Case and Review

Recognition of Extraperitoneal Colonic Perforation following Colonoscopy: A Review of the Literature

Abhinav Tiwari Himani Sharma Khola Qamar Thomas Sodeman
Ali Nawras

University of Toledo Medical Center, Toledo, OH, USA

Keywords

Extraperitoneal colonic perforation · Colonoscopy · Ulcerative colitis · Literature review · Symptoms

Abstract

Colon perforation is an uncommon but serious complication of colonoscopy. It may occur as either intraperitoneal or extraperitoneal perforation or in combination. The majority of colonic perforations are intraperitoneal, causing air and intracolonic contents to leak into the peritoneal space. Rarely, colonic perforation can be extraperitoneal, leading to the passage of air into the retroperitoneal space causing pneumoretroperitoneum, pneumomediastinum, pneumopericardium, pneumothorax, and subcutaneous emphysema. A literature review revealed that 31 cases of extraperitoneal perforation exist, out of which 20 cases also reported concomitant intraperitoneal perforation. We report the case of a young female with a history of ulcerative colitis who developed combined intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal perforation after colonoscopy. We also report the duration of onset of symptoms, clinical features, imag-

ing findings, site of leak, and treatment administered in previously reported cases of extraperitoneal colonic perforation.

© 2017 The Author(s)
Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Colonoscopy is a commonly performed procedure for the diagnosis and treatment of a wide range of conditions and symptoms and for the screening and surveillance of colorectal neoplasia. Colonic perforation occurs in 0.03–0.8% of colonoscopies [1, 2] and is the most feared complication with a mortality rate as high as 25% [1]. It may result from mechanical forces against the bowel wall, barotrauma, or as a direct result of therapeutic procedures. Colon perforation may occur as either intraperitoneal or extraperitoneal perforation or in combination. The majority of colonic perforations are intraperitoneal, causing air and intracolonic contents to leak into the peritoneal space. This manifests as persistent abdominal pain and abdominal distention, later progressing to peritonitis. A plain radiograph may demonstrate free air under the diaphragm.

Rarely, colonic perforation can be extraperitoneal, leading to the passage of air into the retroperitoneal space, which then diffuses along the fascial planes and large vessels causing pneumoretroperitoneum, pneumomediastinum, pneumopericardium, pneumothorax, and subcutaneous emphysema. Such patients can have atypical presentation, including subcutaneous crepitus, neck swelling, chest pain, and shortness of breath after colonoscopy. The combination of intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal perforation has also been reported. A literature review revealed that 31 cases of extraperitoneal perforation exist, out of which 20 cases also reported concomitant intraperitoneal perforation. We report the case of a young female with a history of ulcerative colitis (UC) who developed combined intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal perforation after colonoscopy. We also report the duration of onset of symptoms, clinical features, imaging findings, site of leak, and treatment administered in previously reported cases of extraperitoneal colonic perforation.

Case Presentation

A 41-year-old Caucasian female with a history of UC on vedolizumab presented with complaints of 7–10 daily episodes of watery diarrhea for 2 days associated with crampy, intermittent lower abdominal pain and subjective fever without any chills. She denied any recent hospitalization, antibiotic exposure, travel, or sick contact. She appeared cachectic with a body mass index of 18.7 and reported a 15-pound weight loss over the last 2 months. On examination, she had a temperature of 100.4° F, a blood pressure of 110/78 mm Hg, and a heart rate of 105/min, and abdominal exam revealed hyperactive bowel sounds, diffuse tenderness without guarding, rigidity, or rebound tenderness. Notable lab abnormalities included erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 43 mm/h, white blood cell count of $12.6 \times 10^9/L$, hemoglobin of 10.8 g/dL, and albumin of 2.6 g/dL. Stool *Clostridium difficile* PCR and ova/parasites screen were negative. Computerized tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen demonstrated diffuse colitis with a transverse colon diameter of 2.4 cm and right-sided py-

