Bifidobacterium-Rich Fecal Donor May Be a Positive Predictor for Successful Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome

Shinta Mizuno\textsuperscript{a} Tatsuhiro Masaoka\textsuperscript{a} Makoto Naganuma\textsuperscript{a} Taishiro Kishimoto\textsuperscript{b} Momoko Kitazawa\textsuperscript{c} Shunya Kurokawa\textsuperscript{b} Moeko Nakashima\textsuperscript{a} Kozue Takeshita\textsuperscript{a, d} Wataru Suda\textsuperscript{d, f} Masaru Mimura\textsuperscript{b} Masahira Hattori\textsuperscript{e, f} Takanori Kanai\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, \textsuperscript{b}Department of Psychiatry, \textsuperscript{c}Department of Ophthalmology, and \textsuperscript{d}Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Keio University School of Medicine, and \textsuperscript{e}Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Tokyo, and \textsuperscript{f}Laboratory of Metagenomics, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, University of Tokyo, Chiba, Japan

Keywords
Irritable bowel syndrome · Fecal microbiota transplantation · Bifidobacterium · Dysbiosis · Intestinal microbiota

Abstract
Background/Aims: Dysbiosis is associated with various systemic disorders including irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) might restore intestinal microbial balance. The study aimed to determine the safety and efficacy of FMT in IBS patients, as well as also positive predictors for FMT. Methods: This was a single-arm, open-label study. Eligible patients were diagnosed based on Rome III Diagnostic Criteria. Fecal materials were administered to the patient via colonoscopy. The primary end point was a change in the Bristol stool form scale at 4 weeks after FMT. Recovery to types 3–4 was considered a clinical response. The secondary end point was a change in intestinal microbiota and psychological status using the Hamilton Rating Scale. Results: Ten patients were enrolled. Six patients achieved a clinical response. The diversity of patients 4 weeks after FMT increased significantly compared with patients before FMT, and that of responding patients was significantly higher than non-responder patients. The abundance of Bifidobacterium in effective donors was significantly higher than in ineffective donors and patients. Psychological status of all patients was significantly improved after FMT. Conclusions: FMT for patients with IBS is safe, and relatively effective. Bifidobacterium-rich fecal donor may be a positive predictor for successful FMT. Key Summary: (1) Dysbiosis is associated with various gastrointestinal disorders including IBS. (2) FMT has potential to restore intestinal microbial balance. (3) We showed that FMT improved stool form and psychological status of IBS patients. (4) Bifidobacterium-rich donor efficiently induced symbiosis in IBS patients.

Introduction

Functional gastrointestinal disorders are characterized by the presence of symptoms and the absence of organic and structural abnormalities that readily explain the symptoms. Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a func-
Recent studies reported that the composition of intestinal microbiota in IBS patients differed from that in healthy controls [3, 4]. Changes in intestinal environment induce a compositional imbalance of microbiota, termed “dysbiosis,” which is associated with IBS [5, 6]. Current studies on microbiota gut-brain interactions demonstrated that compositional changes in the intestinal microbiota are associated with relevant abnormal gastrointestinal and brain-gut axis functions in IBS patients [7, 8]. Visceral hypersensitivity is thought to be a pathophysiological feature in IBS that induces intensified signals from the gastrointestinal tract to the brain. This signal pathway leads to the augmentation symptomatic response in patients with IBS. A recent study suggested that intestinal microbiota and psychological distress at work were mediators of visceral hypersensitivity [9]. Based on these findings, there have been many attempts to improve IBS symptoms by the manipulation of intestinal microbiota. The administration of probiotics is the traditional treatment for dysbiosis. Treatment with Bacteroides infantis 35624 alleviated symptoms in IBS patients [10]. Fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols (FODMAPs) can induce symptoms and low FODMAP diets improve IBS symptoms [11, 12]. Recently, McIntosh et al. [13] showed that low FODMAP diets altered the metabolome in IBS patients and increased Actinobacteria richness and diversity. However, there are some concerns with this approach because dysbiosis might be induced when using antibiotics to treat IBS. Previous clinical trials have reported the beneficial effect of probiotics in IBS patients, but efficacy was limited. Human feces contain more varied bacteria than probiotics. Therefore, human fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) may be a candidate treatment to improve dysbiosis in patients with IBS. In recurrent Clostridium difficile infections, FMT had a higher cure rate than standard antibiotic treatment [14], and previous reports showed that FMT might restore intestinal microbial balance in human diseases [15].

