Current Challenges in the Evaluation and Management of Hyponatremia
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Abstract
Background: Hyponatremia is a common electrolyte imbalance that clinicians face on a regular basis. Summary: This review aims to discuss four current challenges that can arise when diagnosing and treating hyponatremia: low solute intake, heart failure, exercise-associated hyponatremia, and mild chronic hyponatremia. Low solute intake in a person who already has a urinary concentrating defect will lead to increased retention of free water. The free water retention will cause or worsen hyponatremia that is already present. Low solute intake is overlooked in patients with other disease processes that can cause hyponatremia, such as liver disease or heart failure. Heart failure and hyponatremia present their own set of challenges specifically with treatment as there are limited options. The newer class of aquaretics allows for the short-term treatment of hyponatremia. Exercise-associated hyponatremia is a phenomenon that has been described in ultra-endurance athletes. This happens when a person drinks a significant amount of water while exercising in the setting of antidiuretic hormone production from prolonged exercise. This acute drop in sodium must be treated with hypertonic saline. The term asymptomatic mild chronic hyponatremia is no longer valid. Mild chronic hyponatremia carries an increased risk of falls and fractures, specifically in the elderly populations. Key Message: In summary, hyponatremia is a multifaceted disease and presents many challenges for physicians treating it.

Introduction

Hyponatremia is defined as having a serum sodium <135 mmol/l. It is the most common electrolyte disorder seen in clinical practice [1]. Hyponatremia is related to a wide variety of etiologies and comorbidities. This can pose many dilemmas for a physician from the difficulty of recognizing the true underlying cause to deciding how and when to treat. This review article will address a num-
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ber of current challenges in the diagnosis and treatment of hyponatremia. Specifically, we will discuss hyponatremia due to low solute intake, heart failure (HF) and hyponatremia, exercise-associated hyponatremia (EAH), and finally the morbidity and mortality associated with chronic mild hyponatremia.

**Low Solute Intake Is an Underrecognized Cause of Hyponatremia**

Most patients with hyponatremia excrete a hypotonic urine, with the major exception of severe syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone (SIADH). In this setting, the free water excretion rate is dependent on the urine volume which, in turn, is dependent on the solute excretion rate [2].

To appreciate this, consider the patient in table 1, who is unable to lower urine osmolality below 200 mOsm/kg. Normal adults should be able to lower urinary osmolality below 100 mOsm/kg, so this constitutes a mild defect in maximal urinary diluting ability. The effective osmolality or tonicity of the urine is the contribution of the electrolytes (primarily Na, K, and accompanying anions) to the osmolality, since urea is an ineffective osmole. In this particular patient, the urine tonicity is 140 mOsm/kg (table 1). Thus, the urine is approximately half isotonic. Put another way, each liter of urine contains 0.5 liters of electrolyte-free water.

The daily solute load that needs to be excreted in adults on a normal diet is 500–1,000 mOsm, which consists of urea generated from metabolism of dietary protein, and electrolytes. If this hypothetical patient had a daily solute load of 600 mOsm, he would excrete 3 liters of urine daily (600 mOsm/200 mOsm/kg) and hence 1.5 liters of electrolyte-free water daily (table 2). This patient would be highly unlikely to become hyponatremic with a normal daily water intake of 1.5 liters. If, on the other hand, the daily solute load was lowered to 300 mOsm (e.g. due to poor dietary protein intake), the daily electrolyte-free water excretion would be only 750 ml. In this situation, a restriction of water intake to approximately 750 ml daily would be needed to avoid progressive hyponatremia. Finally, a patient with a daily solute load of 150 mOsm would have a daily electrolyte-free water excretion of 375 ml and would likely become progressively hyponatremic despite severe restriction of water intake.

The extreme manifestation of this is the rare condition of beer potomania, in which alcoholics who drink large amounts of fluid that is low in electrolytes, and have minimal protein intake, develop hyponatremia despite a normal urinary diluting ability [3–12]. This has also been described in patients on extreme weight-reducing diets that are very low in protein and high in water intake (e.g. a ‘tea and toast’ diet), which has been termed ‘non-beer potomania’ [13] or ‘starvation potomania’ [14].