elonephritis. Given her clinical features and evidence of colitis on imaging, she was thought to be having an exacerbation of UC and was started on intravenous (IV) normal saline, IV methylprednisolone, and IV ciprofloxacin/metronidazole for pyelonephritis. She reported considerable improvement in her symptoms, with the resolution of diarrhea and abdominal pain over the next few days. Her quality of life was poor due to multiple exacerbations of UC from medication noncompliance; therefore, surgical intervention was planned. Colonoscopy was performed on day 7 of hospitalization. The colonoscope was passed through the anus under direct visualization and was advanced with ease to the transverse colon. The scope was withdrawn, and the mucosa was carefully examined, which revealed mild colitis in the distal transverse colon, while the descending colon and the sigmoid colon showed severe colonic inflammation. The mucosa appeared cobblestoned, edematous, erythematous, and ulcerated. Biopsies were obtained from the sigmoid colon and descending colon. The quality of the preparation was good, and the patient tolerated the procedure well. Histology was consistent with UC.

The following day, she was noted to have subcutaneous emphysema of the chest wall. CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis revealed large pneumoperitoneum, pneumomediastinum, and pneumopericardium with air tracking all the way up into the neck (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). The patient remained asymptomatic. She had an exploratory laparotomy, was found to have transverse colon perforation, and underwent subtotal colectomy with end ileostomy. The patient had an uncomplicated postoperative course and was discharged home in stable condition.

Discussion

The perforation rate in diagnostic colonoscopy ranges from 0.03 to 0.8%, and in therapeutic colonoscopy it ranges from 0.15 to 3% [1, 2]. In the majority of cases, the perforation after a colonoscopy is intraperitoneal, and only a few cases reporting extraperitoneal perforation exist in the literature (Table 1).

Mechanism of Extraperitoneal Air Leak

In extraperitoneal perforation, extraluminal air may reach the different body compartments in neck and chest. Maunder et al. [3] described the route of extraperitoneal gas. The soft-tissue compartment of the neck, thorax, and abdomen contains 4 regions: (1) the subcutaneous tissue, (2) prevertebral tissue, (3) visceral space, and (4) previsceral space. These spaces are connected along the neck, chest, and abdomen. Air leaked into one of these spaces may pass into others along fascial planes and large vessels, eventually reaching the neck and pericardial, mediastinal, and pleural space.

Procedure Characteristics

Iatrogenic colonoscopic perforations can result from diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Diagnostic perforation is the result of mechanical disruption of the colonic wall induced directly by the tip of the endoscope or by considerable stretching of the bowel, especially when loops are formed or the endoscope is advanced by the slide-by technique. Therapeutic perforations can be induced by any intervention involving dilation or electrocoagula-

tion, including treatment of arteriovenous malformations and, most commonly, polypectomy [4, 5]. Out of 32 cases of extraperitoneal perforation (Table 1), 19 perforations (59%) occurred after diagnostic colonoscopy, and biopsies were obtained in 7 of them. Thirteen perforations (40%) were the result of colonoscopy involving some form of intervention, including polypectomy (Table 2).

Onset of Symptoms

Perforations can be detected immediately during the procedure by visualizing the perforation site, or the patient may become symptomatic after a few hours to days. On reviewing 32 cases of extraperitoneal perforations (Table 1), we found out that in 16 cases (52%), the perforation was detected within 1 h, in 9 cases (29%) within 1–24 h, and in 6 cases (19%) >24 h after the procedure. Development of subcutaneous emphysema presents with neck or facial swelling (which is readily visible); this may be the reason for earlier detection of extraperitoneal perforations (Table 2).

Symptoms of Extraperitoneal Perforation

After a regular colonoscopy, many patients experience some crampy abdominal pain because of retained air in the bowel. Intraperitoneal perforation can cause peritoneal irritation with rebound tenderness, rigidity of the abdomen, accompanied by fever, leukocytosis, and tachycardia. The most common presenting clinical feature of extraperitoneal colonic perforation was subcutaneous emphysema of the neck, face, or upper chest seen in 21 patients (65%), followed by abdominal pain seen in 11 patients (34%) and dyspnea in 8 patients (25%). Close to 10% of patients remained asymptomatic (Table 2). In cases of isolated extraperitoneal perforation, only 1 patient (8%) presented with abdominal pain.

Imaging

Of the 32 cases presented in Table 1, 29 patients (90%) had pneumomediastinum, 13 patients (40%) had pneumothorax, and 5 patients (15%) had pneumopericardium on chest X-ray or CT scan. Plain radiographs are usually diagnostic of perforations, but CT scan is recommended if findings are not definitive or if the presence of free air cannot be ruled out by radiographs alone.