To date, few studies have evaluated whether FMT is effective in IBS patients [16]. Furthermore, recent reports showed that the intestinal microbiome in the Japanese population is considerably different from those of other populations [17]. We already safely conducted FMT for Japanese patients with ulcerative colitis [18]. To clarify the efficacy of FMT in Japanese patients with IBS, we performed a single-arm, open-label, non-randomized study of FMT with metagenomic and psychological analyses.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics**

The Ethics Committee at Keio University School of Medicine approved the protocol (#20130488), and all participants provided written informed consent. The study was registered at the University hospital Medical Information Network Center (UMIN000014617).

**Study Design**

In this single-center, open-label, non-randomized study, the safety and efficacy of FMT was evaluated in patients with refractory IBS who did not respond to medical therapy. Clinical follow-up was performed until 12 weeks after FMT.

**Participants**

Patients with IBS, eligible patients were 20 years or older with IBS diagnosed based on Rome III Diagnostic Criteria [19]. Patients with persistent symptoms despite medical therapy for at least a year were enrolled. Patients were excluded if they were pregnant or unable to give informed consent, and if they suffered severe liver or renal dysfunction. Patients who could not undergo endoscopy because of a psychiatric disorder were also excluded.

Donors, as we previously reported [18], healthy relatives within the second degree of relationship (≥20 years of age) were screened using stool and serology analysis for bacterial, parasitic, and viral pathogens.

**FMT Procedure**

As we previously reported [20], donors were instructed to collect feces in an AneroPack™ (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co. Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and to bring the pack to the hospital at 4°C on the day of the scheduled FMT. Approximately 100 g of feces was collected from donors, dissolved in 200 mL of saline, and filtered through a metal strainer to make liquid slurry. Fecal materials were administered to the patient via colonoscopy following standard bowel preparation.

**Clinical Outcomes**

The primary end point was a change in the Bristol stool form scale 4 weeks after FMT. Recovery to types 3–4 was considered a clinical response [21]. The secondary end point was a change in intestinal microbiota and psychiatric status during 12 weeks after FMT. Psychiatric status was assessed using the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D) and Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) respectively by well-trained psychologist and/or psychiatrist in a blinded manner [22, 23]. The study procedure is shown in Figure 1a.
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Fecal Sample Collection

Fecal samples were longitudinally collected from patients at weeks 0, 4, and 12 after FMT, and from donors on the day of FMT. A total of 40 fecal samples were collected from patients and donors. The collected fresh feces were stored under anaerobic conditions in an Aneropack™ at 4 °C. The feces were frozen in 20% glycerol (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan)/phosphate-buffered saline solution (Life Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) by liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C until usage.

Recovery of Bacteria from Fecal Samples and Bacterial DNA Extraction

Bacterial DNA was prepared as described previously [17]. In brief, bacterial DNA was extracted by enzymatic lysis using lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich Co. LCC, Tokyo, Japan) and achromopeptidase (Wako). DNA samples were then purified by treatment with ribonuclease A (Wako), followed by precipitation with 20% polyethylene glycol solution (PEG6000 in 2.5 M sodium chloride). DNA was then pelleted by centrifugation, rinsed with 75% ethanol, and dissolved in tris-ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid buffer.

