

Case Report

Recurrent Diabetic Macular Edema: What to Do?

Rita Santos Gonçalves Carla Teixeira Pedro Coelho

Department of Ophthalmology, Hospital Pedro Hispano, Matosinhos, Portugal

Keywords

Diabetic retinopathy · Intravitreal injection · Macular edema · Visual acuity

Abstract

Diabetic macular edema (DME) is a leading cause of blindness in the working population. Herein, we report the case of a patient with recurrent DME for about 6 years, uncontrolled by several medical and surgical treatments, that was successfully treated with a single sustained-release fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant in her right eye. The affected eye had presented a visual acuity of 2/10 and a central macular thickness of 488 μm prior to the injection. After treatment with the fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant, the patient's right eye presented an improvement in best corrected visual acuity to 6/10 and a reduction of central macular thickness to 198 μm . These functional and anatomical results were continuous and sustained during a follow-up period of more than 12 months, and with an acceptable and manageable safety profile. These results show that fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implantation is an effective treatment option in DME and should be considered in the DME treatment pathway.

© 2017 The Author(s)
Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Diabetic macular edema (DME) is one of the leading causes of vision loss in the working-age population around the world [1]. It is defined by abnormal collection of extracapillary fluid due to blood-retinal barrier breakdown because of increased production of inflammatory mediators and vascular permeability factors and loss of endothelial tight junctions [2, 3]. Because of its complex and multifactorial pathophysiology and chronic nature, it can necessitate ongoing and numerous therapies to maintain vision.

In the past, the standard care for DME was focal/grid laser photocoagulation, but the visual acuity gain was only modest [4, 5]. Treatments for DME are rapidly evolving, and today there are numerous alternative therapies to improve patients' vision. Inhibitors of vascular endothelium growth factor (VEGF) are currently considered as the first-line therapy of choice for DME. Several trials have shown that frequent intravitreal injections with anti-VEGF were more effective than laser photocoagulation for the treatment of DME [6–8]. However, a large proportion of patients still have a poor response to anti-VEGF agents even with frequently repeated injections. In the phase III ranibizumab studies on DME (RISE and RIDE), between 30.4 and 43.2% of the patients failed to gain ≥ 10 letters after 3 years of treatment with 0.3 or 0.5 mg ranibizumab [6]. A recent analysis of protocol I data showed that about 40% of the eyes had a suboptimal early response (< 5 -letter improvement) at 12 weeks, and most of these had suboptimal visual outcomes after 3 years of treatment [9].

Intravitreal corticosteroids have also been evaluated and shown to be effective in achieving visual gain and reduction of DME because of their ability to act on other inflammatory cytokines and pathogenic mechanisms, in addition to those associated with VEGF. Also, they can reduce the frequency of injections needed [10, 11]. The currently available intravitreal corticosteroids for the management of DME include triamcinolone (unlicensed for DME in Europe), dexamethasone (Ozurdex®; Allergan), and fluocinolone acetonide (FAC) (ILUVIEN®; Alimera Sciences). Whilst the treatment effect of dexamethasone implants lasts up to 6 months, the effect of FAC implants is up to 3 years [12]. The FAME study showed that approximately one-third (34%) of their patients with DME for ≥ 3 years who were treated with a 0.2 $\mu\text{g/day}$ FAC implant experienced a ≥ 15 -letter gain, compared with 13.4% of the sham-treated patients [11].

Here, we present the case report of a patient with recurrent DME uncontrolled by several medical and surgical treatments that showed an anatomical and functional response after treatment with a single intravitreal FAC implant.

Case Report

The patient was a 61-year-old Caucasian woman with type 2 diabetes over the previous 25 years who always had good metabolic control ($\text{HbA}_{1c} < 7.5\%$). She was first diagnosed in November 2004 with bilateral incipient diabetic retinopathy. Almost 4 years after first presentation, she had decreased vision (3/10 in the right eye and 4/10 in the left eye) due to the development of cystoid macular edema (CME), which was treated at that time with focal argon grid laser in both eyes. Her best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and CME did not improve (Fig. 1). Subsequently, the patient was treated by intravitreal injection of triamcino-

lone acetamide into both eyes, with improvement of CME. In 2010, panretinal photocoagulation was started in both eyes due to proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

Despite good glycemic control, the patient's DME and proliferative retinopathy continued to develop, with decreasing BCVA. In August 2011, the patient's CME increased and BCVA decreased again (1/10 in both eyes). She underwent multiple triamcinolone acetamide injections into both eyes with partial and transitory responses (Fig. 2). A transient increase in intraocular pressure (IOP) was observed after intravitreal triamcinolone injection.

