Occult Hepatitis Infection in Transfusion Medicine: Screening Policy and Assessment of Current Use of Anti-HBc Testing

Antonella Esposito^a Chiara Sabia^a Carmela Iannone^a Giovanni F. Nicoletti^b
Linda Sommesse^a Claudio Napoli^{a,c,d}

^a Department of Internal and Specialty Medicine, U.O.C. Immunohematology, Transfusion Medicine and Transplant Immunology, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria, Università degli Studi della Campania 'Luigi Vanvitelli', Naples, Italy;

^b Multidisciplinary Department of Medical-Surgical and Odontostomatological Specialties, Università degli Studi della Campania 'Luigi Vanvitelli', Naples, Italy;

^c Department of Medical, Surgical, Neurological, Metabolic and Geriatric Sciences, Università degli Studi della Campania 'Luigi Vanvitelli', Naples, Italy;

^d Foundation SDN, Institute of Diagnostic and Nuclear Development, IRCCS, Naples, Italy

Keywords

HBV OBI · Blood donor screening · HBs-Ag · Anti-HBc · NAT · Blood safety

Summary

HBV still represents a global risk factor in transfusion medicine. The residual risk of HBV is not limited to pre-seroconversion window period but it extends to donors with occult HBV infection (OBI) characterized by the presence of HBV DNA in liver and by the absence of the virus surface antigen. Each country developed an appropriate blood screening policy according to local HBV prevalence, yields of infectious units per different screening methods and cost-effectiveness. We underline the need of maintaining a high level of attention for OBI carrier identification in all blood banks worldwide where the screening procedures are generally based on a combination of both serological markers and nucleic acid amplification test. In this context, markers such as hepatitis B surface antibodies and hepatitis B core antibodies (anti-HBc) might be useful, although the use of this latter is highly debated and still controversial. Our aim is to give an overview on the relevant diagnostic approaches for the routine screening for HBV focusing on the feasi-

bility of anti-HBc testing as precautionary measure in preventing OBI transmission worldwide. In our tailored algorithm, the loss of about 1% of 'anti-HBc only' donors, does not significantly affect the blood supply while improving recipient safety.

© 2017 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg

Introduction

Blood transfusion is considered a valuable support for cardiovascular and transplant surgery as well as for treating massive trauma and related injuries or solid and hematological malignancies, becoming a life-saving procedure

According to the latest 2016 data by the Italian National Blood Center, which refer to the period 2013–2015, about 2,500,000 red blood cell units/year, 351,533 total plasma units/year and 134,488 platelet concentrates/year have been transfused, and more than 750,000 plasma units have been sent to the industrial processing for plasma products [2, 3]. Over the last two decades, great steps forward have been made on the 'zero-risk road' in transfusion medicine. Nevertheless, the likelihood of contracting viral infections such as that of HBV is still present [4, 5]. This DNA virus replicates through a reverse transcriptase (RT) with an intermediate viral RNA. After host cell infection, the circular, partially dou-

Antonella Esposito and Chiara Sabia equally contributed to this study.

Fig. 1. Description of serological/molecular markers and genomic variability of HBV. **a** Detection of the different markers during HBV infection; **b** HBV-DNA region mutation-sensitive: test failure as a result of mutant occurrence. NAT primers are selected from at least two different regions of the genome (S, X, PreC/C); the MHR region is the target of diagnostic assay to detect serum HBs-Ag, HBs-Ag = Hepatitis B surface antigen; HBc-Ab = hepatitis B core antibody; HBs-Ab = hepatitis B surface antibody; HBe-Ag = hepatitis B e antigen; Anti-HBe = hepatitis B e antibody; RT = reverse transcriptase MHR = major hydrophilic region.

ble-stranded DNA is repaired and completed by both viral and cellular enzymes for the transcription. The small genome of about 3.3 kb contains four open reading frames consisting of a pre-core/core (pre-C/C), *env* or surface (pre-S/S), polymerase (DNA polymerase), and X genes; Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBs-Ag) is the major antigenic determinant composed of the three integral transmembrane glycoproteins of the envelope L, M, and S

According to the prevalence of the first serologic marker HBs-Ag, it has been possible to identify several geographic areas of major diffusion of HBV classified in highly endemic areas such as Sub-Saharan Africa, South East Asia, China, and Amazon Basin, with a prevalence of $\geq 8\%$, countries including Mediterranean areas, Eastern Europe and Middle East with intermediate endemism (2–8%), and areas of low endemism ($< 2\%$) such as Western and Northern Europe, North America, South America, and Australia [7–9].

Several studies reported HBV transmission through blood components from asymptomatic apparently healthy individuals such as blood donors that were later revealed as affected by occult HBV infection (OBI) [10–14].

Over the last decades, the continuous improvement of health and hygiene conditions, the increasingly enhanced techniques for screening pregnant women and blood donors as well as the compulsory use of vaccines since the 1990s have considerably decreased the risk of HBV infection. Nevertheless, HBV continues to be the most common posttransfusion infection because the residual risk is not limited to pre-seroconversion window period, but it extends to donors with OBI [6, 10–14]. These donors do not exhibit signifi-

cant levels of HBs-Ag in the serum, with fluctuating low levels of viremia. HBV DNA could play a central role and reveal OBI or chronic carriers thus shortening the window period [16, 17].

In this review we summarize and critically assess the role of the current serological and molecular methods used for detecting HBV in blood donors by reporting the more recent and different laboratory approaches in the world. In this context, we focus on the opportunity of implementation of HBV core antibody (anti-HBc) testing in order to reduce the residual risk of disease transmission following transfusion from OBI carriers. Besides, we report our in-house screening strategy including anti-HBc to strengthen the routine molecular assays for HBV detection.

Occult Hepatitis B Infections

More than 30 years ago, it was shown that negative HBs-Ag and anti-HBc positive blood donors were able to transmit HBV [18, 19]. For the first time in 1994, Michalak et al. [20] observed the persistence of HBV DNA in the serum and in peripheral blood mononuclear cells despite of a clinical biochemical and serological recovery from an acute viral hepatitis, with important epidemiological and pathogenic implications in the development of chronic diseases. The detectability of all serological and molecular markers during HBV infection is reported in figure 1a.

Many authors proposed different definitions of OBI [21–23]. During the International Workshop on OBI in Taormina (Italy) in 2008, the European Association for the Study of the Liver defined

the OBI by detectable levels of HBV DNA in liver or plasma with undetectable HBs-Ag with or without anti-HBc or anti-HB surface antibodies (anti-HBs) outside the pre-seroconversion window period [24].

Despite biopsy is considered the gold standard, HBV DNA hepatic detection is often less feasible than that in the serum. Besides, blood samples are more used allowing a sufficient sensitivity for OBI diagnosis in the clinical practice [24–26].

According to the International Workshop meeting on OBI, several serological profiles have been distinguished:

- The seropositive OBI present anti-HBc and/or anti-HBs, but not HBs-Ag. HBs-Ag seroclearance may occur either after the acute phase that takes few months or after years of chronic infection [24, 27, 28].
- The seronegative OBI do not present anti-HBc and anti-HBs antibodies; anti-HBs antibodies progressively could be disappeared with an anti-HBc seroconversion over time without development of detectable HBs-Ag [24, 29].

