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Abstract

A variety of studies have shown differences between phonetic features of consonants according to their prosodic and/or syllable (onset vs. coda) positions. However, differences are not always found, and interactions between the various factors involved are complex and not well understood. Our study compares acoustic characteristics of coda consonants in Vietnamese taking into account their position within words. Traditionally described as monosyllabic, Vietnamese is partially polysyllabic at the lexical level. In this language, tautosyllabic consonant sequences are prohibited, and adjacent consonants are only found at syllable boundaries either within polysyllabic words (CVC.CVC) or across monosyllabic words (CVC#CVC). This study is designed to examine whether or not syllable boundary types (interword vs. intraword) have an effect on the acoustic realization of codas. The results show significant acoustic differences in consonant realizations according to syllable boundary type, suggesting different coarticulation patterns between nuclei and codas. In addition, as Vietnamese voiceless stops are generally unreleased in coda position, with no burst to carry consonantal information, our results show that a vowel’s second half contains acoustic cues which are available to aid in the discrimination of place of articulation of the vowel’s following consonant.

Vietnamese Phonological Background

Vietnamese, a Mon-Khmer language in the Austroasiatic family, is traditionally described as an “isolating” language in which all words are invariable and syllables generally have an independent meaning in isolation (Đoàn, 1999, pp. 65; see also Trương, 1970). Most Vietnamese syllables are lexical or grammatical morphemes, and a very large proportion of its words are monosyllabic and monomorphemic, which led many authors to classify Vietnamese as a monosyllabic language (Nguyễn, 1989, p. 27). The few polysyllabic monomorphemic words are either place names (e.g. Sài Gòn) or loans (e.g. the French borrowing va li “suitcase”). This one-to-one mapping of syllables (âm tiết) and morphemes (hình vị) produces the concept of syllabeme (tiết
Vietnamese linguistics (Ngô, 1984; Cao, 1985), the minimal meaningful unit of this language. As in the following example, syllables are monosyllabic words and provide an important part of the Vietnamese basic words (Lê, 2011), e.g. ngôi nhà đẹp [ŋɔjA1 naA2 depD2] “the house is beautiful” (classifier-house-beautiful).

Vietnamese is therefore typically characterized as phonologically monosyllabic, as the basic structure of the lexicon is monosyllabic (Michaud, 2009), and the segmental phonology operates exclusively within the domain of the syllable. However, not all Vietnamese words are monosyllables. There is a substantial number of polysyllabic lexical words with from 2 to 4 syllables, such as in example 1:

(1) **hoc tap** [hɔkD2 tɔpD2] “to study”
**hap tac xa** [hapusD2 takD1 saC2] “cooporative”
**khap khap khien** [xɔpD2 xaA2 xɔpD2 xieŋC2] “limping”

From a study on segmental co-occurrences in Vietnamese lexical units, Trần and Vallée (2009) found in a lexicon of 5,000 in current use that disyllabic words account for half of the lemmas (49.67%) and monosyllabic ones for 49.65%. Trisyllabic words are very limited (0.68%), while 4-syllable lemmas do not appear among the Vietnamese common words. As a result, Vietnamese is a monosyllabic language at the phonological level, but a polysyllabic language at the lexical level.

**The Vietnamese Lexicon: Polysyllabic Word Formation Strategies**

A brief overview of different formation processes of Vietnamese polysyllabic words provides a good illustration of the “monosyllabic status but partially polysyllabic at the lexical level” for this language. In Vietnamese, polysyllabic words are basically formed either by composition or by reduplication.

Traditional descriptions classify the Vietnamese compound words into 2 semantically differentiated main categories, namely coordinate compounds versus subordinate compounds (Thompson, 1965; Mai et al., 1997; Nguyễn, 1998; Diệp, 2004; see Schiering et al., 2010, for a summary).

Semantically coordinate compound words are basically formed by at least 2 juxtaposed monosyllabic elements. The compound may consist of component elements which, if taken separately, each have a different and specific meaning. The combination of these elements manifests a common sense of the word. For example, xăng dầu “fuel” consists of 2 elements, xăng “gasoline” and dầu “oil.” The coordinate compounds may also be formed by 2 elements having similar or neighboring meanings. For example, all words in example 2 are composed of synonymous elements.

(2) **tim kiem** [tımA2 kiemB1] “to search”
**doi cho** [ɗjB2 čɔA2] “to wait”
**boi vi** [bɔjC1 viA2] “because”
**thay doi** [tʰjA1 dojC1] “to change”
**nuu non** [nʊjB1 nɔnA1] “mountains”

1 In all examples, tones are indicated in superscript according to Michaud (2004), with conventional alphanumerical labels reflecting their diachronic origin; the transcription corresponds to surface forms as pronounced in standard Hanoi Vietnamese: ngang high-level (A1), huyễn low-falling (A2), sác low-rising (B1), nằng mid-falling-glottalized (B2), hôi high-falling-rising (C1), ngã mid-rising-glottalized (C2); with 2 additional tones in stop-final rhymes: sác high-rising (D1) and nằng low-falling (D2).
Semantically subordinate compounds consist of an element having a generic sense and a second one playing a subordinate role to the first element. Thus, in the following example 3, the first monosyllabic component xe is the generic term for vehicle, while máy, đạp, ngựa, điện are subordinate elements that express the discrimination and through which different types of vehicle can be distinguished:

(3) xe máy \([se^{A1} maj^{B1}]\) “motorcycle”
    xe đạp \([se^{A1} dap^{D2}]\) “bicycle”
    xe ngựa \([se^{A1} ngu^{C2}]\) “horse-cart”
    xe điện \([se^{A1} dien^{C2}]\) “tramway”

Another usual way to create polysyllabic words is by reduplicative derivation, i.e. by repeating a whole or only parts of a word (Thompson, 1965; Mai et al., 1997; see Noyer, 1998, p. 85, for a summary). Reduplication is a process that expresses a number of meanings, such as distributive, iterative, attenuative, intensive, and emphatic. A reduplicated word consists of 2–4 syllables that have a phonetic link between them at the suprasegmental level, either tone or the subsyllabic constituents of onset and/or rhyme, as illustrated in example 4 (duplicated part in bold). However, 98% of the Vietnamese reduplicative words are disyllabic (Lê et al., 2009).

(4) chuồn chuồn \([teu^{A2} teu^{A2}]\) “dragonfly”
    khe khẽ \([xe^{A1} xe^{C2}]\) “softly”
    lang thang \([laŋ^{A1} tan^{A1}]\) “to wander”
    khit kinh kinh \([xin^{D1} xin^{A2} xin^{A1}]\) “close-fitting”
    lung là lung láng \([luŋ^{C1} la^{A2} luŋ^{C1} laŋ^{C1}]\) “hanging down loosely”

In addition, there are also complex polysyllabic words in Vietnamese, phonetically transcribed from foreign languages. Because of long contact with Chinese and French, the Vietnamese vocabulary includes a large number of Sino-Vietnamese words (as illustrated in example 5) and has also more recently been enriched with loanwords from French (as e.g. in example 6).

(5) đại lý \([da^{B2} li^{B1}]\) “agency”
    du lịch \([zu^{A1} lik^{D2}]\) “tourism”
    bất động \([bt^{D1} don^{B2}]\) “immobile”

(6) ban công \([ban^{A1} koŋ^{A1}]\) “balcony” (from French, balcon)
    bètông \([be^{A1} toŋ^{A1}]\) “concrete” (from French, béton)
    ô tô \([ʔo^{A1} to^{A1}]\) “car” (from French, auto)

**Vietnamese: A Tonal Language with CVC Dominant Syllable Structure**

Vietnamese is typologically an isolating CVC language (almost 70% of the whole Vietnamese syllables are of this type) while CV syllables are more limited (24%) (Trần and Vallée, 2009). The syllable structure is C(w)V(C) with each syllable bearing a lexical tone and the (w) and final (C) optional. This pattern implies that the glottal stop which appears only in syllable-initial position is phonemic. The nucleus is either a long or short vowel or a diphthong. A strong phonotactic restriction process occurs in Vietnamese syllable-final position, since only 6 obstruents of the language’s 22
consonants are allowed in the coda, specifically either a voiceless stop or a nasal: /p/ /t/ /k/ /m/ /n/ /ŋ/. It should also be noted that a glide, /w/ or /j/, is permitted in coda and that the voiceless bilabial plosive /p/ is never found in syllable-initial position in native Vietnamese words. Another particularity of Vietnamese phonetics and phonology is that voiceless stops are released in syllable onset position with a fast and audible burst, but they typically occur as an unreleased (burstless) allophone when in syllable-final position (Cao, 1985; Đoàn, 1999; Trần and Vallée, 2009; Trần, 2011; Kirby, 2011).

