Evaluation of Isolation Methods for Circulating Tumor Cells (CTCs)
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Abstract
Background: Detection of CTCs is a poor prognostic factor for many cancer types; however, their very low frequency represents an obstacle for their detection. The objective of the current study was to compare the performance of commonly used methods for CTCs isolation.

Methods: The evaluated methods using spiking experiments of MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA MB-231 breast cancer cell lines were (i) ficoll density gradient separation (DGS), (ii) red blood cell lysis (Erythrolysis) isolation, (iii) positive immunomagnetic selection (EpCAM Dynal beads), (iv) two different negative immunomagnetic separation systems (Dynal vs Miltenyi CD45 beads) as well as (v) the Cell Search platform and (vi) the ISET system. Results: The recovery rates of Erythrolysis and DGS were 39% and 24%, respectively. Magnetic isolations are ranked from the worse to the best recovery rate as follows: Myltenyi-anti-CD45 microbeads (24%); Dynal-anti-EpCAM beads (75%); Dynabeads-anti-CD45 (97%). CTCs isolation from blood samples using the CellSearch and ISET systems revealed that the recovery rate for Cell Search and ISET was 52% and 95%, respectively. Conclusions: Dynal-anti-CD45 beads have the best recovery rate compared to other magnetic methods. Furthermore the recovery rate of ISET was higher compared to Cell Search, especially for the more aggressive MDA-MB 231 cell line.

Introduction

Liquid biopsy is critical for the evaluation of cancer progression [1-3]. Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are a major player in metastatic procedure and they are responsible for the hematopoietic dissemination of tumor cells [2-7]. Especially, in breast cancer, CTCs hold significant prognostic and predictive value in early disease [4, 5, 8-11]. In addition, the detection of CTCs has been shown to be an independent poor prognostic factor for prostate, colon and non small cell lung cancer [12-16].
The isolation and characterization of CTCs remains a technically challenging issue. Immunomagnetic separation is a commonly used technique. It can be applied directly to the blood or after the isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). For positive selection the most commonly used antigen is EpCAM which is a surface protein expressed on epithelial cells; conversely, for negative selection the most commonly used antigen is CD45 which is expressed on hematopoietic cells, thus it can differentiate hematopoietic from epithelial cells. Organ specific markers such as HER2, EGFR or CEA have also been used for this purpose [17].

The CellSearch system is the only FDA approved system for the isolation and detection of CTCs’ in metastatic breast, prostate and colorectal cancer [18]. This system is mainly based on immunomagnetic separation using EpCAM expression as a marker of epithelial cells despite the fact this is arguable. Indeed, it is well known that EpCAM is down regulated during Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) and, thus, CTCs undergoing EMT could escape EpCAM-based detection [19]. However, there are other markers like Twist, Vimentin, ALDH1 which are overexpressed in CTCs and their frequency have been recently investigated [20-23].

ISET technology is based on size filtration and recent studies have shown that it can effectively recover CTCs from different cancer types including breast, melanoma, hepatomas as well as prostate and lung cancer [24-26]. The limitation of this technology is that small tumor cells can escape isolation due to size-based filtration [24]. CTC chip as well as other filtration methods which are also based on microfiltration has been developed and can effectively isolate high numbers of circulating tumor cells [27, 28].

The objective of the current study was to compare the most common CTC’s isolation methods using three different breast cancer cell lines representing the HER2, Luminal A and Basal like molecular subtypes of breast cancer. The use of these molecularly different cell lines was decided since there is strong evidence supporting the molecular and phenotypic heterogeneity of tumor cells that could potentially affect the isolation procedure [19]. In addition, the recovery rate of tumor cells using the Cell Search and the ISET system was also compared to other isolation systems.

Materials and Methods

Cell cultures

All cell lines were obtained from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, USA) and used for spiking experiments. The MCF7 adenocarcinoma cells were cultured in 1:1 Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gluta max) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GIBCO-BRL), 16ng/ml insulin and 50mg/ml penicillin/streptomycin (GIBCO-BRL). MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in DMEM, supplemented with 10% FBS and 50mg/ml penicillin/streptomycin. SKBR3 cells were cultured in RPMI (GIBCO-BRL) plus 10% FBS and 50mg/ml penicillin/streptomycin. Sub-cultivation of all cell lines was performed with 0.25% trypsin (GIBCO-BRL) and 5mM EDTA (GIBCO-BRL).

Cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air. All experiments were performed during the logarithmic growth phase of the cells.