In the false OBI, HBV DNA levels are similar to those detected in the different phases of an evident HBV infection; the lack of detectable HBs-Ag could be attributed to the viral genome variability (mutations in the ‘hot spots’ of viral gene region as the immunodominant ‘α’ determinant of S protein) thus altering the antigenicity and the immunogenicity of the HBs-Ag [30]. Mutations that can occur in the major hydrophilic region (MHR) would seem to be correlated with serum HBs-Ag decrease in OBI blood donors [31]. HBV genomic variability was reported in figure 1b. Then, to allow an optimal detection of the virus, it would be necessary to identify all S-gene variants by using multivalent antibodies in HBs-Ag commercially available kits (fig. 1b) [24].

Mechanism and Infectivity of Occult Hepatitis B Infections in Blood Donors

In the case of OBI, the DNA is found in the hepatocyte nuclei in a stable form of covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA). In the long term, the stability of cccDNA together with the long half-life of hepatocytes ensures the persistence of viral infection throughout life [32]. Additionally, the replicative intermediate DNA acts as a template for the genome transcription; hence, most OBI cases are infected by a HBV competent for replication of wild-type virus but are also characterized by a low rate of HBV replication *in vivo*, although they are competent *in vitro* [32]. Conversely, a small number of OBI cases are linked to particular circumstances such as some replication deficiencies, genetic S gene variability, integration of viral DNA into host genome, infection of peripheral blood mononuclear cells, and presence of immune complexes leading to decreased reactivity in HBs-Ag detection assays [21, 32].

In recent years, several studies on the infectivity of OBI donors via blood transfusion were reported [10–14]. In Italy, two cases of posttransfusion hepatitis were found in which the sequence identity of donor-recipient by phylogenetic analysis was confirmed [16]. Lookback and traceback studies performed in some European

countries demonstrated 99% sequence homology of HBV DNA in 10 donor-recipient pairs, confirming the infectivity of blood products of OBI carriers [11]. Also outside Europe, through the hemovigilance system of the Japanese Red Cross, the relationship between blood donation and the onset of posttransfusion infections was assessed, thus confirming that the residual risk of OBI did not decrease over the years; in this regard, it was possible to identify HBV infection in 19 patients that had previously been unrecognized by molecular testing [33].

The OBI prevalence in blood donors was well reported [34]. This prevalence is quite variable in different parts of the world and depends on a number of factors such as the considered population, HBV endemism in particular areas, and the screening procedure (serological and/or molecular) used as described in literature [35–38]. Even considering these variables, the worldwide OBI prevalence in blood donors was lower than that observed in the general population [34, 39]. This was likely due to the strict and accurate selection procedures of blood donors excluding high-risk groups such as hemodialysis and transplanted patients, HIV/HCV co-infected subjects, drug users, and blood product recipients [34, 35]. However, the risk linked to HBV transmission by apparently healthy donors with OBI remains high since these individuals have no other concomitant liver diseases and do not show any clinical signs of hepatic damage [40].

Although OBI is widespread worldwide, its transmission by blood transfusion is still limited. The transmission rate by hemocomponents from donors with pre-seroconversion window period infections was 10-fold greater than that by blood products from OBI carriers [13]. The fact that not all recipients developed hepatitis as well as the fact that methods used for OBI diagnosis showed different levels of sensitivity and specificity could be logical explanations for low transmission rate of OBI [12, 13]. Nevertheless, the lack of acute hepatitis development did not exclude the transmission of OBI through blood transfusion with a subsequent HBV infection in the recipient [12]. According to a recent study, blood donors negative for both HBs-Ag and HBV DNA but reactive for anti-HBc might be carriers of viral loads below the detection level [35]. Noteworthy, the donor HBV serological status (including anti-HBc and/or anti-HBs), the viral load, and the type of blood component transfused as well as the recipient immune status could contribute to OBI infectivity. Because immunosuppressed or immunodeficient subjects represent a substantial percentage of recipients, a certain degree of caution is advised in transfusing these subjects with ‘anti-HBc only’ blood components [42, 43]. According to Allain et al. [11], the presence of anti-HBc and anti-HBs antibodies in blood donors would reduce the risk of HBV infection by a factor of about 5; OBI carriers with high anti-HBs levels are unlikely to transmit the infection, whereas those with ‘anti-HBc only’ might transmit the infection [11, 13, 35, 44, 45]. Indeed, in HBV hyperendemic areas, most of the recipients have already experienced HBV infection; so, the posttransfusion risk was low while it remained high in HBV-naïve patients [46]. In order to clarify and solve these apparent inconsistencies, it was shown that anti-HBs-neutralizing power was limited by the titer, considering

an anti-HBs less than 100 IU/l as poorly protective if HBV DNA is present [16, 33, 45]. However, the viral load and the actual infectious power of an OBI carrier is still an issue. The viral dose given to the recipient is related to the transfused plasma volume; so for equal volume, a unit of fresh frozen plasma from an infected donor would bear a greater risk of HBV transmission when compared with a platelet or a red blood cell concentrate. The transmission rates vary from 24% for red blood cells to 51% for platelets to 85% for fresh frozen plasma [7, 11, 47].

Detection of Occult Hepatitis B Infections in Blood Donors

It is necessary to maintain a high level of attention for identifying OBI carriers, especially in blood banks [10–14]. For this reason, it is necessary to use advanced testing to detect both common and uncommon HBV genotypes as well as escape mutants (fig. 1b).

While OBI definition is clear enough, a shared global algorithm for OBI detection does not exist yet. HBV detection through HBs-Ag testing was the first mandatory assay in all blood banks worldwide. In developed countries, the current HBs-Ag screening tests consist mostly of immunoassays such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) and chemiluminescence immunoassays (CLIAs) with sensitivity limits lower than 0.1 ng/ml of HBs-Ag and enhanced power of detection for HBs-Ag mutants [48, 49]. The sensitivity of the employed assay is still a pressing problem involving multiple aspects. OBI prevalence could be estimated incorrectly in some rural areas with limited resources and low-sensitivity serological assays leading to an underestimation of HBs-Ag presence and an overestimation of OBI carriers. Some of these cases, if subsequently confirmed with more sensitive and specific serological testing, proved to be HBs-Ag reactive revealing the inconsistency of previous findings [50].

Since the 1990s, the development of molecular methods such as nucleic acid amplification testing (NAT) led some European countries to adopt a specific directive prescribing NAT screening for HBV, HCV, and HIV of all blood products [51–53]. Compared with classical methods such as immunoassays, NAT allows for higher levels of sensitivity and for standardization of results [54]. In blood banks, NAT is usually based on transcription-mediated amplification (TMA) or a multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) that allows the simultaneous detection of HIV-1/2 RNA, HCV RNA, and HBV DNA on individual samples (ID-NAT) even though it is quite expensive. To limit costs, NAT screening was done with pooled samples of 6–50 blood donations that, if reactive, are further tested to identify the reactive sample [55–59]. Some assays have been specifically designed to provide the amplification of the viral genome and the identification of reactive samples in only one step [60, 61]. Furthermore, it is worth considering that using NAT a significantly higher number of samples can be processed per day and that results are readily available allowing for the release of all blood components, even those with a short half-life such as platelets [62]. Although this procedure allowed a reduction of

costs, it was not always rewarded by efficacy; OBI carriers identified by NAT range from 1:1,000 to 1:50,000, depending on the epidemiology and whether single samples or pooled samples are tested [63]. At least two factors should be considered with regard to the molecular detection of HBV: i) HBV replication has a slow doubling time of viral load of approximately 2.56 days even during the initial phase of infection; so HBV NAT is less effective than HIV or HCV NAT [64]. ii) in OBI subjects, the viral load is very low even if HBV DNA testing is still considered as gold standard.

