Sleep and Olfaction among Older Adults

V. Eloesa McSorley^a Jayant Pinto^b L. Philip Schumm^a Kristen Wroblewski^a
David Kern^d Martha McClintock^c Diane S. Lauderdale^a

^aDepartment of Public Health Sciences, ^bPritzker School of Medicine, and ^cDepartment of Psychology, University of Chicago, and ^dNortheastern Illinois University, College of Arts and Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA

Keywords

Olfaction · Sleep · Actigraphy · Aging

Abstract

Background: Sleep and olfaction are both critical physiological processes that tend to worsen with age. Decline in olfaction can be an early indicator of neurodegenerative diseases, whereas poor sleep quality is associated with reduced physical and mental health. Given associations with aging-related health declines, we explored whether variations in sleep were associated with olfactory function among older adults. **Methods:** We assessed the relationship between sleep characteristics and olfaction among 354 community-dwelling older adults. Olfaction was measured using a validated field and survey research tool. Sleep characteristics were measured using wrist actigraphy and with self-report of sleep problems. We fit structural equation models of latent constructs of olfaction based on olfactory task items and let this be a function of each sleep characteristic. **Results:** Actigraph sleep quality measures were associated with odor identification, but not with odor sensitivity. Longer duration sleepers had worse odor sensitivity compared to medium (58 h) sleepers, but sleep duration was not associated with odor identification. Reported sleep problems and reported usual duration were not associated with olfaction. **Conclusions:** Diminished sleep quality was associated with reduced ca-

capacity to identify odors. Determining whether this is a causal association will require further study and longitudinal data.

© 2017 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Olfaction is critical for human health and is involved in psychosocial functioning, nutrition, social ties, memory, emotion, mood, and overall well-being [1–3]. Olfactory dysfunction can be harmful through decreased nutritional status, worse emotional and physical well-being, increased depressive symptoms, and social isolation [4–8].

Olfaction has been shown to progressively decline after age 57 [9, 10], with up to 80% of those over age 80 showing impairment [11]. Olfactory dysfunction is an early indicator of neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, and Huntington's disease [11] and also predicts mortality among cognitively intact older adults [12–15]. Olfactory impairment is also more prevalent among men, smokers, African-Americans, Hispanics, those with lower SES and lower cognition scores, and stroke victims [13, 16, 17]. As olfaction is an indicator of neural degeneration, aging, and death, determining the underlying mechanisms of these relationships is important to understanding health trajectories.

Like olfaction, sleep is a physiological process crucial to brain health. Older adults experience increased sleep disturbances including more wake after sleep onset (WASO), greater sleep fragmentation, and poorer self-reported sleep quality including insomnia symptoms [18, 19]. Deep sleep and REM sleep also decline with age [20]. Though findings are inconsistent about sleep duration and mortality (Kurina et al. [21]), sleep disturbances have consistently been associated with chronic disease, overall physical and mental health, cognitive function, and mortality [18, 22–26].

Given the relationships of olfactory decline and sleep with aging-related health problems, we sought to explore whether variations in sleep were associated with olfactory dysfunction among older adults.

Previous studies have investigated the relationship between sleep and olfaction in humans, including olfactory function and memory consolidation of odors during sleep [27–30] and memory consolidation during sleep using olfactory cues [31–34]. However less attention has been given to whether variations in sleep are associated with olfactory function more generally. Two experimental studies found that sleep deprivation was associated with a worse ability to identify odors among adults [27, 35], consistent with neuroimaging studies showing that sleep deprivation leads to decreases in the cerebral metabolic rate for glucose in the prefrontal cortex, including the orbitofrontal region, which is highly involved in olfactory processing [36]. However, the relationship between sleep and olfactory function has not been assessed in a population setting among older adults, the group that experiences increased prevalence of olfactory dysfunction and disordered sleep.

The current analysis examines the relationship between actigraph and self-reported measures of sleep duration and quality with objectively assessed olfactory function among a subsample of a national study of older adults.

Methods

Study Sample

The National Social Life, Health, and Aging Project (NSHAP) is a nationally representative sample of community-dwelling older adults born between 1920 and 1947 and their consenting spouses, regardless of their age. Survey data and biomeasures were collected via in-person at-home interviews.