What is underappreciated is that low solute intake is a common contributing factor to the hyponatremia in patients with other disorders that cause hyponatremia. In particular, patients with chronic HF and chronic liver failure are usually severely salt restricted and may have impaired appetite. Moreover, many such patients are elderly, live alone, and have severe functional limitations, which further limits the ability and motivation to prepare nutritious meals. These patients have a urinary diluting defect because of effective circulating volume depletion and stimulation of antidiuretic hormone (ADH) secretion. However, we have noticed that many such patients

---

**Table 1. Calculation of urine osmolality and tonicity from urine electrolytes in a hypothetical patient**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Concentration (mEq/l)</th>
<th>Osmolality, mOsm/kg¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolality</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonicity</td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The osmolar contribution of electrolytes is equal to the Na and K concentrations (in mmol/l) ×2 to account for accompanying anions, and the contribution of urea is equal to the concentration in mg/dl divided by 2.8.

**Table 2. The daily osmolar load determines the electrolyte-free water clearance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total osmolar load needing to be excreted, mOsm/day</th>
<th>Obligate urine output, l/day¹</th>
<th>Electrolyte-free water clearance, l/day¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Assumes that the urine osmolality is 200 mOsm/kg and the tonicity is 140 mOsm/kg or approximately half of the serum tonicity.
have a relatively mild urinary diluting defect (much like the example in table 1) yet have disproportionately severe hyponatremia that is unexpectedly resistant to the primary treatment, which is fluid restriction. ‘Potomania’, in this context, is a misnomer because they frequently consume very little fluids either voluntarily or because of the prescribed fluid restriction.

This poses a challenging management problem because there are few other therapeutic options in such patients: salt tablets are usually contraindicated because of edema, tolvaptan is only approved for acute treatment for up to 1 month and is contraindicated in liver disease, and loop diuretics are effective at lowering a very high urine osmolality, but generally only down to 200–300 mOsm/kg and no lower. In such patients, we have anecdotally had success in treating them with an increase in dietary protein intake. By so doing, one can increase urea generation, daily osmolar load, and hence daily free water excretion. Interestingly, this is physiologically equivalent to treating patients with urea, which has been used successfully to treat SIADH [16] but is considered too unpalatable for general clinical use.

How would one diagnose low solute intake in clinical practice? We recommend determining the daily solute excretion rate in all patients with hyponatremia. This can be determined from a 24-hour urine collection:

\[
\text{osmolar excretion rate (mOsm/day)} = \text{urine osmolality (mOsm/kg)} \times \text{urine volume (l/day)}.
\]

Alternatively, it can be estimated from a spot urine by normalizing the urine osmolality to the creatinine concentration:

\[
\text{osmolar excretion rate (mOsm/day)} = \frac{\text{urine osmolality (mOsm/kg)}}{\text{urine Cr concentration (mg/dl)}} \times 100.
\]

If the osmolar excretion rate is <500 mOsm/kg, the patient’s protein intake should be estimated. This can be done either directly by evaluating self-reported dietary intake or indirectly from the measured urine urea content, using an estimating equation for protein nitrogen appearance [16]. If the patient’s protein intake is low, measures to increase protein intake should be instituted to enhance free water excretion and improve the hyponatremia.

**Hyponatremia and HF**

Hyponatremia is a common occurrence in HF with an incidence around 20% in the subjects hospitalized for HF [17, 18]. Cerebral edema is the most dreaded complication of acute hyponatremia [19]. Even mild hyponatremia can cause cognitive and motor impairment. Cellular edema associated with hyponatremia could also affect cardiac function. Furthermore, it can limit optimum utilization of HF treatment including diuretics and renin-angiotensin system blockers. Hyponatremia in HF has been noted to be associated with increased mortality, rehospitalizations, prolonged hospital stay, and major cardiovascular events [18, 20]. However, it is unclear if hyponatremia is simply a marker of disease severity or if it plays a causal role.

Subjects with HF could present with depletional hyponatremia or hypovolemic hyponatremia [21]. Patients presenting with depletional hyponatremia are usually hypovolemic with an absolute deficiency of water but a relative excess of total body water compared with body sodium. They could be volume depleted due to poor intake, and diuretic use, especially thiazides, could have contributed to the persistent hyponatremic state. They will also present with urine Na <20 mmol/l unless accompanied by the recent use of a diuretic. Hyponatremia in these cases would respond initially to isotonic saline but may quickly worsen with further fluid administration in the presence of insuspressible ADH associated with HF. Hence, a cautious use of intravenous fluids is advised in this setting.

