New and Evolving Immunotherapy in Inflammatory Bowel Disease
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic inflammatory disease associated with a dysregulated gastrointestinal and systemic immune system. IBD includes two major disorders: ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD). The onset of both CD and UC has a bimodal distribution with peaks occurring either between the 3rd and 4th or the 6th and 7th decades of life [1]. In addition to a north-south gradient, the prevalence of IBD is population and region dependent with higher rates observed in Northern Europe and North America [2]. In the United States, the prevalence of CD and UC is around 43 and 28 per 100,000 adolescents, and 201 and 238 per 100,000 adults, respectively [2]. Given the chronic and often progressive nature of these diseases, IBD is associated with a significant economic and health care burden.

The treatment of IBD is generally individualized according to several factors including disease phenotype, severity, location, and associated luminal or extraluminal complications. Therapy is generally categorized into two stages: treating an acute flare or induction of remission and maintenance. Significant steps in the treatment of moderate to severe disease were achieved in the last two decades following the introduction of biologic therapy, namely anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors, resulting in improved clinical outcomes in both CD and UC [3]. However, up to one third of IBD patients are resistant...
to anti-TNF therapy, and a significant number of patients lose response over time and are left with limited therapeutic options [3]. A deeper understanding of the immunopathology of IBD and an accelerated translational and clinical research program have recently helped identify a number of potential targets for drug development and testing. This review focuses on newer and emerging biological drugs in the treatment of CD and UC.

Interleukin 12/23 Axis

Cytokines play an important role in any inflammatory response of the human body in the recruitment of and coordination between T-helper-1 (TH1), TH2, and cytotoxic lymphocytes. Several inflammatory interleukins, including IL-12/23 and IL-13, are integral to the TH1 or TH2 response and have been shown to be elevated in the disease process in IBD. The roles of IL-12/23 and IL-13 will be discussed in this and the following sections, respectively. IL-12 is an inflammatory cytokine that promotes the TH1 pathway in inflammation and has been shown to be part of the response seen in colitis [4]. In addition, the knockout or deactivation of IL-12 has been shown to reduce the intestinal inflammatory response in different mouse models of colitis [5, 6]. IL-12 is made of several subunits. The p40 subunit is shared with another proinflammatory interleukin, IL-23 [7], and the downstream signal of this complex has been shown to activate other T cells and myeloid cells to release TNF-α, IL-6, interferon-γ (IFN-γ), and IL-17 in the intestines [8–10], brain [11], and joints [12]. Both IL-12 and IL-23 have been implicated in the pathophysiology of CD (fig. 1) [13–15] and are found at higher levels in the mucosa of CD intestines than in the mucosa of healthy intestines [13, 15]. Furthermore, a genome-wide association study found a polymorphism in the IL-23 receptor associated with CD [16], while other polymorphisms in the IL-23 receptor gene were found to be protective [17]. In animal models of CD, chronic intestinal inflammation was suppressed when the p40 subunit of IL-12 was neutralized [18, 19], and this effect was associated with a decreased
T-cell response [18]. One clinical trial found that a decrease in IL-12 secretion from mononuclear cells of the colonic lamina propria was associated with clinical improvement in patients receiving anti-IL-12 [20].