In wave 2 (2010/2011), the NSHAP population was divided into 6 subgroups to allocate participants to additional modules [37]. Four subgroups ($n = 2,304$) were selected to receive the Olfactory Function Field Exam (OFFE), which was developed to mea-

sure the olfactory function in survey research [38]. Two subgroups ($n = 1,117$) were selected to participate in the sleep module. A total of 572 individuals were selected to receive both the OFFE and the sleep module. There were 354 participants aged 62–90 who consented to both modules and provided complete data (Fig. 1).

Measures

Olfaction: the OFFE includes measures of odor identification and sensitivity. Odor identification was assessed using a field survey version of a validated test [39]. Participants were presented with 5 odor-filled felt tip pens and were instructed to identify each odor from a selection of 4 word/picture options. The number of correctly identified odors yielded a score from 0 to 5.

The odor sensitivity module of the OFFE assessed participants' capacities to detect *n*-butanol, a common testing odorant. Participants were presented with 3 felt tip pens and asked to select the one pen that contained *n*-butanol. Six sets with increasing concentrations of *n*-butanol in one pen were presented. Scores of 0–6 represent how many concentrations were correctly detected. This screening test is reliable and highly correlated ($r = 0.92$) with psychophysical olfactory sensitivity testing among older adults [40]. A detailed protocol of olfactory data collection, including interviewer training, has been described elsewhere [41].

Sleep: sleep characteristics were collected via self-report and wrist actigraphy. Actigraphs (Actiwatch Spectrum model, Philips Respironics) were worn by study participants for a 72-h period. Participants were asked to push an event marker each night when they started trying to sleep and when they awoke. The event markers, activity counts, and ambient light data (recorded by the actigraph) were used to manually determine the main rest interval for each 24-h period. Actiwatch software calculated sleep metrics from the pattern of activity counts within each rest interval. We used metrics frequently derived from actigraphy: total sleep duration – the sum of 15-s epochs scored as sleep during the main sleep interval; WASO – total minutes awake during the main sleep interval; and fragmentation – an index of restlessness expressed as a percentage. Averages of each were calculated from the 3 nights. A detailed protocol of sleep data collection, including quality control measures, has been described elsewhere [42].

Self-reported sleep duration was assessed via a question asking how many hours participants slept each night. Sleep problems similar to insomnia symptoms (i.e., frequency of trouble falling asleep, trouble waking, waking too early, and restorative sleep) were combined to create a troubled sleep scale. The scale ranges from 0 to 8, with higher scores indicating more insomnia symptoms, as described in detail elsewhere [43].

Cognitive function: cognitive function was measured using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) [44] adapted for survey administration (MoCA-SA) [45, 46]. The MoCA was developed to assess mild cognitive impairment (MCI) across key cognitive domains and was shown to have a 90% sensitivity in detecting clinically diagnosed MCI [44]. The instrument is more sensitive to variation in cognitive function than screeners designed to identify severe impairment, such as the Mini-Mental State Exam. The MoCA-SA is highly correlated ($r = 0.973$) with the full MoCA [45, 46].

Additional covariates: demographic and control variables included age, gender, body mass index, race/ethnicity (white, Hispanic, black, other), current smoking, depressive symptoms, med-

Fig. 1. Flow diagram for participants in the sleep-olfaction substudy of the National Social Life, Health, and Aging Project (NSHAP).

ications, and a comorbidity index. Body mass index was calculated from direct measures (kg/m^2). Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale, which assesses the frequency of depressive feelings over the past week. Medications were physically brought to the interviewers and recorded. We include indicators for the current usage of antidepressants and hypnotics. Comorbidities were summarized using a modified version of the Charlson Comorbidity Index [47], as implemented in NSHAP [48].

Statistical Analysis

To assess the relationship between sleep characteristics and odor identification, we fit a generalized structural equation model to the 5 observed olfactory identification items assuming a single latent construct of odor identification through a logistic regression (i.e., a 1-parameter item-response model). This model was then extended by specifying odor identification to be a function of each sleep characteristic separately, first only adjusting for age and gender and then adjusting for additional covariates. Due to evidence in the literature of a U-shaped relationship between sleep time and some health outcomes, we also tested a 3-level categorical variable for sleep time [21, 49]. The beta coefficient of the main independent variable (the particular sleep characteristic) represents the average change in performance on the odor identification construct for each additional unit change of the sleep characteristic. The same analysis was performed for odor sensitivity.

