The Neonatal Microbiome and Its Partial Role in Mediating the Association between Birth by Cesarean Section and Adverse Pediatric Outcomes

Diana Montoya-Williams^a Dominick J. Lemas^b Lisa Spiryda^c Keval Patel^b
O'neshia Olivia Carney^b Josef Neu^a Tiffany L. Carson^d

^aDivision of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA;

^bDepartment of Health Outcomes and Biomedical Informatics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA;

^cDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; ^dDivision of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

Keywords

Dysbiosis · *Lactobacillus* · *Bifidobacterium* ·
Mode of delivery · Child health

Abstract

Background: Cesarean sections (CS) are among the most commonly performed surgical procedures in the world. Epidemiologic data has associated delivery by CS with an increased risk of certain adverse health outcomes in children, such as asthma and obesity. **Objective:** To explore what is known about the effect of mode of delivery on the development of the infant microbiome and discuss the potentially mediating role of CS-related microbial dysbiosis in the development of adverse pediatric health outcomes. Recommendations for future inquiry are also provided. **Methods:** This study provides a narrative overview of the literature synthesizing the findings of literature retrieved from searches of PubMed and other computerized databases and authoritative texts. **Results:** Emerging evidence suggests that mode of delivery is involved in the development of the neonatal microbiome and may partially explain pediatric health out-

comes associated with birth by CS. Specifically, the gut microbiome of vaginally delivered infants more closely resembles their mothers' vaginal microbiome and thus more commonly consists of potentially beneficial microbiota such as *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Bacteroides*. Conversely, the microbiome of infants born via CS shows an increased prevalence of either skin flora or potentially pathogenic microbial communities such as *Klebsiella*, *Enterococcus*, and *Clostridium*. **Conclusions:** Mode of delivery plays an important role in the development of the postnatal microbiome but likely tells only part of the story. More comprehensive investigations into all the pre- and perinatal factors that have the potential to contribute to the neonatal microbiome are warranted.

© 2018 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Postnatal microbial colonization of the human gastrointestinal track plays a critical role in health and disease [1]. Recently, the concept that infants are born sterile has

been called into question by studies demonstrating a diverse microbial community in amniotic fluid, placental samples, and meconium [2, 3]. Accumulating data has shown that cesarean section (CS) alters the infant microbiome [4, 5], with the subsequent microbial dysbiosis being implicated in an increased risk of adverse outcomes such as asthma, obesity, diabetes, and allergies [6–8]. Given that CS is one of the most common surgical procedures performed today, with CS rates in developed countries far exceeding the recommended rates [9], the mechanisms potentially linking CS to deleterious health outcomes are particularly important to understand.

One mechanism believed to link CS and later disease is the hygiene hypothesis and the differential exposure to maternal microbiota experienced by infants born via CS versus vaginal delivery [8, 10]. Due to the potential for CS to modify the development of the infant microbiome and potentially affect long-term health outcomes, this review explores: (1) the impact of CS birth on the development of the infant microbiome and (2) known associations between the perinatal microbiome and subsequent health outcomes in children born via CS. It ends with a brief look at other maternal factors which merit investigation due to their potential to mediate or even mitigate the effect of mode of delivery on the development of the neonatal and pediatric microbiome.

Methods

Initial searches were conducted in PubMed with the assistance of a reference librarian. We searched PubMed for MeSH headings and keywords to identify publications for inclusion. The only limits applied were date (original reports published from 2010 to 2015; review papers published from 2013 to 2015) and language (available in English). Separate searches were performed for each of the topics covered in this review. Combinations of search terms for each topic and the number of papers yielded are displayed in online supplementary Appendix 1 (for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000487102).

For each individual search, all results were combined into a reference database. Then, duplicates were deleted. For the first elimination step, studies that were clearly not relevant based on the title were removed. Next, the remaining abstracts were reviewed and those not relevant to the topic were removed. For all remaining papers, the full text of the paper was read to determine whether relevant information was included. After reviewing the full text of eligible papers, additional targeted searches were conducted to retrieve seminal papers that may have fallen outside of the prespecified data range or to further search for references to answer questions in more detail than possible with the first round of limited searches.

The following discussion will be organized into 3 main sections. First, associations between mode of delivery and microbial colonization patterns will be explored. Second, we will describe the

pediatric outcomes that have been epidemiologically linked to birth by CS and CS-associated microbial communities. Finally, we will end with a short overview of other factors such as stress, diet, breastfeeding and maternal antibiotics that are also believed to be influential in the development of the neonatal microbiome. Recommendations for future research are provided based on the potential for these factors to mediate or even mitigate the effect of mode of delivery on the development of the neonatal and pediatric microbiome.