Ustekinumab is a human monoclonal IgG antibody that blocks the receptor of the p40 subunit of the IL-12/23 complex on leukocytes [21–23]. Monoclonal antibodies directed against the p40 subunit of IL-12/23 have also shown efficacy in murine colitis models [21–23]. In humans, phase II clinical trials have shown that ustekinumab is superior to placebo in inducing a response in moderate to severe CD when used both intravenously [20, 22] and subcutaneously [17, 22]. The effect was consistently greater when measured earlier (week 4 > 6 > 8 [20] and week 7 > 18 [17]). In the CERTIFI trial [24], response rates were 36.6% (p = 0.02), 34.1% (p = 0.06), and 39.7% (p = 0.005) with 1, 3, and 6 mg/kg ustekinumab, respectively, compared to 23.5% in the placebo arm [24]. Patients with an initial response maintained response with ustekinumab (69.4 vs. 42.5% placebo; p < 0.001). Remission rates were not significantly different between ustekinumab and placebo at week 6, but maintenance of remission was significantly higher in patients with an initial response to ustekinumab (41.7 vs. 27.4% placebo; p = 0.03) [24]. Compared to placebo, there was no significant increase in adverse events [20, 25, 26] except for injection site reactions noted in one study [17] and a higher number of severe infections in another study [24]. A Cochrane review [26] of both trials of ustekinumab in active CD [24, 25] found the failure rate to achieve remission with ustekinumab not to be statistically different from placebo [relative risk (RR) 0.94, 95% CI 0.88–1.01] even when subgrouped by dose. Ustekinumab was, however, associated with a statistically lower rate of failure of response than placebo (RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.71–0.89), with a subgroup analysis showing a significant difference for the 4.5 mg/kg dose group [26]. Of note, mucosal healing assessed in 50 patients in the CERTIFI trial was observed in 8 of 41 patients (19.5%) receiving ustekinumab compared to 1 of 9 patients (11%) treated with placebo (not significant; p = 1.00). Studies on IL-12/23 axis-targeting drugs are summarized in table 1.