As a secondary analysis, we assessed potential mediation of the relationship between sleep characteristics and odor identification via cognition. To assess potential mediation, we augmented the structural equation models to allow us to consider possible medi-

ating effects of cognition using the widely implemented product method [50].

All analyses took into account the study design and sampling weights to account for probabilities of selection and nonresponse [51]. All data were analyzed using Stata version 13.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Table 1 summarizes the demographic, health, and sleep characteristics of the olfaction-sleep subsample. Actigraph total sleep time averaged 7.2 h, WASO averaged 37.5 min, and the fragmentation index averaged 14.2%. Average self-reported sleep was 7.4 h and the average troubled sleep scale was 2.7. Just over half were able to identify all 5 odors (51.4%); however, only 7.1% were able to detect the weakest concentration of *n*-butanol. The distribution of covariates in the olfaction-sleep subsample was generally similar to the larger study population (online suppl. Table S1; see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000479066).

Table 2 presents the coefficients for the sleep characteristics in the age- and gender-adjusted (model 1) and fully adjusted (model 2) structural equation models for both

Table 1. Demographics of the NSHAP wave 2 olfaction-sleep subsample ($n = 354$)

Characteristic	Weighted value	<i>n</i>
Age, years, mean (SD)	71.7 (7.5)	354
Female, %	53.6	354
Race, %		354
White	82.3	
African-American	6.2	
Hispanic	6.3	
Other	5.2	
Modified charlson comorbidity, %		354
0	48.1	
1	25.4	
2	14.0	
3+	12.4	
Montreal Cognitive Assessment –		
Survey Adapted, 0–20 scale, mean (SD)	14.2 (3.5)	354
Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	29.1 (5.50)	345
Current smoker, %	13.6	354
CES-D ¹ , mean (SD)	7.3 (3.3)	354
Medication usage, %		
Antidepressants	17.4	334
Sleep aids	8.7	334
Actigraph-measured sleep		354
WASO ² , min, mean (SD)	37.5 (22.6)	
Total sleep time, h, mean (SD)	7.2 (1.4)	
<5 h, %	5.5	
5–8 h, %	70.2	
>8 h, %	24.3	
Fragmentation, <i>n</i> (%)	14.2 (5.9)	
Self-reported sleep duration, h, mean (SD)	7.4 (1.3)	287
Troubled Sleep Scale ³ , mean (SD)	2.7 (2.1)	354
Olfactory Function Field Exam		
Odor identification (score) ⁴ , %		354
0	2.9	
1	1.3	
2	6.0	
3	7.8	
4	30.7	
5	51.3	
Odor sensitivity (score) ⁵ , %		354
0	7.1	
1	8.5	
2	12.4	
3	15.9	
4	23.8	
5	25.3	
6	7.1	

¹ CES-D (range 0–22): Center for Epidemiologic Study Depression Scale.

² Wake after sleep onset.

³ Troubled Sleep Scale (range 0–8) is a combined metric (0 = never/rarely, 1 = sometimes, 2 = most of the time) from 4 questions: feeling rested in the morning, trouble falling asleep, trouble waking during the night and trouble waking too early.

⁴ Odor identification (range 0–5) is measured via correct identification of five odorants: rose, leather, orange, fish, and peppermint.

⁵ Odor sensitivity (range 0–6) is assessed by participants' capacities to detect n-butanol.

odor identification and odor sensitivity. The outcome for each is the latent variable construct of the particular odor scale, where a higher score indicates better performance. In the age- and gender-adjusted models, both WASO and fragmentation were significantly inversely associated with odor identification. In the fully adjusted models, each additional minute of WASO was associated with a 0.013 worse odor identification score ($p < 0.01$), and each additional percent of fragmentation was associated with a 0.039 worse odor identification score ($p = 0.09$).

The troubled sleep scale, self-reported sleep duration, or actigraph total sleep time was not associated with odor identification in adjusted models. Modeled as a categorical variable, there was no evidence of a U-shaped relationship between the actigraph-measured total sleep time and odor identification.