Vietnamese is a tonal language in which the meaning of each syllable varies according to its tone. The rhyme is the tone-bearing unit, and the syllable-initial consonant does not carry tone information (Trân et al., 2005). The Vietnamese tones are characterized both by pitch modulation and by voice quality (see Michaud, 2004, and Brunelle et al., 2010, for more details), but there is considerable tone variation between Vietnamese dialects. Generally, the northern varieties have 6 tones while those in other regions (southern and central) have 5, merging 2 of the tones into 1, while still other north-central varieties have a merger of 3 tones resulting in a 4-tone system. Moreover, while northern and central varieties have glottalized tones (Nguyễn and Edmondson, 1997; Phạm, 2003; Michaud, 2004), southern dialects make no use of voice quality in production in tonal contrasts (Brunelle, 2009). However, southern listeners can still access these cues in perception when listening to northern speakers (Kirby, 2010).

For the purpose of this paper, we will focus only on the Hanoi dialect which has a phonologically 6-tone system: ngang high-level (A1), huyền low-falling (A2), sắc low-rising (B1), năng mid-falling-glottalized (B2), hỏi high-falling-rising (C1), ngã mid-rising-glottalized (C2). Stop-final rhymes are associated with 2 tonal variants a high-rising sắc (D1) and a low-falling năng (D2), both produced with a modal voice, making the final stops /p/ /t/ /k/ produced without glottalization (Michaud, 2004).

Research Questions

The 3 final stops of Vietnamese are traditionally described as unreleased and are therefore lacking the distinguishing information that would be carried in a release. Nevertheless, native subjects are able to identify them at very high rates (81% on average) (Trần and Vallée, 2010). This raises interesting questions about what acoustic cues underlie this ability and allow for that discrimination.

Furthermore, many experimental studies in articulatory phonetics have shown differences in the production of consonants, according to their position within the syllable (Lindblom, 1983; Keating, 1983; Browman and Goldstein, 1988, 1995; Byrd, 1995; Krakow, 1999; Kingston, 2008) and within prosodic domains (e.g., utterance) (Malécot, 1968; Fougeron and Keating, 1997). Previous works suggest differences in coarticulation strength between tautosyllabic consonant clusters² (#CC or CC#) and heterosyllabic consonant sequences (C≠C) (Davidson, 2003, 2007). Differences have also been found in the acoustic signal between syllable-initial and syllable-final consonants, whether preconsonantal (C.C) or word-final (C≠C) consonants (Redford and Diehl, 1999; Wright, 2004). Such findings raise the question of whether heterosyllabic but word-internal sequences (C.C) differ from sequences which span a word

² It should be noted that we call a series of consonants a cluster if they occur in the same syllable, and a sequence if in two consecutive syllables, according to the terminology proposed by Pulgram (1965).
boundary (C#C). In other words, are there differences in the acoustic properties of Vietnamese finals when they are word-internal (C.C) versus spanning a word boundary (C#C)?

In a pilot study on 2-consonant sequences produced by an adult native speaker of Vietnamese, Trân and Vallée (2009) found acoustic differences in the preconsonantal consonant according to whether the consonant sequences cross a word boundary or a word-internal syllable boundary. If the findings of the 2009 study are replicated with multiple speakers, it would reinforce the finding of differences at syllable boundaries in word-internal and word-final positions in Vietnamese. One of the main goals of this paper is therefore to extend the pilot acoustical investigation with a greater number of speakers and to try to uncover evidence about the word position effects by examining the acoustic properties of Vietnamese coda consonants.

Two main questions are therefore addressed:

1. What acoustic cues are available to potentially aid in the discrimination of Vietnamese final consonants since they are not released?
2. Are word-internal codas different from word-final codas?

**Method**

We conducted an acoustic study of final stops and final nasals. The acoustic parameters of the 6 Vietnamese final obstruents /p t k m n ŋ/ were measured for 10 native speakers of Hanoi Vietnamese in a production experiment using a speech-reading task, and by comparing the productions of the consonants according to their position in the word and in the syllable.

**Corpus**

We first selected a lexicon of the 5,000 most commonly used Vietnamese lemmas (i.e., the uninflected base form of content words). The source lexicon from which ours was selected was originally collected by Lê et al. (2003) and Lê (2006) in the framework of an automatic speech recognition project. The vocabulary was automatically created from textual data extracted from Web resources (VnExpress News, the most read Vietnamese online newspaper) on which various forms of data treatment, such as filtering, were performed (see Lê, 2006, p. 48). Next, we used the text2wfreq tool from the CMU-Cambridge Statistical Language Modeling tool kit in order to extract 5,000 words from the list of vocabulary on the criterion of their numbers of occurrences. We verified that the lexicon contained the 100 words “as indispensable as possible” from the Swadesh list for Vietnamese of Lê (2004). We also compared the list of vocabulary to the most common 1,000 words of the Assimil method “Learning Vietnamese as Foreign Language” (Đỗ and Lê, 1994). The resulting 5,000-word Vietnamese lexicon was then integrated into the G-ULTSID database (Grenoble and UCLA Lexical and Syllabic Inventory Database) (Maddieson and Precoda, 1992; Rousset, 2004; Vallée et al., 2009). Each lexical item corresponds to a phonologically transcribed and syllabified word form. The subsyllabic onset and rhyme units of each syllable are indicated, with the nucleus and coda at the rhyme level.

From the Vietnamese lexicon, 62 lexical monosyllables and disyllables were selected for the present study (4 CV, 23 CVC and 35 CVC.CVC). Each of these lexical items contains 1 of the 6 target consonants in the context of the low vowel /a/ and in the rising tonal context (sắc tones, B1 and D1).

The reason for choosing this tonal context is twofold. First, stop-final syllables permit only 2 tones (sắc-D1 or nANG-D2). An examination of the 5,000-word lexicon has shown that words with final stops matching our criteria (a /CaC/ initial syllable in a polysyllabic item) are 3 times more frequent under tone D1 than under tone D2, 62 versus 22 words, respectively. Furthermore in Northern Vietnamese, tones D1 and D2 are not produced with glottalization (Michaud, 2004). Second, syllables with final sonorants only occur with the sắc-B1 and nANG-B2 tones, of which B1 is produced in modal voice, whereas B2 is glottalized. In the B2 tonal context, acoustically speaking, the final nasals almost entirely disappear as segments under the influence of glottal interrupt (Michaud, 2004; Michaud et al.,
In order to facilitate the audio signal segmentation, then acoustic measurements, sâc tones (B1 and D1) were therefore selected for the corpus. The vowel /a/ was chosen to provide a better contrast between the vocalic segment and the adjacent stop in onset as well as in coda position.

In the limited lexical inventory remaining after our initial syllable selection, the tonal context of either sâc rising tones (B1, D1) or nãng falling tones (B2, D2) was selected for the second syllable of a polysyllabic word, leading to a corpus 18 of the former and 17 of the latter. It should be noted that the tonal context of the second syllable has minimal influence on acoustic measurements (and specifically F0) of the first syllable, which is the target of our acoustical study, because in a polysyllabic word, the tonal coarticulation is generally progressive rather than regressive, and if a regressive tone influence is found, it is much weaker than its progressive counterpart (Brunelle, 2009).