Associations between Mode of Delivery and Microbial Colonization

Until recently, the fetal gastrointestinal tract was believed to be sterile, destined to become colonized at birth through contact with the microbial community of the vaginal canal [11, 12]. This “sterile womb” hypothesis emerged from studies using traditional culture-based techniques that reported finding no bacteria in amniotic fluid or meconium samples from infants born after healthy pregnancies [13]. Under this paradigm, it was presumed that infants delivered by CS were devoid of early colonization and began with a “bacteriologically clean slate” [11]. Accumulating evidence, however, has demonstrated that colonization of the fetus may begin much earlier than birth [14–16]. Using newer molecular techniques and high-throughput sequencing technology, an increasing number of groups have documented the presence of diverse bacterial communities in amniotic fluid, placental samples, and meconium [3, 13]. Some have raised concerns that these newer techniques may be particularly prone to confounding issues secondary to contaminant DNA, especially given that few groups have demonstrated viable microorganisms in the fetal environment [13]. Though the question of whether true microbial colonization of infants begins before or after birth remains unclear, the large body of literature documenting differences in the microbiome of children born vaginally versus by CS points to the continued importance of mode of delivery in helping to shape the postnatal microbiome [4, 17–25]. Though these differences have not been completely consistent in the literature, several important trends have emerged: (1) children born by CS (and elective CS in particular) exhibit a diminished overall diversity in their microbiome, (2) their microbiome appears to contain fewer of the bacterial species believed to be health inducing, and (3) there is a trend towards an increased prevalence of potentially pathogenic bacteria in their intestinal microbiome.

“Microbial richness” estimates the number of different bacterial taxa or species present and “microbial diversity” evaluates both the number of species and the evenness of their distribution in a sample [26]. The literature on the relationship between a cesarean mode of delivery and the infant microbiome has provided conflicting results on these measures. A few groups have found evidence of a persistent negative association between CS and infant microbial diversity and richness. For instance, Jakobsson et al. [20] performed bacterial gene pyrosequencing in 24 healthy term infants’ stool samples and found that CS infants had a lower total microbial diversity compared to vaginally delivered (VD) infants, with differences persisting through the first 2 years of life. Similarly, Azad et al. [26] found that infants born by elective CS (i.e., CS before labor) had the lowest richness and diversity at 4 months of age. Sampling infants earlier (at 3 days of life), Biasucci et al. [18] also found increased inter- and intragroup bacterial taxonomy variations in VD infants compared to CS infants. Other groups have

demonstrated similarly higher numbers of taxa in VD infants when looking at bacteria found in oral swab samples of infants [27, 28]. Notably, the recent report by Chu et al. [28], which documented a reduced oral cavity diversity in infants delivered by CS, found no differences in diversity when looking at the meconium microbial composition of infants delivered vaginally compared to those delivered by CS.

In contrast, some have noted findings in complete opposition to this notion that CS-delivered infants have less microbial diversity. For instance, Endesfelder et al. [17] reported a positive rather than negative association between the richness and bacterial abundance at the genus level and a history of being delivered by CS. Others have found that placental samples collected from CS births [23] or the meconium passed by CS infants in the first 48 h of life have a greater microbial diversity than samples collected from VD placentas and infants, respectively [29]. This increased heterogeneity in microbial samples from CS infants has been documented by some as being present even up to 1 year of age [19]. Finally, there are some groups which have reported mixed findings of a higher richness but less diversity in VD infants [4] or no diversity differences at all when comparing VD and CS-delivered infants [22, 30]. For instance, Azad et al. [26] found the lowest microbial diversity among electively CS infants but the highest microbial diversity at 4 months in infants delivered by emergency CS (i.e., CS after the onset of labor) – higher than even in the VD infants. Further work by this group has shown that structural differences that exist between VD infants and CS-delivered infants only persist through the first year of life for infants delivered by emergent CS [31].

There appears to be a much stronger consensus as to the differences that exist in the composition of the neonatal microbiome of CS versus VD infants than there is regarding purported differences in overall diversity or abundance. Many believe this to be due to the physical contact of VD infants with the birth canal, which is thought to be a major determinant of the microbial differences observed between CS and VD infants [14, 32, 33]. This theory is substantiated by reports that common vaginal microorganisms such as *Lactobacillus*, *Prevotella*, and *Sneathia* account for the majority of VD infants' bacterial communities [32]. In 2010, Dominguez-Bello et al. [34] published particularly convincing evidence of this hypothesis. They described the microbial communities of 10 mothers and their infants, 6 of whom were delivered by cesarean. Using samples taken from various body sites, they found that VD infants' microbial communities most resembled their own mother's vaginal microbiota, with a particular emphasis on *Lactobacillus*. In contrast, infants born via CS in their sample were colonized by bacteria that most resembled generic skin flora, not simply their own mother's specific skin bacterial community [34]. Others have also found that VD infants have more *Lactobacillus* specifically compared to infants delivered by CS [4, 23].