**JAK/STAT Pathway**

Janus kinase (JAK) is a signal transducer that acts downstream to cytokines. JAK acts by binding to a cytokine receptor to phosphorylate it. This allows JAK to bind to STAT, after which the complex translocates to the nucleus to initiate transcription of inflammatory genes [31, 32] (fig. 1). Furthermore, IL-12 has been shown to exhibit proinflammatory effects through the TH 1 pathway via STAT4 [33]. Polymorphisms in the JAK/STAT pathway have also been associated with IBD [32]. In mouse models of colitis, mice deficient in STAT4 were unable to produce IFN-γ in response to IL-12 [5, 34], whereas STAT4 overexpression rendered mice more susceptible to colitis [35]. STAT4 was also found to be overexpressed in T cells from mucosal samples of patients with CD [36]. IL-23, another mediator linked to the function of IL-12, also carries its action through downstream STAT3 [37]. Interestingly, overexpression of STAT3 correlated with a
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Ustekinumab vs. placebo</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD Clinical response</td>
<td>* 36.6% (p = 0.02), 34.1% (p = 0.06), and 39.7% (p = 0.005) for 1, 3, and 6 mg/kg ustekinumab, respectively, vs. 23.5% placebo at week 6 [24] ** 75% ustekinumab vs. 25% placebo (p = 0.03) at week 7 [20]</td>
<td>* CERTIFI trial: regimen: 1, 3, or 6 mg ustekinumab per kg body weight or placebo at week 0 [24]; trial included patients who had failed TNF-α inhibitors only ** Regimen: 7 times weekly SC injections (3 mg/kg) ustekinumab or placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical remission</td>
<td>No difference at week 6 [20, 24]</td>
<td>CERTIFI trial: SC ustekinumab (90 mg) or placebo at weeks 8 and 16; maintenance phase only included responders to ustekinumab at week 6 of induction phase [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of response</td>
<td>69.4% ustekinumab vs. 42.5% placebo (p &lt; 0.001) [24]</td>
<td>Regimen: 7 times weekly SC injections (3 mg/kg) ustekinumab or placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of remission</td>
<td>41.7% ustekinumab vs. 27.4% placebo (p = 0.03) [24]</td>
<td>Regimen: 7 times weekly SC injections (3 mg/kg) ustekinumab or placebo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Tofacitinib vs. placebo</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC Clinical response</td>
<td>78% tofacitinib vs. 42% placebo (p &lt; 0.001) [42] OR: 4.18 (1.75–10.02) (p = 0.001) [43]</td>
<td>Regimen: 15 mg PO twice daily [42, 43]; response rates not significantly different for the 0.5-, 3-, and 10-mg regimens Endoscopic remission observed with 3 mg (18%; p = 0.01), 10 mg (30%; p &lt; 0.001), and 15 mg (27%; p &lt; 0.001) tofacitinib vs. placebo (2%) [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical remission</td>
<td>* RR: 33% for 3 mg (p = 0.01), 48% for 10 mg (p &lt; 0.001), 41% for 15 mg tofacitinib (p &lt; 0.001) vs. 10% placebo [42] ** OR: 5.23 (2.14–12.75) (p &lt; 0.001) [43]</td>
<td>Regimens: 3, 10, and 15 mg PO twice daily [42] ** Regimen: 15 mg PO twice daily [43]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Vedolizumab vs. placebo</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD Clinical response</td>
<td>* 31.4% vedolizumab vs. 25.7% placebo at week 6 (p = NS) [70] ** 46.8% vedolizumab vs. 24.8% placebo (p = 0.0001) [71]</td>
<td>* CERTINI-II trial: regimen: IV vedolizumab 300 mg at weeks 0 and 2 [70] ** CERTINI-III trial: regimen: IV vedolizumab 300 mg at weeks 0, 2, and 6; the response and remission results are significant for patients with prior anti-TNF failure (shown) and the overall study population but not for anti-TNF-naive patients [71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical remission</td>
<td>* 14.5% vedolizumab vs. 6.8% placebo at week 6 (p = 0.02) [70] ** 26.6% vedolizumab vs. 12.1% placebo at week 10 (p = 0.001) [71]</td>
<td>Regimen: IV vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 or 8 weeks; includes patients with an initial drop in CDAI &gt;70 response to vedolizumab only; the results were also significant for maintenance of glucocorticoid-free remission [70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of response</td>
<td>* 43.5% vedolizumab every 8 weeks (p = 0.01), 45.5% vedolizumab every 4 weeks (p = 0.005) vs. 30.1% placebo [70]</td>
<td>Regimen: IV vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 or 8 weeks; includes patients with an initial drop in CDAI &gt;70 response to vedolizumab only; the results were also significant for maintenance of glucocorticoid-free remission [70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of remission</td>
<td>* 39.0% vedolizumab every 8 weeks (p &lt; 0.001), 36.4% vedolizumab every 4 weeks (p = 0.004) vs. 21.6% placebo [70]</td>
<td>Regimen: IV vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 or 8 weeks; includes patients with an initial drop in CDAI &gt;70 response to vedolizumab only; the results were also significant for maintenance of glucocorticoid-free remission [70]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Vedolizumab vs. placebo</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC Clinical response</td>
<td>47.1% vedolizumab vs. 25.5% placebo (p &lt; 0.001) [72]</td>
<td>GEMINI-I trial: IV vedolizumab 300 mg at weeks 0 and 2; response was evaluated at week 6; vedolizumab was also shown to significantly induce mucosal healing (40.9%, p = 0.001) as compared to placebo (24.8%) at week 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical remission</td>
<td>41.8% vedolizumab vs. 15.9% placebo (p &lt; 0.001) [72]</td>
<td>Regimen: IV vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 or 8 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of remission</td>
<td>41.8% (every 8 weeks) and 44.8% (every 4 weeks) vs. 15.9% placebo at week 52 (both p &lt; 0.001) [72]</td>
<td>Regimen: IV vedolizumab 300 mg every 4 or 8 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of asterisks indicates which comments in the right column refer to which study in the left column. SC = Subcutaneously; OR = odds ratio; PO = per os; NS = not significant; IV = intravenously.
more severe colitis in mice [38], and its expression was shown to be associated with IBD severity scores [39]. On the other hand, when STAT3 was blocked, mice had a lower risk of developing colitis [40]. Hence, the JAK/STAT pathway constitutes a possible target that theoretically will decrease inflammation if suppressed.

Tofacitinib is an inhibitor of the JAK/STAT pathway that works by inhibiting JAK1 and JAK2 [41] (fig. 1). Compared to placebo, tofacitinib has been shown to have a significantly higher clinical response (78% tofacitinib vs. 42% placebo; p < 0.001) [42] at 15 mg twice daily [42, 43] and higher remission rates (3 mg tofacitinib (33%; p = 0.01), 10 mg tofacitinib (48%; p = 0.001), and 15 mg tofacitinib (41%; p < 0.001)) than placebo (10%; p < 0.001) in patients with moderate to severe UC [42]. An endoscopic response evaluated at 8 weeks was significantly higher in the group receiving 15 mg of tofacitinib only than in the placebo group (78 vs. 46%; p = 0.001). A significant endoscopic remission was observed in patients receiving 3 mg (18%; p = 0.01), 10 mg (30%; p < 0/001), and 15 mg (27%; p < 0.001) of tofacitinib as compared to placebo (2%) [42]. This effect, however, was not replicated in patients with CD in whom the drug’s effect was not different from placebo [44]. The adverse events were similar in both groups with a dose-dependent increase in low-density cholesterol and high-density cholesterol observed in the treatment group [42, 44].

