Hemoglobin Threshold for Blood Transfusion in a Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
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Summary
Objective: To evaluate the hemoglobin threshold for red cell transfusion in children admitted to a pediatric intensive care unit (PICU). Methods: Retrospective chart review study. Tertiary care PICU. Critically ill pediatric patients requiring blood transfusion. No intervention. Results: We analyzed the charts of all children between 1 month and 21 years of age who received packed red blood cell (PRBC) transfusions during a 2-year period. The target patients were identified from our blood bank database. For analysis, the patients were subdivided into four groups: acute blood loss (postsurgically, trauma, or acute gastrointestinal bleeding from other causes), hematologic (hematologic malignancies, bone marrow suppression, hemolytic anemia, or sickle cell disease), unstable (FiO\textsubscript{2} > 0.6 and/or on inotropic support), and stable groups. We also compared the pre-transfusion hemoglobin threshold in all unstable patients with that of all stable patients. A total of 571 transfusion episodes in 284 patients were analyzed. 28% (n = 160) of transfusions were administered to patients in the acute blood loss group, 36% (n = 206) to hematologic patients, 17% (n = 99) to unstable patients, and 18% (n = 106) to stable patients. The mean pre-transfusion hemoglobin (± SD) in all children as well as in the acute blood loss, hematologic, unstable and stable groups was 7.3 ± 1.20, 7.83 ± 1.32, 6.97 ± 1.31, 7.96 ± 1.37, 7.31 ± 1.09 g/dl, respectively. The transfusion threshold for acute blood loss and unstable groups was higher compared to hematologic and stable groups (p < 0.001; ANOVA with multiple comparisons). The mean pre-transfusion hemoglobin threshold for stable and unstable patients among all groups was 7.3 ± 1.3 and 7.9 ± 1.3 (p < 0.0001), respectively. The observed mortality rate was higher among children who received transfusion compared to other children admitted to PICU. Conclusion: The hemoglobin threshold for transfusion varied according to clinical conditions. Overall, the hemoglobin threshold for transfusion was 7.3 ± 1.20 g/dl.

Introduction

Transfusion of blood products, especially of packed red blood cells (PRBCs), is an important aspect of care in critically ill children. Clinical indications for blood transfusions in children and adults are similar, but hemoglobin threshold, transfusion volume in relation to body weight, and infusion rates are not well delineated. Children admitted to a pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) have an increased risk of developing anemia due to the underlying etiology and illness severity, iatrogenic blood loss, hemodilution, poor nutrition, and blunted bone marrow response [1–4]. A large, prospective, multicenter, observational study [5] reported an incidence rate of 74% of anemia in PICU patients; 33% of patients had anemia on admission. Studies from Kenya have shown a significantly increased mortality in children with a hemoglobin level of less than 5 g/dl and a decreased mortality after transfusion [6–8]. Transfusion of PRBCs is common in the PICU. The overall incidence of transfusion has been reported as 17% of all PICU patients and as up to 50% in children with a stay longer than 48 hours [9]. However, PRBC transfusions may result in multiple adverse effects including increased mortality, transfusion reactions, volume overload, infections, and immunosuppression [10].
In order to decrease the risk of transfusion complications, the American Association of Blood Banks recommends a restrictive transfusion threshold of 7–8 g/dl in hospitalized and stable patients and suggests adhering to a restrictive strategy in hospitalized patients with preexisting cardiovascular disease. A hemoglobin threshold of 8 g/dl or less is recommended for transfusion if patients are symptomatic [11].

There is no agreed hemoglobin level for PRBC transfusions in children admitted to a PICU. The threshold for transfusion may vary with underlying diagnosis and physiologic stability. In a retrospective survey of five PICUs in the USA [12], nearly 55% of all PICU patients with pre-transfusion hemoglobin levels of less than 9 g/dl received one or more PRBC transfusions. Despite the publication of the Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care in 1999 [13], there remains great variability in the pediatric critical care specialists practice with respect to the threshold hemoglobin level for PRBC transfusion [14]. An overly restrictive transfusion strategy may increase the risk of tissue hypoxia and a too liberal transfusion strategy may lead to an increased incidence of adverse effects. A survey of pediatric critical care specialists from Canada and Europe regarding PRBC transfusion practices revealed a wide range of hemoglobin transfusion thresholds (7–13 gm/dl) and of PRBC transfusion volumes [14, 15]. In addition, the threshold hemoglobin levels for transfusions varied with the underlying medical or surgical conditions [15]. Our study is the first to compare the PRBC transfusion thresholds among four groups of PICU patients with different clinical conditions.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the hemoglobin threshold for red cell transfusion among four clinical groups in children admitted to a PICU.