In the resulting lexical corpus, the 6 target consonants /p t k m n ʔ/ are located in various within-word positions: (i) in syllable-initial-onset position C- of monosyllables in an open /Ca/ or closed /CaC/ syllabic structure (e.g., /ta/, /ka/, /ma/, /taɪ/, /kat/, /nat/); (ii) in word-final coda position -C in monosyllables /CaC/ (e.g., /kap/, /mat/, /kaɪ/, /tam/, /tan/, /kaɲ/) or in word-internal coda position -C in the case of disyllabic words /CaC.CVC/ (e.g., /fap.ˈli/, /fat.ˈzak/, /ˈsak.ˈdaj/, /ˈxam.ˈfu/, /ˈban.ˈket/, /ˈsaŋ.ˈtaŋ/).

The target polysyllabic words were selected so that the paired consonants to be analyzed disagreed in voicing: all voiceless coda consonants preceded a voice onset consonant, and conversely, all voiced codas preceded a voiceless onset. For the same reason, 2 carrier sentences were designed for the monosyllables, to ensure that the phonetic context of the target phonemes was comparable regarding voicing, thus:

If the target word ends with a vowel or a sonorant (-C = /m n ŋ/), the following word in the carrier sentence began with the voiceless alveolar [s]: “Ban sê gặp tụ __ xuất hiện trong bài khóa” [banB2 seC2 dɨpD2 tʊnA2 __ swɪtD1 hiɛnB2 tɛnA1 bajA2 xwaB1] (you will find the words appear in the text).

If the target word ends with a voiceless stop (-C = /p t k/), the next word in the carrier sentence began with the lateral voiced consonant [l]: “Ban sê gặp tụ __ liên tiếp xuất hiện trong bài khóa” [banB2 seC2 ɟɛpD2 tʊnA2 __ liɛnA1 tiepD1 hiɛnB2 tɛnA1 bajA2 xwaB1] (you will find the words successively appear in the text).

As a reminder, /p/ does not exist in onset position in the native Vietnamese vocabulary, and the loanwords where it is now established do not meet the criteria of the corpus (vowel /a/, sâc tones). Therefore, there are no p-initial words as part of the materials. In the end, 62 monosyllabic and disyllabic words matching our criteria were selected from the 5,000-word lexical database (see the Appendix 1 for a complete list of the stimuli). The 35 disyllabic items selected are either semantically compound (32) or reduplicative (3) words. The recording corpus consisted of 4 randomized repetitions of the selected words in their carrier sentences, which resulted in (62 words × 4 repetitions × 10 speakers) = 2,480 tokens for analysis.

Speakers

The 5 male and 5 female participants were between 23 and 28 years of age and were native speakers of the Hanoi dialect of northern Vietnamese. The speakers were asked to read each sentence in one setting at a normal and fluent speech rate, paying attention to not introduce a phrase boundary or a pause after the target word. The sentences were written in 18-point font size and were listed and presented on paper. Breaks were inserted during the reading task for participant comfort. The recording was performed in the GIPSA-lab’s sound-proof room (Grenoble, France), using a Marantz PMD 670 digital audio recorder at a 44.1-kHz sampling rate and an AKG C1000S cardioid microphone.

Data Processing

The 2,480 recorded sentences (3 h of read speech) were manually segmented and annotated using Praat speech-processing software. Acoustic parameters were then semiautomatically analyzed using Praat and Matlab. The measurements made were:

- Target consonant duration: initial consonants C- in both C/a/ and C/a/C, final consonants in both C/a/C and C/a/C.CVC
- Vowel /a/ duration
- Voice onset time (VOT) duration
- Stop closure duration
Burst amplitude and duration (when a burst was visible on the spectrogram)

Time evolution of acoustic parameters: intensity, fundamental frequencies ($F_0$), and the first 3 formants ($F_1$, $F_2$, $F_3$) were measured from time points of 40 and 50% of the vowel length (i.e., the vocalic part less affected by consonantal environment), to time points of 60, 70, 80, and 90% of the vowel length (i.e., the transition part between /a/ and the adjacent final consonant).

These VC transition parameters were added because of the generally unreleased stops found in coda position in Vietnamese. Previous studies have shown that final consonants can be identified by listeners through variations of the rate and direction of formant transitions of the preceding vowel (Sharf and Hemeyer, 1972; Dorman et al., 1977; Cao, 1985, p. 83; Serniclaes, 1987). Thus, in our study, variations ($\Delta$) of $F_1$, $F_2$, $F_3$, also $F_0$, and intensity ($\Delta I$) were obtained by calculating the difference values between time points $T_2$ (from 50 to 90% of the vowel length) and time points $T_1$ (40% of the vowel duration corresponding to the steady-state portion of the vowel).

For stop consonants, $C$- duration was measured on the spectrogram by taking the time interval between the last periodic pulse of the immediately preceding vowel /ɯ/ aligned with the apparent end of the formant structure, and the start of the glottal vibration for the following /a/ aligned with the sharp beginning of its formant structure. The total stop duration therefore includes the closure phase, the burst, and the VOT durations (Fig. 1). Final stop duration in -C and in -Cσ was calculated as the difference between the time marked as the voicing termination of the vowel /a/ and the time marked as the start of the glottal vibration of the following voiced consonant.
VOT was measured from wide-band spectrograms according to the method of Lisker and Abramson (1964). VOT is the time interval between the onset of the energy burst corresponding to the release of stop consonant closure and the beginning of the first periodic component of regular glottal vibration corresponding to the voicing onset of the following vowel or of the following voiced fricative (in the case of final consonants -C# and -Cσ). Burst duration and intensity were measured from wide-band spectrograms when release noise (burst energy) was visible on the spectrogram.

All of the duration measurements were normalized to the speech rate (syllables per minute) before statistical treatment. The speech rate of the target word is calculated by taking the number of syllables of the word and dividing by its raw duration. The normalized consonant durations are calculated by taking the raw duration and dividing by the corresponding local speech rate. The same procedure is applied for all other duration measurements.

Statistical Analysis

Acoustic measurements were analyzed with a series of repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) using SPSS© (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) for effects of syllable position, or as interaction between position and place of articulation (labial, coronal, or velar). Investigated effects were:

- Effect of syllable position (C-, -C#, or -Cσ) on acoustic variables of target stops (total duration, closure duration, burst duration, burst intensity, VOT). For nasal consonants, a separate test was conducted on the total duration of the consonant
- Effect of boundary type (-C# or -Cσ) on VC transitions (changes in intensity, in fundamental and formant frequencies from 40 to 90% of the preconsonantal vowel duration)

A statistical significance level of p = 0.05 was used for all tests.

Results

Duration

Figure 2 shows the mean normalized values of stop and nasal durations (in milliseconds) according to their position within the syllable. Stops and nasals are of comparable length in monosyllabic onsets (C-) and codas (-C#), while both are

Fig. 2. Boxplot with symbol (◊) representing the means of normalized duration (ms) of stops (a) and nasals (b) according to their position within words. * p < 0.05.
shorter in disyllabic word-internal codas (-Cσ) (the detailed results are given in the Appendices).

The within-subject analyses reveal significant effects overall for positions on the duration for stops (/t/ and /k/) \[F(2, 18) = 34.636; p < 0.001\] and for nasals \[F(2, 18) = 36.287; p < 0.001\]. However, for C- and -Cµ positions in monosyllables (CV, CVC) specifically, no significant differences are found for /t/ and /k/ (recalling that Vietnamese prohibits syllable-initial /p/) \[F(1, 9) = 0.068; p > 0.7\], or for nasals \[F(1, 9) = 2.235; p > 0.1\]. Focusing more narrowly on C- and -Cµ of the CVC structures, there is again no difference in the durations observed for stops \[F(1, 9) = 0.045; p > 0.8\] or for nasals \[F(1, 9) = 1.979; p > 0.1\]. It is also observed that /a/ is realized longer in CVC when preceded by a stop and followed by a nasal (e.g., /tam/) than in the opposite pattern (e.g., /mat/) \[F(1, 9) = 19.188; p < 0.002\].

Comparison of consonant durations in C- and in {-Cµ, -Cσ} shows differences between these 2 sets of position (i.e., onset vs. coda), attested within subjects for stops \[F(1, 9) = 17.831; p < 0.003\] and for nasals \[F(1, 9) = 28.465; p < 0.001\].