The common challenge in the setting of HF is hypervolemic hyponatremia. Hyponatremia in HF is multifactorial and results from increased angiotensin II, high sympathetic activity, and inappropriately high ADH from a decreased effective circulating volume [22–24]. There is lower solute and water delivered to the distal part of the nephron because of a decreased glomerular filtration rate from poor cardiac output as well as an increased proximal tubule absorption of solutes from increased adrenergic stimulation and angiotensin II. Decreased distal delivery of water leads to a decrease in the diluting capacity of the distal part of the nephron. Higher ADH further causes insertion of aquaporin and increases water permeability in the distal nephron. Thereby, urine studies show low osmolality and low urine sodium.

The available treatment options in chronic hyponatremia include fluid restriction, diuretics, and optimization of the HF regimen. Loop diuretics produce isotonic urine, and if fluid intake is not restricted, hyponatremia can worsen. Also, water restriction is poorly tolerated. Subjects may have a poor appetite and limited protein intake, which may further affect the urine-diluting capacity as described above. Other pharmacological therapies can be considered for hyponatremia in patients who respond poorly to the abovementioned therapeutic measures.
Vaptans are aquaretics available for the management of hyponatremia [22]. Conivaptan is an intravenous aquaretic and inhibits both the V₁ and the V₂ receptor, while tolvaptan is an oral aquaretic and is a selective V₂ receptor antagonist. By blocking the V₂ receptor, it blocks the activation of the receptor by endogenous ADH leading to solute-free water diuresis [25]. Unlike diuretics, vaptans improve sodium levels without compromising hemodynamics and impairing renal function, thereby allowing therapy for congestive HF including renin-angiotensin system blockade to be continued; thus, they appear to be an attractive option [17].

The Study of Ascending Levels of Tolvaptan in Hyponatremia (SALT-1 and SALT-2) trials evaluated tolvaptan compared to placebo for 30 days in euvolemic and hypervolemic hyponatremia. The study reported improvement in serum sodium and cognitive ability in the tolvaptan group [26]. Thirty percent of the subjects had congestive HF.

The Acute and Chronic Therapeutic Impact of a Vasopressin Antagonist in Congestive Heart Failure trial, another trial of vaptans, included 319 subjects admitted for HF. The subjects were randomized to 30, 60, and 90 mg of tolvaptan or placebo with a short-term follow-up of 60 days [17]. Twenty-one percent of the enrolled subjects with HF had hyponatremia. The 60-day mortality did not improve with tolvaptan use compared to placebo except in subjects with higher blood urea nitrogen or severe volume overload. In a post hoc analysis of hyponatremic patients (n = 68), an improvement in serum sodium was associated with improved survival at 60 days [27].

Subsequently, in order to study the long-term effects of tolvaptan, the EVEREST trial was undertaken, which enrolled 4,133 subjects admitted for HF [28]. There was no significant improvement in mortality or re-hospitalization rates with tolvaptan. The authors reported that among all hyponatremic subjects with serum sodium <135 mmol/l, there was no effect of tolvaptan on the long-term mortality except in those subjects with serum sodium <130 mmol/l (n = 92; 38 in the tolvaptan and 54 in the placebo group; p = 0.04) where it was associated with an improvement in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [29]. Only 8% of the enrolled subjects had hyponatremia, and the trial was not adequately powered to examine outcomes in this subgroup analysis of subjects with hyponatremia and HF. Hence, there are limited long-term data available on the mortality benefit and hospitalizations in hyponatremic HF patients. Studies specifically examining the association between mortality rate and the effect of sodium improvement in this population are needed.