SMAD7 Anti-Sense

Transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) is a cytokine with immune-suppressive activity. TGF-β1 is actively produced in the normal human gastrointestinal tract to regulate the inflammatory response [45]. Mice with defective TGF-β1 are unable to reduce inflammatory cytokines and have been shown to be at increased risk of colitis [46, 47]. On the other hand, inducing TGF-β1 in some models of murine colitis was shown to decrease the severity of colitis [48, 49]. This response is, however, not seen in all models of IBD, where some models actually increase inflammation in response to TGF-β1. The latter effect is explained by the presence of downstream Smad family proteins. The anti-inflammatory effect of TGF-β1 is dependent on the subsequent phosphorylation of downstream Smad2 and 3 that form a heterocomplex with Smad4 to translocate to the nucleus and mediate the anti-inflammatory response of TGF-β1 (fig. 1) [50]. Smad3 is highly expressed in human colon cells and is phosphorylated to be able to respond to the anti-inflammatory response of TGF-β1 [45]. A diminished response to TGF-β1 is seen in mice with deficient Smad3 which are at increased risk of developing chronic inflammation of the colon [51]. Active Smad3 is decreased in both CD and UC [52]. Smad7, on the other hand, inhibits Smad2 and 3 [50] in addition to promoting the ubiquitin degradation process of TGF-β1 [53], which renders TGF-β1 a proinflammatory molecule (fig. 2). In mouse models of colitis, there is a marked increase in TGF-β1 production in light of reduced active pSmad3 and high Smad7 activity [54]. Interestingly, Smad7 is overexpressed in CD and UC, and its silencing with an antisense nucleotide or in Smad7 knockdown models was able to reestablish the anti-inflammatory response of TGF-β1 [52]. When anti-Smad7 oligonucleotide was administered, a decrease in the severity of colitis was observed in parallel to a restoration of TGF-β1 and its anti-inflammatory response [54]. This response was also associated with a decreased level of IL-12 and IFN-γ, and STAT1 in the JAK/STAT pathway [54]. Smad7 is, therefore, a possible target in the treatment of IBD.

One phase I open-label study on a Smad7 antisense oligonucleotide evaluated 15 patients with CD resistant to conventional therapy. The patients received mongersen, an oral Smad7 antisense oligonucleotide, once daily for 7 days at doses of 40, 80, or 160 mg. The drug was well tolerated and was associated with a reduction in inflammatory cytokine-expressing CCR9-positive T cells [55], a subset of T cells previously noted to be increased in patients with active CD [56]. A pooled analysis showed a 100% response rate and clinical remission in 12 of 15 participants [55]. No adverse events were reported. A phase II trial involved 166 patients randomized to 10, 40, or 160 mg/day of mongersen or placebo for 2 weeks with clinical assessments done at 2, 4, and 12 weeks. Both clinical remission and response rates were significantly higher in patients receiving a 40 or 160 mg/day regimen than in patients receiving placebo at all 3 time points irrespective of disease duration or C-reactive protein (CRP) level [57]. After adjusting for baseline Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (CDAI) scores in a logistic regression at week 4, the CDAI score was found to be the only factor affecting the likelihood of clinical remission or response. At week 12, the clinical remission was significantly higher in patients with a CDAI score <260 receiving the 40 or 160 mg/day regimen than in the placebo group at all 3 time points (RR at week 12: 78.6, 70.4, and 30.0%, respectively; p < 0.001). Similarly, in patients with a baseline CDAI score >260, clinical remission was significantly higher in patients receiving the 160 mg/day regimen only than in patients re-
receiving placebo (RR at week 12: 62.5 vs. 4.5%; p < 0.001). The response rates had a similar trend at week 12 with an RR of 75.0% (p < 0.005), 63.0% (p < 0.005), and 20.0%, respectively, in patients with a CDAI score <260 and a RR of 58.3% (p < 0.05), 87.5% (p < 0.0001), and 22.7%, respectively, in patients with a baseline CDAI score >260 [57]. Interestingly, there was a limited effect of mongersen on median CRP levels. Phase III studies are eagerly awaited to confirm these promising results in active CD as well as to address important patient-reported outcomes and endoscopic endpoints.

