The Same Intestinal Inflammatory Disease despite Different Genetic Risk Factors in the East and West?
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Abstract
Background: Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic inflammatory disease with unknown etiology. It is likely caused by a complex interplay between genetic, immunologic and environmental factors. Although IBD is still relatively uncommon in Asia, a multitude of studies have shown that it is an emerging disease around the world. Recent insights have highlighted both the similarities and differences amongst Asian and Western IBD patients. Summary: The annual incidence of IBD in the East is still low compared with the West, but there are differences between different regions of Asia. Time trend studies have shown that the incidence of IBD is on the rise. Some notable differences in the clinical manifestations of IBD between the East and West have also been noted. ‘Westernization’ of lifestyle may encompass various social and environmental changes that account for the emergence of IBD in our population, although genetics also plays a role in disease pathogenesis. Diagnosis and treatment challenges include limited access to medical care in certain areas, limited availability and high cost of medications, lack of insurance reimbursement, paucity of multidisciplinary teams for the management of complicated IBD cases, and a high prevalence of endemic infections. Currently, the risk of colorectal cancer is lower in the East than in the West, but cancer rates will likely approach that of the West in the future as the prevalence of IBD continues to rise. Key Messages: Measures to improve access to diagnostic tools, increase the availability of medication, and provide adequate multidisciplinary care for IBD patients will become increasingly important in Asia. Differences between the East and West will provide a unique opportunity for global collaboration in basic and clinical research to further our understanding of the disease entity and also provide more locoregional data to healthcare providers and policymakers to make informed decisions and policy changes when tackling the rising burden of IBD in Asia.

Epidemiology

Until recently, population-based epidemiologic data from countries in Asia were scarce. Data from a prospective, population-based study conducted in the Asia-Pacific region from 2011 to 2012 showed that the crude an-
The overall prevalence of IBD in Asia may be difficult to estimate as national registries are lacking in most Asian countries [11]. According to the Japanese nationwide registration system of patients with intractable diseases that includes IBD, the age-standardised prevalence of UC in 2005 was 63.6 per 100,000 individuals and that of CD was 21.2 per 100,000 individuals [12].

### Disease Phenotype

Clinical manifestations of IBD in Asia resemble those of the Western population, but with some notable differences, such as a higher prevalence of males with CD, more ileocolonic CD, less familial clustering, lower surgical rates, especially in UC, and less extra-intestinal manifestations, including a lower frequency of primary sclerosing cholangitis [7, 9]. The early course of disease in patients with IBD in Asia was shown to be comparable with that of the West, with CD patients frequently progressing to complicated disease and having an accelerated use of immunosuppressants [13]. The disease severity in Asian CD patients was also comparable to that reported in Australia, with an even higher rate of penetrating disease and perianal disease at diagnosis noted in Asia [1]. This is contrary to previous notions that IBD runs a milder disease course in Asia [14].

Similar to the West, Asian patients diagnosed with CD were more likely to be younger (median age 34 years) than those with UC (median age 42 years). The peak age at diagnosis of CD was 20–24 years, with a second smaller peak at 40–44 years. The peak age at diagnosis of UC was 30–34 years [1]. A second peak after 50 years of age is less common in Asian patients [15].

Studies in Asia have reported a lower rate of family history of IBD (0–3%) than studies from the West (10–25%) [1, 4]. A study from Korea showed an increase in the incidence of a positive family history from 1.3% in 2001 to 2.7% in 2005, which parallels with the increased incidence of IBD [16]. It is likely that in the foreseeable future, the rate of a positive family history will increase as the incidence and prevalence of the disease increase over time. These differences between Asian and Western IBD are likely to be multifactorial and may be secondary to differences in genetic background, environmental exposure, such as diet and intestinal flora, and the duration of disease [9]. The exact contribution of these factors to disease expression remains to be determined.

### Risk Factors

Environmental risk factors are likely to play a major role in disease development. The two strongest environmental associations for IBD, cigarette smoking (where smoking has been consistently shown to be a risk factor for CD but is protective for UC [17]) and appendectomy, cannot fully account for the variations in incidence and prevalence of IBD. It is postulated that other socioeconomic factors such as urbanisation of societies, changes in diet, antibiotic use, improved hygiene, microbial exposures, and pollution are all implicated in the development of IBD [10].