Blood samples and spiking experiments

Different dilutions [1 cell/ml, 10 cells/ml, 100 cells/ml and 1000 cells/ml of blood] of MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-231 cells were spiked in 10ml of blood obtained from healthy blood donors. All blood samples were obtained at the middle of vein puncture after the first 5 ml of blood were discarded in order to avoid contamination of the blood sample with epithelial cells from the skin during sample collection. Ten patients with metastatic breast cancer were also enrolled in the study in order to compare the CTCs’ recovery between cell lines and the patients. All patients and healthy blood donors gave their informed consent to participate in the study, which has been approved by the Ethics and Scientific Committees of our Institution. All the experiments were repeated three times.
**Isolation of PBMCs with Ficoll density gradient**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated after Ficoll-Hypaque (d=1.077 gr/mol; SIGMA, St. LOUIS, MO, USA) density gradient centrifugation at 1800 rpm for 30 min. PBMCs were washed three times with PBS and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min. Aliquots of $10^6$ cells were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 2 min on glass slides. Cytospins were dried up and stored at -80°C before using.

**Isolation of PBMCs with Red Blood Cell Lysis**

Blood samples after spiking procedure with the corresponding cell lines, were diluted 1:10 with Red Blood Cell Lysis buffer (0.8% NH4Cl, 0.1% KHCO3, 0.1mM EDTA) and agitated for 20 min at room temperature (RT). The samples were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min and the pellets were rinsed three times with PBS 1X. Aliquots of $10^6$ cells were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 2 min on glass slides. Cytospins were dried up and stored at -80°C before using.

**Positive selection of tumor cells with Dynal-anti-EpCAM beads**

Tumor cells were spiked in (2x$10^7$) PBMCs and placed in 1 ml buffer (PBS/0.1% BSA, 0.6% Sodium citrate) and 50 μl of CELLection beads (Dynal, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) which were coated with an anti-EpCAM monoclonal antibody. Supernatant was removed and 4 μl of releasing buffer in 200 μl RPMI/1% FCS was added to the beads. After 15 min of incubation at room temperature, samples were placed in a magnetic device and the released cells were transferred into a different tube. Tumor cells were subsequently detected by double immunostaining experiments and were analyzed using the ARIOL system.

**Negative selection of Tumor Cells with Dynal-anti-CD45 beads**

Negative selection procedure was performed according to Naume et al [29]. Briefly, 100 μl of CELLection beads coated with anti-CD45 monoclonal antibody (Dynal, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were added in $10^7$/ml PBMCs in PBS/0.1% BSA/2 mM EDTA. After incubation for 30 min at 4°C, the supernatant was transferred in FBS-coated tubes and cells were cyto-centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 2 min on glass slides for further double immunostaining.

**Negative selection of Tumor Cells with CD45 Myltenyi-anti-CD45 beads**

10^7 PBMCs spiked with tumor cells, were re-suspended in 80 μl isolation buffer (PBS, 0.5% BSA, 2m EDTA). 20 μl of anti-CD45-coated Miltenyi beads (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) were added to the sample and the cells were incubated at 2-8°C for 15 min. 2 ml of the isolation buffer were added to each depletion columns in order to prepare the filters for the isolation. 400 μl of the isolations buffer was also added to each sample and cells were placed onto the column. The samples were collected and the columns were washed twice with 1 ml of the isolation buffer. The depletion fraction was centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min, and cytospins were prepared for immunostaining.

**ISET isolation system**

Tumor cells spiked as described (dilutions of 1-100 cell/ml) in blood obtained from healthy volunteers, was diluted in 1:10 ISET buffer (RareCells, Paris, France) for 10 min at RT and 10 ml of the sample was added in each small compartment of the block and 50 ml of the sample in the big compartment. Depression tab was 10 KPa. Blood was filtered and the membrane was removed and let it dry. The spots on the membrane were double stained with anti-CK and anti-CD45 antibodies to evaluate the recovery yield and the contamination with PBMCs.

**Cell Search analysis**

7.5 ml of blood spiked with tumor cells as above, (10, 20 and 100 cells/7.5 ml of blood) were added in CellSave Preservative Tubes (Immunicon Inc) containing EDTA and optimized cell preservative.

The samples were placed on the Cell Tracks Autoprep System. The Cell Search Circulating Tumor Cell Kit was used (Veridex Warren, NJ, USA.) containing ferrofluid particles coated with anti-EpCAM antibodies, phycoerythrin conjugated CK antibodies recognizing cytokeratins (8, 18 and/or 19) and allophycocyanin conjugated CD45 antibody, in order to identify white blood cells.