Currently, the efficiency of each NAT system is closely related to the size of the pool [65]. Many countries have chosen to reduce the number of samples/pool by using mini pool (MP) testing, thus increasing the analytical sensitivity [66]. The detection of OBI donations by NAT is improved when the MP is reduced from 50 to 20 samples [33]. However, the increased sensitivity of MPs may cause false-positive results, particularly in those pools containing samples with a high HBV viral load. Then, the prior removal of reactive samples from the pool can lead to lowering the false-positive rate compared with those pools with HBs-Ag positive samples [34]. Yet, ID-NAT algorithm could be safer than MP-NAT showing high sensitivity since the percentage of HBs-Ag positive/NAT negative samples dropped off [63, 67]. From these data, it becomes clear that the pooling strategy influences the analytical sensitivity of NAT because it implies a dilution factor approximately equal to the sample number in the pool [49]. Nonetheless, the use of small MPs may not result in substantially increased detection probabilities [68]. Another concern refers to the detection limit that should be considered in donor screening programs. In a recent study [69], it was shown that a hypothetical detection limit below 5 IU/ml could be advisable since in a cohort of Swiss donors 1.2% of the reactive samples had a viral load below 10 IU/ml.

In summary, in countries where the viral prevalence is low MP-NAT is considered a better choice, while in those countries where the exposure to the virus is also up to 90% ID-NAT should be preferred to guarantee the safety of the blood supply [70]. It is conceivable that previously HBs-Ag positive donors have an extremely low level of HBV DNA (below the sensitivity limit of the currently available tests) that comes along with a hidden infection risk for blood product recipients.

Anti-HBc: to Test or Not to Test, That Is the Question

Many authors supported the use of serological markers such as anti-HBc to compensate less sensitive NAT assays [71, 72]. These markers are assumed to play a crucial role, especially when taking into account that HBV DNA in OBI donors was often detectable only intermittently [72].

Introduced in the 1980s, the marker anti-HBc was initially considered for identification of ‘non-A non-B’ hepatitis [73]. Subsequently, and after the introduction of a specific test for anti-HCV, anti-HBc was used to check for both a previous exposure to HBV and those OBI cases in which HBV DNA was only intermittently

Table 1. An overview of different approaches for HBV detection in blood donors in the last decade

Country		Screening testing				Supplemental screening markers	References
		HBs-Ag	anti-HBc	anti-HBs	HBV DNA		
USA	American Red Cross	T	T [§]	//	MP-NAT	//	[79]
Europe	German Red Cross	T	T	T	MP-NAT/ID-NAT	anti-HBe	[71]
	Germany	T	T	T	MP-NAT/ID-NAT	//	[75]
	Netherlands	T	T	T	MP-NAT/ID-NAT	//	[90]
	France	T	T	//	MP-NAT/ID-NAT	HBe-Ag and anti-HBe, anti-HBs	[94]
	Italy	T	//	//	ID-NAT	anti-HBc IgM and IgG, anti-HBs, HBe-Ag / anti-HBe	[29]
Middle East	Egypt	T	//	//	//	anti-HBc and anti-HBs*	[91]
	Iran	T	T			//	[82]
	Turkey	T	T	T	real-time PCR	HBe-Ag / anti-HBe	[86]
East Asia	Korean Red Cross		T	T	ID-NAT	//	[81]
	India	T	T [§]	T [§]	in-house nested PCR [§]	HBe-Ag	[80]
	Japanese Red Cross	T	T [§]	T [§]	MP-NAT/ID-NAT [§]		[13]
	China	T	//	//	ID-NAT [§]	anti-HBc*, anti-HBs*, HBe-Ag and anti-HBe*	[92]
	Thai Red Cross	T	T	T	MP-NAT/ID-NAT	HBe-Ag / anti-HBe	[62]
Australia	Australian Red Cross	T	T	T	ID-NAT	//	[77]
Africa	South African National Blood Service	T	//	//	ID-NAT	total anti-HBc, anti-HBc IgM	[93]

HBs-Ag = Hepatitis B surface antigen; anti-HBc = hepatitis B core antibody; Anti-HBs = hepatitis B surface antibody; HBe-Ag = hepatitis B e antigen; Anti-HBe = hepatitis B e antibody; T = tested; // = not tested; MP-NAT= minipool-nucleic acid amplification test; ID-NAT = individual -nucleic acid amplification test; PCR = polymerase chain reaction.
 *Only for cited study purpose.
 §Tested if negative HBs-Ag.

detectable [74–77]. It is well known that anti-HBc is detectable during asymptomatic infections as well as throughout life after recovery from hepatitis with or without anti-HBs production [44]. It thus is considered a key marker for OBI.

In the literature, the use of anti-HBc testing is highly debated and still controversial both for its validity and the cost-benefit ratio [39, 72, 74]. It is well-known that anti-HBc testing is not particular useful in areas with high prevalence [78]. The prevalence for anti-HBc in blood donors considerably varies in different countries: USA 0.23%, Italy 8.3%, India 7.5%, South Korea 13.5%, Iran 16.4%, Japan 38%, and East Asia and sub-Saharan Africa over 50% [44, 79–84].

One drawback of the serological assays compared with NAT is their inability to detect HBV pre-seroconversion infections as reported in International Survey on NAT testing of blood donations about the existence of NAT+/HBs-Ag-/anti-HBc- donors outside the window period (2–11% of donations) [52]. Moreover, a clear consensus about their use could not be reached due to the lack of confirmation testing [72]. The Italian Society for Transfusion Medicine and Immunohematology (SIMTI) working-group argued that

there was little evidence of infectivity of donations with ‘anti-HBc only’ [8]. If anti-HBc testing is not suspected to result in increased safety, its adoption should be cautiously proven as it might result in the deferral of valid donors [8]. Liver biopsies performed in the Italian general population showed that the majority of individuals with anti-HBc had OBI, with a percentage close to 10% of unresolved MPs containing a low viral load [68, 85].

