite the promises of these potential Eos-mediated anti-tumor activities, the presence of Eos has not been found to be prognostically important for high-dose IL-2-treated patients.
Murine studies suggesting a link between Eos and the therapeutic value of the anti-tumor responses associated with IL-4 administration [125, 128] have also led to clinical trials evaluating these responses in cancer patients. In a phase I clinical trial of IL-4 administered to cancer patients, Sosman and colleagues [124] showed that IL-4 therapy induced systemic Eos degranulation with increases in serum and urine MBP levels. The increase in serum MBP was IL-4 dose-dependent. Unfortunately, the linkage of anti-tumor activities in these patients to Eos is only correlative, and – similar to the observations in patients following IL-2 administration – no definitive conclusions as to if and how Eos modulate tumor growth could be made so far.

Efforts to demonstrate experimentally a role for Eos in tumor immunity have also been fraught with complicating variables that yielded qualified interpretations. Most notably, considerable excitement was generated by data from the studies of Tepper and colleagues [125, 129, 130] which demonstrated in athymic nude mice that malignant cell lines transfected for constitutive expression of IL-4 elicited a tumor-associated macrophage and Eos infiltrate that led to the attenuation of tumor growth. This provoked a series of studies, all done with transplantable tumors (see for example [131, 132]), in an attempt to define the cellular and molecular mechanisms of this apparent IL-4-mediated anti-tumor effect. Although these studies have shown that even spontaneous tumors evidenced tumor regression associated with tumor infiltrating Eos [132], none of these studies has resolved the role(s) of Eos in tumor rejection reactions.

**Regulatory T Cells**

**Biology of Tregs**

Tregs represent 4–5% of the CD4+ T cells and have a potent immune regulatory capacity. They were first described in 1995 by Sakaguchi and colleagues [133]. Tregs comprise several subsets of phenotypically similar cells able to inhibit immune response to self- and non-self antigens through distinct mechanisms [134]. To date at least two Treg subsets have been recognized in humans. Naturally occurring Tregs (nTregs), which originate in the thymus, mediate suppression via cell contact-dependent mechanisms involving the granzyme B/perforin or Fas/Fasl pathways and constitute a major Treg subset for maintaining peripheral tolerance [135]. The phenotypic characteristics are still a matter of debate. nTregs were commonly characterized by expression IL-2 receptor alpha chain (CD25), which is expressed on the cell surface. However, only CD4+ CD25high T cells are considered immunosuppressive Tregs [136], because this marker is also expressed on activated CD4+ T cells (tumor effector T cells; Teffs) at a medium expression level. But defining the cut-off level of CD25 expression density on Tregs based on the mean fluorescence intensity has not been entirely reliable. Other approaches to identify and isolate nTregs are the absence of Treg markers such as the IL-7 receptor, CD127, and an integrin-αsβ1, CD49d, that are expressed on conventional T cells, which have been utilized for nTregs isolation from PBMC by negative selection [137, 138]. The most prominent marker for identifying Tregs is the intracellular marker FOXP3, the transcription factor forkhead box P3, which belongs to the forkhead/winged-helix family [139]. The development of nTregs depends on FOXP3, and its expression is required for the ability of CD4+ T-cell populations to mediate immune suppression by inhibiting proliferation and IL-2 production in Teffs. The absence of FOXP3+ nTregs results in autoimmune disease [140]. FOXP3 is expressed in other immune cells including activated CD4+ lymphocytes and even in cancer cells [141]. Stable expression of FOXP3 in nTregs depends on the demethylation status of the foxp3 gene. The relevant sequence of the foxp3 gene is called 'Treg-specific demethylated region' (TSDR), which allows discriminating Tregs from activated T cells by sequencing [142, 143]. Nevertheless, intracellular localization of FOXP3 in nTregs makes it unsuitable for their viable isolation. Other surface molecules expressed on nTregs have been considered as cell markers able to reliably identify Tregs and allow for their isolation. The cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4, CD152), the glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor receptor (GITR)-related protein [144] and ICOS (CD278, inducible T-cell co-stimulator, CD28 superfamily co-stimulatory molecule) as well as Programmed-death-1 (PD-1) are all expressed on human Tregs, but neither is specific for nTregs [145–147].

The other major subtype of Tregs is the group of inducible or adaptive Tregs (iTreg), also referred to as type 1 Tregs (Tr1), which are induced in the periphery in response to environmental signals, including tumor-derived antigens, cytokines or other soluble factors, and mediate powerful suppression of Teff functions by a variety of mechanisms [148]. Tr1 mediate suppression by contact-independent mechanisms through the production of TGF-β, IL-10 and other immunosuppressive factors. Further, iTregs can assume functions that either favor tumor growth by down-regulating activity of anti-tumor immune cells or inhibit tumor progression by suppressing inflammation, which is thought to contribute to cancer development [149]. Therefore, the consequences of iTreg presence and activity in cancer and precancerous inflammatory lesions may be profound. Their depletion may or may not be beneficial to cancer patients, depending on the environmental context [96].