No significant effects of place of articulation on duration are found for stops \[F(1, 9) = 0.011; p > 0.9\]. There is a significant difference in duration for nasal obstruents but only between the labial /m/ and velar /ŋ/ consonants \[F(1, 9) = 10.317; p < 0.02\].

As found previously in the single-speaker study of Trân and Vallée (2009), these within-subject results show differences in the production of both stop and nasal consonants as a function of coda type (-Cµ or -Cσ), namely:

- Consonant durations are significantly different for stops \[F(1, 9) = 78.618; p < 0.001\] and for nasals \[F(1, 9) = 40.863; p < 0.001\], thus showing an effect of the boundary type on the consonant duration and suggesting that speakers might use different degrees of coarticulation between word-internal consonant sequences compared to those across word boundaries. No significant effects of place of articulation on the consonant duration are observed here, neither for stops \[F(2, 18) = 0.938; p > 0.4\] nor for nasals \[F(2, 18) = 0.122; p > 0.8\]

---

**Fig. 3.** Boxplot with symbol (◊) representing the means of normalized duration (ms) of vowel /a/ when followed by stops and nasals, according to the boundary types (interword -Cµ or intraword -Cσ). * p < 0.05.
The vowel /a/ is significantly longer before -C# than before -Cσ (Fig. 3) for both stops \[F(1, 9) = 104.923; p < 0.001\] and nasals \[F(1, 9) = 169.927; p < 0.001\]. Vowel duration also varies significantly according to the place of articulation of the coda consonant: [a^*] duration > [a^σ] duration (p < 0.03), and [a^σ] duration > [a^σ] duration (p < 0.009); however [a^σ] duration ~ [a^σ] duration (p > 0.05). This place effect is not observed for final nasals \[F(2, 18) = 0.921; p > 0.4\].

This result indicates that vowel duration contains information on the place of articulation of the following coda stop in syllables with tone D1, as the vowel is longer when followed by the velar stop /k/ than when followed by the coronal /t/ or bilabial /p/.

For closure duration of coda consonants, word-final stops have proportionally longer closing phases than within-word coda stops \[F(1, 133) = 6.723; p < 0.015\] (Fig. 4). No significant effect is observed according to the place of articulation \[F(2, 133) = 1.485; p > 0.2\].

**Burst**

Vietnamese stop consonants are always accompanied by bursts in word-initial positions, but they are traditionally described as unreleased in the coda (Cao, 1985; Đoàn, 1999). However, analysis of our multispeaker data shows the presence of bursts after word-final coda stops (22.55%) and to a lesser extent before word-internal coda stops (4.72%). Unreleased stops are thus more frequent at word boundaries than at word-internal syllable boundaries.

Burst durations are significantly shorter in coda positions (-C# and -Cσ) than in onset position C-, for /k/ \[F(1, 309) = 103.568; p < 0.001\] and for /t/ \[F(1, 322) = 12.969; p < 0.001\]. Mean normalized burst duration is 4.78 ms for /k/ in C- but 2.26 ms in -C# and 1.52 ms in -Cσ, while for /t/, it is 2.51 ms in C-, different from -Cσ, with 2.04 ms and -Cσ with 1.68 ms. As for the coda positions, the burst duration in -Cσ is not significantly different from that in -Cσ, neither for /p/ \[F(1, 60) = 4.176; p > 0.1\] nor for /k/ \[F(1, 29) = 2.224; p > 0.1\] nor for /t/ \[F(1, 44) = 1.816; p > 0.1\].

Burst intensities of stop consonants are significantly different depending on within-word initial versus final positions C- vs. -Cσ and -Cσ for /k/ \[F(1, 19) = 4.1325; p < 0.001\] and for /t/ \[F(1, 19) = 17.590; p < 0.001\]. Mean energy bursts are higher in C- (65.43 dB for [k] and 61.68 dB for [t]) than in -Cσ (56.95 dB for [k]; 56.38 dB for [t]) or in -Cσ (58 dB for [k]; 57.26 dB for [t]). No significant differences in burst intensity are found for word-internal versus word-final coda stops \[F(1, 31) = 0.07; p > 0.8\].

To generalize, coda stops are not typically released in Vietnamese, but when released, their bursts are significantly shorter and less intense than stops in onset position. However, no significant differences in duration or intensity are found between coda stops in word-internal and word-final positions.
Voice Onset Time

Mean normalized VOT values of bilabial and alveolar stops vary significantly with within-word positions. The VOT is proportionally longer in -C# than in -Cσ for /p/ [F(1, 60) = 8.617; p < 0.005] and for /t/ [F(1, 40) = 4.638; p < 0.04] (Fig. 5). VOT differences depending on word positions was not found for /k/ [F(1, 29) = 0.514; p > 0.4].

As expected, VOTs also depend on stop places of articulation, with the longest mean normalized VOT for the voiceless velar stop, regardless of its position in the syllable or in the word (8.88 ms for /k/ vs. 4.94 ms for /t/ in C- position; 5.31 ms for /k/ vs. 3.17 ms for /t/ in word-internal position -Cσ). However, VOT differences between /k/ and /t/ are negligible in word-final position (6.48 and 6.03 ms, respectively), while word-final VOTs of /p/ are distinctly shorter (5.04 ms).

Vowel-Consonant Transition

In our data, we note a large number of coda stops in which the consonant burst is absent, as shown in the following spectrogram gác bút [ɣakD1. butD1] “to stop writing” or “to abandon the writing profession” (Fig. 6). The spectrogram shows no release burst for /k/ realized as [k̚]. As the silent closure phase is not followed by a noise burst, final consonants are necessarily identified from the transitional phase with the preceding vowel segment, as noted by Cao (1985, p. 83). Since Lisker (1957), Liberman et al. (1954), Delattre et al. (1955), or Delattre (1963), many studies have also demonstrated that formant transitions are cues for consonant perception and identification, and that they prevail over burst when a conflict occurs between burst and formant transitions (Walley and Carrell, 1983). Because of the high proportion of unreleased final consonants in our data, the acoustic parameters of vowel-consonant transitions (the first 3 formants, fundamental frequency, and intensity) were measured from 40 to 90% of the vowel duration in order to examine whether acoustic information of final stops depends on boundary types (inter-word vs. intraword).

Formant measurements in this acoustic signal portion are very tricky because of the influence of anticipatory coarticulation on formants. After testing several methods for transition analysis, LPC (linear predictive coding) was retained for this study, because it has been used successfully in many studies on formant detection and analysis (Markel and Gray, 1976; Spanias, 1994; Nearey et al., 2002; Adank et al., 2004), and it has proven to be the most reliable method for the analysis of highly variable vowels, like those produced by children (Ménard, 2002; Ménard et al., 2010).

LPC analyses were performed to extract values of the first 3 formants in the following way. The sound was resampled at 11,000 Hz and the signal preamplified from 50 Hz. The LPC parameters were adjusted for each analyzed vowel. The first parameter adjusted was the number of poles, ranging from 12 to 16. Then, for each of the specified time points (40, 50, 60, 70, 80, and 90% of the vowel duration), 5 separate analyses were computed to avoid spurious formant detection. Each of these 5 analyses...
used a time window of 23.3 ms, centered on the specified time point with an offset of respectively –2, –1, 0, +1, and +2 ms. Each parameter pair (number of poles, time offset) gave a spectral response curve, resulting in a set from which we selected the closest curve to the calculated average one. The reference values (average and maximum) for the vowel were provided to the formant detection algorithm. To ensure measurement accuracy by the LPC algorithm, automatically detected formant values were verified on a broad-band spectrogram. When automatic detection did not correspond to the spectrographic representation, the number of poles was readjusted, and the LPC procedure was applied again.

F0 values were extracted by using the automatic detection algorithm RAPT (robust algorithm for pitch tracking). The raw intensity data were collected using Praat, and the smoothing was performed with Matlab (Talkin, 1995).

The data were analyzed using a repeated-measures ANOVA with the factors place of articulation (bilabial, coronal, velar) of final consonant -C# vs. -Cσ and the syllable tone (neutral tone A1, rising tones B1-D1, falling tones B2-D2) of the target word and/or syllable.