Also, WASO, fragmentation, self-reported sleep duration, or the troubled sleep scale score was not associated with odor sensitivity. Modeled as a continuous variable, actigraph total sleep time was inversely associated with odor sensitivity; each additional hour of sleep time was associated with a 0.152 worse odor sensitivity score ($p = 0.02$). When modeled as a categorical variable, long sleepers (subjects averaging 8 h or more per night) were less able to detect odors compared to medium (5–8 h) sleepers, with marginal significance ($\beta = -0.426$, $p = 0.08$).

We assessed potential mediation by cognition between both WASO and fragmentation with odor identification (Table 3). The indirect association represents the portion of the association between the sleep measure and odor identification that is mediated by cognition. Only small portions of the relationships for WASO and fragmentation with odor identification were mediated by cognition (-0.002 , $p = 0.14$ and -0.006 , $p = 0.22$, respectively).

Discussion

In our assessment of sleep and olfaction in community-dwelling older adults, we found a positive association between 2 actigraph measures of sleep disruption and worse ability to correctly identify odors, after adjusting for demographics and comorbidities. We did not find an association between insomnia symptoms or sleep duration, measured either by self-report or actigraphy, and measured by the ability to identify odors. We also did not find an association between sleep disruption or insomnia symptoms and odor sensitivity. However, we did observe an unexpected inverse association between total sleep time (measured with actigraphy) and odor sensitivity.

Table 2. Associations between sleep characteristics and odor identification and olfactory sensitivity among NSHAP wave 2 olfaction-sleep sub-sample ($n = 345$)

Variable	Model 1 ¹			Model 2 ²		
	beta coefficient	95% CI	<i>p</i> value	beta coefficient	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
<i>Odor identification</i>						
WASO	−0.013	−0.022 to −0.003	<0.01	−0.013	−0.022 to −0.004	<0.01
Fragmentation	−0.043	−0.083 to −0.003	0.04	−0.039	−0.084 to 0.006	0.09
Duration, h (continuous)	0.027	−0.111 to 0.164	0.70	0.005	−0.156 to 0.167	0.95
Duration (categorical)						
<5 h	−0.291	−0.829 to 0.771	0.94	0.383	−0.773 to 1.539	0.51
5–8 h	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.
>8 h	0.080	−0.325 to 0.484	0.69	0.293	−0.142 to 0.727	0.18
Self-reported duration, h	−0.130	−0.323 to 0.063	0.38	−0.154	−0.396 to 0.088	0.21
Troubled sleep	0.010	−0.095 to 0.114	0.85	0.064	−0.065 to 0.194	0.32
<i>Olfactory sensitivity</i>						
WASO	−0.003	−0.008 to 0.003	0.39	−0.003	−0.009 to 0.004	0.42
Fragmentation	0.003	−0.027 to 0.032	0.84	0.000	−0.030 to 0.030	0.99
Duration, h (continuous)	−0.180	−0.290 to −0.70	<0.01	−0.152	−0.275 to −0.029	0.02
Duration (categorical)						
<5 h	0.214	−0.388 to 0.816	0.49	0.104	−0.728 to 0.936	0.80
5–8 h	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.
>8 h	−0.532	−0.991 to −0.072	0.02	−0.426	−0.897 to 0.044	0.08
Self-reported duration, h	−0.107	−0.216 to 0.002	0.05	−0.074	−0.204 to 0.055	0.25
Troubled sleep	−0.022	−0.103 to 0.059	0.59	−0.010	−0.077 to 0.096	0.82

¹ Models are adjusted for age and gender.

² Models are further adjusted for race/ethnicity, BMI, a modified Charlson Comorbidity Index, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), sleep medication and antidepressant usage, and current smoking status.