In a multicenter project financed by the European Commission, Spreafico and colleagues [16] tested matched recipient specimens collected before and after transfusion. Notably, HBV NAT positive samples were retested with more sensitive NAT assays as follow-up samples from the same donors. It was found that undetected OBI products continued to be released with the current algorithms and these donations could be infective especially for immunosuppressed blood recipients. Also assuming that only a small percentage of these units could be viremic and transmit HBV infection, the very low rate of MP detection leaves some doubt on the efficacy of the current HBV screening strategy [16]. The available two cases of unequivocal transmission documented in Italy suggested that pooling strategies should be implemented with the screening of anti-

HBc [16]. However, anti-HBc testing has a low specificity with a high rate of false-reactive results and a number of donors indefinitely deferred [75]. Candotti and Allain [7] reported that 90% of anti-HBc reactivity in blood donors indicated resolved HBV infection since these same donors were also positive for anti-HBs; the remaining 10% could be attributed to false-positive or true 'anti-HBc only' donors. Moreover, since high titer of anti HBs (≥ 100 IU/l) in association with anti-HBc suggested a resolved infection, new re-entry strategies should be considered in transfusion medicine, also taking into account the possibility of a further determination to confirm the results [53, 71, 86].

Already in 1991, the Food and Drug Administration recommended the repetition of the anti-HBc testing before the definitive donor deferral. In Germany, the second anti-HBc confirmation did not determine the permanent deferral, allowing to the donor to return in donor programs at any later date if not reactive. The result of anti-HBc testing is not the single criterion for donor re-entry in anti-HBc reactive donors. Also negative ID HBV DNA testing and HBs-Ag testing are considered [87]. The German Paul Ehrlich Institute suggested to perform two other anti-HBc assays when HBV DNA and HBs-Ag are not detectable; if at least one supplemental is reactive, the anti-HBs titer must be ≥ 100 IU/l for releasing blood products [75, 88]. Other researchers have proposed the use of the anti-HBc IgM test by skipping alternative screening assays. In addition to anti-HBc and anti-HBs titer, they proposed to identify the donors in the post-HBs-Ag early recovery phase of infection. This reactivity was supported by HBe-Ag or by the detection antibody (anti-HBe), but only an anti-HBe positive result confirmed the anti-HBc reactivity while a negative result did not exclude the real exposure to HBV [84].

Supplemental serological HBV markers can be of considerable help in blood donors as well. In table 1 we report several approaches for HBV detection that were applied worldwide during the last decade. Many laboratories adopted anti-HBc as a marker mostly where NAT has not been implemented for its high cost and/or as additional criterion for the evaluation of the overall risk of OBI in the peripheral blood [44]. Further data indicated that HBV DNA (by MP or ID NAT) together with anti-HBc testing could be considered complementary [38, 89]. For these reasons, in some countries such as the USA, Germany, France, Korea, Japan, Thailand, and Australia anti-HBc has been routinely screened together with HBV DNA detection according to each National Blood Bank policy, while in others countries the diagnostic approach may be different (table 1) [13, 29, 62, 71, 75, 77, 79–82, 86, 90, 94]. Moreover, anti-HBc testing was used only for research purposes (table 1) [91–93].

In Italy, there is still great discretion regarding the use of anti-HBc on the basis of its past and present utilization and of economic resources of each laboratory. In blood donors, anti-HBc testing, in the absence of other serological markers, is currently not recommended for its relatively high prevalence that would lead to the rejection of an unacceptable number of donors (table 1) [8, 29]. According to data of 2013, the prevalence of HBV infection among Italian first-time blood donors is clearly lower than in the past, and

it is expected to gradually decrease in the future. The donor loss can be conquered by both vaccination programs and the reduced incidence of new infections [39]. The Italian health authorities advised to adopt a screening algorithm based on HBs-Ag with last-generation immunoassay kits and HBV DNA detection in blood donors [95, 96].

In the attempt to reduce the risk of HBV transmission and to increase the safety in the blood bank of the Università degli Studi della Campania, Italy, all donors are routinely evaluated for HBs-Ag, HBV DNA and also for anti-HBc. Those presenting anti-HBc reactivity are further tested for anti-HBs. All these serological markers are performed by chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMIA) with an automated analyzer Architect i2000SR (Abbott Diagnostics, Wiesbaden, Germany) as depicted in figure 2a. We reported our experience related to 1 year of donation from 4,300 voluntary (first-time and repeated) blood donors enrolled in our center; of these blood donors, 10.54% were HBc-Ab positive / NAT negative, and only 1.26% showed 'anti-HBc only' with no anti-HBs, while 9.28% were positive for anti-HBc and also for anti-HBs; moreover, 3.00% had an antibody titer between 10 and 100 IU/l showing a poor protection while 6.28% had a titer > 100 IU/l (fig. 2a,b). All anti-HBc positive donors were HBV DNA negative (Tri-NAT screening for HBV, HCV, and HIV-1/2 in MP-6 samples (Roche Diagnostics, Branchburg, NJ, USA)) (fig. 2a). On the basis of our results, we have considered discontinuing all serological profiles with 'anti-HBc only' with consequent donor discard. In our opinion, the discard of 1.26% of 'anti-HBc only' donors does not mean a significant loss of donors but enhances the safety of recipient. Moreover, this percentage is expected to drop off after adopting a secondary confirmation system for in-house strategy, such as Cobas e411, an automated electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay-ECLIA (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) [97, 98]. Indeed, even if improved assays are now available, the repetition of an initially reactive anti-HBc testing with a different method is considered a good laboratory practice [71, 75, 87, 99]. The introduction of this supplemental system allowed us to confirm 'anti-HBc only' positive samples as well as to verify those that, despite presenting an anti-HBs positive titer (>10 IU/l), are not finally protected (<100 IU/l), as previously reported in the literature [45, 75]. Using our algorithm, donors with an anti-HBs >100 IU/l are considered accepted donors, those being 'anti-HBc only' are permanently deferred, while donors with anti-HBs between 10 and 100 IU/l are temporarily deferred waiting for the repetition test after some weeks from the first determination to assess a reliable anti-HBs seroconversion to regain the status of accepted donor [100]. Moreover, we noted that in our total blood donor population, 0.30% ($n = 13$) were anti-HBc reactive and HBs-Ag positive donors confirmed by neutralization testing (CMIA, Abbott Diagnostics, Wiesbaden, Germany) (fig. 2a,b). Out of these donors, only 11 were also NAT positive while the remaining 2 NAT negative donors presumably had a viral loads below the limit of sensitivity of the NAT test used, which might be due to further dilution in the MP setup used.

HBV prevalence is quite different from region to region in Italy, but, since Campania is one of the regions with the highest HBV

Fig. 2. Donations collected per year in our blood bank. **a** Flow chart of in-house strategy. **b** Serological prevalence among our blood donors. ‘No exposure’ are donors that have been never in contact with HBV; ‘HBs-Ag positive’ are confirmed by neutralization testing; ‘HBc-Ab only’ are HBs-Ag and anti-HBs negative; anti-HBs titer is expressed in IU/l and cut-off value is higher than 10.00 IU/l. HBs-Ag = Hepatitis B surface antigen; HBc-Ab = hepatitis B core antibody; HBs-Ab = hepatitis B surface antibody.

prevalence, the discard of blood donors with ‘anti-HBc only’ (1.26%) observed in our region, potentially takes in account the general Italian trend [101].

Conclusions

In recent years, various diagnostic strategies were designed and implemented to optimize the management of blood supply.