Site of Perforation

The most common site of extraperitoneal perforation was rectosigmoid in 18 patients (56%) followed by the cecum in 3 cases (9%). Panteris et al. [6] also reported that the most frequent site of all types of perforation is the sigmoid followed by the cecum. The sigmoid colon is the most common site of perforation (1) as shearing forces applied during endoscope insertion cause trauma to the sigmoid colon and (2) as it is a common location of diverticula and polyps, both of which make mechanical or thermal injury more likely in this region. The cecum is well known to have a thinner muscular layer and a larger diameter than the rest of the bowel, both of which render it susceptible to barotraumas. Our patient had UC with friable colonic mucosa which predisposes to perforation.

Treatment and Prognosis

The decision whether surgery or nonoperative treatment should be employed will depend on the type of injury, the quality of bowel preparation, the underlying colonic pathology, and the clinical stability of the patient [7, 8]. A selected number of patients can be treated conservatively with bowel rest, IV antibiotics, and close observation [9–11]. Surgical options include primary repair of the perforated bowel segment or segmental resection [12]. Surgical intervention is more likely to be successful if the perforation is diagnosed earlier than 24 h after perforation; hence, early recognition and treatment are imperative [13, 14]. In cases of extraperitoneal perforation, 17 patients (53%) were treated conservatively, while 15 patients (47%) needed operative management. Twelve patients (60%) with combined intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal perforation needed surgical intervention (Table 2), while only 3 patients (25%) with isolated extraperitoneal perforation needed surgery. All patients recovered well with no reported mortality.

In summary, we described a case of combined intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal perforation after diagnostic colonoscopy in a patient with UC. A literature review of cases reporting extraperitoneal perforation revealed that the majority of such perforations were detected immediately after the procedure. Most patients presented with subcutaneous emphysema of the neck, face, or upper chest followed by abdominal pain. On imaging, pneumomediastinum was the most common finding, and the most common site of extraperitoneal perforation was the rectosigmoid area. Conservative treatment was successful in the majority of cases.

Therefore, physicians should be cognizant of the possibility of extraperitoneal perforation whenever a patient presents with subcutaneous emphysema, chest pain, and/or shortness of breath after colonoscopy. Abdominal pain is not seen in a majority of patients; therefore, an absence of abdominal pain and abdominal tenderness should not be a reason to exclude colonic perforation.

Statement of Ethics

The authors have no ethical conflicts to disclose.

Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Luning TH, Keemers-Gels ME, Barendregt WB, et al: Colonoscopic perforations: a review of 30,366 patients. *Surg Endosc* 2007;21:994–997.
- 2 Wullstein C, Koppen M, Gross E: Laparoscopic treatment of colonic perforations related to colonoscopy. *Surg Endosc* 1999;13:484–487.
- 3 Maunder RJ, Pierson DJ, Hudson LD: Subcutaneous and mediastinal emphysema, pathophysiology diagnosis and management. *Arch Intern Med* 1984;144:1447–1453.

Tiwari et al.: Recognition of Extraperitoneal Colonic Perforation following Colonoscopy: A Review of the Literature

- 4 Fatima H, Rex DK: Minimizing endoscopic complications: colonoscopic polypectomy. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2007;17:145–156, viii.
- 5 Wayne JD, Kahn O, Auerbach ME: Complications of colonoscopy and flexible sigmoidoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 1996;6:343–377.
- 6 Panteris V, Haringsma J, Kuipers EJ: Colonoscopy perforation rate, mechanisms and outcome: from diagnostic to therapeutic colonoscopy. *Endoscopy* 2009;41:941–951.
- 7 Damore LJ, Rantis PC, Vernava AM, et al: Colonoscopic perforations. Etiology, diagnosis, and management. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1996;39:1308–1314.
- 8 Anderson ML, Pasha TM, Leighton JA: Endoscopic perforation of the colon: lessons from a 10-year study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000;95:3418–3422.
- 9 Weber DJ, Rodney WM, Warren J: Management of suspected perforation following colonoscopy: a case report. *Fam Pract* 1993;36:567–571.
- 10 Wayne JD, Kahn O, Auerbach ME: Complications of colonoscopy and flexible sigmoidoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 1996;6:343–377.
- 11 Hall C, Dorricott NJ, Donovan IA, Neoptolemos JP: Colon perforation during colonoscopy: operative versus non-operative management. *Br J Surg* 1991;78:542–544.
- 12 Hansen AJ, Tessier DJ, Anderson ML, et al: Laparoscopic repair of colonoscopic perforations: indications and guidelines. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2007;11:655–659.
- 13 Iqbal CW, Chun YS, Farley DR: Colonoscopic perforations: a retrospective review. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2005;9:1229–1235.
- 14 Teoh AY, Poon CM, Lee JF, et al: Outcomes and predictors of mortality and stoma formation in operative management of colonoscopic perforations: a multicenter review. *Arch Surg* 2009;144:9–13.