This ‘Westernization’ of lifestyle may partially account for the emerging trends in IBD in the Asia-Pacific region.
producing bacterial species was observed in IBD patients in Asia with a decrease in butyrate-similar to recent studies in Caucasian patients with UC of fruits, vegetables, and fibres may decrease this risk. Conversely, an increased intake of refined sugar, fatty acids, sweeteners and meat may increase the risk for IBD. Novel protective factors suggested in this study include childhood contact with pets and consumption of tea and coffee [21]. Interestingly, an inverse association between antibiotic use and the development of CD suggests that antibiotics may not be a contributing factor to the rising incidence in Asia. All in all, this implies that it is not only the exposure to environmental risk factors, but also the timing of such an exposure which would be critical in modulating the risk of UC and CD later on in life. The importance of these childhood immunological, hygiene, and dietary factors, and their downstream effect on the intestinal microbial milieu cannot be overstated [11]. Indeed, a dysbiosis in IBD patients in Asia with a decrease in butyrate-producing bacterial species was observed [22], a finding similar to recent studies in Caucasian patients with UC [23].

Genetics

To date, more than 160 independent genetic susceptibility loci have been identified for IBD using large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in European cohorts [24]. A recent study using a trans-ancestry approach which included East Asians, Indians, Iranians, and Caucasians showed that first, 38 novel susceptibility loci were identified. Secondly, in general, a shared genetic risk existed across populations. Thirdly, genetic heterogeneity was shown, where differences in allele frequency, i.e. genetic variants in nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-2 (NOD2), were not detected in Asians, and effect size, i.e. a much stronger effect on disease risk in East Asia for variants in tumour necrosis factor superfamily, member 15 (TNFSF15) as compared with Caucasians [25]. This confirms previous findings that NOD2 and autophagy-related protein 16 (ATG16L1) have a minimal role in East Asian CD [26-28].

In Asia, a smaller-scale GWAS from Japan showed new susceptibility loci for UC on the major histocompatibility complex region [29]; Other genes include variants in cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) and tumour necrosis factor alpha-308 (TNF-308) [30]. Another smaller-scale GWAS from Korea found novel associations implicating ATG16L2 and/or FCH and double SH3 domains protein 2 (FCHSD2) in CD [31]. Another novel potential susceptibility locus was identified at disks large homolog 1 (DLG1) in Chinese patients with CD [32].

Diagnosis

Difficult issues with regard to the diagnosis of IBD in Asian countries include limited access to medical facilities [4], a diversity of medical practice, including popular use of alternative and complementary medicine, together with a high background prevalence of infectious colitis and tuberculosis (TB) that may mimic IBD [11]. Therefore, it is of utmost importance to rule out infectious causes of colitis prior to making the diagnosis of IBD, especially in Asian nations. In difficult cases, interferon-gamma release assay and anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibody (ASCA) may potentially be used as supplementary diagnostic tools given their high specificity for intestinal TB [33]. In certain cases, in which a definite diagnosis cannot be ascertained, a course of empirical anti-TB therapy may be initiated.

Diagnostic modalities in the East mostly resemble those of the West; ileocolonoscopy with biopsies remains the gold standard. Small-bowel investigations for CD include small-bowel capsule and CT enteroclysis. However, many diagnostic tools available to the West, including magnetic resonance enterography, and non-invasive testing of biomarkers, such as faecal calprotectin, may not be widely available in many Asian centres managing IBD patients.

Treatment and Management

IBD therapies used in the West, including antibiotics, steroids, thiopurines, and anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha (anti-TNFα) agents, are also used in Asian nations. However, differing practices compared with the West may be present.

In a cross-sectional study comparing the management of IBD patients in Melbourne, Australia, and HK, it was shown that in Melbourne, steroids were used more at diagnosis, with more use of immunosuppressants, such as thiopurines or methotrexate, as compared with HK. For
CD, the use of anti-TNFα agents (39.9 vs. 11.0%, p < 0.001) was more common in Melbourne than in HK. This was also true for UC, although only a small number of patients, 12 of 159 patients in Melbourne and 2 of 203 patients in HK were on these agents [14]. The underuse of anti-TNFα agents in Asia may be related to various factors, including clinicians’ lack of experience with the therapy, high cost, lack of insurance reimbursement, and concern for opportunistic infections [34].

There is a major need for the use of anti-TNF agents in Asia given high rates of complex and severe disease; however, funding for such agents may not be widely available. Such consideration needs to be balanced against the cost of hospitalizations, surgery, outpatient visits and low work productivity.

