A Unique Cause of Proteinuria in Pregnancy: Class II Lupus Nephritis with Concomitant Minimal Change Disease
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Abstract
We report the case of a 22-year-old African American female who presented to another facility for routine follow-up in the 34th week of pregnancy with lower extremity swelling and nephrotic-range proteinuria. Although she was normotensive, it was initially thought that she had preeclampsia. She was monitored carefully and delivery was induced at 37 weeks of gestation. She was transferred to our hospital, where she was diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) based on clinical and laboratory criteria. Renal biopsy revealed a surprising finding of minimal change disease (MCD) concomitant with class II lupus nephritis (LN). She was managed with pulses and then tapering doses of steroid therapy with dramatic resolution of the nephrotic syndrome. This case demonstrates not only the rare de novo occurrence of SLE in pregnancy, but the unique finding of MCD coexisting with class II LN. We propose that altered T cell activity may be the link between these seemingly distinct entities.
Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) commonly affects females of reproductive age and rarely occurs de novo in pregnancy [1, 2]. Roughly 60% of SLE patients develop lupus nephritis (LN) with increased risk of neonatal and maternal morbidity [3]. It is also estimated that one third of patients with LN develop nephrotic-range proteinuria, which has only been rarely reported in class I and II LN as classified by the International Society of Nephrology/Renal Pathology Society (ISN/RPS) [4]. Herein we report a unique case of SLE diagnosed de novo in pregnancy complicated by concomitant minimal change disease (MCD) and class II LN on renal biopsy.

Case Presentation

A 22-year-old African American female was transferred to our hospital after delivery of her first live birth. Her medical history was significant for two prior spontaneous second-trimester miscarriages. She was not on any medications and had a maternal history of SLE. She initially presented to another facility for a routine antenatal visit in her 34th week of gestation and at that time complained of pedal edema. Her urinalysis showed 4+ protein, but no white or red blood cells. Her 24-hour urine protein was 10.9 g and she was admitted with suspicion of preeclampsia. However, she remained normotensive and lacked features of HELLP syndrome (hemolytic anemia, elevated liver enzymes and low platelets) or neurological disturbances. Laboratory investigations revealed a normal uric acid level, but elevated serum creatinine (1.4 mg/dl), hypoalbuminemia (1.6 g/dl), elevated triglycerides (1,225 mg/dl) and total cholesterol (404 mg/dl). Fetal growth was monitored and delivery was induced at 37 weeks of gestation.

Upon arrival at our hospital, the patient had no complaints apart from the progression of symmetric lower extremity swelling. Her physical examination was unremarkable except for an erythematous malar rash that she had not previously noticed and bilateral pedal edema. Repeat laboratory investigations were consistent with those done antepartum except for worsened creatinine at 2 mg/dl. The spot urine protein to creatinine ratio was 7.6. Further evaluation of the nephrotic syndrome revealed speckled ANA (1:160 titer) as well as positive anti-DsDNA, anti-Sm and anti-RNP antibodies. C3 and C4 complement levels were normal. Tests for ANCA, SSA/SSB, RF, anti-CCP, Hep C Ab, Hep BsAg, and HIV were all negative. Antiphospholipid antibody screening was positive for anti-cardiolipin antibodies. Renal sonogram was unremarkable.

On postpartum day 8, renal biopsy of 30 glomeruli showed a mild increase in mesangial cellularity and matrix consistent with class II LN. There was no segmental or global sclerosis, endocapillary proliferation, or cellular or fibrous crescents. Immunofluorescence studies were positive for focal mesangial granular deposits of IgG, IgA and C1q, but no definitive IgM or C3 deposits were seen. No fibrin thrombi were identified. Surprisingly, on electron microscopy the glomerular basement membrane was mildly thickened up to 521 nm with patchy effacement of foot processes. Scant mesangial electron-dense deposits with focal increase in mesangial matrix as well as tubuloreticular inclusions in the glomerular capillary endothelium were also identified. Figure 1 and figure 2 demonstrate these findings.

