Albumin-Bilirubin Grade and Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treatment Algorithm

The selection of treatment options for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) requires critical consideration of hepatic functional reserve in addition to tumor burden (e.g., size and number of HCC nodules [tumor node metastasis stage]). Presently, the Child-Pugh classification is the most common worldwide measure used to assess hepatic functional reserve for the selection of treatment options for HCC [1]. The Child-Pugh classification is used in the American (AASLD [2]), European (EASL-EORTC [3]), Asian (APASL [4]), and Japanese (consensus-based [5, 6] and evidence-based [7–9]) guidelines.

However, there are several issues associated with the Child-Pugh scoring system. First, it includes the subjective criteria of hepatic encephalopathy and ascites in addition to albumin and bilirubin levels. Second, the classification of albumin levels and ascites together is not adequate because they are interrelated factors. Furthermore, converting prothrombin time into the international normalized ratio produces a score of 1 in many patients. Nonetheless, the Child-Pugh score remains the standard measure for selecting treatment options for HCC in clinical practice and clinical trials.

In Japan, a classification called the liver damage grade, which was developed by the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan (LCSGJ), has been used historically instead of the Child-Pugh score [10]. This classification resembles the Child-Pugh classification but replaces 1 of the 5 factors (hepatic encephalopathy) by the results from the indocyanine green retention test at

It is considered a more accurate assessment of hepatic functional reserve than the Child-Pugh classification. The difference between the 2 systems is particularly marked when comparing candidates for hepatectomy; namely, patients with Child-Pugh class A and liver damage grade A. Studies show that Child-Pugh class A is broader and encompasses a larger patient population than liver damage grade A [11, 12]. Liver damage grade is also superior to the Child-Pugh classification for determining whether hepatectomy is indicated [12]. The ICG R15, a factor in the liver damage grading system, is also important for determining the resectable area from the perspective of hepatic functional reserve [13–15]. Makuuchi et al. [15] established the Makuuchi criteria for determining the method of hepatectomy (enucleation, partial hepatectomy, subsegmentectomy, segmentectomy, or resection of 2 or more segments) based on the ICG R15 and total bilirubin values. The Makuuchi criteria enable a safe hepatectomy; therefore, this approach has become the gold standard for selecting the method of hepatectomy not only in Japan [15, 16] but also in other countries [17]. However, there are problems associated with the liver damage grade. First, obtaining ICG R15 values requires injection of ICG in addition to blood collection. Second, injection of ICG for the ICG test can induce anaphylactic shock. Third, accurate values cannot be calculated for patients with marked constitutional jaundice or a portosystemic shunt. Therefore, the ICG R15 test is rarely performed prior to nonsurgical treatments, such as radiofrequency ablation or transcatheter arterial chemoembolization, in patients with relatively good liver function, even in Japan. This is also why the Japanese Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines list both the Child-Pugh classification and liver damage grade as methods for assessing hepatic functional reserve. The liver damage grade is useful for determining whether hepatectomy is indicated and for determining the size of the liver allowing resection, but it is not useful for the application of nonsurgical treatment.

The albumin-bilirubin (ALBI) grade was proposed as a means of overcoming the previously described issues with the Child-Pugh classification and liver damage grade by calculating a score based on recent albumin and bilirubin levels [18]. This has since then been the subject of many studies [19–25], with the conclusion that the ALBI grade is more accurate than the Child-Pugh classification for assessing hepatic functional reserve.

Hiraoka et al. [26] performed the first study comparing the ALBI grade with the LCGJ liver damage grade, and the results were reported in this issue of Liver Cancer. Consistent with previous studies, Hiraoka et al. [26] showed that the ALBI grade was superior to the Child-Pugh classification. They also found that the ALBI grade can assess hepatic functional reserve with comparable efficacy to the liver damage grade. In addition, patients with ALBI scores of 1 and 2 showed a distribution of ICG R15 levels similar to that of patients with liver damage grades A and B. The ALBI score was well correlated with the ICG R15 ($r = 0.616, p < 0.001$), making it possible to roughly estimate the ICG R15 from the ALBI score. Based on the Makuuchi criteria, patient cohorts with an ICG R15 <10, 10–19, and 20–30% are considered candidates for two-segmentectomy, segmentectomy, and subsegmentectomy, respectively. Although the ICG R15 is an important factor for determining the resectable area, Hiraoka et al. [26] found that the ALBI score can also be used to identify groups corresponding to such ranges of ICG R15 values. Specifically, they found that the ALBI score for predicting an ICG R15 of <10% was −2.623 (AUC, 0.798), the ALBI score for predicting an ICG R15 of 10–20% was −2.470 (AUC, 0.791), and the ALBI score for predicting an ICG R15 of 20–30% was −2.222 (AUC, 0.843). Although they noted that performing an ICG R15 test may be an additional option, particularly for patients who require resection, they showed that the ALBI score can fundamentally replace the liver damage grade, since the ICG R15 can be correctly predicted by the ALBI score.

In the Japanese evidence-based treatment algorithm [7], liver damage grade is used only when hepatectomy will be performed, whereas the Child-Pugh classification is used for
patients receiving nonsurgical treatment [9, 27–29]. However, this is essentially a double standard, which is undesirable for clinical practice guidelines. Hiraoka et al. [26] proposed that the ALBI grade is a better measure to address this issue because the score is highly accurate for both nonsurgical and surgical treatments. This is a reasonable and clear-cut message.

In conclusion, the use of the ALBI grade instead of the Child-Pugh classification or liver damage grade to assess hepatic functional reserve for determining treatment options for HCC is a rational proposal not only in clinical practice but also as part of the guidelines. However, it may take some time for clinicians to widely adopt the ALBI grade in the clinical setting because it was only recently introduced into clinical practice.
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