**Material and Methods**

Our tertiary care PICU with 28 beds available, has on average 1,600 admissions per year and cares for all critically ill children, except for those after cardiac surgery. After obtaining institutional review board approval, the charts of all children who were admitted to our PICU during a 2-year-period and who received PRBC transfusions were retrieved. The target patient population data were identified and retrieved from our blood bank database. Any blood transfusions outside of the PICU (e.g. operating room, emergency room, medical and surgical floor) were not included. We excluded patients on ECMO and hemodialysis and patients who received an exchange transfusion.

Patients’ personal identifiers (including unique patient characteristics) were removed from the data prior to analysis. For analysis, patients were subdivided into four groups: acute blood loss, hematologic, unstable, and stable groups. The acute blood loss group included patients who received transfusions after surgery or for overt internal or external bleeding. The hematologic group included patients with anemia (mainly sickle cell disease), leukemia, lymphoma, solid tumors, bone marrow suppression, bone marrow transplantation, and patients who were receiving chemotherapy. The unstable group included children with FiO2 requirement over 0.6 and patients who were receiving inotropic support and were not assignable to acute blood loss or hematologic groups. The stable group included patients who did not meet the criteria of the other groups. In a separate analysis, all unstable patients (any child with FiO2 requirement above 0.6 and/or reception of inotropic support irrespective of the underlying condition) were compared with all stable patients irrespective of the cause of anemia.

Each child’s pre- and post-transfusion clinical variables, diagnosis, and demographics (including age, sex, weight, length of stay, and mortality) were documented. Transfusion variables collected included pre-transfusion and post-transfusion hemoglobin concentrations and volume of PRBC infused. Each transfusion episode was counted in the total number of transfusions if the hemoglobin level was measured before the transfusion. Multiple transfusions with no pre-transfusion hemoglobin values were considered as a single transfusion episode.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive data are presented as mean ± SD for parametric continuous data, median ± interquartile range (IQR) for nonparametric data. Nonparametric ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple comparison tests was used to compare the means of all variables in four groups. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare pre-transfusion hemoglobin levels in all stable versus all unstable groups. Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze binary data. Paired t-test was used to compare pre- and post-transfusion hemoglobin values. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

A total of 571 transfusion episodes in 284 patients were analyzed. Nearly 9% of all patients admitted to our PICU received a transfusion. 28% (n = 160) of transfusions were administered to patients in the acute blood loss group, 36% (n = 206) to hematologic patients, 17% (n = 99) to unstable patients, and 18% (n = 106) to stable patients.

The mean age of our patient population was 6.98 ± 6.78 years. The mean age in the acute blood loss and hematological groups was significantly different from that in the unstable and stable groups (table 1). The median PICU length of stay of all clinical groups was 22 days (IQR 10–45 days). The median PICU length of stay of the acute blood loss and hematological groups was significantly different compared to that of the unstable and stable groups (p < 0.001) (table 1).

**Pre-Transfusion Hemoglobin**

The mean pre-transfusion hemoglobin value of our study population was 7.3 ± 1.20 g/dl. The mean pre-transfusion hemoglobin for acute blood loss patients was 7.83 ± 1.32 g/dl, for hematologic patients 6.97 ± 1.31 g/dl, for unstable patients 7.96 ± 1.37 g/dl, and for stable patients 7.31 ± 1.09 g/dl. The mean pre-transfusion hemoglobin levels in patients with acute blood loss and unstable patients were significantly higher than those of hematological and stable patients (p < 0.05) (table 1). The mean pre-transfusion hemoglobin thresholds for all stable and all unstable patients were 7.3 ± 1.3 and 7.9 ± 1.3, respectively (p < 0.0001). The numbers of transfusion episodes below 7 g/dl and above 8 g/dl were 37 (37/160 = 23.1%) and 62 (62/160 = 38.7%) in the acute blood loss group, 75 (75/206 = 36.4%) and 29 (29/106 = 14%) in the hematologic group, for patients 17 (17/99 = 17.2%) and 41 (41/99 = 41.4%) in the unstable group, and 35 (35/106 = 33%) and 16 (16/106 = 15.1%) in the stable group.