Sequencing and Processing of Bacterial 16S rRNA Genes from Fecal DNA

Multiplexed 16S amplicon sequencing was performed on the MiSeq Illumina platform; 16S rRNA gene V1-V2 region was amplified by PCR using the primers 27Fmod and 338R containing Illumina adaptor sequences and a unique 8-bp barcode. PCR amplicons were purified by AMPure XP magnetic purification beads (Beckman Coulter, Inc.), and quantified using the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit. Equal amount of each PCR amplicon was mixed and then sequenced using MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (600-cycles). Based on sample specific barcodes, obtained reads were assigned to each sample and paired-end reads were merged using fastq-join program. Reads with an average quality value <25, mismatches to both universal primers, and possible chimeric reads were removed. Among the high-quality reads, 3,000 per sample were randomly selected and grouped into operational taxonomic units by clustering using the UCLUST algorithm with a 96% identity threshold. Taxonomic assignments for each operational taxonomic unit were made by similarity search against the public 16S and NCBI genome databases using the GLSEARCH program. For assignment at the phylum, family, genus, and species levels, sequence similarity thresholds of 70, 90, 94, and 96% were employed, respectively. All the high-quality 16S V1-V2 sequences analyzed in this study were deposited into the DDBJ/GenBank/EMBL database with accession number DRA005522. UniFrac distance and Principal coordinate analysis were used to assess the similarity of microbiota structures between each pair of samples.

Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as the mean ± SD. Groups of data were compared using the Student t test. For multiple comparisons, statistical analysis was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis or one-way analysis of variance test depending on the distribution of the data. Post hoc analysis was performed by the Tukey-Kramer test. Differences were considered statistically significant when the p value was <0.05. All analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism software version 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

Patient Enrollment

We enrolled 10 patients from July 2014 through February 2016, comprising 8 patients with diarrhea-pre-
Table 1. Patients’ and donors’ baseline characteristics. Disease type was defined according to Rome III diagnostic criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients’ characteristics (n = 10)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median (range)</td>
<td>40.1 (25–57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M:F, n</td>
<td>7:3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years, median (range)</td>
<td>13.9 (5–40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease type, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS-D</td>
<td>8 (80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS-C</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS-M</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donors’ characteristics (n = 10)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, median (range)</td>
<td>52 (23–79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M:F, n</td>
<td>4:6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibling</td>
<td>3 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | | |
| IBS-D, IBS with diarrhea; IBS-C, IBS with constipation; IBS-M, mixed IBS. |

dominant IBS, 1 with constipation-predominant IBS, and 1 with mixed-type IBS. The patient and donor clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Donor Screening

Screening of 11 healthy subjects for stool pathogens and serology resulted in 10 eligible donors. One patient was excluded because of positive screening results for infectious agents in feces. All donors donated feces that were used for infusion to patients. The mean age of donor tended to be higher than the age of the patient (p = 0.09). There was no significant difference in sex between donors and patients.

Improvement of Stool form after FMT

Six patients achieved a clinical response. The change in the stool form scale is shown in Figure 1b. The stool scale of 1 patient in the responder group worsened at week 12, and no patients in the non-responder group achieved a clinical response at week 12 (online suppl. Fig. 1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000471919). There were no adverse events in this study.

These results suggested that our single FMT protocol had the potential to improve stool form in patients with IBS, but that the effect was sustained for a limited time.

FMT Improved the Diversity of Intestinal Microbiota in Responders

Intestinal microbial profiling was conducted by extracting genomic DNA from patient and donor fecal samples: 30 samples were collected from 10 patients and 10 samples were collected from 10 donors. The diversity of fecal microbiota in patients increased significantly at the 4-week post-FMT evaluation (p = 0.03; Fig. 2a left), although there was no significant difference between donors and patients at baseline. Four weeks after FMT, the diversity of microbiota in responders was significantly higher than that of non-responders (p = 0.02; Fig. 2a right). Redundancy analysis of microbiota showed no significant change before and after FMT (Fig. 2b, c). These results suggested that there was a relationship between restoring the diversity of the microbiota in patients and the therapeutic effects of FMT.

FMT Restored the Abundance of Bifidobacterium in Responders

When comparing patient samples at baseline with samples from donors for responders (effective donors) and donors for non-responder (ineffective donors), taxonomic profiles showed that the phylum Firmicutes was dominant in almost all the donors and in patients with no significant difference. Of note, the abundance of the phylum Actinobacteria in effective donors was significantly higher than that of ineffective donors (p = 0.02) and patients (p = 0.01). Conversely, there was no significant difference between ineffective donors and patients (Fig. 3a). As shown in Figure 3b, the abundance of Actinobacteria in patients did not recover to the levels of donors at 4 weeks after FMT in the responder group, while it was much higher in donors compared with patients at baseline (p = 0.01). There was no significant difference in the non-responder group. Changes in the abundance of Actinobacteria between individuals demonstrated an upward trend after FMT in responders, but it was not seen in non-responders (online suppl. Fig. 2a). Changes in the abundance of Firmicutes between individuals showed a reverse trend (online suppl. Fig. 2b).