**Cell Adhesion and Leukocyte Recruitment**

As part of the dysregulation seen in the immune response in IBD, leukocytes are recruited at the sites of intestinal inflammation. Leukocyte recruitment occurs in a stepwise manner involving migration and rolling, tight-binding, diapedesis, and migration. These steps utilize adhesion molecules found at the surface of both leukocytes and the vascular endothelium or mucosal epithelium for the recruitment of leukocytes from the circulation to the site of inflammation. An aberrancy in the levels or overall function of these adhesion molecules can either lead to a decreased inflammatory cell recruitment, as observed in hereditary immune deficiency states such as in Chédiak-Higashi syndrome, or a facilitated excessive leukocyte recruitment, as observed in autoimmune diseases [58]. Interestingly, human intestinal mucosal microvascular endothelial cells from mucosa obtained from patients with IBD demonstrated a greater leukocyte-binding capacity than cells from normal mucosa from patients who did not have IBD [59], with some of the mediators, including intercellular adhesion molecule-1, integrins, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, and mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule-1 (MAdCAM-1), already associated with the pathogenicity in IBD (fig. 1) [60]. This has led to the idea of developing potential drugs that target adhesion molecules in an attempt to block leukocyte recruitment and subsequently reduce inflammation [61–63]. Targeting adhesion molecules in in vitro and in vivo models of leukocyte adhesion reduced acute and chronic intestinal inflammation [58]. Hence, disrupting the function of these molecules provides a novel approach to the treatment of IBD.

---

**Fig. 2. SMAD pathway.**

- **a** TGF-β1 binds to the type II receptor to activate the type I receptor. The activated type I receptor phosphorylates Smad2/3 which, once phosphorylated, interact with Smad4 to form the Smad2/3/Smad4 complex. The complex migrates to the nucleus to bind to DNA and activate transcription.
- **b** The phosphorylation of Smad3 is prevented through the interaction of Smad7, a TGF-β1 type I receptor inhibitor, that binds to and blocks the TGF-β1-associated Smad signaling pathway shown in **a**. Reproduced with permission from Monteleone et al. [84].
Anti-α-Integrin Antibodies

Natalizumab

Natalizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against α4 integrin, α4 integrin is a leukocyte membrane glycoprotein that binds to fibronectin and other endothelial glycoproteins to mediate leukocyte migration and trafficking. Natalizumab targets subunits α4β7 and α4β1 of the α integrin that are specific to the gut and the central nervous system, respectively [64, 65]. In moderate to severe CD, anti-α-integrin antibodies are used in the treatment of patients who do not respond to corticosteroids, immunomodulators, or anti-TNF-α therapy [66, 67]. The Efficacy of Natalizumab in CD Response and Remission (ENCORE) and Efficacy of Natalizumab as Active Crohn’s Therapy (ENACT) trials were the two major clinical trials looking at natalizumab in CD patients. In the ENCORE trial, natalizumab was shown to result in a significantly higher induction of response (60% natalizumab vs. 44% placebo; p < 0.001) and remission (38% natalizumab vs. 25% placebo at week 12; p = 0.001) rates than placebo when given in a 4-week regimen [66]. The ENACT-1 trial followed with almost double the number of patients, and the treatment allocation was stratified according to disease activity and use of corticosteroids. There was no difference in clinical response (56% natalizumab vs. 49% placebo; p = 0.05) or clinical remission (37% natalizumab vs. 30% placebo; p = 0.12) [67]. In the maintenance phase of the ENACT-2 trial, patients with an initial response to natalizumab from the ENACT-1 trial were further randomized to receive natalizumab or placebo. A significantly higher maintenance of both response (61% natalizumab vs. 28% placebo; p < 0.001) and remission (44% natalizumab vs. 26% placebo; p = 0.003) rates was observed at 36 weeks [67]. The results were significant in patients with elevated CRP and in patients with prior anti-TNF treatment but not in those naive to anti-TNF treatment [67].