Table 3. Mediation of the associations between WASO and fragmentation and odor identification by cognition in NSHAP wave 2 olfaction-sleep subsample

	Beta coefficient	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
WASO			
A: Association with cognition	−0.034	−0.056, to 0.012	<0.01
B: Association of cognition with odor ID (adjusting for WASO)	0.063	−0.006 to 0.131	0.07
Indirect association of WASO with odor ID through cognition	−0.002	−0.005 to 0.001	0.14
Direct association of WASO on odor ID (not mediated through cognition)	0.011	−0.020 to −0.002	0.01
Fragmentation			
A: Association with cognition	−0.083	−0.152 to −0.015	0.02
B: Association of cognition with odor ID (adjusting for fragmentation)	0.073	0.007 to 0.140	0.03
Indirect association of fragmentation with odor ID through cognition	−0.006	−0.012 to 0.003	0.22
Direct association of fragmentation on odor ID (not mediated through cognition)	−0.033	−0.014 to 0.002	0.15

When considered a categorical variable, we found that the relationship was primarily driven by poorer odor sensitivity among long sleepers (8 or more hours per night). As a possible mechanism, we considered models controlling for reported neurological conditions (Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, and stroke) but found no difference in the estimated association. We also considered day-time napping behavior but found that this did not confound the observed associations. While the mechanism of the relationship between longer actigraph-measured sleep time and odor sensitivity remains unclear, there have been many reports of worse health outcomes among long sleepers [52].

We believe that this is the first study to assess the relationship between sleep and olfaction in a population of community-dwelling older adults and the first to include objective measures of both. Actigraph estimates of sleep characteristics avoid potential biases of survey sleep questions and are practical to implement in the field [53]. Similarly, the OFFE includes a validated measure of odor identification [39] and a novel survey-modified measure of odor sensitivity [40, 54]. Although only a minority of NSHAP participants received both modularized measures, we did find several significant, and therefore unobserved, associations.

Our study did have some serious limitations. First, the data are cross-sectional, preventing the observation of temporal associations between disrupted sleep, olfaction, and cognition. Second, actigraphy cannot measure dimensions of sleep that may be salient for odor identification or sensitivity, such as sleep architecture. Third, only 354 of 3,196 age-eligible wave 2 NSHAP participants have both actigraphy and olfaction data, and a larger sample may be needed to detect associations with some of the sleep measures. Finally, NSHAP participants were not asked specifically about sleep apnea, although they were given an opportunity to list additional medical conditions. Only one participant in this subsample reported sleep apnea. There is likely to be both unreported and undiagnosed sleep apnea in the sample population, and we were unable to assess the role of sleep apnea in these associations.

Given the prior evidence that poor sleep could be a risk factor for cognitive impairment [24, 25, 55] and that odor identification requires both detection and recognition (a cognitive function) [56, 57], we explored whether the observed relationship between poor sleep quality and worse odor identification was mediated by cognition. Unexpectedly, it was not. It may be that the MoCA-SA does not adequately capture the cognitive components relevant to

this pathway. Factor analysis has demonstrated that while the full MoCA captures more variability in MCI than screeners such as the Mini-Mental State Exam [58], it may not accurately identify domain-specific areas of cognitive impairment [59]. While impaired olfaction has been associated with global cognition, it has also been associated specifically with perceptual speed and episodic memory [60]. Thus, it is possible that sleep disruption does diminish capacity to identify odors through a cognitive pathway that is not well measured by the MoCA-SA. However, sleep disruption may affect olfactory processing centers independently of cognition. This alternative would suggest that the difference between the relationship of sleep to odor identification and odor sensitivity is not related to cognition.

Our study is broadly consistent with animal models on the role of sleep in the consolidation of odor memory. Barnes and Wilson found that manipulation via restriction of slow wave sleep in rats impaired memory consolidation relative to odor recognition [61]. Fragmentation and WASO may reflect lack of slow wave sleep. There are experimental studies of sleep restriction: Prehn-Kristensen et al. [27] and Killgore and McBride [35] found that sleep deprivation caused reduced capacity to recognize odors by adults. Our findings differ in that we found an association for lack of sleep consolidation (not sleep duration) and odor identification. Also, we examined routine sleep variation in the population rather than experimentally manipulated sleep.

Further study is needed to understand whether poor sleep quality leads to olfactory decline or vice versa, or whether underlying physiologic processes explain both, inducing the observed correlation between the 2. Longitudinal data would help answer these questions. If sleep disruption is an early indicator of olfactory dysfunction, it will be important to consider whether modifications to sleep routines aimed at improving sleep quality could delay the onset of other aging-related health outcomes.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by R01AG042164 from the National Institute of Aging and the Basic Behavioral and Social Sciences Research Opportunity Network (OppNet) at the National Institutes of Health. The core data collection in the National Social Life and Aging Project (NSHAP) was supported by R01 AG021487 and R01 AG033903 from the National Institute of Aging at the National Institutes of Health. The ancillary sleep data collection for NSHAP received additional support from Phillips Respironics and the Health and Retirement Survey.