In November 2012, the left eye underwent pars plana vitrectomy combined with phacoemulsification due to vitreous hemorrhage and cataract development, respectively. After the surgical procedure, the development of central retinal atrophy (central foveal thickness of 195 μ m) and a BCVA of 0.5/10 in the left eye were verified, results which remained stable over the follow-up period. The right eye also underwent phacoemulsification due to cataract development, combined with intravitreal triamcinolone. Postoperatively, the right eye's BCVA increased to 6/10 and the macula became dry.

In September 2013, the right eye developed CME again and the BCVA dropped to 2/10. The patient received a loading dose of 3 intravitreal injections of ranibizumab with an increase in BCVA to 5/10, but with recurrence of CME 4 months later. Up to 2015, alternate intravitreal injections of ranibizumab and triamcinolone acetamide were performed on the right eye, without sustained functional or anatomical results.

In September 2015, an FAc intravitreal implant was placed in the right eye, with resolution of the CME (the central foveal thickness decreased from 488 μ m prior to the injection to 198 μ m after treatment) and an increase in BCVA (from 2/10 to 6/10 after the injection) 1 month later, results that remain stable 12 months later (Fig. 3). Table 1 summarizes the treatments performed on the right eye for DME, and the respective outcomes, from the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy to the present date.

As in the last year intercurrents occurred in the right eye, we reported the occurrence of vitreous hemorrhage after posterior vitreous detachment, which resolved spontaneously. Additional laser treatment was performed for enhancement of the panretinal photocoagulation. Moreover, we reported ocular hypertension in the right eye (max. IOP = 27 mm Hg), which was effectively controlled with hypotensive eye drops and selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) (Table 1), without any documented damage to the optic nerve.

Discussion

Due to its chronic nature and persistence, DME can be difficult to manage. Combined medical and even surgical procedures may be the best way to achieve DME control in patients. Use of an FAc intravitreal implant, a slow-release low-dose corticosteroid implant, is indicated for the treatment of vision impairment associated with chronic DME considered insufficiently responsive to available therapies, and it is the only treatment available with a treatment regimen of 1 single injection for up to 36 months [11], which suggests a reduced treatment burden.

The patient whose case we reported is a challenge to any ophthalmologist that treats DME. Despite having good metabolic control and despite the various treatments administered, which included both laser treatment and intravitreal injections of anti-VEGF agents

and short-term-efficacy corticosteroids, she had not responded sufficiently, and chronic DME over a period of about 6 years as well as progressive aggravation of her visual function were observed. Thus, an alternative treatment was required, since the right eye was the only one with potential visual function and prolonged intraretinal fluid accumulation might have caused irreversible damage resulting in permanent visual loss. In this case, the switch to an FAc intravitreal implant in the right eye appears to have been an excellent therapeutic option, with rapid resolution of the CME and a significant increase in BCVA after injection of the implant. It also allowed the patient to remain free of DME and to achieve a good functional vision of 6/10 for more than 12 months, with an acceptable and manageable safety profile.

In recent years, there have been numerous clinical studies reporting the efficacy and safety of the FAc implant in patients whose DME has been insufficiently responsive to laser therapy and intravitreal anti-VEGF agents [13–17]. As an example, Alfaqawi et al. [14] showed, at 12 months, a mean improvement of +8 letters from baseline and a gain of ≥ 15 ETDRS letters in 25% of their patients. This kind of emerging evidence has been vital for clinicians to justify the use of the FAc implant in those patients who have not responded to the first-line therapy of DME.

This case report also demonstrates the potential effectiveness of the FAc intravitreal implant in a real-world clinical setting and suggests that it should be considered if a patient does not respond optimally to other available therapies. Notably, in this case, we observed that a prior steroid response to intravitreal triamcinolone predicted a subsequent response to the FAc implant, including patient IOP outcomes. Recently, Bailey et al. [18] have already shown that emergent IOP-lowering medication or IOP elevation above 30 mm Hg following treatment with FAc was more frequently reported in patients with prior IOP-related events. In our case, SLT was successfully utilized in the management of ocular hypertension, which may suggest a potential role for SLT as a less-invasive treatment for the management of IOP elevation associated with FAc treatment.