Only one clinical trial evaluated the effect of natalizumab in UC. Gordon et al. [68] looked at the effect of a single 3 mg/kg natalizumab infusion in 10 patients with UC in an open-label trial. Natalizumab led to a significant decrease in the median Powell-Tuck score at 2 and 4 weeks as compared to baseline with only 1 patient remaining in remission at 12 weeks [68].

Natalizumab is currently not used in the treatment of IBD given its associated risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), a rare but serious opportunistic infection caused by the JC virus. PML has been reported in IBD patients receiving natalizumab [67], but larger studies on incidence come from patients with multiple sclerosis with an estimated incidence of 11.1 cases per 1,000 patients (95% CI 8.3–14.5) [69].

Vedolizumab

Vedolizumab is another humanized monoclonal antibody that blocks α integrin. Unlike natalizumab, vedolizumab blocks only the α4β7 receptor and is thought to be selective to the gastrointestinal tract. This helps decrease the risk of PML posed by natalizumab. The GEMINI-II trial in CD patients demonstrated that vedolizumab (300 mg intravenously at weeks 0, 2, and 6) resulted in a better remission (14.5% vedolizumab vs. 6.8% placebo; p = 0.02) but not response rate (31.4% vedolizumab vs. 25.7% placebo; p = 0.23) at 6 weeks than placebo [70] unless the patients were nonresponders to anti-TNF-α treatment as in the GEMINI-III trial [71]. In the maintenance phase of the GEMINI-II trial, however, CD patients had significantly higher response rates (43.5% vedolizumab every 8 weeks (p < 0.01) and 45.5% vedolizumab every 4 weeks (p = 0.005) vs. 30.1% placebo) and remission rates (39.0% vedolizumab every 8 weeks (p < 0.001) and 36.4% vedolizumab every 4 weeks (p = 0.004) vs. 21.6% placebo) at 52 weeks if an initial clinical response to vedolizumab was noted at 6 weeks of the study [70]. In the GEMINI-III trial, patients with previous TNF antagonism failure were randomized to receive intravenous vedolizumab or placebo at weeks 0, 2, and 6. At week 6, 15.2% of patients receiving vedolizumab were in clinical remission as compared to 12.1% of patients receiving placebo (p = 0.43). At week 10, the remission rate was higher and tended to significance, namely 26.6% in patients receiving vedolizumab as compared to 12.1% in patients receiving placebo (nominal p = 0.001), and the response rate was 39.2% as compared to 22.3% in the placebo arm (nominal p = 0.001) [71].

In patients with UC, the GEMINI-I trial demonstrated that vedolizumab was superior to placebo in inducing clinical remission (41.8% vedolizumab vs. 15.9% placebo; p < 0.001) [72] and response (47.1% vedolizumab vs. 25.5% placebo; p < 0.001). Vedolizumab was also shown to significantly induce mucosal healing compared to placebo (40.9 vs. 24.8%; p = 0.001) at week 6. In the maintenance phase of the GEMINI-I trial, vedolizumab was found to maintain clinical remission (41.8% (300 mg every 8 weeks) and 44.8% (300 mg every 4 weeks) vs. 15.9% placebo; both p < 0.001) and response at 52 weeks in patients with an initial response to vedolizumab [72]. The details of the studies are summarized in table 1. Vedolizumab was recently approved for both CD and UC.
Regulating several intracellular processes. Sphingosine kinases (SK) are lipid kinases that generate sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) and ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P) from the precursor sphingolipids, sphingosine and ceramide, respectively. SK1 and S1P are shown to regulate several inflammatory cascades and have been associated with several inflammatory diseases. Similar to the MAdCAM inhibitors discussed above, targeting the S1P pathway impedes lymphocyte trafficking and has been shown to be therapeutic in autoimmune disorders, especially in multiple sclerosis [76].