References

- Doucet S, Soussignan R, Sagot P, Schaal B: The secretion of Areolar (Montgomery's) glands from lactating women elicits selective, unconditional responses in neonates. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e7579.
- Jacob S, Garcia S, Hayreh D, McClintock MK: Psychological effects of musky compounds: comparison of androstadienone with androst-enol and muscone. *Horm Behav* 2002;42: 274–283.
- Patel RM, Pinto JM: Olfaction: anatomy, physiology, and disease. *Clini Anat* 2014;27: 54–60.
- Kim WY, Hur M, Cho MS, Lee HS: Effect of olfactory function on nutritional status of Ko-rean elderly women. *Nutr Res* 2003;23:723–734.
- Toussaint N, de Roon M, van Campen JP, Kremer S, Boesveldt S: Loss of olfactory function and nutritional status in vital older adults and geriatric patients. *Chem Senses* 2015;40: 197–203.
- Boesveldt S, Lindau ST, McClintock MK, Hummel T, Lundström JN: Gustatory and ol-factory dysfunction in older adults: a national probability study. *Rhinology* 2011;49:324–330.
- Sivam A, Wroblewski KE, Alkorta-Aranburu G, Barnes LL, Wilson RS, Bennett DA, Pinto JM: Olfactory dysfunction in older adults is associated with feelings of depression and loneliness. *Chem Senses* 2016;41:293–299.
- Keller A, Malaspina D: Hidden consequences of olfactory dysfunction: a patient report series. *BMC Ear Nose Throat Disord* 2013;13:8.
- Pinto JM, Wroblewski KE, Kern DW, Schumm LP, McClintock MK: The rate of age-related olfactory decline among the general population of older U.S. adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2015;70:1435–1441.
- Doty RL, Reyes PF, Gregor T: Presence of both odor identification and detection deficits in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res Bull* 1987;18:597–600.
- Attems J, Walker L, Jellinger KA: Olfaction and aging: a mini-review. *Gerontology* 2015; 61:485–490.
- Wilson RS, Yu L, Bennett DA: Odor identification and mortality in old age. *Chem Senses* 2011;36:63–67.
- Pinto JM, Schumm LP, Wroblewski KE, Kern DW, McClintock MK: Racial disparities in olfactory loss among older adults in the United States. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2014;69:323–329.
- Devanand DP, Lee S, Manly J, Andrews H, Schupf N, Masurkar A, Stern Y, Mayeux R, Doty RL: Olfactory identification deficits and increased mortality in the community. *Ann Neurol* 2015;78:401–411.
- Schubert CR, Fischer ME, Pinto AA, Klein BEK, Klein R, Tweed TS, Cruickshanks KJ: Sensory impairments and risk of mortality in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2017;72:710–715.
- Hoffman HJ, Rawal S, Li CM, Duffy VB: New chemosensory component in the U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES): first-year results for measured olfactory dysfunction. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord* 2016;17:221–240.
- Murphy C, Schubert CR, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein BE, Klein R, Nondahl DM: Prevalence of olfactory impairment in older adults. *JAMA* 2002;288:2307–2312.
- Schubert CR, Cruickshanks KJ, Dalton DS, Klein BE, Klein R, Nondahl DM: Prevalence of sleep problems and quality of life in an older population. *Sleep* 2002;25:889–893.
- Floyd JA, Medler SM, Ager JW, Janisse JJ: Age-related changes in initiation and maintenance of sleep: a meta-analysis. *Res Nurs Health* 2000;23:106–117.
- Ohayon MM, Carskadon MA, Guilleminault C, Vitiello MV: Meta-analysis of quantitative sleep parameters from childhood to old age in healthy individuals: developing normative sleep values across the human lifespan. *Sleep* 2004;27:255–273.
- Kurina LM, McClintock MK, Chen JH, Waite LJ, Thisted RA, Lauderdale DS: Sleep duration and all-cause mortality: a critical review of measurement and associations. *Ann Epidemiol* 2013;23:361–370.
- Rod NH, Vahtera J, Westerlund H, Kivimäki M, Zins M, Goldberg M, Lange T: Sleep disturbances and cause-specific mortality: results from the GAZEL cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011;173:300–309.