The images were presented in a gallery format which classifies the CTCs according to the Veridex’s predetermined criteria.
Double immunofluorescence

Cytospins from the isolation experiments and from patients were fixed with cold acetone:methanol 9:1 (v/v) for 20 min and stained for cytokeratin with A45-B/B3 antibody (Micromet Munich, Germany) and Alexa488 (Invitrogen) as a secondary antibody. The pancytokeratin A45-B/B3 antibody was used as marker for epithelial cells. Consequently, slides were incubated with anti-CD45 (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-rabbit antibody for 1 h. Alexa 555 was used as a secondary antibody (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cells were then stained with DAPI (Invitrogen) conjugated with antifade. For patients' samples the cytological criteria proposed by Meng et al. [30] (i.e. high nuclear/cyttoplasmic ratio, larger cells than white blood cells etc) were used in order to characterize a cell as CTC candidate.

Results

Ficoll density gradient centrifugation vs Red Blood Cell Lysis

The average recovery rates of Erythrolysis buffer vs DGS in MCF7 cells was 39% (range, 32%-50%) vs 25% (range, 18%-37%), respectively (p=0.044); the corresponding average recovery rates for SKBR3 cells were 43% (range, 13%-63%) vs 23% (range, 19%-27%), respectively, (p=0.034) and for MDA-MB 231 cells; 34% (range, 21%-55%) vs 23% (range, 21%-26.5%) (p=0.06), respectively, Although erythrolysis resulted in higher recovery rates in all cell lines (Fig. 1A), the microscopic evaluation of the corresponding cytospins revealed that the cells were undamaged after Ficoll density isolation and the nucleus was more intact compared to erythrolysis buffer (Fig. 1B, C).

Positive (EpCAM) vs Negative (CD45) magnetic isolation of tumor cells using Dynal beads

The study of magnetic isolation of CTCs was of interest for us because it isn’t a time consuming plus it’s easily applied to every lab. Therefore we were initially focused to compare positive versus negative magnetic isolation in the MCF7 cell line. The comparison of different magnetic isolation techniques were evaluated after spiking different numbers of MCF7 cells in normal PBMCs as described in Materials and Methods. Positive isolation revealed an average recovery rate of 75% (range, 74%-75%) while the negative isolation resulted in a median recovery rate of 97% (rate, 90% - 100%) (p=0.01; Table 1).
evaluation of the samples, using double immunofluorescence staining, also revealed that the contamination with the PBMCs was higher in the case of negative compared to positive selection. Specifically, in each examined spot the average contamination was 1.5 CTCs/100 PBMCs in negative selection vs 11.5 CTCs/100 PBMCs in positive selection experiments.

**Negative magnetic isolation using Dynal-anti-CD45 vs Miltenyi-anti-CD45 beads**

Since negative isolation resulted in a higher recovery rate but the samples presented a higher contamination with normal PBMCs. We tried to compare two negative isolation protocols by using anti-CD45-coated beads of different origin (Dynal vs Miltenyi). Table 2 shows that Dynal beads resulted in higher recovery rates, irrespectively of the molecular subtype of the used tumor cells [94.5% (range, 89%-100%) in MCF7, 91.5% (range, 83% -100%) in MDA-MB 231 and 100% (range,100%-100%) in SKBR3 cells]; the corresponding recovery rates for Miltenyi beads were rather low 19.5% (range, 4% to 35%), 33.5% (range, 12% to 55%) and 18.5% (range 100% to 100%) (p=0.0001), respectively. The residual normal PBMCs' contamination was significantly lower in experiments using Miltenyi-anti-CD45 beads (median 0.5%) compared to a median of 20% using the Dynal-anti-CD45 beads.

Similar results were obtained when isolation experiments with the Myltenyi-anti-CD45 coated beads were performed using either a manual or an automated system (Auto-MACS pro-separator system) (recovery rate 19.5% and 22%, respectively).

**Isolation experiments in patients' blood**

In order to identify if the recovery rate of negative selections in cell lines is comparable to patients' samples, indicative isolation experiments were performed using patients' blood. Using Dynal-anti-CD45 coated beads from 4 patients with metastatic breast cancer after Ficoll density gradient centrifugation and CTCs enumeration both before and after magnetic isolation, the median positivity rate was 87% (range, 47%-100%). Similarly, isolation experiments were also performed using the Miltenyi-anti-CD45 coated beads in 7 patients with metastatic breast cancer and the median positivity rate was 12% (range, 1%-100%).

**ISET isolation**

Table 3 represents the recovery rates of tumor cells from the different cell lines using the ISET system; the recovery rate was 93% for the MCF7 (range; 83%-100%) and SKBR3 (range; 98%-100%) cells and 99% (range; 98%-100%) for MDA-MB 231 cells. There was no significant difference in the recovery rate of the different subtypes of breast cancer.
cells according to the spiking experiments (Table 3). Microscopic evaluation of the spots revealed that the contamination with PBMCs was approximately 2000 PBMCs/ml of blood. The method was fast and the membranes were easily further evaluated by immunostainsing experiments.