As for the less frequent use of thiopurines, this may be restricted by limited experience in its use [35] and increased adverse effects that may be related to differences in thiopurine methyl-transferase (TPMT) polymorphisms in Asian patients [36]. Recently, a Korean group also discovered a single nucleotide polymorphism in NUDT15, which may partially explain the higher incidence of thiopurine-induced leukopenia in Asians [37]. In view of this, recommendations suggest the use of a lower starting dose of thiopurines in Asian patients and advocate the testing of TPMT and thiopurine metabolites [38], which are unfortunately not widely available in many Asia-Pacific regions.

In Japan, tacrolimus [39, 40] and leukocyte apheresis [41] are also widely used as second-line treatment in severe refractory UC [42].

Another special consideration in Asia is the screening of latent infections such as hepatitis B virus and TB prior to starting biologics. Thus, screening by hepatitis B virus serology and screening of TB by Mantoux or interferon-gamma release assay [43], prophylactic treatment if indicated and subsequent close monitoring are necessary to ensure the safe use of such agents [44].

**Prognosis**

There is a lower rate of colectomy for both UC and CD in Asian countries. The exact cause is not well known, but this may be due to cultural differences, a higher threshold for surgery in Asia or a milder disease course for UC subjects [9]. The natural disease course of patients with CD in Asia is not different from that of the West. Furthermore, a territory-wide study in the Chinese population showed that elderly-onset UC patients are increasing and they have a higher risk of opportunistic infections, hospitalisation, colorectal cancer (CRC), and mortality than non-elderly-onset patients. Therefore, management and therapeutic strategies in this special group need special attention [45].

The incidence of CRC was also found to be much lower than in the West. A retrospective study from China noted that only around 0.4% of patients developed CRC [46], as compared to the overall incidence of CRC in UC of between 3 and 5% in developed countries [47]. A lower incidence of CRC related to UC has also been reported from other Asian countries [7]. However, the follow-up period was short in the mentioned Chinese study [46], which may have minimised the ability to detect superimposed bowel malignancy as it is known that cancer is more likely to develop in patients with extensive colitis for 8 years or more [47]. It is likely that with increasing disease prevalence, the risk of CRC in UC in Asia will approach similar rates to that of the Western counterparts. Surveillance is necessary in these individuals with increased risk.

**Guidelines**

Frequently used guidelines in the West include the ECCO guidelines [48–53] and the American College of Gastroenterology guidelines [54, 55]. In the East, various countries have developed their own guidelines tailored for different patient needs. These include the Japanese guidelines [56], KASID (Korean) guidelines [57, 58], the Asia-Pacific consensus on UC and CD [38, 59, 60], and the Hong Kong Inflammatory Bowel Disease Society use of biologics consensus [61]. Broadly speaking, many of these guidelines are consistent with specific differences pertaining to each country such as the availability of drugs, the local risk of infections, regional standard clinical practice, local evidence, and opinions of regional experts.

**Conclusion**

Reliable epidemiologic data of IBD are emerging in the East and time trend data are needed to estimate the disease burden in the next decade. Although it is still a ‘young’ disease in Asia, in the next decade, the number of cases in Asia will likely approach that of the West considering the large background population, especially in countries like China and India. The cancer risk will likely
increase over time given improved care and low disease mortality. Over time, this will have profound implications on the healthcare system. A multitude of environmental risk factors are likely to be involved, including the urbanisation of societies, changes in diet, antibiotic use, improved hygiene, microbial exposures, and pollution. A shared genetic risk for IBD is likely to be present across different populations, despite some genetic heterogeneity. The diagnosis and treatment of IBD in the East is similar to that in the West, but significant differences exist, such as the availability of drugs, cost of treatment, and differences in healthcare access. In the East, special considerations are necessary for latent infections and differentiating CD from intestinal TB continues to be a diagnostic challenge.

Education for patients, trainees, and physicians is important to tackle the foreseeable increase in disease burden in the near future. Healthcare policies would also need to take into account this rise in IBD, to provide measures to improve the access to diagnostic tools and increase the availability of medications. In contrast to the West, there are also fewer surgeons and histopathologists who are specialising in IBD in Asia. A multidisciplinary approach to the management of IBD patients involving radiologists, surgeons, histopathologists, rheumatologists, dermatologists, IBD nursing specialists, and dietitians are also needed in the East. Last but not least, global collaboration in basic and clinical research would be important to provide locoregional data and recommendations to help healthcare providers and policymakers make informed decisions and policy changes to tackle the rising burden of IBD in Asia.
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