**Necrosis Promotes Treg Persistence in Cancer**

The tumor orchestrates its surrounding microenvironment by secreting several soluble factors, including adenosine [150, 151], TGF-β [152], prostaglandins [153, 154], kynurenine [155, 156] and HMGB1 as well as other DAMPs. Tumor-induced immune tolerance in this environment is sustainably mediated by Tregs, which are strongly affected by DAMPs [157]. Tumor-induced dysfunction extends to tumor antigen-specific T cells as well as innate immunity components such as natural killer (NK) cells and macrophages [158]. The profile and severity of immune dysfunction in immune cells of different patients with cancer can vary and appears to be dependent on the ability of each tumor to create a unique immune inhibitory environment and to engineer an escape from immune control [159]. Furthermore, H2O2 at low concentrations of about 20 μmol/l within the tumor microenvironment is...
considered part of the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment with lymphocytes exhibiting aberrant function upon exposure [160].

Tregs also strongly interact with other immune cells present in the tumor microenvironment and mediate inhibition of the respective immune cell functions [161]. In particular, several soluble factors released by Tregs (e.g., galectin-1, PGE2) may directly suppress [162, 163] or induce cell death (e.g., perforin, granzyme) of Teffs and NK cells [164]. Ectoenzymes located on the cell membrane of Tregs (e.g., CD39, CD73) mediate the metabolism of ATP to adenosine, a potential suppressant of T cells [165]. APCs are functionally modulated (e.g., by IL-10, soluble TGF-β) contributing to a tolerogenic tumor milieu. TGF-β is activated by oxidation and inactivated by free thiols, demonstrating the need for the appropriate redox microenvironment for its function [166, 167].

Cell-to-cell contact between Tregs and immune cells is obligatory for certain direct and indirect suppressive pathways. CTLA-4 and LAG-3 surface molecules constitutively expressed on nTregs contribute to the cell-to-cell-dependent suppressive mechanisms via interactions with CD80 and CD86 on APCs [168]. Tregs limit Teff and NK cell responses by membrane-bound TGF-β as well as cAMP release [169]. Close interaction with APCs (e.g. via LAG-3, galectin) reduces their immune stimulatory capacity through attenuation of co-stimulation and antigen presentation, while increases their tolerizing potential [170, 171], especially by a CTLA-4-mediated up-regulation of the enzyme IDO [172]. IDO activity leads to a depletion of tryptophan accumulated by an accumulation of kynurenine, both with a negative impact on T cells. Tregs induce IDO in DCs, which catalyzes the oxidative catabolism of tryptophan [173]. They require IL-2 for proper function, which is produced by conventional T cells. Accordingly, nTregs express increased levels of the high-affinity heterotrimeric receptor for IL-2 composed of CD25, CD122, and CD132. Competitive depletion of available IL-2 by Tregs and the resultant starvation of activated, dividing T cells has been proposed as a minor suppressive mechanism at minimum within the tumor microenvironment [174]. Lastly, Tregs alter the redox balance of T cells by inhibition of their reduced sensitivity to oxidative stress.

The prototypic DAMP, HMGB1, is passively released from stressed and necrotic cells and is actively secreted by inflammatory cells. Released HMGB1 mediates the response to infection and injury by binding with high affinity to several receptors, including the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) and TLR-2, TLR-4 and TLR-9, thereby promoting inflammation. The pathogenic role of HMGB1 has been identified during inflammation-associated cell necrosis [186] as or a means by which their immune responses are initiated against tumor cells undergoing chemotherapy-induced necrosis [187]. HMGB1 is a critical regulator of autophagy in cancer cells. Autophagy allows tumor cells to survive bioenergetic stress by clearing damaged cell organelles or mutant or unfolded proteins and generating glycolic substrates [188]. We have shown that HMGB1 release is associated with sustained autophagy. Moreover, the redox state of HMGB1 is critical, as exogenous delivery of reduced HMGB1 protein promotes autophagy while oxidized HMGB1 promotes apoptosis in cancer cells [189]. Oxidative stress occurs when the generation of ROS in a system exceeds its ability to neutralize and eliminate them. Compared with normal cells, both ROS and autophagy are altered in cancer cells. On the one hand, ROS can induce autophagy through several distinct mechanisms involving ATG4, catalase and the mitochondrial electron transport chain. On the other hand, defective autophagy can increase oxidative stress in tumor cells. This suggests that autophagy defects may increase oxidized HMGB1. Tregs, especially in cancer patients, express both HMGB1-recognizing receptors TLR4 and RAGE [190]. HMGB1 increased secretion of IL-10 and the suppressive capacity in Tregs in a RAGE-dependent manner. Furthermore, our studies revealed that HMGB1 inhibited IFN-γ secretion in Teff. In summary, HMGB1 directly enhanced immune regulatory functions of Tregs.
Until Death Do Us Part: Necrosis and Oxidation Promote the Tumor Microenvironment