The abundance of the genus Bifidobacterium, which belongs to the phylum Actinobacteria, in effective donors was significantly higher than in ineffective donors (p = 0.007) and patients (p = 0.02) but there was no significant difference between ineffective donors and patients (Fig. 3c). Figure 3d shows that the abundance of Bifidobacterium in patients did not recover to the levels of donors at 4 weeks after FMT in responders, while the abundance of Bifidobacterium in donors was significantly higher than in patients at baseline (p = 0.02). There was no significant difference among the 3 groups in the non-responders. Changes in the abundance of Bifidobacterium demonstrated an upward trend after FMT in responders, but were not seen in non-responders (online suppl. Fig. 2c).
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These results indicated that the abundance of the genus *Bifidobacterium* in donor feces was related to the therapeutic efficacy of FMT, but the increase in *Bifidobacterium* in patients did not correlate with the therapeutic efficacy of FMT.

**FMT Improved Psychiatric Status and Abdominal Symptom in Both Responders and Non-Responders**

Patients with IBS often suffer from psychiatric symptoms [24]. Thus, HAM-D before and at 4 and 12 weeks after FMT was assessed. Psychological assessments for 1 responder and 1 non-responder were not done. The HAM-D score significantly improved at 4 week after FMT ($p = 0.01$), but returned to the baseline level at 12 weeks (Fig. 4a left). HAM-A was also assessed and was improved at 4 weeks after FMT, but there was no significant difference ($p = 0.06$; Fig. 4b left). Intriguingly, change in HAM-D and HAM-A scores showed no significant difference between responders and non-responders (Fig. 4a, b right), but gastrointestinal symptoms including abdominal pain after FMT evaluated with HAM-A were significantly improved in responders, not in non-responders.
Fig. 3. Analysis of the microbiome. **a** Comparison of 7 fecal bacteria at the phylum level in effective donors (n = 6), ineffective donors (n = 4) and all patients (n = 10). **b** Abundance of Actinobacteria in donors and patients before and at 4 and 12 weeks after FMT in responders (n = 6) and non-responders (n = 4). **c** Comparison of the top 7 fecal bacteria at the genus level in effective donors (n = 6), ineffective donors (n = 4) and all patients (n = 10). **d** Abundance of Bifidobacterium in donors and patients before and at 4 and 12 weeks after FMT in responders (n = 6) and non-responders (n = 4). Average OTU abundance is shown for each group. OTU, operational taxonomic unit; ns, not significant.
ers (Fig. 4c; online suppl. Fig. 3a). We also analyzed the changes in abundance of Actinobacteria of each patient at baseline and at 4 and 12 weeks after FMT. Effective patients were defined as patients achieved improvement in gastrointestinal symptom, but there is no significant correlation between the changes in abundance of Actinobacteria and the improvement of gastrointestinal symptoms after FMT (online suppl. Fig. 3b).

These results suggest that FMT has a therapeutic effect for IBS patients’ psychiatric status irrespective of the presence or absence of stool abnormalities, but the effect may not be sustained for 12 weeks.

**Discussion**

This open-label pilot study demonstrated that FMT for patients with IBS was conducted safe, and relatively effective; 6 of 10 patients recovered to types 3–4 of stool score at 4 weeks after FMT. The metagenomic analysis in this study revealed that (1) the decreased diversity of microbiota in patients before FMT was recovered to the level of the donor after FMT, and (2) the efficacy of FMT was clearly dependent on the status of increased Actinobacteria phylum (especially Bifidobacterium genus) in donor feces, but not on changes in the composition of patient feces (Fig. 4; online suppl. Fig. 3a).
microbiota before or after FMT. Surprisingly, we also found that FMT significantly improved the psychological status after FMT irrespective of IBS responders and non-responders.