Vaptans are also associated with a dry mouth, increased thirst, and carry the risk of serum sodium overcorrection [17]. Due to an increased risk of overcorrection, it is recommended that the therapy be started in the hospital at a lower dose of 15 mg daily with dose up-titration as tolerated. Fluid should not be restricted during the initial phase. In the US, tolvaptan has been approved in patients with serum sodium <125 mmol/l, unless patients are symptomatic and not responding to fluid restriction and diuretics. It is only approved for a 30-day period due to the finding of hepatic injury in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease subjects [30]. Vaptans could be especially useful for a short-term use in subjects with acute decompensated HF associated with worsening hyponatremia not responding to diuretics.

HF subjects presenting with acute symptomatic hyponatremia present a challenging situation for treating physicians. Hypertonic saline with intravenous loop diuretics is an available option provided patients have demonstrated an adequate response to diuretics; otherwise, it could worsen the volume status. Hypertonic saline with diuretics has been used in subjects presenting with acute decompensated HF and was noted to improve serum sodium compared to diuretic alone [31].

In subjects with hyponatremia and renal failure in the setting of acute decompensated HF, diuretics and vaptans may not work due to decreased fluid delivery to the renal tubules. Hypertonic saline may worsen fluid status, and renal replacement therapy is the only viable option in these subjects. Hemodialysis or sustained low-efficiency dialysis with a low flow rate and a lower dialysate sodium concentration could be used, depending on the serum sodium level, to achieve the required sodium correction as recommended by the therapeutic guidelines [32]. Continuous renal replacement therapy can be used and provide a slower rate of correction. Sterile water can be added or replaced in the available standard replacement/dialysate bags [33, 34].

Management of hyponatremia in subjects with HF can be challenging due to limited options and no significant long-term data on improvement in mortality with the available treatment. The treatment should be individualized depending on the severity and acuteness of the disease, and large randomized controlled trials evaluating hyponatremia treatment and its effect on outcomes are clearly warranted.

Challenges in Hyponatremia

DOI: 10.1159/000446267
Exercise-Associated Hyponatremia

EAH was not known prior to 1981, when a recommendation appeared that suggested to drink as much water as possible during exercise [35]. EAH (serum sodium <135 mmol/l) is noted in up to 7% of ultra-endurance athletes or marathon runners. These athletes drink high rates of water, which results in weight gain instead of weight loss from burning tissue glycogen with prolonged-endurance physical activity [36]. It is further promoted by a common belief that drinking sports drinks, which have a sodium concentration <20 mmol/l, will offset this risk, whereas drinking tap water may induce EAH. High consumption of tap water or electrolyte-free water is probably not enough to generate EAH. Its generation is facilitated by nonosmotic stimuli from prolonged-endurance physical activity that result in elevated plasma arginine vasopressin (AVP) or ADH. This concept was reported by Hew-Butler et al. [37] in 82 trained marathon runners before and after a 56-km marathon in South Africa. The authors reported plasma AVP levels to be elevated in all marathon runners with or without a decrease in serum sodium concentrations. The same group reported a 4-fold increase in plasma AVP levels associated with a 2 mmol/l decrease in serum sodium concentration and a marginal (5%) contraction in plasma volume among 33 cyclists who completed a 109-km race [38]. Siegel et al. [39] reported similar findings of elevated plasma AVP levels in 22 collapsed Boston marathon runners with EAH compared to 33 marathon runners without EAH. These studies suggest that EAH in runners mimics SIADH in the clinical presentation with the presence of inappropriately high plasma AVP levels and high urine osmolalities.

To evaluate what triggers the nonosmotic release of AVP in marathon runners with EAH, Hew-Butler et al. [37] measured plasma AVP, cytokines, and endocrine hormones before and after the marathon. They reported high plasma AVP levels to be directly related to exercise-induced plasma volume contraction and partly influenced by plasma oxytocin, brain natriuretic peptide, and corticosterone levels. Although serum interleukin-6, generated by muscle contractions, can stimulate AVP production in humans, the study failed to establish an association between high interleukin-6 levels and plasma AVP. These findings were confirmed by Siegel et al. [39] in Boston marathon runners.

Of 96 marathon runners studied over 4 Houston marathons, 22% were found to have asymptomatic EAH [40]. Runners who developed asymptomatic EAH were 7 times more likely to have lost <0.75 kg of body weight than those without EAH, who were noted to have lost ≥0.75 kg of their body weight. Although the sweat rate is regulated by various factors including intensity of exercise, body weight, and degree of acclimatization, high water consumption, exceeding the amount that is lost, is more likely to happen in an untrained light-weight runner. Based on the findings from Chorley et al. [40] and the mechanisms regulating the sweat rate, the authors identified low-body weight runners, especially those ≤60–65 kg, as being at an increased risk of symptomatic EAH.