In a phase II trial of patients with moderate to severe UC not responding to conventional treatment, etrolizumab was given subcutaneously at 100 mg at weeks 0, 4, and 8, with placebo at week 2; or a 420-mg loading dose was given at week 0 followed by 300 mg at weeks 2, 4, and 8, or matching placebo. Both treatment groups had a significantly higher remission rate compared to placebo at week 10 [21% in the 100-mg group (p = 0.0040) and 10% in the 300-mg plus loading-dose group (p = 0.048) vs. 0% in the placebo group]. No difference in the frequency of serious adverse effects was reported [73]. Of interest, the expression of αE integrin on real-time quantitative PCR or immunohistochemistry was associated with a significant response to etrolizumab.

**Cell Adhesion Molecules**

Cell adhesion molecules are the mucosal counterpart of the α integrins found on leukocytes. MAdCAM-1 is a gastrointestinal addressin that binds α4β7 integrins on leukocytes in the recruitment process mentioned above. PF-00547659 is a human monoclonal antibody that neutralizes MAdCAM-1 glycoproteins. In vitro, this effect has been shown to block the adhesion of MAdCAM-1-expressing α4β7+ integrin-bearing leukocytes [74]. Preliminary results of a phase II trial in patients with UC showed significant clinical and endoscopic response in addition to remission rates compared to placebo. Results were most significant when the drug was taken as 22.5 mg every 4 weeks for 3 doses and in anti-TNF-α-naive patients [75].

**Sphingosine Kinase 1**

Complex sphingolipids are integral components of cell membranes, including the intestinal border epithelium, and function as a protective barrier in addition to regulating several intracellular processes. Sphingosine kinase 1 (SK1) is a lipid kinase that generates sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) and ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P) from the precursor sphingolipids, sphingosine and ceramide, respectively. SK1 and S1P are shown to regulate several inflammatory cascades and have been associated with several inflammatory diseases. Similar to the MAdCAM inhibitors discussed above, targeting the S1P pathway impedes lymphocyte trafficking and has been shown to be therapeutic in autoimmune disorders, especially in multiple sclerosis [76]. Preclinical studies in animal models of colitis have revealed that targeting the sphingolipid pathways is a potential therapeutic pathway in IBD [77, 78]. In rat models of intestinal inflammation, proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-β have been shown to activate SK1 both in vivo and in vitro [79]. Activation of SK1 leads to the production of S1P that acts on S1P receptor 1. The latter will up-regulate STAT3 and NF-κB. NF-κB subsequently acts as one of the main activators of IL-6, a proinflammatory cytokine [80]. STAT3 was also shown to inhibit carcinogenic properties. Nonetheless, S1P and C1P levels are elevated in mouse models of colitis [77] and increased in parallel to inflammation in a rat model of intestinal inflammation [78]. Of interest, higher levels of ceramide and sphingomyelin were found in the ileum of patients with UC. In the recent TOUCHSTONE study, high (1 mg) and low (0.5 mg) doses of ozanimod (RPC1063), an S1P receptor modulator, were compared in a 1:1:1 ratio to placebo in 197 patients with moderate to severe UC. Ozanimod at high doses was shown to significantly induce response, remission, and mucosal healing in patients with moderate to severe UC, proving that targeting mediators downstream of the sphingolipid inflammation cascade is a potential novel target in the treatment of IBD. In the maintenance phase of the TOUCHSTONE study, 103 patients who had clinical response at week 8 continued to receive the same regimen of ozanimod for another 24 weeks for a total of 32 weeks. Patients receiving the 1-mg dose either achieved or maintained remission (21% ozanimod vs. 6% placebo; p = 0.011), clinical response (51% ozanimod vs. 20% placebo; p = 0.0002), and mucosal healing (32.8% ozanimod vs. 12.3% placebo; p = 0.0046) [81].

**Conclusion**

IBD is a heterogeneous disease with a complex and multifactorial pathobiology and immunology. The introduction of biological therapy, namely anti-TNF inhibi-
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