**Cell Search isolation**

Using the CellSearch system the average recovery rate for 10, 20, 100 MCF7 cells/ml was 57.5%, 79% and 63%, respectively. The average recovery rate in all dilutions (66.6%) was the highest among all the examined cell lines. Regarding the SKBR3 cells, the recovery rate was 60% for the dilution of 10 cells/ml, 50% for 20 cells/ml and 37% for 100 cells/ml. The average recovery rate was 49%. The lowest recovery rate (average 40.6%) was observed in MDA-MB 231 cells (41.3%, 44% and 36.4% for the dilutions of 10 cells/ml, 20 cells/ml and 100 cells/ml, respectively) Table 4. We need to mention that Cell Search is a friendly to user system, however it needs extra training and at least 3 hours to start samples’ evaluation.

**Discussion**

Clinical implication of CTCs regarding the patients’ prognosis as well as different therapeutic options based on their particular phenotypic and molecular characteristics have already been reported [2, 8, 11, 31]. Therefore, the effective isolation of CTCs is a really critical step for further understanding of their biological and clinical relevance; however, there is no standard methodology for this.

The current study was designed to systematically compare the most common CTCs’ isolation methods directly from patients’ blood, providing a useful tool for scientist in the field of liquid biopsy. For this purpose, spiking experiments using breast cancer cell lines (MCF7, SKBR3 and MDA-MB 231), which represent different molecular subtypes of the disease, were performed in order to evaluate whether the molecular subtype of the tumor cells could influence the isolation of CTCs. This is especially important, since it is well established that many CTCs loose their epithelial phenotype such as EpCAM and cytokeratin expression while up-regulate the expression of different EMT-associated antigens such as Twist and vimentin during their phase of migration in the bloodstream [19-21].

The initial comparison of Red blood cell lysis (erythrolysis) with Ficoll density gradient centrifugation, demonstrated that the higher recovery rate was observed after erythrolysis, irrespectively of the molecular subtype of tumor cells and these differences were statistically significant for MCF7 (p=0.044) and SKBR3 (p=0.034) cells. It is interesting to note that the highest recovery rate was observed in MCF7 cells compared to the two other cell lines after both Ficoll density centrifugation and erythrolysis. This could be probably attributed to the expression of various adhesion molecules and/or gene profiling that lead to differential cell contacts that can potentially influence the recovery rate [32-35]. In addition, characterizing the cells after immunofluorescence staining with pancytokeratin A45-B/B3 antibody revealed that the cells after Ficoll density gradient centrifugation displayed a morphologically better shape and the nucleus was more intact compared to erythrolysis buffer. This observation could imply that for morphological studies ficoll density gradient centrifugation is a better isolation procedure while for molecular analysis erythrolysis should be preferred.

The positive and negative selection of MCF7 using Dynal beads demonstrated that positive isolation gave an average recovery rate of 75% while for negative selection the average recovery rate was 97%. These differences were statistically significant (p=0.01). However, the contamination with PBMCs was lower in experiments based on positive selection compared to experiments based on negative selection. This observation implies that for methods which need high purity, such as molecular assays, positive selection of CTCs would be a preferable choice; In addition comparison of two different negative selections (Dynal-anti-CD45 vs Miltenyi-anti-CD45) showed that the recovery rate of Dynal beads was significantly
higher (p=0.0001; Table 2), however the contamination with PBMCs was also enhanced compared to Miltenyi.

Two other commonly used methods were also evaluated in our study. The recovery rates with CellSearch system is higher for MCF7 (66.6%) cells though there are significant loses especially for MDA-MB 231 cells (40.6%). The lower detection of MDA-MB 231 cells could be attributing to the lower expression of EpCAM antigen compared to MCF7 cells [36, 37], since MDA-MB 231 are considered to have an Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) phenotype.

ISET system demonstrated a very good (more than 90%) recovery rate which was significantly higher compared with that of Cell Search system. The recovery yield obtained with the ISET platform was independent on the molecular subtype of the tumor cells. These results are in agreement with previous reports regarding recovery rates using the ISET system [38].

Several studies have also tried to address the problem of CTCs isolation in different type of cancer such as NSCLC, colon, prostate [39, 40]. Microfiltration and negative selection have given the most interesting results in agreement with our results.

**Conclusion**

ISET platform and CD45 Dynal negative selection revealed the best recovery rate for CTCs. Cell Search system is more effective in EpCAM positive cell lines. Therefore molecular subtype can influence the recovery of CTCs depending on isolation method.
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