Fig. 1. Computerized tomography of the chest and abdomen showing pneumomediastinum (broken arrow), pneumopericardium (white arrow), and pneumoperitoneum (black arrow).

Fig. 2. Computerized tomography of the abdomen (left) and chest showing pneumoperitoneum (black arrow), pneumomediastinum (broken arrow), and pneumopericardium (white arrow).

Table 1. Reported cases of extraperitoneal and combined colonic perforation after diagnostic and therapeutic colonoscopy

Pts	First author, year	Procedure type	Time of onset of symptoms	Clinical features	Imaging findings			Site of perforation	Management
					PP*	PT*	PM*		
1	Our case	Colonoscopy with sigmoid biopsy, history of UC	24 h	Subcutaneous emphysema	Yes	No	Yes	Transverse colon	Laparotomy and subtotal colectomy with end ileostomy
2	Yang, 2016	Colonoscopy with resection of rectal adenoma	Immediate	SOB, subcutaneous emphysema	Yes	Yes	Yes	Rectum	Conservative
3	Patel, 2015	Flexible sigmoidoscopy with SEMS deployment	1 day	Asymptomatic	No	Yes	Yes	Sigmoid	Conservative
4	Palomeque, 2015	Colonoscopy	Immediate	Abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting	Yes	Yes	No	Recto-sigmoid	Primary closure of perforation
5	Mihatov, 2015	Colonoscopy with Biopsy for CD	9 days	Sudden onset chest pain and dyspnea	No	No	Yes	Unknown	laparoscopic subtotal colectomy
6	Ahmed, 2014	Colonoscopy with biopsies for CD	Immediate	Scrotal swelling, abdominal pain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Subtotal colectomy
7	Pourmand, 2013	Colonoscopy	Immediate	SOB, abdominal pain, chest pain	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Conservative
8	Denadai, 2013	Colonoscopy with rectal polypectomy	3 days	Neck swelling, malaise, neck crepitus	No	No	Yes	Rectum	Conservative
9	Loughlin, 2012	Colonoscopy with biopsy for UC	6 h	Neck pain and crepitus, odynophagia	No	No	Yes	Unknown	Conservative
10	Albert, 2012	Colonoscopy	Immediate	Asymptomatic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Sigmoid	Operative
11	Marariu, 2012	Colonoscopy	Several hours	Chest pain, emphysema of neck, face, chest	Yes	No	Yes	Unknown	Conservative
12	Evangelos, 2012	Colonoscopy	Immediate	Abdominal pain, neck, face and left orbit swelling	Yes	No	Yes	Sigmoid	Laparotomy with sigmoid resection
13	Kwang, 2011	Colonoscopy	2 days	Abdominal pain, neck swelling	No	No	Yes	Rectum	Conservative
14	Chan, 2010	Colonoscopic balloon dilatation	Immediate	Oxygen desaturation, neck swelling, cyanosis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Sigmoid	Conservative
15	Cappello, 2010	Colonoscopy, history of UC	1 h	Face and neck swelling, abdominal pain, fever	Yes	No	Yes	Cecum	Laparotomy with right hemicolectomy
16	Kipple, 2010	Colonoscopy with sigmoid polypectomy	8 h	Abdominal pain, dyspnea, neck swelling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Sigmoid	Operative resection of perforated segment
17	Fazeli, 2009	Colonoscopy with random biopsies	15 min	Respiratory distress and swelling of face, neck	No	No	Yes	Sigmoid	Laparotomy and resection of perforated segment
18	Konstantinos, 2008	Colonoscopy with rectal polypectomy	24 h	Hoarseness, neck swelling	No	No	Yes	Rectum	Conservative
19	Marwan, 2007	Colonoscopy	Immediate	Emphysema of face, chest, abdomen	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Conservative
20	Nark-Soon, 2007	Colonoscopy	Not specified	Abdominal pain, neck swelling, dyspnea	Yes	No	Yes	Sigmoid	Colonoscopic clip placement
21	Zeno, 2006	Colonoscopy	Immediate	Abdominal pain, vomiting	Yes	Yes	No	Sigmoid	Laparotomy with hemicolectomy
22	Shallaly, 2005	Sigmoidoscopy with rectal biopsy	2 h	Neck swelling, dysphagia, voice change	No	No	Yes	Rectum	Conservative
23	Mastrovich, 2004	Colonoscopy with cecal polypectomy	Immediate	Facial fullness, SOB	Yes	No	Yes	Unknown	Conservative
24	Hirofumi, 2002	Colonoscopy with rectal tumor biopsy	2 h	Facial edema, emphysema in neck	No	No	Yes	Sigmoid	Laparotomy and sigmoid colon resection
25	Webb, 1998	Colonoscopy	30 min	Neck, facial, periorbital edema, SOB	No	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Conservative
26	William, 1996	Colonoscopy with sigmoid polypectomy	1 h	Facial edema, chest pain, emphysema in neck, chest	No	Yes	Yes	Sigmoid	Conservative
27	Ho, 1996	Colonoscopy with cecal polypectomy	Immediate	Substernal chest pain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Cecum	Laparotomy with right hemicolectomy
28	Fitzgerald, 1992	Colonoscopy with polypectomy, history of UC	9 h	Neck swelling, voice change, abdominal discomfort	Yes	No	Yes	Transverse colon	Laparotomy with primary closure
29	Bakker, 1991	Colonoscopy with rectal polypectomy (prior ileo-transversotomy)	2 h	Abdominal pain	Yes	No	Yes	Ileocolostomy site	Laparotomy with primary closure
30	McCollister, 1990	Colonoscopy with cecal polypectomy	7 h	Abdominal pain, neck swelling, SOB	Yes	No	Yes	Cecum	Conservative
31	Foley, 1982	Sigmoidoscopy	30 min	Neck pain and swelling, retrosternal pain	No	No	Yes	Sigmoid	Conservative
32	Amshel, 1982	Colonoscopy with sigmoid polypectomy	Few hours	Asymptomatic, low grade fever	Yes	No	Yes	Sigmoid	Conservative