- An C, Yu L, Wang L, Jin G, Song M, Zhu Q, Jia H, Liu K, Wang M, Wang X: Association between sleep characteristics and mild cognitive impairment in elderly people. *Neurophysiology* 2014;46:88–94.
- Spira AP, Chen-Edinboro LP, Wu MN, Yaffe K: Impact of sleep on the risk of cognitive decline and dementia. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2014;27:478–483.
- Blackwell T, Yaffe K, Ancoli-Israel S, Schneider JL, Cauley JA, Hillier TA, Fink HA, Stone KL: Poor sleep is associated with impaired cognitive function in older women: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006;61:405–410.
- Knutson KL, Van Cauter E, Rathouz PJ, Yan LL, Hulley SB, Liu K, Lauderdale D: Association between sleep and blood pressure in midlife: the CARDIA Sleep Study. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:1055–1061.
- Prehn-Kristensen A, Lotzkat K, Bauhofer E, Wiesner CD, Baving L: Sleep supports memory of odors in adults but not in children. *PLoS One* 2015;10:1–14.
- Barnes DC, Wilson DA: Slow-wave sleep-imposed replay modulates both strength and precision of memory. *J Neurosci* 2014;34: 5134–5142.
- Badia P, Wesensten N, Lammers W, Culpes- per J, Harsh J: Responsiveness to olfactory stimuli presented in sleep. *Physiol Behav* 1990;48:89–90.
- Stuck BA, Stieber K, Frey S, Freiburg C, Hör-mann K, Maurer JT, Hummel T: Arousal responses to olfactory or trigeminal stimulation during sleep. *Sleep* 2007;30:506–510.
- Rasch B, Sutton J: About sleep's role in memory. *Psychologist* 2014;27:320–323.
- Rasch B, Büchel C, Gais S, Born J: Odor cues during slow-wave sleep prompt declarative memory consolidation. *Science* 2007;315: 1426–1429.
- Diekelmann S, Biggel S, Rasch B, Born J: Of- fline consolidation of memory varies with time in slow wave sleep and can be accelerated by cuing memory reactivations. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 2012;98:103–111.
- Diekelmann S, Büchel C, Born J, Rasch B: La- bile or stable: opposing consequences for memory when reactivated during waking and sleep. *Nat Neurosci* 2011;17:74–76.
- Killgore WD, McBride SA: Odor identifica- tion accuracy declines following 24 h of sleep deprivation. *J Sleep Res* 2006;15:111–116.
- Thomas ML, Sing HC, Belenky G, Holcomb HH, Mayberg HS, Dannals RF, Wagner HN, Thorne DR, Popp KA, Rowland LM, Welsh AB, Balwinski SM, Redmond DP: Neural ba- sis of alertness and cognitive performance im- pairments during sleepiness II: effects of 48 and 72 h of sleep deprivation on waking hu- man regional brain activity. *Thalamus Relat Syst* 2003;2:199–229.
- O'Doherty K, Jaszcak A, Hoffmann JN, You HM, Kern DW, Pagel K, McPhillips J, Schumm LP, Dale W, Huang ES, McClintock MK: Survey field methods for expanded bio- specimen and biomeasure collection in NS- HAP Wave 2. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014;69:S27–S37.
- Kern DW, Wroblewski KE, Schumm LP, Pin- to JM, McClintock MK: Field survey measures of olfaction: the Olfactory Function Field Exam (OFFE). *Field methods* 2014;26:421–434.
- Mueller C, Renner B: A new procedure for the short screening of olfactory function using five items from the "Sniffin' Sticks" identifica- tion test kit. *Am J Rhinol* 2006;20:113–116.
- Kern DW, Schumm LP, Wroblewski KE, Pin- to JM, Hummel T, McClintock MK: Olfactory thresholds of the U.S. population of home- dwelling older adults: development and vali- dation of a short, reliable measure. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0118589.
- Pinto JM, Kern DW, Wroblewski KE, Chen RC, Schumm LP, McClintock MK: Sensory function: insights from wave 2 of the National Social Life, Health, and Aging Project. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014;69:144–153.
- Lauderdale DS, Schumm LP, Kurina LM, Mc- Clintock M, Thisted RA, Chen JH, Waite L: Assessment of sleep in the National Social Life, Health, and Aging Project. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014;69(suppl 2):S125–S133.