Statement of Ethics

Patient consent and hospital ethics committee approval were obtained.

Disclosure Statement

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Yau JW, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, Lamoureux EL, Kowalski JW, Bek T, et al: Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:556–564.
- 2 Bhagat N, Grigorian RA, Tutela A, Zarbin MA: Diabetic macular edema: pathogenesis and treatment. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2009;54:1–32.
- 3 Nguyen QD, Tatlipinar S, Shah SM, Haller JA, Quinlan E, Sung J, et al: Vascular endothelial growth factor is a critical stimulus for diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;142:961–969.

- 4 Photocoagulation for diabetic macular edema. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study report number 1. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study research group. Arch Ophthalmol 1985;103:1796–1806.
- 5 Mitchell P, Bandello F, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Lang GE, Massin P, Schlingemann RO, et al; RESTORE Study Group: The RESTORE study: ranibizumab monotherapy or combined with laser versus laser monotherapy for diabetic macular edema. Ophthalmology 2011;118:615–625.
- 6 Brown DM, Nguyen QD, Marcus DM, Boyer DS, Patel S, Feiner L, et al: Long-term outcomes of ranibizumab therapy for diabetic macular edema: the 36-month results from two phase III trials: RISE and RIDE. Ophthalmology 2013;120:2013–2022.
- 7 Elman MJ, Ayala A, Bressler NM, Browning D, Flaxel CJ, Glassman AR, et al: Intravitreal ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema with prompt versus deferred laser treatment: 5-year randomized trial results. Ophthalmology 2015;122:375–381.
- 8 Rajendram R, Fraser-Bell S, Kaines A, Michaelides M, Hamilton RD, Esposti SD, et al: A 2-year prospective randomized controlled trial of intravitreal bevacizumab or laser therapy (BOLT) in the management of diabetic macular edema: 24-month data: report 3. Arch Ophthalmol 2012;130:972–979.
- 9 Gonzalez VH, Campbell J, Holekamp NM, Kiss S, Loewenstein A, Augustin AJ, et al: Early and long-term responses to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy in diabetic macular edema: analysis of protocol I data. Am J Ophthalmol 2016;172:72–79.
- 10 Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network, Chew E, Strauber S, Beck R, Aiello LP, Antoszyk A, Bressler N, et al: Randomized trial of peribulbar triamcinolone acetonide with and without focal photocoagulation for mild diabetic macular edema: a pilot study. Ophthalmology 2007;114:1190–1196.
- 11 Campochiaro PA, Brown DM, Pearson A, Chen S, Boyer D, Ruiz-Moreno J, et al: Sustained delivery fluocinolone acetonide vitreous inserts provide benefit for at least 3 years in patients with diabetic macular edema. Ophthalmology 2012;119:2125–2132.
- 12 Stewart MW, Flynn HW Jr, Schwartz SG, Scott IU: Extended duration strategies for the pharmacologic treatment of diabetic retinopathy: current status and future prospects. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2016;13:1277–1287.
- 13 Elaraoud I, Attawan A, Quhill F: Case series investigating the efficacy and safety of bilateral fluocinolone acetonide (ILUVIEN®) in patients with diabetic macular edema. Ophthalmol Ther 2016;5:95–104.
- 14 Alfaqawi F, Lip PL, Elsherbiny S, Chavan R, Mitra A, Mushtaq B: Report of 12 months efficacy and safety of intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant for the treatment of chronic diabetic macular oedema: a real-world result in the United Kingdom. Eye (Lond) 2017;31:650–656.
- 15 Bertelmann T, Schulze S: Long-term follow-up of patient with diabetic macular edema receiving fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant. Ophthalmol Ther 2015;4:51–58.
- 16 Massin P, Erginay A, Dupas B, Couturier A, Tadayoni R: Efficacy and safety of sustained-delivery fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant in patients with chronic diabetic macular edema insufficiently responsive to available therapies: a real-life study. Clin Ophthalmol 2016;10:1257–1264.
- 17 Schmit-Eilenberger VK: A novel intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant (ILUVIEN®) in the treatment of patients with chronic diabetic macular edema that is insufficiently responsive to other medical treatment options: a case series. Clin Ophthalmol 2015;9:801–811.
- 18 Bailey C, Chakravarthy U, Lotery A, Menon G, Talks J; Medisoft Audit Group: Real-world experience with 0.2 µg/day fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant (ILUVIEN) in the United Kingdom. Eye (Lond) 2017, Epub ahead of print.




