Efficacy of Self-Expandable Metallic Stent Inserted for Refractory Hemorrhage of Duodenal Cancer
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Abstract
Because of advances in the technology of gastrointestinal endoscopy and improvements in the quality of stents, it has become routine to place a stent as palliative therapy for malignant gastrointestinal obstruction. On the other hand, stent placement for malignant gastrointestinal hemorrhage has scarcely been reported, although it may be performed for hemorrhage of the esophageal varicose vein. We recently experienced a patient with refractory hemorrhage from an unresectable duodenal cancer who underwent placement of a self-expandable metallic stent (SEMS) and thereafter had no recurrence of the hemorrhage. A 46-year-old man underwent laparotomy to radically resect a cancer in the third portion of the duodenum, which invaded widely to the superior mesenteric vein and its branches and was considered unresectable. After stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy was performed, chemotherapy was initiated according to the regimen of chemotherapy of far advanced gastric cancer. One year and 4 months after induction of chemotherapy, gastrointestinal hemorrhage occurred. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy revealed the hemorrhage oozing from the duodenal cancer, and endoscopic hemostasis, such as injection of hypertonic saline epinephrine and argon plasma coagulation, was unsuccessful. Twenty days after emergence of the hemorrhage, an endoscopic covered SEMS was placed with confirmation by fluoroscopy. Immediately after placement of the stent, the tarry stool stopped and the anemia ceased to
progress. The recurrence of the hemorrhage has not been confirmed without migration of the stent. SEMS is an effective hemostatic procedure for malignant refractory hemorrhage.

Introduction

Because of progress in technology in gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy and improvements in the quality of stents, GI stenting as a palliative therapy for malignant GI obstruction has undergone a remarkable development. For acute obstructions caused by GI malignant disease, previously, rescue from the worsening condition was only obtained by invasive surgical operations, such as construction of a stoma or a bypass operation, even for patients with malnutrition and systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Today, patients can be relieved of their obstruction symptoms promptly and less invasively by placement of a stent, a procedure that is routinely performed [1]. In addition to being used as palliative therapy for advanced colon cancer, the efficacy of a stent as a bridging therapy to surgery has also been reported [2].

On the other hand, GI hemorrhage from advanced malignant tumors is sometimes difficult to control by endoscopic hemostasis, such as local injection of hemostatic materials, coagulation by an electronic device, or embolization of the feeding artery, but stenting treatment has rarely been applied to hemorrhages.

Herein, we report an experience with the placement of a covered self-expandable metallic stent (SEMS) and confirm the efficacy of stenting for intractable malignant hemorrhage from unresectable duodenal cancer.

Case Report

A 46-year-old man visited our Department of Gastroenterology because of aggravating epigastralgia. Upper GI endoscopy revealed a tumor in the third portion of the duodenum, which was diagnosed as duodenal adenocarcinoma by histopathology of the biopsied specimen. Because computerized tomography showed the duodenal cancer with swelling of regional lymph nodes but without distant metastases (fig. 1), the disease was judged to be radically resectable. Although we planned to perform a pancreaticoduodenectomy, we gave up on the planned operation after exploration around the tumor because extensive invasion to the superior mesenteric vein was confirmed. We completed the surgery after performing stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy to prevent possible malignant obstruction and hemorrhage in the future.

After the surgery, the patient underwent DCS (docetaxel, cisplatin, and S-1) therapy as first-line chemotherapy according to that recommended for gastric cancer, and the state of the disease continued to be stable without distant metastasis and local progression after sixteen courses of therapy.

One year and 4 months after initiation of chemotherapy, because hematochezia and mild syncope appeared and the laboratory data showed severe anemia (hemoglobin 5.5 g/dl), he was suspected to be suffering from GI bleeding and was admitted to the hospital urgently. An upper GI endoscopy identified the hemorrhage, oozing from the duodenal cancer. Endoscopic hemostasis techniques, such as hypertonic saline epinephrine solution and argon plasma coagulation, were unsuccessful because the exact location of the bleeding could not be iden-
tified. Intermittent bleeding persisted every 4 to 5 days and was treated by a blood transfusion each time.