- 43 Chen JH, Waite L, Kurina LM, Thisted RA, Mcclintock MK, Lauderdale D: Insomnia symptoms and actigraph-estimated sleep characteristics in a nationally representative sample of older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2015;70:185–192.
- 44 Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, Cummings JL, Chertkow H: The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:695–699.
- 45 Shega JW, Sunkara PD, Kotwal A, Kern DW, Henning SL, Mcclintock MK, Schumm P, Waite LJ, Dale W: Measuring cognition: the Chicago cognitive function measure in the national social life, health and aging project, wave 2. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014; 69(suppl 2):S166–S176.
- 46 Kotwal AA, Schumm P, Kern DW, Mcclintock MK, Waite LJ, Shega JW, Huisingsh-Scheetz MJ, Dale W: Evaluation of a brief survey instrument for assessing subtle differences in cognitive function among older adults. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2015;29:317–324.
- 47 Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR: A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987;40: 373–383.
- 48 Vasilopoulos T, Kotwal AA, Huisingsh-Scheetz MJ, Waite LJ, Mcclintock MK, Dale W: Comorbidity and chronic conditions in the national social life, health and aging project (NSHAP), wave 2. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014;69(suppl 2):S154–S165.
- 49 Cappuccio FP, D’Elia L, Strazzullo P, Miller MA: Sleep duration and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Sleep* 2010;33:585–592.
- 50 Baron RM, Kenny DA: The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986; 51:1173–1182.
- 51 O’Muircheartaigh C, English N, Pedlow S, Kwok PK: Sample design, sample augmentation, and estimation for Wave 2 of the NSHAP. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014; 69(suppl 2):S15–S26.
- 52 Ramos AR, Tarraf W, Daviglus M, Davis S, Gallo LC, Mossavar-Rahmani Y, Penedo FJ, Redline S, Rundek T, Sacco RL, Sotres-Alvarez D, Wright CB, Zee PC, Gonzalez HM: Sleep duration and neurocognitive function in the Hispanic Community Health Study/ Study of Latinos. *Sleep* 2016;39:1843–1851.
- 53 Ancoli-Israel S, Cole R, Alessi C, Chambers M, Moorcroft W, Pollak CP: The role of actigraphy in the study of sleep and circadian rhythms. *Sleep* 2003;26:342–392.
- 54 Kern DW, Wroblewski KE, Schumm LP, Pinto JM, Chen RC, Mcclintock MK: Olfactory function in wave 2 of the national social life, health, and aging project. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2014;69(suppl 2):S134–S143.
- 55 Blackwell T, Yaffe K, Ancoli-Israel S, Redline S, Ensrud KE, Stefanick ML, Laffan A, Stone KL: Association of sleep characteristics and cognition in older community-dwelling men: the MrOS sleep study. *Sleep* 2011;34:1347–1356.
- 56 Devanand DP, Tabert MH, Cuasay K, Manly JJ, Schupf N, Brickman AM, Andrews H, Brown TR, DeCarli C, Mayeux R: Olfactory identification deficits and MCI in a multi-ethnic elderly community sample. *Neurobiol Aging* 2010;31:1593–1600.
- 57 Swan GE, Carmelli D: Impaired olfaction predicts cognitive decline in nondemented older adults. *Neuroepidemiology* 2002;21: 58–67.
- 58 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR: “Minimal state”. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189–198.
- 59 Coen RF, Robertson DA, Kenny RA, King-Kallimanis BL: Strengths and limitations of the MoCA for assessing cognitive functioning: findings from a large representative sample of Irish older adults. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neur* 2016;29:18–24.
- 60 Wilson RS, Arnold SE, Tang Y, Bennett DA: Odor identification and decline in different cognitive domains in old age. *Neuroepidemiology* 2006;26:61–67.
- 61 Barnes DC, Wilson DA: Sleep and olfactory cortical plasticity. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2015;8:134.