**Formant Transitions**

Mean values of the first 3 formants measured at the 6 points located from 40 to 90% of the vowel duration by steps of 10% were analyzed in relation to the final-stop consonant place of articulation and to the tone of the next syllable. Recall that monosyllabic target words and first syllable of disyllabic target words all had the same rising tonal context (sắc tones, B1 and D1).

**Fig. 6.** Audio signal and spectrographic representation of the Vietnamese disyllabic word gác bút [ɣakD1. butD1].

![Audio signal and spectrographic representation](image-url)
Table 1. Significance levels for the means of F1, F2, and F3 measured from 40 to 90% of vowel duration, as a function of the factor consonant place

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>df</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>9.645</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.677</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>1.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>2.294</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>2.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>2.786</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>5.565</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>2.208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant p values are italicized.

Table 2. Significance levels for the means of F1, F2, and F3 measured from 40 to 90% of vowel duration, as a function of the factor tone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>df</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>2.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>73.306</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>83.199</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>27.6996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant p values are italicized.

Table 1 shows F1, F2, and F3 differences at various points of the vowel duration, according to the place of articulation of stops in coda, and regardless of the boundary types which immediately follows the final consonant (interword or intraword).

Differences in F1 values according to the final-consonant place of articulation are significant at the vowel center (both 40 and 50%). A more detailed analysis shows that a bilabial place of articulation reinforces the differences (significantly higher than differences for other consonant places). The significant effect observed at 50% for F3 is caused by the coronal place.

For the computed mean values of F2, significant effects are found at 70% (p < 0.001), 80% (p < 0.002), and 90% (p < 0.001). F3 values are significantly different at 70% of the vowel duration (p < 0.03), while the differences in F1, are never found to be significant in this portion of VC transition (p > 0.05). These results clearly suggest that the final acoustic part of the vowel, and more specifically F2 transition, provides clues of postvocalic consonant place of articulation.

Regarding the factor tone, we note that a neutral level tone A1 on the syllable following a monosyllabic word influences the values of both F1 from 70% and F2 from 40% of the vowel duration (Table 2). In disyllabic words, no significant differences are found in F1, F2, and F3 in the first syllable due to the tone (rising sắc or falling nồng) on the second syllable (p > 0.05).

Mean values and standard deviations of F1, F2, and F3 changes from 50 to 90% of the vowel duration are given in the Appendices. The slopes were obtained by calculating the difference between the formant values at 40% and those at each interval (from 50 to 90% by steps of 10%). For example, \( \Delta F_1 \) at 90% = \( F_{1(90\%)} - F_{1(40\%)} \); \( \Delta F_2 \) at 90% = \( F_{2(90\%)} - F_{2(40\%)} \); \( \Delta F_3 \) at 90% = \( F_{3(90\%)} - F_{3(40\%)} \). \( \Delta F_1 \) and A1 were obtained in the same way. The calculations were carried out according to the coda consonants /p/, /t/, /k/, and to the tone of the following adjacent syllable.

Change over time in the 3 formants in the final portion of the vowel is presented in Figures 7–9, respectively. In these figures we labeled the curves by coda consonant (p, t, or k) and the following tone (level, rising, or falling). Codas in monosyllabic words are followed by A1 level tone (in their
frame sentences), and first syllable coda consonants in disyllabic words are followed in the second syllable by B1 or D1 rising tones or by B2 or D2 falling tones.

From 50% of the vowel duration, $\Delta F_2$ is already significantly different, depending on the place of articulation of the coda consonant, and remains significantly different until the end of the vowel. Figure 8 shows that from 70%, the slopes of $F_2$ are positive in the context of /t/ realizations, while those of /p/ and /k/ are all negatives. In this VC transition portion, $\Delta F_3$ has no significant difference due to the coda’s place of articulation ($p > 0.05$), probably because of the variability of the observed $F_3$ values (Fig. 9). $\Delta F_1$ is significantly different at 60%, which may be due to the randomness of the sample.

A gender effect is observed, with an interaction between gender and coda consonant place of articulation, showing significant effects on both $\Delta F_2$ and $\Delta F_3$ at 80% ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.04$, respectively), and also at 90% of the vowel duration ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.008$, respectively). This means that $\Delta F_2$ and $\Delta F_3$ distinguish coda place of articulation differently between males and females. An effect of the following tone is observable only for $\Delta F_1$ from 60 to 90% of the vowel duration ($p < 0.05$) (Table 3; Fig. 7). The significant difference is driven by monosyllabic words ($p – level$, $t – level$, $k – level$).

Regarding disyllabic words, whatever the tone of the following syllable (rising or falling), no significant effect on $\Delta F_1$ is detected ($p > 0.6$), and also no significant interaction between tone and gender on $\Delta F_1$ is found.

![Figure 7](image-url): Time evolution of $\Delta F_1$ estimated from 50 to 90% of the vowel duration according to the coda place and to the tone of the following syllable.

The figures show the evolution of $\Delta F_1$, $\Delta F_2$, and $\Delta F_3$ with respect to the vowel duration. The graphs illustrate the differences between monosyllabic and disyllabic words, as well as the effects of gender, tone, and place of articulation.
Word Position Effects on Vietnamese Final Consonants

In order to observe effects of nasal consonant place of articulation on formant transitions, we measured formants at 50 and 90% of the vowel duration only. Given their specific acoustic characteristics, especially regarding the presence of nasal formants on the spectrogram (Maeda, 1982; Rossato, 2000; Vaissière, 2007, 2008), we decided to perform ANOVA tests between VC transitions (oral stops) and VN transitions (nasal obstruents) with C and N having the same place of articulation. The goal was to verify the absence of nasal formants in the measured values of the first 3 formants of the preceding vowel.

Comparisons between $\Delta F_1$, $\Delta F_2$, and $\Delta F_3$ values of the 3 possibilities /ap/ versus /am/, /at/ versus /an/, and /ak/ versus /aŋ/ show significant differences between [k] and [ŋ], and [p] and [m] (Table 4). This result indicates the possibility that nasal formant measurements are included in the data. Therefore, for nasal consonants, the approach used for stops was not retained here, to avoid extraction of unreliable and potentially misleading information regarding acoustic cues to coda place of articulation in the preceding /a/ vowel.

Additional analysis based on the calculation of 12 MFCC coefficients (mel-frequency cepstrum coefficients) and their derivatives over a sliding window confirms the existence of spectral

![Fig. 8. Time evolution of $\Delta F_2$ estimated from 50 to 90% of the vowel duration according to the coda place and to the tone of the following syllable.](image)
characteristics of C in the VC transition portion at 90% of the vowel duration. A principal component analysis was performed based on these data (Fig. 10).

The principal component analysis shows that at 90% of its duration, the vowel contains information on the place of articulation of the postvocalic consonant: the dispersion areas are separate for velar consonants (/k/ and /ŋ/), and the coronal areas (/t/ and /n/) are located between those of velars and bilabials. The information in the MFCC that separates bilabials and velars is not visible in the examination of $\Delta F_2$ (Fig. 8).

**Intensity Transition**

As was done for formant transitions, $\Delta I$ values were obtained by measuring intensity at different points through the vowel duration (from 50 to 90%) and then by comparing with measurements taken at 40%. A repeated-measures ANOVA was performed to observe effects of the 2 factors (place of articulation and tonal context) on $\Delta I$.

Figure 11 shows mean values of $\Delta I$ from 50 to 90% of vowel duration calculated according to both the stop place of articulation and the tonal context. As a reminder, the *ngang* tone (high level A1)
indicates that the coda stop is word final (-C#), while the sắc tones (rising B1 or D1) and the nặng tones (falling B2 or D2) indicate that the coda stop is word internal (-Cσ). Mean values and standard deviations of ΔI are listed in the Appendices.

At 90% of the phonetic realization of the vowel, differences in ΔI occur depending on the tone of the following syllable \(F(2, 18) = 7.196; p < 0.005\) (Table 5). Intensity measured in VC transition of monosyllabic words (followed by a neutral tone A1) is significantly lower than intensity of within-word VC transition followed by a rising sắc tone B1 or D1 (\(p < 0.02\)) or by a falling nặng tone B2 or D2 (\(p < 0.03\)). No relevant differences between intensity of within-word VC transition according to tonal context (rising or falling) are found (\(p > 0.3\)). No effect of tone is observed from 50 to 80%.

