A Foreign Body (Toothbrush) in the Esophagus of a Patient with Hiatal Hernia
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Abstract
Toothbrush ingestion is rare and most commonly seen in patients with psychiatric comorbidities and in young women with a medical history of eating disorders who try to induce emesis. Long ingested objects, such as a toothbrush, cannot pass the gastrointestinal tract spontaneously and require endoscopic removal or even a surgical approach in cases of unsuccessful endoscopic removal or complication development. We present a case of a 71-year-old male with hiatal hernia without psychiatric or neurological comorbidity who accidentally ingested a toothbrush during oral hygiene routine. After X-ray confirmation, the toothbrush was removed endoscopically.

Introduction
Foreign body ingestion is commonly seen in clinical practice and treated by otolaryngology and gastroenterology specialists. Ingestion of true foreign bodies (i.e., nonfood objects) occurs more commonly in children than in adults [1]. In adults, foreign body ingestion appears usually in patients with intellectual impairment, psychiatric illness, alcohol intoxica-
A 71-year-old Caucasian male presented to the ENT emergency department 1 h after accidentally swallowing a toothbrush during his oral hygiene routine. He denied that he had tried to induce emesis. The patient did not have any previous medical history of psychiatric or neurologic illness. There was no history of eating disorder, and alcohol or drug intoxication was not suspected. The patient mentioned a stomach operation performed 4 months ago in another clinic. Based on his medical history, the above-mentioned surgical procedure was most likely due to hiatal hernia, but there was no available medical documentation to confirm it. On presentation, the patient reported mild discomfort in the neck and chest region. Vital signs were within normal limits: blood pressure, 140/90 mm Hg; breathing, 15 breaths/min; pulse, 80 beats/min; oxygen saturation, 98%. The patient was afebrile (36.5°C). On lung auscultation, there were normal low-pitched vesicular breath sounds over most of the peripheral lung fields. On oropharyngeal examination of the buccal mucosa, the hard and soft palate as well as pharyngeal mucosa appeared without signs of acute injury caused by a foreign body (e.g., laceration). Indirect laryngoscopy examination showed moderate pooling of saliva in both pyriform fossae. The interarytenoid mucosa appeared slightly edematous and hyperemic. Laboratory results revealed inflammatory parameters within the normal range (white blood cell count, $6 \times 10^9$/L; CRP 2 mg/L). Plain X-ray of the neck, thorax, and abdomen was performed. A plain X-ray study confirmed the presence of a foreign body at the sternoclavicular joint level (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). On profile view, the foreign body was confirmed to be in the esophagus, behind the trachea. Paracardiac opacity with air fluid level was also observed due to hiatal hernia. A gastroenterology specialist was consulted, and after patient informed consent, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed. After visualization using a polypectomy snare, the toothbrush was successfully removed. The procedure lasted 10 min and was uneventful. The length of the extracted toothbrush was 20 cm. Six hours later, the repeated endoscopy procedure did not show evidence of mucosal lesions of the esophagus. After a 12-h observation, the patient was discharged home.
esophageal sphincter, pylorus, ileocecal valve, and duodenal sweep. Objects greater than 5–6 cm cannot pass the duodenal sweep [1]. With foreign bodies impacted in the esophagus for more than 24 h, the risk of perforation increases 14.1 times [13]. The risk of complication for ingested sharp-pointed objects is up to 35% [11]. The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Clinical Guideline classified swallowed foreign bodies into different types: blunt objects (coins, buttons, magnets, etc.), sharp-pointed objects (needles, toothpicks, bones, partial dentures, razor blades, etc.), long objects (soft: strings, cords; hard objects: toothbrushes, pens, pencils), food bolus, and others. There is strong recommendation to perform plain radiography, if ingestion of a radiopaque object is suspected or the type of object is unknown. CT is recommended in all patients with suspected perforation or other complications that may require surgery. Barium swallow should not be performed due to the risk of aspiration and worsening of the endoscopic visualization [1]. It is important to note that perforation can be seen on X-ray, but the imaging data can be similar to hiatal hernia and therefore lead to misdiagnosis of a coexisting hiatal hernia as esophageal perforation [14, 15]. Due to this fact, appropriate medical history should be taken. Regarding the endoscopic measures, ESGE recommends emergent (within 6 h) therapeutic esophagogastroduodenoscopy for foreign bodies inducing complete esophageal obstruction, sharp pointed-objects, or batteries in the esophagus and urgent (within 24 h) therapeutic esophagogastro-duodenoscopy for sharp-pointed objects, magnets, and large/long objects in the stomach.

In the case of ingested long foreign bodies such as a toothbrush, early endoscopy and removal is necessary.

Conclusion

An ingested toothbrush cannot pass the gastrointestinal tract spontaneously and necessitates medical intervention. The presence of an ingested toothbrush can be determined by plain X-ray, which should be followed by immediate endoscopic removal. A surgical approach is recommended in cases in which endoscopic removal fails or if complications develop.
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Fig. 1. Radiopaque part of the toothbrush is seen at the sternoclavicular joint level on plain X-ray (arrow).
Fig. 2. Radiopaque part of the toothbrush is seen at the sternoclavicular joint level on plain X-ray (arrow).