**Volume of PRBC**

The mean PRBC volume transfused for all patients was 11.52 ± 9.94 ml/kg. In acute blood loss patients, the mean PRBC volume
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transfused was 13.08 ± 11.34 ml/kg, while it was 9.79 ± 9.23 ml/kg in hematological patients (p < 0.05) (table 1).

**Post-Transfusion Hemoglobin**

After transfusion, the mean hemoglobin concentration increased from 7.3 ± 1.20 to 9.83 ± 1.32 g/dl in all patients (p < 0.001; paired t-test). The post-transfusion hemoglobin was lower in the hematologic group compared to other groups (p < 0.05) (table 1). The mean post-transfusion hemoglobin thresholds of stable and unstable patients among all groups were 9.7 ± 1.8 and 10.3 ± 1.7 (p < 0.0001), respectively.

**Mortality Rate**

The mortality rate in the 284 transfused patients was 6.69% (n = 19), with 4 in the acute blood loss, 5 in the hematologic, and 10 in the unstable group. As expected, the mortality was different among the four groups, with the highest mortality among unstable patients (21.73%) and no mortality among stable patients (p < 0.001; chi-square test with Yates correction) (table 1). The mortality among those who did not receive any transfusion was 1.4% (p < 0.001; OR 5.6 (2.6–12.4); Fisher’s exact test).

**Discussion**

The mean hemoglobin threshold for transfusion in our unit was 7.3 g/dl and differed according to the underlying clinical condition group. It was higher for acute blood loss and unstable patients compared to hematologic and stable patients. Our threshold hemoglobin values for transfusion were similar to those of published data for stable patients [16] and post-surgical critically ill children [17]. There is limited data from clinical trials comparing the outcomes with restrictive and liberal transfusion protocols. Data from clinical trials on adults have shown improved patient outcomes with a restrictive transfusion strategy. A meta-analysis review of adult patients [18] was able to identify 10 randomized clinical trials where different PRBC transfusion triggers were analyzed with appropriate methodology. The transfusion thresholds evaluated in these trials were between 7 and 10 g/dl, and the mortality was one fifth lower (RR 0.80; 95% CI 0.63–1.02) with restrictive transfusion regimens.