Based on the clinical features, laboratory data and biopsy findings, a diagnosis of SLE with class II LN in addition to MCD was established. A detailed review of the patient’s history and previous laboratory data did not raise any suspicion of indolent SLE prior to this pre-
sentation. Treatment with methylprednisolone 1 g daily for 3 days followed by 1 mg/kg daily resulted in a dramatic improvement typical of MCD. Within 1 month, her creatinine returned to baseline and urinalysis showed only trace protein. Of note, repeat testing for anti-phospholipid antibodies at a 6-month follow-up visit was not consistent with anti-phospholipid syndrome.

Discussion

SLE is diagnosed by means of criteria from the American College of Rheumatology. Our patient fulfilled four of these, including malar rash, positive ANA, presence of other autoantibodies and persistent proteinuria. Moreover, kidney biopsy revealed organized subcellular tubuloreticular inclusions in the endothelium, which are strongly associated with LN, albeit of higher classes than in our patient [5]. It is important to note that there have been only three previous reported cases of SLE occurring de novo in pregnancy [1, 2]. Our patient differed in that she presented in her third trimester, which posed a diagnostic challenge for two main reasons. Firstly, the more likely cause of proteinuria at that stage of pregnancy was preeclampsia. However, the absence of hypertension, hyperuricemia and features of HELLP syndrome made preeclampsia an unlikely etiology. Secondly, a renal biopsy could have revealed the underlying pathology, but it was not advised in late pregnancy due to the risk of complications [3]. As a result, her obstetrician opted for close monitoring and timely induction, thus allowing for a postpartum biopsy.

The risk of preterm delivery and fetal loss is higher in pregnant patients with SLE and further increased in those with LN [6]. Nonetheless, the occurrence of SLE in pregnancy may not simply be a coincidence. SLE is a Th2-mediated disease characterized by predominantly antibody-mediated immune responses. The immune system in pregnancy is ever evolving to preserve tolerance of the foreign paternal antigens carried by the fetus. As with SLE, there is a shift away from Th1 cell-mediated to Th2 antibody-mediated responses [4], which may be the unifying pathway between these otherwise seemingly distinct processes.

Another interesting aspect of this case was the concomitant finding of MCD with class II LN on renal biopsy. Nephrotic syndrome is mainly associated with class III, IV and V LN, whilst patients with class I and II LN have <1 g/24 h proteinuria [7]. In 1984, Abuelo et al. [8] reported the first case of MCD associated with SLE. Since then there have been approximately 38 more cases described in the literature [9, 10], but only 7 have involved class II LN [7, 11, 12]. Again, the coexistence of these two processes may be more than just chance. The pathogenesis of MCD is not yet fully understood, but factors derived from T cells that increase glomerular permeability have been reported culprits [13]. As mentioned before, altered T cell activity seems to be also critical to the pathogenesis of SLE and may be the link between the simultaneous occurrences of MCD in SLE. Additionally, there have been two previous case reports of biopsy-proven de novo MCD in pregnancy in the absence of SLE [14]. For similar reasons, the Th2 polarization driven by fetal tolerance may have been the impetus for the development of MCD in these patients. The interplay between these three processes – SLE, pregnancy and MCD – is fascinating, and further work is needed to elucidate the underlying pathophysiology.

Class II LN by itself does not generally warrant immunosuppressive therapy. Wong [10] noted that almost all cases of MCD in SLE were treated with steroids and were steroid-sensitive, as expected for MCD. Had our patient presented earlier in pregnancy or with a higher class of LN, we would have been challenged to avoid the use of potentially teratogenic
immunosuppressants to achieve disease remission. Instead, her response was rapid, with an outcome of a healthy newborn and control of her disease.

Perhaps MCD is an underdiagnosed part of the LN spectrum. The altered immune state of pregnancy may have precipitated not only MCD, but also SLE in our patient. As a consequence, the cause of her proteinuria was not initially obvious, and the renal biopsy was crucial in unmasking the underlying pathology. This case highlights the importance of thorough investigation of proteinuria in pregnancy and raises awareness of the occurrence of MCD in lower classes of LN.
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**Fig. 1.** Mild mesangial alteration on light microscopy (H&E stain, ×40).

**Fig. 2.** Electron microscopic findings of endothelial tubuloreticular inclusions (arrow), slightly thickened glomerular basement membrane with foot process effacement and focal mesangial electron-dense deposits, but no evidence of subepithelial deposits (×12,600).