The combination of serological and molecular methods for the prevention of transfusion-related transmission of HBV was considered by many operators both as an ideal solution to resolve the crucial problem of the window period and as a valuable support in identifying even low concentrations of virus in asymptomatic individuals, e.g. blood donors. Several cases of undiagnosed ‘occult’ infection can still arise. The analysis of HBV DNA in liver biopsy samples is generally never feasible in healthy blood donors. For this reason, the OBI identification is mainly based on HBV DNA detection in serum samples by NAT; indeed, HBV DNA is detectable long before the presence of HBs-Ag or anti-HBc remaining even after the disappearance of other serological markers (fig 1a).

OBI is considered a rare event in developed countries while it still represents a serious problem in developing areas. Indeed, in high HBV endemic countries, it is advisable to implement ID-NAT rather than MP-NAT while in those with a low endemism MP-NAT can be sufficient. In some countries with an intermediate en-

demism such as Italy the debate remains open. However, molecular assays still exhibit some pitfalls that inhibit their extensive use in all blood bank settings worldwide. When a highly sensitive NAT is lacking or when in many individuals the viremia is often intermittent, a readily available serological method becomes necessary for identification of OBI carriers.

To date, the strategies for HBV detection in blood donors are multiple but not fully fitting. In the light of the recent findings, through an in-house adopted strategy that preserves the total safety of recipients with a small deferral of about 1% of blood donors, the anti-HBc supplemental marker could be considered an ideal candidate both for OBI identification in countries where NAT cannot be implemented and for an overall sensitivity increase of OBI risk evaluation in countries where it is carried out (fig. 2a,b). Then, anti-HBc testing and HBV NAT should be considered as complementary assays.

In conclusion, even though a more sensitive ID-NAT is strongly recommended, it will be still a long way to full blood safety. Until then, markers such as anti-HBc testing could be a simple precautionary measure for preventing transfusion-transmitted OBI, especially in the immunocompromised recipients.