This is the first study to analyze the composition of intestinal microbiota in donors and patients and the change in microbiota composition before and after FMT in IBS patients. The number of enrolled patient was small but sufficient for the first registered trial to evaluate the efficacy of FMT in addition to the safety profile in IBS patients.

In this study, we attempted to extend the application of FMT for intractable intestinal diseases. The results of 2 RCT for ulcerative colitis patients were controversial [25, 26]. In one positive RCT, researchers used feces from one specific healthy individual after they observed that the specific donor feces were effective in an on-going study, suggesting the concept of a “super-donor” for successful FMT. Similarly, in this study, we found that one of the positive predictors for the efficacy of FMT for IBS patients was a donor factor; specific donors that contained high amounts of the Bifidobacterium genus were effective for IBS patients. This suggests that FMT using specific donor feces rich in Bifidobacterium genus may stimulate patient microbiota to recover from decreased diversity to the microbiota level of the donor. Although further studies are warranted, these data suggest that the selection of donor feces is a crucial factor for the success of FMT. A recent study showed that the stimulation, but not replacement, of recipient microbiota by donor feces was important as a therapeutic mechanism of FMT [27]. Taken together with the findings of our current study, fecal microbiota “stimulation” of recipient microbiota by donor feces, but not fecal microbiota “transplantation” of donor feces, seems to be an appropriate term for fecal microbiota transfer protocols that are currently used.

In addition to intestinal diseases, recent studies have shown that gut microbiota in patients with some extraintestinal disorders differed from that of healthy individuals. One placebo-controlled RCT of FMT in metabolic syndrome patients showed that insulin sensitivity was significantly increased after FMT [28]. Moreover, another group performed a pilot study of FMT in 4 patients with acute graft-vs.-host disease receiving bone marrow transplantation [29]. Previous studies showed that changes in intestinal microbiota altered patients’ psychiatric status [30], and modulation of the relationship between stress and intestinal microbiota composition altered brain functions [31]. A recent study suggested that Bifidobacterium counts in the gut microbiota of patients with major depressive disorder were significantly decreased compared with those of healthy volunteers [32]. A randomized controlled trial was conducted on the effect of probiotics on the neurophysiological parameters of patients with autism spectrum disorders [33]. There have also been some case reports of FMT for neurogenic disorders [34]. Our results suggested that FMT for IBS patients has the potential to improve their psychiatric status. A previous study demonstrated that patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS and depression had similar alterations in fecal microbiota. Together with our study, these results suggest that FMT may be effective for patients with depression. Furthermore, short-chain fructo-oligosaccharides significantly reduced anxiety scores and increased fecal Bifidobacterium [35]. We showed that the abundance of Bifidobacterium tended to increase after FMT in responders. Furthermore, the improved stool form of patients with IBS was associated with the increase in Bifidobacterium. We also showed that the decreased diversity of microbiota in patients before FMT recovered to the level of the donor after FMT. These data suggest that Bifidobacterium-rich donor feces may stimulate the growth of minor strains in patient microbiota that were undetectable before FMT to increase their diversity after FMT.

In addition to analysis of abdominal symptoms, we assessed the psychiatric status of patients. Surprisingly, the HAM-D score was decreased in 7 of 8 patients at 4 weeks after FMT irrespective of whether they responded to FMT. This indicated that the improved clinical symptoms of IBS patients were not caused by the placebo effect but a change in intestinal microbiota. The therapeutic effect of FMT on patients with psychological disorders was sustained for a limited time, up to 4 weeks after FMT, and the abundance of Bifidobacterium tended to decrease from 4 to 12 weeks after FMT (online suppl. Fig. 2c). This result indicated that the improvement of abdominal symptoms of patients with IBS depended on intestinal microbial change caused by FMT.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that FMT could improve of the stool form and depressed mood and/or anxiety via alterations in intestinal microbiota. Notably, Bifidobacterium-rich donor feces may stimulate minor strains of the patient microbiota growth that were undetectable before FMT to increase their diversity after FMT. Although large-scale RCTs are required, the current study highlights the potential of FMT as a therapeu-
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