At 90% of the total vowel duration, the decrease in intensity is significantly different according to the place of articulation of the following consonant \(F(2, 18) = 29.344; p < 0.001\). Over the changing VC transitions, the greatest negative intensity slopes are obtained with velar consonants as opposed to bilabials (\(p < 0.001\)) and coronals (\(p < 0.001\)). Unlike with plosives, no significant effect of place of articulation on intensity is observed with nasals.

### F0 Transition

The same approach used for formant and intensity transitions was retained for ΔF₀. Mean values and standard deviations of F₀ slopes from 50 to 90% of the total vowel duration are presented in the

---

**Table 3.** Significance levels for ΔF₁, ΔF₂, and ΔF₃ from 50 to 90% of vowel duration, as a function of factors place and tone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>ΔF₁</td>
<td>2; 16</td>
<td>3.430</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>4.214</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>1.607</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔF₂</td>
<td>2; 16</td>
<td>7.114</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>14.831</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>23.642</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>84.929</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>66.156</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔF₃</td>
<td>2; 16</td>
<td>1.943</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>2.130</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>1.376</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone</td>
<td>ΔF₁</td>
<td>2; 16</td>
<td>3.058</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>9.264</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>21.570</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>9.655</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>3.516</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔF₂</td>
<td>2; 16</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>1.356</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>2.402</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔF₃</td>
<td>2; 16</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>2.214</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>1.412</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant p values are italicized.

**Table 4.** Comparison of ΔF₁, ΔF₂, and ΔF₃ between oral and nasal consonants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral vs. nasal</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>ΔF₁</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>ΔF₂</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>ΔF₃</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[p] vs. [m]</td>
<td>1; 8</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>6.254</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[t] vs. [n]</td>
<td>1; 8</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>4.495</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>2.386</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[k] vs. [ŋ]</td>
<td>1; 8</td>
<td>5.406</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>5.393</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant p values are italicized.
$\Delta F_0$ was compared in terms of the place of articulation of the coda consonant (/p t k/) and the tone of the following syllable. Time evolutions of $F_0$ are presented in Figure 12.

As a reminder, the observed VC transitions were all in syllables with the rising tone $s\hat{a}c$ D1, which exhibited a rising pattern toward the syllable final part in all contexts. Significant differences in $\Delta F_0$ values were observed from 70 to 90% of the total vowel duration according to the consonant place of articulation ($p < 0.007$, $p < 0.003$, $p < 0.001$, respectively) (Table 6). The rise of $F_0$ measured at 70,
**Fig. 11.** Temporal evolution of intensity (mean $\Delta I$) estimated from 50 to 90% of vowel duration, according to the coda place and to the tone of the following syllable.

**Table 5.** Significance levels of $\Delta I$ from 50 to 90% of vowel duration, as a function of factors place and tone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta I$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>50% F</th>
<th>50% p</th>
<th>60% F</th>
<th>60% p</th>
<th>70% F</th>
<th>70% p</th>
<th>80% F</th>
<th>80% p</th>
<th>90% F</th>
<th>90% p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>6.085</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>10.854</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>16.139</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>28.946</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>29.344</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>7.196</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant $p$ values are italicized.
Table 6. Significance levels of $\Delta F_0$ from 50 to 90% of vowel duration, as a function of factors place and tone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta F_0$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>3.884</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>12.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone</td>
<td>2; 18</td>
<td>2.534</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>6.854</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>43.406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant p values are italicized.
80, and 90% of the vowel duration in V + velar sequences is generally greater than the rise of $F_0$ in V + bilabial ($p < 0.04$) or in V + coronal sequences ($p < 0.009$).

An effect of the following syllable’s tone on $\Delta F_0$ is observed from 60 to 90% of the vowel duration. Figure 12 shows that the $F_0$ rise rate is significantly greater from 60% of the vowel portion when it is within a monosyllabic word followed by an $ngang$ high level tone than within the initial syllable of a disyllabic word followed by rising $sắc$ tones or falling $nặng$ tones. No significant $\Delta F_0$ differences are found between the 2 tone types of disyllabic-initial syllables, high ($sắc$) and low ($nặng$) ($p > 0.9$).

**Discussion**

**Unreleased Final Consonants and Acoustic Cues for Place of Articulation**

Vietnamese stop consonants are traditionally described as unreleased in coda position (Cao, 1985; Đoàn, 1999). However, our results show that syllable-final stops are not always unreleased, and indeed 27% of them are produced with a burst, but with shorter duration and lower intensity than those of syllable-initial stops. Probably due to coarticulation, Vietnamese stops are found without a burst more frequently before an intrasyllabic word boundary ($-C_o$) than before an interword boundary ($-C_w$), and never in a word-initial position. The results of the ANOVA performed on acoustic measurements of final consonants show no significant variation in burst duration or burst intensity according to coda word-final versus word-internal positions ($C_o$ vs. $C_w$). Other linguists as Hoàng and Hoàng (1975) and Cao (1985) have highlighted the difference between 2 categories of released initial versus unreleased final stops with minimal pairs of bimorphemic and bisyllabic constructions, such as the words phát hành [fat$^{D1}$. hĕŋ$^{A2}$] “to publish” and phá thành [fa$^{B1}$. tʰĕŋ$^{A2}$] “to demolish the town walls”. Resyllabification is not allowed across syllable or word boundaries in Vietnamese (Cao, 1985), thus final stops, often unreleased, never move from a coda position to the next syllable onset position.

Trần and Vallée (2010) have shown, in a perceptual identification test of Vietnamese final consonants by native listeners, that the presence of a burst improves consonant identification scores, even though the number of released final stops /p t k/ (with presence of burst) in the test is much smaller (25, 45, and 22%, respectively) relative to the number of unreleased ones. However, for the latter, the identification scores were above the threshold of chance (i.e., >50%). This result indicates that unreleased stops include other acoustic cues than just the burst, with information on their place of articulation.

Analysis of $F_0$ contours, formant trajectories, and intensity curves observed in the VC transition indeed confirms that the second half of a vowel carries acoustic information on the place of articulation of the following consonant (which is not released in most cases). At 70% of the vowel duration, mean values of $F_2$ and $F_3$ change significantly depending on the syllable-final consonant’s place of articulation. At 80% and 90%, differences in $F_2$ are significant, but there are no notable differences in $F_3$. $\Delta F_2$ values measured from the time point corresponding to the vowel center are only significantly different according to the following consonant’s place of articulation. However, we do not observe the rising $F_2$ transition for the velar consonant /k/ as proposed by Delattre et al. (1955) and Delattre (1963) from synthetic speech. $F_2$ transition is falling for both /p/ and /k/. It would make sense if there were forms like tüc [tuk$^P$], tọc [tok$^P$], tọc [tɔk$^P$], with a labialized velar final in our corpus. But as the vowel was always /a/,
it is somewhat mysterious. An extension of the study with a wider corpus, including all
vowel contexts, would be interesting to understand this result.

Spectral information obtained with MFCC at 90% of the vowel duration shows,
on the other hand, that the acoustic dispersion areas for the Vietnamese velar stop are
more separate from those of labial and coronal ones (back vs. front stop).

In addition, significant differences in $\Delta I$ or $\Delta F_0$ are found depending on the final
stop’s place of articulation. Velar stops show trends both in intensity decrease and $F_0$
rise in a more important manner than bilabials and coronals. These findings are consis-
tent with Cao’s proposal (1985) that identification of place of articulation of unreleased
final stops is possible through recoverable information located in the transition portion
of the preceding vowel nucleus. Our study suggests that information for consonant
place identification can be found in dynamic acoustic cues from $F_0$, $F_2$, and intensity
slopes. To confirm this finding, a perception experiment with native speakers should
be designed to determine the degree of pertinence or the relative importance of these
dynamic acoustic parameters in unreleased stop identification.