Disclosure Statement

All authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- World Health Organization: Blood safety. Update 2016. www.who.int/topics/blood_safety/en/ (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- Centro Nazionale Sangue: Programma di autosufficienza nazionale del sangue e dei suoi prodotti per l'anno 2016. Update August 9, 2016. www.centronazionale.it/pagine/autosufficienza (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- Catalano L, Piccinini V, Facco G, Grazzini G, Liumbruno GM: Activities of the Italian Blood System (2014). Roma: Istituto Superiore di Sanità; 2015. (Rapporti ISTISAN 15/49). www.iss.it/binary/publ/cont/15_49_web.pdf (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- Walsh GM, Shih AW, Solh Z, Golder M, Schubert P, Fearon M, Sheffield WP: Blood-borne pathogens: a Canadian Blood Services Centre for Innovation Symposium. *Transfus Med Rev* 2016;30:53–68.
- World Health Organization: Hepatitis B. Updated July 2016. www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs204/en/ (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- Mason WS, Gerlich WH, Taylor JM, Kann M, Mizokami T, Loeb D, Sureau C, Magnus L, Norder H: Hepadnaviridae; in King AMQ, Adams MJ, Carstens EB, Lefkowitz EJ (eds): *Virus Taxonomy: Classification and Nomenclature of Viruses: Ninth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*. San Diego, Elsevier Academic Press, 2012, pp 445–455.
- Candotti D, Allain JP: Transfusion-transmitted hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol* 2009;51:798–809.
- Velati C, Fomiatti L, Baruffi L, Piccinini V, Prati D, Reina A, Lobbiani A, Zanetti A, Romanò L: Criteria for hepatitis B virus screening and validation of blood components in Italy: the position of the SIMTI HBV working group. *Blood Transfusion* 2011;9:455–461.
- Hope VD, Eramova I, Capurro D, Donoghoe MC: Prevalence and estimation of hepatitis B and C infections in the WHO European Region: a review of data focusing on the countries outside the European Union and the European Free Trade Association. *Epidemiol Infect* 2014;142:270–286.
- Seed CR, Maloney R, Kiely P, Bell B, Keller AJ, Pink J; Blood Service Medical Services Lookback Team: Infectivity of blood components from donors with occult hepatitis B infection – results from an Australian look-back programme. *Vox Sang* 2015;108:113–122.
- Allain JP, Mihaljevic I, Gonzalez-Fraile MI, Gubbe K, Holm-Harritshøj L, Garcia JM, Brojer E, Erikstrup C, Saniewski M, Wernish L, Bianco L, Ullum H, Candotti D, Lelie N, Gerlich WH, Chudy M: Infectivity of blood products from donors with occult hepatitis B virus infection. *Transfusion* 2013;53:1405–1415.
- Raimondo G, Caccamo G, Filomia R, Pollicino T: Occult HBV infection. *Semin Immunopathol* 2013;35:39–52.
- Satake M, Taira R, Yugi H, Hino S, Kanemitsu K, Ikeda H, Tadokoro K: Infectivity of blood components with low hepatitis B virus DNA levels identified in a look-back program. *Transfusion* 2007;47:1197–1205.
- Olotu AA, Oyelese AO, Salawu L, Audu RA, Okwuraiwe AP, Aboderin AO: Occult Hepatitis B virus infection in previously screened, blood donors in Ile-Ife, Nigeria: implications for blood transfusion and stem cell transplantation. *Viol J* 2016;13:76.
- Perkins HA, Busch MP: Transfusion-associated infections: 50 years of relentless challenges and remarkable progress. *Transfusion* 2010;50:2080–2099.
- Spreafico M, Berzuini A, Foglieni B, Candotti D, Raffaele I, Guarnori I, Colli A, Maldini FF, Allain JP, Prati D: Poor efficacy of nucleic acid testing in identifying occult HBV infection and consequences for safety of blood supply in Italy. *J Hepatol* 2015;63:1068–1076.
- Allain JP: Occult hepatitis B virus infection. *Transfus Clin Biol* 2004;11:18–25.
- Hoofnagle JH, Seeff LB, Bales ZB, Zimmerman HJ: Type B hepatitis after transfusion with blood containing antibody to hepatitis B core antigen. *N Engl J Med* 1978;298:1379–1383.
- Tabor E, Hoofnagle JH, Smallwood LA, Drucker JA, Pineda-Tamondong GC, Ni LY, Greenwalt TJ, Barker LF, Gerety RJ: Studies of donors who transmit post-transfusion hepatitis. *Transfusion* 1979;19:725–731.
- Michalak TI, Pasquinelli C, Guilhot S, Chisari FV: Hepatitis B virus persistence after recovery from acute viral hepatitis. *J Clin Invest* 1994;94:907.
- Gerlich WH, Bremer C, Saniewski M, Schüttler CG, Wend UC, Willems WR, Glebe D: Occult hepatitis B virus infection: detection and significance. *Dig Dis* 2010;28:116–125.
- Kleinman SH, Busch MP: Assessing the impact of HBV NAT on window period reduction and residual risk. *J Clin Virol* 2006;36(suppl 1):S23–29.
- Bréchet C, Thiers V, Kremsdorf D, Nalpas B, Pol S, Praterlini-Bréchet P: Persistent hepatitis B virus infection in subjects without hepatitis B surface antigen: clinically significant or purely 'occult'? *Hepatology* 2001;34:194–203.
- Raimondo G, Allain JP, Brunetto MR, Buendia MA, Chen DS, Colombo M, Craxi A, Donato F, Ferrari C, Gaeta GB, Gerlich WH, Levrero M, Locarnini S, Michalak T, Mondelli MU, Pawlowsky JM, Pollicino T, Prati D, Puoti M, Samuel D, Shouval D, Smedile A, Squadrito G, Trépo C, Villa E, Will H, Zanetti AR, Zoulim F: Statements from the Taormina expert meeting on occult hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol* 2008;49:652–657.
- Kwak MS, Kim YJ: Occult hepatitis B virus infection. *World J Hepatol* 2014;6:860–869.
- Hollinger FB, Sood G: Occult hepatitis B virus infection: a covert operation. *J Viral Hepat* 2010;17:1–15. Erratum in *J Viral Hepat* 2010;17:600.
- Kwak MS, Cho EJ, Jang ES, Lee JH, Yu SJ, Kim YJ, Yoon JH, Lee HS: Predictors of HBsAg seroclearance in HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B patients. *Digestion* 2011;84(suppl 1):23–28.
- Loriot MA, Marcellin P, Walker F, Boyer N, Degott C, Randrianatoavina I, Benhamou JP, Erlinger S: Persistence of hepatitis B virus DNA in serum and liver from patients with chronic hepatitis B after loss of HBsAg. *J Hepatol* 1997;27:251–258.
- Manzini P, Abate ML, Valpreda C, Milanese P, Curti F, Rizzetto M, Smedile A: Evidence of acute primary occult hepatitis B virus infection in an Italian repeat blood donor. *Transfusion* 2009;49:757–764.
- Zhu HL, Li X, Li J, Zhang ZH: Genetic variation of occult hepatitis B virus infection. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016;22:3531–3546.
- Huang CH, Yuan Q, Chen PJ, Zhang YL, Chen CR, Zheng QB, Yeh SH, Yu H, Xue Y, Chen YX, Liu PG, Ge SX, Zhang J, Xia NS: Influence of mutations in hepatitis B virus surface protein on viral antigenicity and phenotype in occult HBV strains from blood donors. *J Hepatol* 2012;57:720–729.
- Raimondo G, Pollicino T, Cacciola I, Squadrito G: Occult hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol* 2007;46:160–170.
- Taira R, Satake M, Momose S, Hino S, Suzuki Y, Murokawa H, Uchida S, Tadokoro K: Residual risk of transfusion-transmitted hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection caused by blood components derived from donors with occult HBV infection in Japan. *Transfusion* 2013;53:1393–1404.
- Hollinger FB: Hepatitis B virus infection and transfusion medicine: science and the occult. *Transfusion* 2008;48:1001–1026.
- Oluyinka OO, Tong HV, Bui Tien S, Fagbami AH, Adekanle O, Ojorogbe O, Bock CT, Kremsner PG, Velavan TP: Occult hepatitis B virus infection in Nigerian blood donors and hepatitis B virus transmission risks. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0131912.
- Siagris D, Christofidou M, Triga K, Pagoni N, Theocharis GJ, Goumenos D, Lekkou A, Thomopoulos K, Tsamandas AC, Vlachojannis J, Labropoulou-Karatza C: Occult hepatitis B virus infection in hemodialysis patients with chronic HCV infection. *J Nephrol* 2006;19:327–333.
- Hui CK, Sun J, Au WY, Lie AK, Yueng YH, Zhang HY, Lee NP, Hou JL, Liang R, Lau GK: Occult hepatitis B virus infection in hematopoietic stem cell donors in a hepatitis B virus endemic area. *J Hepatol* 2005;42:813–819.
- Kleinman SH, Kuhns MC, Todd DS, Glynn SA, McNamara A, Di Marco A, Busch MP: Frequency of HBV DNA detection in US blood donors testing positive for the presence of anti-HBc: implications for transfusion transmission and donor screening. *Transfusion* 2003;43:696–704.
- Romanò L, Velati C, Cambiè G, Fomiatti L, Galli C, Zanetti AR, SIMTI study group for HBV infection among first-time blood donors: Hepatitis B virus infection among first-time blood donors in Italy: prevalence and correlates between serological patterns and occult infection. *Blood Transfus* 2013;11:281–288.
- Romero M, Madejón A, Fernández-Rodríguez C, García-Samaniego J: Clinical significance of occult hepatitis B virus infection. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011;17:1549–1552.
- Said ZN: An overview of occult hepatitis B virus infection. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011;17:1927–1938.
- Sagnelli E, Pisaturo M, Martini S, Filippini P, Sagnelli C, Coppola N: Clinical impact of occult hepatitis B virus infection in immunosuppressed patients. *World J Hepatol* 2014;6:384–393.
- Squadrito G, Spinella R, Raimondo G: The clinical significance of occult HBV infection. *Ann Gastroenterol* 2014;27:15–19.
- Urbani S, Fagnoni F, Missale G, Franchini M: The role of anti-core antibody response in the detection of occult hepatitis B virus infection. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2010;48:23–29.
- Levicnik-Stezinar S, Rahne-Potokar U, Candotti D, Lelie N, Allain JP: Anti-HBs positive occult hepatitis B virus carrier blood infectious in two transfusion recipients. *J Hepatol* 2008;48:1022–1025.
- Su TH, Chen PJ, Chen TC, Cheng HR, Li L, Lin KS, et al. Su TH, Chen PJ, Chen TC, Cheng HR, Li L, Lin KS, Kao JH, Chen DS, Liu CJ: The clinical significance of occult hepatitis B transfusion in Taiwan – a look-back study. *Transfus Med* 2011;21:33–41.
- Vermeulen M, van Drimmelen H, Coleman C, Mitchell J, Reddy R, Lelie N: A mathematical approach to estimate the efficacy of individual-donation and minipool nucleic acid amplification test options in preventing transmission risk by window period and occult hepatitis B virus infections. *Transfusion* 2014;54:2496–2504.
- Lou SC, Pearce SK, Lukaszewska TX, Taylor RE, Williams GT, Leary TP: An improved Abbott ARCHITECT® assay for the detection of hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBsAg). *J Clin Virol* 2011;51:59–63.
- Kuhns MC, Busch MP: New strategies for blood donor screening for hepatitis B virus: nucleic acid testing versus immunoassay methods. *Mol Diagn Ther* 2006;10:77–91.
- Roman S, Tanaka Y, Khan A, Kurbanov F, Kato H, Mizokami M, Panduro A: Occult hepatitis B in the genotype H-infected Nahuas and Huichol native Mexican population. *J Med Virol* 2010;82:1527–1536.