Fig. 1. The tumor microenvironment is disordered and characterized by necrosis, redox stress, and DAMPs. Autophagy within the tumor can be promoted by several endogenous (hypoxia, nutrient deprivation, genomic instability, ER stress) and exogenous (chemotherapy, radiation, hormonal therapy) stressors. Most of the current treatment strategies for cancer promote (DAMP molecule release (HMGB1, HSP, histones, DNA etc.) following therapy-induced unscheduled tumor death (often by necroptosis and necrosis). Solely apoptotic cell death in the tumor, intrinsic and driven by p53 pathways, extrinsic, promoted by tissue macrophages and other immune cells expressing TNF family members, is a rare finding. Immunotherapy promoting NK and Teff function can also lead to cytolytic (Perforin/GrzB) mediated apoptosis in the tumor.

Therapy promoted DAMP release leads to recruitment of myeloid cells (monocytes, DCs, and granulocytes), as well as MSCs. They belong to the early immigrants in response to unscheduled cell death, initiating and modulating the subsequent inflammatory response. MSCs as well as DCs respond to DAMPs by promoting an immunosuppressive milieu, while eosinophils induce oxidative conditions limiting the biologic activity of DAMPs over time and distance. Tregs are strongly affected by pattern recognition receptor signaling in the tumor microenvironment and limit immune reactivity coordinately with myeloid-derived suppressor cells.

Summary and Perspective

The inflammatory response mediated by innate effectors are quite sensitive to ‘internalized’ stress and unscheduled cell death, characteristic of cancer. In some instances, indeed the adaptive immune response to tumor can enhance tumor progression by promoting non-apoptotic, non-autophagic (i.e. necrotic) cell death and subsequent release of DAMPs. In case of dysplastic transformation of cells, it could be reasonable to modify the local microenvironment that would limit inflammation using immunosuppression, anti-angiogenic agents and apoptosis-inducing drugs, since phagocytosis of apoptotic cells triggers powerful anti-inflammatory signals [193, 194]. The hypothesis of necrosis-associated tumorigenesis and tumor proliferation is based on the capacity of released DAMPs to induce tissue proliferation, and most recently to limit apoptosis [20]. Eosinophils may be capable of breaking this vicious circle by limiting tumor cells with release of highly cytotoxic granules and – at the same time – inducing an oxidative milieu inactivating factors released from necrotic cells. DAP10- or DAP12-associated receptors as well as ST2, the receptor for IL-33 are candidate molecules regulating recruitment of inflammatory cells such as eosinophils and the wound healing phenotype within tissues [195, 196]. Even in the setting of metastasis, the ability of tumor-derived factors such as DAMPs to promote ‘metastatic’ inflammation has been overlooked [195–197]. Inflammation associated with chronic allergic respiratory provocation and substantial local eosinophil accumulation is also capable of significantly enhancing metastasis to the lung in a murine tumor model [198]. The tumor microenvironment is characterized by reducing and hypoxic conditions protecting DAMPs from oxidation. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF-1α), upregulation and stabilization, normally degraded by proteasomal ubiquitination under normoxic conditions is observed in tumors. Under hypoxic conditions, the ubiquitination system for HIF-1α is inhibited. Hypoxia and accumulation of HIF-1α in solid tumor tissues is associated with resistance to chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and immunotherapy and poor prog-
noss. An increase in VEGF levels induces HIF-1α accumulation and promotes tumor metastasis by enhancing angiogenesis [199]. The impact of H2O2 within inflammatory tissue (including tumor tissue) is still controversial. H2O2 at low levels acts as an important ‘second messenger’ during lymphocyte activation [200]. It has both proliferative and apoptotic effects on T cells through various signalling pathways [201–203]. Human NK cells can be subdivided into two subsets based on their level of CD56 expression. CD56dim NK cells are potent cytotoxic cells, expressing high levels of granymes and perforin, and can mediate Ab-dependent cellular cytotoxicity through the Fc pursuits CD16. CD56bright NK cells, on the other hand, represent about 5–10% of the NK cells in peripheral blood and, following stimulation with IL-12, IL-15, and IL-18, produce high levels of inflammatory cytokines like IFNγ [204, 205]. Interestingly, CD56bright NK cells are very resistant to oxidative stress whereas their counterparts CD56dim NK cells undergo cell death when exposed to ROS.

Tregs also are resistant to oxidative stress and insensitive to ROS, thiois and cystine, enabling immune selection within the tumor microenvironment which eventually results in increased numbers of these cells with high capacity of mediating immune tolerance against the tumor. IL-2 administration at high doses was perhaps the first means to enable overcoming Treg effects [206].

Oxidizing conditions should be considered for therapeutic approaches targeting the tumor microenvironment. In particular, adoptive transfer of eosinophils or induction of eosinophilia in patients with tumor may be helpful considering that these cells are attracted into necrotic areas of tumor and respond to DAMPs by oxidizing them (fig. 1).
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