Fig. 1. OCT. Bilateral extensive cystoid macular edema and subfoveal neurosensory retinal detachment after laser photocoagulation (September 2009).




Fig. 2. **a–f** Prior to injections (August 2011). **a–d** Retinography and fluorescein angiography. Bilateral severe diabetic macular edema with hard exudates in the right eye; there are visible scars after panretinal photocoagulation. **e, f** OCT. Extensive CME with huge intraretinal cysts. **g, h** After intravitreal triamcinolone injections (October 2011). OCT images. Right eye with few cysts and left eye with a dry macula.




Fig. 3. **a** OCT. Cystoid macular edema in the right eye prior to injection of the fluocinolone acetonide implant (July 2015). **b** OCT. Dry macula of the right eye 1 month following treatment with the fluocinolone acetonide implant (October 2015). **c** Visualization of the intravitreal fluocinolone implant in the patient's right eye at the day of injection. **d** Graph showing the change in best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and central macular thickness (CMT) following injection of the fluocinolone acetonide implant.

Table 1. Summary of the treatments performed for DME in the right eye of the patient

Date	Description	VA	CFT ^a , μm	IOP, mm Hg
11/2004	Incipient diabetic retinopathy diagnosed	10/10	NN	17
03/2008	Moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy	9/10	NN	14
04/2008	Focal laser photocoagulation			
03/2009	DME diagnosed	3/10	492	NN
05/2009	Macular grid laser			
09/2009	Visit	3/10	512	NN
10/2009	IVTA injection			
11/2009	Visit	4/10	302	18
06/2010	Proliferative diabetic retinopathy diagnosed			16
07/2010	Panretinal photocoagulation			
12/2010	Visit	3/10	315	16
05/2011	Proliferative diabetic retinopathy progression			17
06/2011	Reinforcement of panretinal photocoagulation			
08/2011	Visit	1/10	664	14
09/2011	IVTA injection			14
10/2011	Visit	5/10	308	20
01/2012	Visit	1/10	540	17
03/2012	IVTA injection			
04/2012	Visit	5/10	268	26 ^b
05/2012	Visit	5/10	NN	14
08/2012	Visit	3/10	420	14
09/2012	IVTA injection			
02/2013	Dense cataract	1/10	NN	18
04/2013	Cataract surgery + IVTA injection			
05/2013	Visit	5/10	287	18
07/2013	Visit	6/10	292	20
09/2013	Visit	2/10	454	NN
10–11/2013	3 intravitreal injections of ranibizumab			
12/2013	Visit	5/10	270	NN
02/2014	Visit	4/10	480	NN
04/2014	IVTA injection			
05/2014	Visit	5/10	290	
07/2014	Visit	2/10	520	
09–11/2014	3 intravitreal injections of ranibizumab			
12/2014	Visit	3/10	360	
01/2015	Visit	1/10	458	
04/2015	IVTA injection			
05/2015	Visit	5/10	262	15
07/2015	Visit	2/10	488	23
09/2015	Fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant injection			
10/2015	Visit	6/10	198	14
12/2015	Visit	6/10	169	21
03/2016	Visit	6/10	167	27 ^c
09/2016	Visit	6/10	168	22

10/2016	Visit	6/10	NN	27 ^d
01/2017	Visit	6/10	NN	24 ^e
03/2017	Visit	6/10	208	18

CFT, central foveal thickness; DME, diabetic macular edema; IOP, intraocular pressure; IVTA, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide; NN, no numbers; VA, visual acuity. ^a Spectral domain OCT Copernicus® (Optopol) until end of 2014 and Avanti RTVue XR® (Optovue) after that. ^b Here the patient was medicated with eye drops of timolol and dorzolamide for 2 months. ^c The patient started treatment with eye drops of timolol and dorzolamide. ^d Brimonidine was added to the topical hypotensive treatment. ^e The patient underwent selective laser trabeculoplasty after this visit, keeping the hypotensive eye drops.