As it is well known, VOT varies with consonant place of articulation (Cho and
Ladefoged, 1999). On average, in our study, VOT values of /k/ are always higher than
those of /p/ or /t/, irrespective of within-syllable or within-word position. For any
given place of articulation, there are differences from one language to another (Cho
and Ladefoged, 1999). Comparing our results with those of Serniclaes (1987) on the
French voiceless stops /p t k/ shows that mean VOT in Vietnamese (/k/ being the lon-
gest in onset position, approx. 23.94 ms) is about 30% shorter than in French (approx.
35 ms). The variability of positive VOT in Vietnamese (from 12 to 24 ms) is much less
than in French, where the range extends from 10 to 70 ms (Serniclaes, 1987, p. 125).
The much higher variability of French VOTs is due to the stops’ places of articulation
and the places of the following adjacent vowels: VOT is lengthened with both retra-
tion of the place ([p] > [t] > [k]) and vowel aperture ([a] > [i], [u]) (Serniclaes, 1987,
p. 127). Other works show the same effect of vowel context on VOT length in stop
production, mostly in French and English (Fischer-Jørgensen, 1972; Yeni-Komshian
et al., 1977; Terrance and Bernard, 1994). In Vietnamese, we also think that VOT
variability depends not only on the consonant articulation places, but also on various
vowel contexts. Having said that, at this time, we need further work to clearly address
this issue.

**Final Consonants and Effect of the Syllable Boundary Type on Their Production**

Our study examines acoustic features of Vietnamese stops and nasals according to
their position within words and, more precisely, differences between the first segment
of 2 adjacent consonants depending on whether they are within-word or across-word
consonant sequences. Our multispeaker experiment confirms results of the pilot study
of Trân and Vallée (2009) on speech production of a single adult native speaker and
shows clear differences in the acoustic realization of Vietnamese coda consonants in
word-internal versus word-final positions.

Vietnamese coda stops and nasals are significantly longer word-finally in mono-
syllables (CV$\sigma$C) than word-internally in the initial syllable of a disyllabic word
(CVC$\sigma$CVC). The fact that all duration measurements are normalized to the speech
rate clearly shows that observed differences in duration (consonant, closure, and vowel)
between -C$\sigma$ and -C$\sigma$ are not a result of disyllables being produced at an overall faster
rate than monosyllables and thus are not related to speech rate. This result suggests
that observed duration differences are probably the result of different degrees of coarticulation between intraword versus interword consonants: due to a stronger blending (more overlap) between successive consonants across syllable boundaries within polysyllables, temporal coproduction is greater in consonant sequences -CσC- and less if the consonants are heterosyllabic -C#C- across word boundaries. These results are consistent with those of many previous studies which have found that phonetic features of phonemes in various languages were influenced by within-syllable and/or within-word positions (Lindblom, 1983; Keating, 1983; Browman, Goldstein, 1995; Byrd, 1996; Fougeron and Keating, 1997; Keating et al., 1999; Redford, 1999; for a review, see Krakow, 1999).

A new finding of our study is that the effect of syllable boundary type is also present in the nucleus, more precisely in the second half of the preconsonantal vowel. Indeed, a number of relevant results regarding duration parameters (final consonant duration, closure duration, vowel duration, VOT duration) show acoustic effects of syllable boundary type (inter- or intraword) on syllable rhyme. Stop consonants in -C# have a longer VOT duration and a longer closing phase than in -Cσ. The vowel nucleus is significantly longer when the following consonant is before a word boundary than before an intraword boundary. This latter result holds for stops as well as for nasals.

Characteristics of the acoustic transition from the vowel nucleus into the final consonant also highlight effects of syllable boundary type. The F1 trajectory from 60 to 90% of vowel duration is significantly different between -C# and -Cσ. The intensity decrease at 90% of vowel duration is less severe before -Cσ than before -C#. The continuous F0 trajectory of each target syllable differs significantly from 60% of the vowel duration between monosyllabic words and disyllabic-initial syllables.

These results clearly suggest that VC transitions contain acoustic cues at the end of a 1-syllable word or a word-internal nonfinal syllable. Our data support the findings of Cutler and Norris (1988), Cutler and Butterfield (1991) that in clear speech, lengthening of preboundary syllables was used as one of the strategies by the speakers to mark word boundaries. Furthermore, their prosodic analyses of the syllable following a critical word boundary showed that more lengthening as well as greater increases in intensity and in F0 were also applied in clear speech to weak syllables compared to strong ones in order to mark word boundary. These effects were, however, very small in comparison to the durational effects they observed for syllables preceding the boundary and for pauses at the boundary.

No significant ΔF0 difference is observed in our data between disyllabic-initial syllables followed either by rising tones (B1 or D1) or by falling tones (B2 or D2). This result confirms previous studies on tonal effects in Vietnamese polysyllabic compound words (Han and Kim, 1974; Brunelle, 2003) that Vietnamese has a perseverative rather than an anticipatory tonal coarticulation pattern. This means that F0 of the first syllable is not affected by the following syllable tone. An additional explanation for this result may come, in our view, from a phonotactic rule which constrains stop consonant sequences internally to the disyllabic words selected for the study: cross-syllable sequences within words contain a voiceless consonant followed by a voiced one. Such a pattern of coarticulation (voiceless-voiced combination) involves a short period of time (during the production of the voiceless stop) in which the vocal folds may have time to adjust their vibration rate to the production of the following syllable’s tone.
Conclusion and Perspectives

As in some other Asian languages such as Cantonese, Thai, and Korean, syllable-final stops in Vietnamese are usually unreleased. Evidence of acoustic cues carrying information on coda consonant place of articulation is found in our study (F0 contours, formant trajectories, and intensity curves observed in VC transition). This finding is consistent with previous studies according to which “the lack of release bursts does not impair intelligibility at least for native speakers of those languages” because “the closing gestures of the stops include a component that compensates somewhat for the absence of release” (Abramson and Tingsabadh, 1999; see also Wright, 2004).

We plan to complete this work with a perceptual study in order to examine whether native listeners are able to use acoustic feature information to identify the correct nature of a syllable. In other words, do they know, from solely auditory input corresponding to isolated identical syllables, whether these make up a monosyllable or the first syllable of a disyllabic word? Trân (2011) suggested in a preliminary perceptual study that Vietnamese subjects were able to distinguish by ear whether target syllables in isolation (i.e., extracted from their surrounding context) belonged originally to a disyllabic or monosyllabic word. Trân’s study should be extended by using the same syllabic sequences produced both in isolation and in a word-medial context in order to demonstrate that listeners are able to use acoustic properties of the rhyme to make decisions about a syllable’s position in the word and that their performance is not influenced by phonological neighborhood density. Such an experiment would provide evidence on native speakers’ capacity to distinguish between different types of syllabic boundaries (intra-word vs. inter-word) from acoustic cues of the rhyme.

Our results show an effect of syllable boundary type on the production of Vietnamese final consonants. A word-final syllable boundary was found to be acoustically different from a word-internal syllable boundary within a compound word. Indeed, it has been shown that the realization of a number of acoustic parameters (final consonant duration, closure duration, VOT duration, vowel duration, F0, F1, and intensity) varies as a function of syllable position (word-internal vs. word-final). These differences in phonetic characteristics of coda consonants between monosyllables and disyllables suggest that Vietnamese disyllables have their own prosodic status rather than being simple juxtapositions. On this point, our findings are not consistent with Noyer (1998), who found “no evidence for separating ‘word’-sized units from ‘morpheme’-sized units in Vietnamese” (p. 92), and with Schiering et al. (2010), who added that “a monosyllabic word in Vietnamese is prosodically indistinct from other syllables and polysyllabic words behave prosodically like other polysyllabic strings, i.e. phrases” (p. 661). Since compounds and phrases often have the same syntactic structure, the acoustic differences we found between monosyllables and disyllables may help listeners to know where a word ends. Consequently, it will be worthwhile to set up another experimental protocol and to carry out a perceptual study for a better understanding of word types in Vietnamese.

A few gender differences are found in the production test with an interaction of final-consonant place of articulation. Interspeaker variation should be better investigated in order to check whether subgroups of homogeneous speakers exist.