- 51 Rogers PM, Saldanha J, Allain JP: Report of EPFA/ NIBSC workshop 'nucleic acid amplification tests (NAT) for the detection of blood-borne viruses' held on 31 October 1996 in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. *Vox Sang* 1997;72:199–206.
- 52 Roth WK, Busch MP, Schuller A, Iet al: International survey on NAT testing of blood donations: expanding implementation and yield from 1999 to 2009. *Vox Sang* 2012;102:82–90.
- 53 Stramer SL, Wend U, Candotti D, Foster GA, Hollinger FB, Dodd RY, Allain JP, Gerlich W: Nucleic acid testing to detect HBV infection in blood donors. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:236–247.
- 54 Saldanha J, Gerlich W, Lelie N, Dawson P, Heermann K, Heath A; WHO Collaborative Study Group: An international collaborative study to establish a World Health Organization international standard for hepatitis B virus DNA nucleic acid amplification techniques. *Vox Sang* 2001;80:63–71.
- 55 Yang MH, Li L, Hung YS, Hung CS, Allain JP, Lin KS, Tsai SJ: The efficacy of individual-donation and minipool testing to detect low-level hepatitis B virus DNA in Taiwan. *Transfusion* 2010;50:65–74.
- 56 Jarvis L, Becker J, Tender A, Cleland A, Queiros L, Aquiar A, Azevedo J, April G, Bressan F, Torres P, Nieto S, Ursitti A, Montoro J, Vila E, Ramada C, Saldanha J: Evaluation of the Roche cobas s 201 system and cobas TaqScreen multiplex test for blood screening: a European multicenter study. *Transfusion* 2008;48:1853–1861.
- 57 Wiedmann M, Kluwick S, Walter M, Fauchald G, Howe J, Bronold M, Zauke M: HIV-1, HCV and HBV seronegative window reduction by the new Roche cobas TaqScreen MPX test in seroconverting donors. *J Clin Virol* 2007;39:282–287.
- 58 Candotti D, Allain JP: Molecular virology in transfusion medicine laboratory. *Blood Transfus* 2013;11: 203–216.
- 59 Margaritis AR, Brown SM, Seed CR, Kiely P, D'Agostino B, Keller AJ: Comparison of two automated nucleic acid testing systems for simultaneous detection of human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus RNA and hepatitis B virus DNA. *Transfusion* 2007;47:1783–1793.
- 60 Lin KT, Chang CL, Tsai MH, Lin KS, Saldanha J, Hung CM: Detection and identification of occult HBV in blood donors in Taiwan using a commercial, multiplex, multi-dye nucleic acid amplification technology screening test. *Vox Sang* 2014;106:103–110.
- 61 Müller MM, Fraile MI, Hourfar MK, Peris LB, Sireis W, Rubin MG, López EM, Rodriguez GT, Seifried E, Saldanha J, Schmidt M: Evaluation of two, commercial, multi-dye, nucleic acid amplification technology tests, for HBV/HCV/HIV-1/HIV-2 and B19V/HAV, for screening blood and plasma for further manufacture. *Vox Sang* 2013;104:19–29.
- 62 Phikulsod S, Oota S, Tirawatnpong T, Sakuldamrongpanich T, Chalermchan W, Louisirrotchanakul S, Tanprasert S, Chongkolwatana V, Kitpoka P, Phanuphak P, Wasi C, Nuchprayoon C; Working Group for NAT Study in Thai Blood Donations: One-year experience of nucleic acid technology testing for human immunodeficiency virus Type 1, hepatitis C virus, and hepatitis B virus in Thai blood donations. *Transfusion* 2009;49:1126–1135.
- 63 Allain JP, Cox L: Challenges in hepatitis B detection among blood donors. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2011;18: 461–466.
- 64 Biswas R, Tabor E, Hsia CC, Wright DJ, Laycock ME, Fiebig EW, Peddada L, Smith R, Schreiber GB, Epstein JS, Nemo GJ, Busch MP: Comparative sensitivity of HBV NATs and HBsAg assays for detection of acute HBV infection. *Transfusion* 2003;43:788–798.
- 65 Vermeulen M, Coleman C, Mitchel J, Reddy R, van Drimmelen H, Ficket T, Lelie N: Sensitivity of individual-donation and minipool nucleic acid amplification test options in detecting window period and occult hepatitis B virus infections. *Transfusion* 2013;53: 2459–2466.
- 66 Enjalbert F, Krysztof DE, Candotti D, Allain JP, Stramer SL: Comparison of seven hepatitis B virus (HBV) nucleic acid testing assays in selected samples with discrepant HBV marker results from United States blood donors. *Transfusion* 2014;54:2485–2495.
- 67 Weusten J, Vermeulen M, van Drimmelen H, Lelie N: Refinement of a viral transmission risk model for blood donations in seroconversion window phase screened by nucleic acid testing in different pool sizes and repeat test algorithms. *Transfusion* 2011;51:203–215.
- 68 Wang L, Chang L, Xie Y, Huang C, Xu L, Qian R, Zhu H, He Y, Li J, Huang H, Li W, Zhang K, Zhang R, Xie J, Sun Y, Li J: What is the meaning of a nonresolved viral nucleic acid test-reactive minipool? *Transfusion* 2015; 55:395–404.
- 69 Stolz M, Tinguely C, Fontana S, Niederhauser C: Hepatitis B virus DNA viral load determination in hepatitis B surface antigen-negative Swiss blood donors. *Transfusion* 2014;54:2961–2967.
- 70 Arora S, Doda V, Kirtania T: Sensitivity of individual donor nucleic acid testing (NAT) for the detection of hepatitis B infection by studying diluted NAT yield samples. *Blood Transfus* 2015;13:227–232.
- 71 Hourfar MK, Walch LA, Geusendam G, Dengler T, Janetzko K, Gubbe K, Frank K, Karl A, Löhr M, Sireis W, Seifried E, Schmidt M: Sensitivity and specificity of Anti-HBc screening assays – which assay is best for blood donor screening? *Int J Lab Hematol* 2009;31: 649–656.
- 72 Busch MP: Should HBV DNA NAT replace HBsAg and/or anti-HBc screening of blood donors? *Transfus Clin Biol* 2004;11:26–32.
- 73 Koziol DE, Holland PV, Alling DW, Melpolder JC, Solomon RE, Purcell RH, Hudson LM, Shoup FJ, Krakauer H, Alter HJ: Antibody to hepatitis B core antigen as a paradoxical marker for non-A, non-B hepatitis agents in donated blood. *Ann Intern Med* 1986;10: 488–495.
- 74 Karimi G, Zadsar M, Vafaei N, Sharifi Z, Falah-Tafti M: Prevalence of antibody to Hepatitis B core antigen and Hepatitis B virus DNA in HBsAg negative healthy blood donors. *Virol J* 2016;13:36.
- 75 Juhl D, Knobloch JK, Görg S, Hennig H: Comparison of two test strategies for clarification of reactive results for Anti-HBc in blood donors. *Transfus Med Hemother* 2016;43:37–43.
- 76 Seo DH, Whang DH, Song EY, Han KS: Occult hepatitis B virus infection and blood transfusion. *World J Hepatol* 2015;7:600–606.
- 77 Kiely P, Margaritis AR, Seed CR, Yang H; Australian Red Cross Blood Service NAT Study Group: Hepatitis B virus nucleic acid amplification testing of Australian blood donors highlights the complexity of confirming occult hepatitis B virus infection. *Transfusion* 2014;54: 2084–2091.
- 78 Yang Z, Xu L, Liu L, Feng Q, Zhang L, Ma W, Saldanha J, Wang M, Zhao L: Routine screening of blood donations at Qingdao central blood bank, China, for hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA with a real-time, multiplex nucleic acid test for HBV, hepatitis C virus, and human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2. *Transfusion* 2013;53:2538–2544.
- 79 Stramer SL, Zou S, Notari EP, Foster GA, Krysztof DE, Musavi F, Dodd RY: Blood donation screening for hepatitis B virus markers in the era of nucleic acid testing: are all tests of value? *Transfusion* 2012;52:440–446.
- 80 Asim M, Ali R, Khan LA, Husain SA, Singla R, Kar P: Significance of anti-HBc screening of blood donors and its association with occult hepatitis B virus infection: Implications for blood transfusion. *Indian J Med Res* 2010;132:312–317.
- 81 Seo DH, Whang DH, Song EY, Kim HS, Park Q: Prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen and occult hepatitis B virus infections in Korean blood donors. *Transfusion* 2011;51:1840–1846.
- 82 Merat S, Rezvan H, Nouraei M, Jamali A, Assari S, Abolghasemi H, Radmard AR, Zaer-Rezaei H, Zeid-Abadi-Nejhad M, Hosseini MR, Amini-Kafiabad S, Maghsudlu M, Pourshams A, Malekzadeh R: The prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen and anti-hepatitis B core antibody in Iran: a population-based study. *Arch Iran Med* 2009;12:225–231.
- 83 Yotsuyanagi H, Yasuda K, Moriya K, Shintani Y, Fujie H, Tsutsumi T, Nojiri N, Juji T, Hoshino H, Shimoda K, Hino K, Kimura S, Iino S, Koike K: Frequent presence of HBV in the sera of HBsAg-negative, anti-HBc-positive blood donors. *Transfusion* 2001;41:1093–1099.
- 84 Allain JP, Candotti D: Diagnostic algorithm for HBV safe transfusion. *Blood Transfus* 2009;7:174–182.
- 85 Raimondo G, Navarra G, Mondello S, Costantino L, Colloredo G, Cucinotta E, Di Vita G, Scisca C, Squadraro G, Pollicino T: Occult hepatitis B virus in liver tissue of individuals without hepatic disease. *J Hepatol* 2008;48:743–746.
- 86 Yilmaz S, Unlu A, Cetinkaya RA, Yapar M, Avci IY, Yilmaz S, Eyigun CP: A strategic re-thinking on National Blood Donor Pool: Anti-HBc positivity related re-entry mechanisms. *Transfus Apher Sci* 2016;54: 271–275.
- 87 Juhl D, Luhm J, Görg S, Ziemann M, Hennig H: Evaluation of algorithms for the diagnostic assessment and the reentry of blood donors who tested reactive for antibodies against hepatitis B core antigen. *Transfusion* 2011;51:1477–1485.
- 88 Paul-Ehrlich-Institut: Bekanntmachung über die Zulassung von Arzneimitteln – Abwehr von Arzneimittelrisiken Stufe II – (Neufassung: Testung auf Antikörper gegen Hepatitis-B-Core-Antigen (anti-HBc) im Blutspendewesen) vom 07.02.2014. *Bundesanzeiger* 18.03.2014:B6. www.bundesanzeiger.de/ebanzwww/wexsservlet?page.navid=to_bookmark_official&bookmark_id=iHEHDDZB2tHfHHwGlab (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- 89 Kleinman SH, Strong DM, Tegtmeier GG, Holland PV, Gorlin JB, Cousins C, Chiacchierini RP, Pietrelli LA: Hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA screening of blood donations in minipools with the COBAS® Ampli-Screen HBV test. *Transfusion* 2005;45:1247–1257.
- 90 van de Laar TJ, Marijt-van der Kreek T, Molenaar-de Backer MW, Hogema BM, Zaaier HL: The yield of universal antibody to hepatitis B core antigen donor screening in the Netherlands, a hepatitis B virus low-endemic country. *Transfusion* 2015;55:1206–1213.
- 91 Kishk R, Nemr N, Elkady A, Mandour M, Aboelmagd M, Ramsis N, Hassan M, Soliman N, Iijima S, Murakami S, Tanaka Y, Ragheb M: Hepatitis B surface gene variants isolated from blood donors with overt and occult HBV infection in north eastern Egypt. *Virol J* 2015;12:153.
- 92 Ye X, Li T, Xu X, Du P, Zeng J, Zhu W, Yang B, Li C, Allain JP: Characterisation and follow-up study of occult hepatitis B virus infection in anti-HBc-positive qualified blood donors in southern China. *Blood Transfus* 2016;17:1–7.
- 93 Vermeulen M, Dickens C, Lelie N, Walker E, Coleman C, Keyter M, Reddy R, Crookes R, Kramvis A: Hepatitis B virus transmission by blood transfusion during 4 years of individual-donation nucleic acid testing in South Africa: estimated and observed window period risk. *Transfusion* 2012;52:880–892.