Finally, the jury is still out regarding whether nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use increases the risk of developing EAH. Up to 20% of runners use NSAIDs [41]. However, no changes in the rates of EAH were reported in those who used NSAIDs compared to those who did not use the drugs [41]. As promoted by the global sports drink industry, some athletes drink large volumes of water prior to physical activities to avoid dehydration, and others drink up to 3 l/h to dilute urine-specific gravity in order to clear the screening of banned drugs in the urine. These practices remain common and increase the risk of symptomatic EAH.

For the treatment of symptomatic EAH, the Second International EAH Consensus Development Conference, Queensland, New Zealand, 2007, recommended the use of a 100-ml bolus infusion of 3% saline with up to 2 additional bolus doses at 10-min intervals to reduce cerebral edema in acute EAH with encephalopathy. The international experts reviewed cases of EAH encephalopathy and found that 2 previously healthy runners who received isotonic saline infusion did not survive, whereas runners with symptomatic EAH who received hypertonic saline experienced a rise in the serum sodium concentration by 4–6 mmol/l, resulting in clinical improvement without sequel [39, 42]. Runners that can drink may be given 4 bouillon cubes mixed in 4 oz of water to accomplish similar changes in serum sodium concentration [43].

Morbidity and Mortality Associated with Chronic Mild Hyponatremia

Hyponatremia under certain conditions has been associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Acute and severe hyponatremia is a medical emergency that carries a high mortality rate. The overall in-hospital mortality is increased in patients who are hyponatremic [44]. A large cohort study looked at both community-acquired and hospital-acquired hyponatremia and found both to be associated with an increase in mortality [44]. Hypona-
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Hyponatremia is a poor prognostic factor in patients with end-stage liver disease as well as advanced HF [45–47]. Asymptomatic chronic mild and moderate hyponatremia has previously been thought to be inconsequential, specifically in an elderly population. However, recent data discussed below have shown that this might not be true.

Falls present a major health problem for elderly patients with approximately 30% of people over the age of 65 years falling every year [48]. Falls are not trivial in elderly persons; fractures will occur in 2–4% of falls, and death from a complication of a fracture will occur in 2% of cases [49]. Hyponatremic patients have a significantly increased risk of falls when compared to patients with normal serum sodium concentration [50]. Patients experience a 32% higher risk of falls if their serum sodium concentration drops from 135 to 130 mmol/l [51]. One possible theory for the increased risk of falls is the gait and attention deficits found in hyponatremic patients. Gait was assessed in patients who were hyponatremic (mean serum sodium of 128 mmol/l), and they were found to exhibit trouble taking steps in tandem [50]. These same patients’ gait was assessed after correction of serum sodium, and most had notable improvement. Patients were then compared to age- and sex-matched controls with a blood alcohol level of 0.6 g/l, and the hyponatremic patients did worse [50]. Mental alertness has also been looked at, and the researchers found that hyponatremic patients had deficits in their attention when compared to the normal controls. Interestingly, attention was worse than in alcohol-intoxicated age- and sex-matched controls [50]. Studies in animal models support hyponatremia as a cause of gait abnormalities and cognitive deficits. Following induction of chronic hyponatremia, the rats developed gait abnormalities and memory deficits [52]. Overall, patients with mild chronic hyponatremia have an increased risk of falls possibly due to gait abnormalities and cognitive deficits.

The risk of fractures from falls was looked at in a case-control study which found that people who had chronic hyponatremia had a higher risk of fractures from falls than patients who had a normal serum sodium concentration [49]. A prospective study was also done using the Rotterdam Study cohort to assess the association of mild chronic hyponatremia (mean serum sodium of 133.4 mmol/l) with fractures. Hoorn et al. [53] found that there were increased fractures, specifically nonvertebral fractures, in people with chronic hyponatremia. It is possible that chronic hyponatremia can cause osteoporosis, which would place a hyponatremic patient at increased risk of fractures.
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