A multicenter randomized clinical trial [19] studied liberal versus restrictive transfusion strategies in 100 preterm infants and showed that the restrictive red cell transfusion group had a higher risk of intraparenchymal brain hemorrhage, periventricular leukomalacia, and apnea. Another clinical trial [20] in 451 premature infants showed that the mortality or severe morbidity rate was 2.6% higher in the restrictive group compared to the liberal transfusion strategy group, but the difference was not significant. The Transfusion Strategies for Patients in Pediatric Intensive Care Units (TRIPICU) study [16] found that in 637 stable patients in PICUs a transfusion threshold of 7 g/dl rather than a liberal threshold of 9.5 g/dl reduced transfusion requirements by 44% (0.9 ± 2.6 vs. 1.7 ± 2.2 units/patient; p < 0.001). Although there were no differences in mortality or multiorgan dysfunction rate between the two groups, the TRIPICU study [16] recommended a restrictive transfusion strategy in clinically stable patients in PICUs. This study does not address the transfusion threshold specifically for unstable children and children with hemolytic anemia and active bleeding. In a recent study on transfusion practice changes in PICUs over a decade and a significant decline in overall transfusions from 10.5% to 6.8% [21] was found.
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**Table 1.** Demographic, hematologic, and transfusion variables in all children and children in various groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All children</th>
<th>Acute blood loss</th>
<th>Hematologic</th>
<th>Unstable</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of children</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transfusions</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>56.69</td>
<td>40.99</td>
<td>22.98</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years</td>
<td>6.98 ± 6.78</td>
<td>8.85 ± 6.76*</td>
<td>9 ± 6.82*</td>
<td>3.35 ± 5.05</td>
<td>3.62 ± 5.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length of stay, days (range)</td>
<td>22 (10–45)</td>
<td>12 (6–30)*</td>
<td>17.5 (9.75–33.2)*</td>
<td>43 (23.5–95)</td>
<td>38.5 (20–64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-transfusion Hb, g/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.20</td>
<td>7.83 ± 1.32**</td>
<td>6.97 ± 1.31</td>
<td>7.96 ± 1.37**</td>
<td>7.31 ± 1.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min./max.</td>
<td>2.4/12.4</td>
<td>3.4/11.8</td>
<td>2.4/10.3</td>
<td>3.7/12.4</td>
<td>4.6/10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PRBC transfusion volume, ml/kg</td>
<td>11.52 ± 9.94</td>
<td>13.08 ± 11.34***</td>
<td>9.79 ± 9.23***</td>
<td>12.05 ± 7.05</td>
<td>12.10 ± 11.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-transfusion Hb, g/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>9.83 ± 1.97</td>
<td>10.31 ± 1.59</td>
<td>9.04 ± 1.73****</td>
<td>10.39 ± 1.80</td>
<td>10.11 ± 1.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min./max.</td>
<td>4.4/7.1</td>
<td>7.1/14.8</td>
<td>4.4/14.3</td>
<td>6.7/15.6</td>
<td>6.1/13.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Acute blood loss and hematologic groups different from unstable and stable groups.
**Acute blood loss and unstable groups different from hematologic and stable groups.
***Acute blood loss group different from hematologic group.
****Hematologic group different from other groups.
In a further analysis [17], PRBC transfusion thresholds in post-surgical PICU patients were studied by examining a subgroup of 124 postoperative patients of the original TRIPICU study patients. The study found similar outcomes compared to the overall TRIPICU study population, and a transfusion threshold of 7 g/dl for stable post-surgical patients in PICUs was recommended. The threshold hemoglobin value for the acute blood loss group in our study was 7.8 g/dl. The acute blood loss group in our study mainly included patients who had experienced active bleeding either after surgery or from gastrointestinal tract. We included all actively bleeding and post-surgical patients irrespective of hemodynamic stability. The British Society of Hematology [22] also suggested a postoperative hemoglobin level of 7 g/dl as transfusion threshold in both children and adults with stable postoperative cardiac function.

Another subgroup analysis of septic patients enrolled in the TRIPICU study [23] found no evidence of an increased risk of adverse outcome when comparing restrictive and liberal transfusion strategies. The data suggested that a hemoglobin level of 7 g/dl may be safe for stable septic patients in PICUs. A recent literature review on PRBC transfusion in PICU patients [24] showed that a threshold hemoglobin level above 7 g/dl does not yield improved outcomes; and several smaller studies suggested an increased risk of morbidity and mortality in PICU patients exposed to blood transfusions.

In our study we allocated all children who received transfusion into four groups. As expected, unstable patients, defined as patients requiring 60% of O2 or more and/or were on vasoactive medications, received transfusions at a higher threshold level (7.96 ± 1.37 g/dl). In addition, patients in the acute blood loss group also received blood transfusion at a higher threshold value (7.83 ± 1.32 g/dl). Most of the post-surgical children requiring transfusions were children after craniofacial or spinal surgery. Thresholds for transfusion in these patients are in general set by the surgical teams in our hospital. As discussed in the methodology, the present study did not include children after cardiovascular surgery.

In summary, the hemoglobin threshold for transfusion varied according to the clinical condition in our PICU. Overall, the hemoglobin threshold for transfusion in our PICU was 7.3 ± 1.2 g/dl. The threshold hemoglobin level for transfusion was higher for children with acute blood loss and unstable children. The mortality was higher among children who required blood transfusion.

**Study Limitations**

This study is a retrospective single-center study. The findings from one center may not apply to other centers with different patient populations. The mortality data obtained allows us only to state an association between the severity of disease, transfusion probability and mortality, but no causal relation that blood transfusions are an independent risk factor of mortality can be assumed.
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