PP, pneumoperitoneum; PT, pneumothorax; PM, pneumomediastinum; UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn disease; SEMS, self-expanding metallic stent; SOB, shortness of breath.

Table 2. Summary of findings in isolated extraperitoneal and combined intra- and extraperitoneal perforations ($n = 32$ cases)

	Extraperitoneal ($n = 12$), n (%)	Combined ($n = 20$), n (%)
Type of procedure		
Diagnostic only	3 (25)	9 (45)
Diagnostic with biopsy	5 (42)	2 (10)
Therapeutic (including polypectomy)	4 (33)	9 (45)
Onset of symptoms		
Immediate	4 (33)	12 (60)
>1 h and <24 h	3 (25)	6 (30)
≥24 h	5 (42)	1 (10) ^a
Clinical features		
Neck swelling	10 (83)	11 (55)
Dyspnea	3 (25)	5 (25)
Chest pain	3 (25)	3 (15)
Abdominal pain	1 (8)	10 (50)
Asymptomatic	1 (8)	2 (10)
Imaging		
Pneumoperitoneum	0 (0)	20 (100)
Pneumothorax	3 (25)	10 (50)
Pneumomediastinum	12 (100)	17 (85)
Pneumopericardium	1 (8)	4 (20)
Site of perforation		
Rectosigmoid	9 (75)	9 (45)
Cecum	0 (0)	3 (15)
Other	0 (0)	3 (15)
Unknown	3 (25)	5 (25)
Management		
Conservative	9 (75)	8 (40)
Surgical	3 (25)	12 (60)

^a One case with unknown duration of onset of symptoms excluded.