As a reminder, our corpus consists of 35 disyllables (compound and reduplicated words, including opaque Sino-Vietnamese compounds and polysyllabic borrowings).
No phonetic differences are found in VC transitions between disyllables used in this study, whatever the tone of the second syllable. Although we did not set up an experimental protocol to highlight these grammatical aspects, but these results suggest that different types of disyllables may be not prosodically distinct from each other. Further works focusing on different types of disyllables will be required to clearly address the issue.

Interesting findings from the present study in read speech also encourage us to extend our multispeaker investigations in Vietnamese spontaneous speech. The first preliminary results confirm, as in the read speech, the existence of word position effects on final consonant realizations (Trân, 2015). In addition, a number of articulatory studies have investigated consonant overlap patterns in English, Russian, Korean, Taiwanese, Cantonese, and Georgian (Browman and Goldstein, 1990; Zsiga, 1994; Byrd, 1996; Zsiga, 2000; Cho, 2001; Chitoran et al., 2002; Wright, 2004; Yanagawa, 2006; Gao et al., 2011; Kochetov, 2013). They found that gestural overlap between consonant sequences can differ considerably across languages. For example, consonants across syllable boundaries in Taiwanese showed less overlap than in English (Yanagawa, 2006). Also, the greater overlap between heterosyllabic coda and onset consonants in Taiwanese may be related to the unreleased nature of the coda consonant in this language (Gao et al., 2011). In perspective, it would be interesting to investigate articulatory overlap in Vietnamese consonant sequences with kinematic data and to discuss the relationship between degrees of overlap and syllable boundary types.

**Appendices**

*Appendix 1*

List of 62 items selected from the Vietnamese lexicon for the acoustic study of stops and nasals. Target consonants are highlighted in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Stimuli (orthographic shape)</th>
<th>Transcription (IPA, revised to 2015)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>tà</td>
<td>t a</td>
<td>dozen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>tác</td>
<td>t a k</td>
<td>the deers cry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>tát</td>
<td>t a t</td>
<td>slap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>tám</td>
<td>t a m</td>
<td>eight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>tán</td>
<td>t a n</td>
<td>to crush, to grind, to court, to chat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>tàp</td>
<td>t a p</td>
<td>to snap, to lap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>táng</td>
<td>t a ŋ</td>
<td>throw a punch (familial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>cã</td>
<td>k a</td>
<td>fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>cá</td>
<td>k a t</td>
<td>sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>câ</td>
<td>k a k</td>
<td>every, all (adv.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>cân</td>
<td>k a n</td>
<td>handle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>câp</td>
<td>k a p</td>
<td>cable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>câm</td>
<td>k a m</td>
<td>bran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>câng</td>
<td>k a ŋ</td>
<td>stretcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>má</td>
<td>m a</td>
<td>mother, cheek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>mát</td>
<td>m a t</td>
<td>fresh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2
Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of normalized duration (ms) of each Vietnamese consonant according to their within-word position: word-initial (C-), word-final (-C#) and coda of the first syllable of a disyllabic word (-Cσ). /p/ is illicit in syllable-initial position.
### Appendix 3
Mean values and standard deviations (SD) of $\Delta F_1$, $\Delta F_2$, and $\Delta F_3$ (Hz) as a function of 2 factors: coda consonant /p/, /t/, /k/ and tone of the syllable following the target consonant (A1, D1, D2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
<th>$p_{A1}$</th>
<th>$t_{A1}$</th>
<th>$k_{A1}$</th>
<th>$p_{D1}$</th>
<th>$t_{D1}$</th>
<th>$k_{D1}$</th>
<th>$p_{D2}$</th>
<th>$t_{D2}$</th>
<th>$k_{D2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>25.02</td>
<td>–5.07</td>
<td>–3.10</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>–1.19</td>
<td>13.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>18.07</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>32.22</td>
<td>38.70</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>22.07</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>35.16</td>
<td>29.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>–14.71</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>–4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>30.63</td>
<td>29.25</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>34.87</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>15.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_3$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>–32.93</td>
<td>–28.33</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>–27.05</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>11.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>108.14</td>
<td>62.82</td>
<td>89.24</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>54.59</td>
<td>38.84</td>
<td>16.58</td>
<td>32.92</td>
<td>41.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>27.06</td>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>26.52</td>
<td>–16.1</td>
<td>–15.5</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>–8.29</td>
<td>–10.22</td>
<td>20.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>39.34</td>
<td>36.54</td>
<td>47.96</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>24.28</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>34.69</td>
<td>44.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>–7.43</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>–4.18</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>–20.7</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>34.45</td>
<td>–24.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>38.33</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>37.42</td>
<td>21.26</td>
<td>16.05</td>
<td>26.13</td>
<td>23.78</td>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>39.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_3$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>–22.8</td>
<td>22.27</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>–35.4</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>8.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>114.74</td>
<td>77.92</td>
<td>103.49</td>
<td>78.22</td>
<td>116.79</td>
<td>74.13</td>
<td>23.78</td>
<td>101.59</td>
<td>52.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>30.53</td>
<td>36.92</td>
<td>–37.3</td>
<td>–34.3</td>
<td>–6.5</td>
<td>–15.5</td>
<td>–19.21</td>
<td>–7.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>51.71</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>59.04</td>
<td>38.30</td>
<td>39.09</td>
<td>42.53</td>
<td>37.74</td>
<td>40.89</td>
<td>45.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>–31.22</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>–4.15</td>
<td>50.53</td>
<td>–48</td>
<td>–2.41</td>
<td>55.94</td>
<td>–30.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>81.47</td>
<td>37.47</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>24.16</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>25.22</td>
<td>38.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_3$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>15.78</td>
<td>–30.3</td>
<td>19.59</td>
<td>69.55</td>
<td>–53.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37.44</td>
<td>51.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>122.14</td>
<td>100.08</td>
<td>41.49</td>
<td>81.46</td>
<td>78.59</td>
<td>71.52</td>
<td>42.28</td>
<td>94.66</td>
<td>80.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>–9.78</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>–79.8</td>
<td>–67.9</td>
<td>–46.3</td>
<td>–47.1</td>
<td>–47.26</td>
<td>–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>55.14</td>
<td>53.62</td>
<td>42.16</td>
<td>30.86</td>
<td>54.11</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>71.87</td>
<td>61.39</td>
<td>52.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>–35.85</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>–22.4</td>
<td>–16.1</td>
<td>58.69</td>
<td>–81.7</td>
<td>–21</td>
<td>63.91</td>
<td>–34.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>37.29</td>
<td>38.74</td>
<td>43.13</td>
<td>23.69</td>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>65.40</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>40.31</td>
<td>59.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_3$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>–35.75</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>63.01</td>
<td>–11.9</td>
<td>–0.17</td>
<td>27.73</td>
<td>64.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>133.57</td>
<td>130.33</td>
<td>79.29</td>
<td>85.48</td>
<td>152.71</td>
<td>100.87</td>
<td>60.18</td>
<td>129.88</td>
<td>95.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>–46.32</td>
<td>–58.16</td>
<td>–57.7</td>
<td>–120</td>
<td>–112.9</td>
<td>–76.4</td>
<td>–104</td>
<td>–97.57</td>
<td>–85.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>55.12</td>
<td>125.73</td>
<td>60.59</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>59.70</td>
<td>70.34</td>
<td>73.30</td>
<td>36.43</td>
<td>61.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_2$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>–82.35</td>
<td>17.41</td>
<td>–43.2</td>
<td>–67.5</td>
<td>49.97</td>
<td>–97.2</td>
<td>–62.9</td>
<td>85.49</td>
<td>–49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>72.01</td>
<td>52.20</td>
<td>73.65</td>
<td>40.05</td>
<td>30.85</td>
<td>81.01</td>
<td>57.91</td>
<td>64.74</td>
<td>65.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_3$</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>54.61</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>72.93</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>46.05</td>
<td>88.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>111.67</td>
<td>124.82</td>
<td>77.34</td>
<td>92.46</td>
<td>169.09</td>
<td>125.35</td>
<td>65.44</td>
<td>144.31</td>
<td>114.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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