- 94 Servant-Delmas A, Mercier M, El Ghouzzi MH, Girault A, Bouchardeau F, Pillonel J, Laperche S: National survey of hepatitis B virus (HBV) polymorphism in asymptomatic HBV blood donors from 1999 to 2007 in France. *Transfusion* 2010;50:2607–2618.
- 95 Standard italiani di medicina trasfusionale, rev. 01, II ed., anno 2010 www.simti.it/linee_guida.aspx?ok=1 (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- 96 Ministero Della Salute: Decreto 2 novembre 2015: Disposizioni relative ai requisiti di qualità e sicurezza del sangue e degli emocomponenti. (GU Serie Generale n.300 del 28–12–2015. Suppl. Ordinario n.69 www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2015/12/28/15A09709/sg (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- 97 Sommesse L, Sabia C, Esposito A, Iannone C, Montesano ML, Napoli C: Comparison of performance of two *Treponema pallidum* automated chemiluminescent immunoassays in blood donors. *Infect Dis (Lond)* 2016;48:483–487.
- 98 Sommesse L, Sabia C, Paolillo R, Parente D, Capuano M, Iannone C, Cavalca F, Schiano C, Vasco M, De Pascale MR, Casamassimi A, Napoli C: Screening tests for hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and human immunodeficiency virus in blood donors: evaluation of two chemiluminescent immunoassay systems. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2014;46:660–664.
- 99 Katz L, Strong DM, Tegtmeier G, Stramer S: Performance of an algorithm for the reentry of volunteer blood donors deferred due to false-positive test results for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen. *Transfusion* 2008;48:2315–2322.
- 100 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research: Guidance for Industry: Revised Recommendations for Reducing the Risk of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Transmission by Blood and Blood Products. 2015. www.fda.gov/downloads/Biologics/BloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/Blood/UCM446580.pdf (last accessed April 21, 2017).
- 101 Piccinini V, Facco G, Catalano L, Pupella S, Grazzini G: Transfusion transmitted infections in Italy: blood donors epidemiological surveillance. Report 2013 Roma: Istituto Superiore di Sanità. 2014. (Rapporti ISTISAN 14/26) www.iss.it/binary/publ/cont/14_26_web.pdf (last accessed April 21, 2017).