Twenty days after the first emergence of the hemorrhage, a partially covered SEMS (Niti-S Pyloric & Duodenal Combi Stent, 10.5 Fr, 20 × 10 mm, Taewoong Medical) was inserted and placed over the lesion (fig. 2, fig. 3), exerting hemostasis by applying pressure on the tumor surface. Soon after the placement of the stent, anemia progression stopped and hematochezia disappeared. Thereafter, chemotherapy was continued for about 10 months without any sign of recurrent hemorrhage or migration of the stent.

**Discussion**

Placement of a stent is performed for obstruction of the GI tract from the esophagus [3] and stomach [4] to the colorectal region [5], and a clinical practice guideline on this use has already been published [6]. The indication for a stent has been extended not only to malignant obstruction but also to treatment for benign stenosis and postoperative leakage [7]. It is also applied as bridging therapy to radical surgery [2] because it can relieve malnutrition from a long-term fasting state during placement of an ileus tube, and avoid an urgent colostomy under high-risk conditions, which contributes to diminishing the incidence of colostomy during radical colectomy and reducing postoperative infectious complications.

For obstruction of the GI tract, we can use plastic stents or SEMS. Although historically plastic stents, made of rigid polyvinyl, were used for GI obstructions, both morbidity and mortality after insertion of plastic stents are higher than after SEMS placement because traumatic dilation of the stenotic portion is sometimes required before placement of a plastic stent that has a fixed diameter [8]. Consequently, plastic stents have rarely been used since the 1990s when uncovered SEMS first became available. SEMS are generally packaged in a compressed form and constrained around a delivery device, making dilation unnecessary and reducing the risks of perforation, obstruction, or migration, which can occur with plastic stents [9].

Because of the uncovered structure of SEMS when they were first introduced, the open mesh architecture caused tumor ingrowth and re-occlusion in 14% of cases [10]. A covered SEMS was developed to overcome this disadvantage. In their meta-analysis, Pan et al. [11] reported that the occlusion rate was 8.6%, which was significantly lower than that of uncovered SEMS at 21.7%. However, covered SEMS migrate more frequently than uncovered SEMS (18.3 vs. 4.1%, respectively) because covering the outer surface of SEMS may prevent the mesh from embedding into the surrounding tissue. The effect of both stents is equal in palliative treatment of malignant obstruction of the GI tract, so it is necessary to select one depending on the clinical situation [12].

It is almost impossible to find any reports about stenting treatments for malignant GI hemorrhage, although there are some positive reports about refractory bleeding after endoscopic variceal ligation and sclerotherapy for esophageal bleeding [13]. Only two case reports could be identified: one, by Yen et al. [14], was a hemorrhage from a duodenal cancer with multiple liver metastases, and the other, by D'Souza et al. [15], was uncontrollable refractory bleeding from a duodenal bulb lesion invaded by local recurrence of post-hepatectomized hepatocellular carcinoma. Both cases succeeded in hemostasis by placement of covered SEMS. In this presented case, a covered SEMS was placed, as in the former two cases, in order to cease the malignant hemorrhage from a duodenal cancer. The stent continues to work effectively without any migratory complications due to intestinal peristalsis.
A stomach-partitioning gastrojejunostomy bypass, which results in the structure passing very little food, is supposed to contribute to the prevention of stent migration.

A patient with uncontrollable and refractory malignant hemorrhage from the third portion of the duodenum acquired a better clinical course by the placement of a covered SEMS. Although stenting treatment for malignant hemorrhage of the GI tract is still not common, it is a reasonable procedure that compresses a wide bleeding lesion in a plane, and it may become one of the most effective treatments for malignant GI hemorrhage.
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Fig. 1. Image of computerized tomography on the patient’s first visit to our hospital. The asterisk indicates the cancer in the third portion of the duodenum.

Fig. 2. Endoscopic photograph of the placement of the covered SEMS at the duodenal cancer.
Fig. 3. Image of X-ray fluoroscopy of the placement of the covered SEMS at the duodenal cancer. The arrows indicate the SEMS, placed in the third portion of the duodenum.