Bifidobacterium is another commonly isolated bacteria in full-term, VD infants [4, 18] that has also been documented to be less abundant in infants delivered by CS [4, 18, 19, 21, 25, 35–39]. The magnitude of the difference in some cases is quite large, with some groups reporting the presence of *Bifidobacterium* in 56% of their VD infants versus it being completely absent in their cohort of CS infants [18]. Others have commented on differences in abundance, with one group reporting that infants delivered vaginally had up to 1,300-fold higher levels of *Bifidobacterium* in their stool at 1 month of age [39]. In addition, some have found that infants born by CS did eventually become colonized with *Bifidobacterium*, but

the colonization was delayed and counts remained one third to one half less than in VD infants [38]. Importantly, not all groups have found differences in *Bifidobacterium* communities. An analysis of 606 six-week old European infants from 5 countries found that mode of delivery had no effect on the relative proportions of *Bifidobacterium* in their infants [40]. That group found that *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroides* overall were most prevalent among all of their sampled infants but only *Streptococcus* and *Coccidioides* species were different by mode of delivery and were most commonly found in CS-delivered infants [40].

Bacteroides, another common vaginal colonizer, may be another important organism that differentiates by mode of delivery. Many have found that infants born via CS have fewer *Bacteroides* than VD infants [19, 21, 25, 36, 40, 41], with one group reporting up to 100-fold lower median counts [21]. Others found delayed colonization [20] or no *Bacteroides* at all in their CS infants [23, 26]. These findings have even been discovered in preterm infants noted to be born with less amounts of *Bacteroides* at 29 weeks and who have slower rises in abundance when born via CS [42]. Differences in *Bacteroides* colonization may not, however, persist over time. Huurre et al. [39], for instance, found similar *Bacteroides* populations in their VD and CS infants at 1 month of age.

Compared to infants delivered vaginally, infants born via CS appear to be more commonly colonized by skin flora. For instance, Dominguez-Bello et al. [34] noted a predominance of *Staphylococcus*, *Corynebacterium*, and *Propionibacterium* spp. in their CS-delivered infants. Similarly, a recent cohort of maternal-infant dyads sampled serially over the first 6 postnatal weeks found that, upon delivery, *Propionibacterium* and *Streptococcus* spp. were more common in CS infants, though notably that group found that microbial differences by mode of delivery were absent by 6 weeks [28]. Other groups have reported associations between CS birth and microbial colonization by potentially pathogenic species such as *Klebsiella* [35, 43], *Haemophilus* [44], *Clostridium* [4, 5, 21, 25, 43, 44], *Citrobacter* [21], *Escherichia coli* [21], and *Enterococcus*, particularly after emergency CS [45]. Importantly, the microbial compositional differences attributable to CS may persist into adulthood, with one group finding higher levels of *Haemophilus* and certain *Clostridium* genera in adults born by CS compared to adults born vaginally [44]. Again, however, these findings have not been consistent in the literature [46]. Recent studies noting inconsistencies within groups of CS-delivered infants have hypothesized that the occurrence of labor (i.e., the presence of contractions and/or rupture of membranes) prior to the CS may be a stronger determinant of differential microbial colonization than simply a history of CS alone [28]. For instance, in one cohort of 81 maternal-infant dyads, neonates delivered via a CS that occurred before labor were primarily populated by microbiota found on maternal skin, whereas the taxa found on infants delivered via a CS after labor had occurred were more similar to the taxa of VD infants, i.e., communities which resembled maternal skin and vagina [28]. Thus, future studies may need to better delineate the circumstances under which CS occurred in order to more precisely define microbial differences by mode of delivery.

Pediatric Outcomes Linked to Cesarean-Associated Microbial Communities

Accumulating data suggests infants born via CS have physiologic and pathophysiologic differences at birth that are linked to adverse short-term and long-term health outcomes even after ac-

counting for a potential increased risk of these outcomes due to maternal prepregnancy diagnoses [6, 47]. In the immediate neonatal and infancy period, CS is most strongly associated with increased respiratory morbidity [6, 48, 49], diminished initiation of breastfeeding [6, 50, 51], relative hypothermia [47], and an increased risk of admission to an intensive care unit, particularly if conducted electively prior to 40 weeks [51, 52]. When looking at childhood, adolescence, and even adulthood, individuals born by cesarean have been noted to have an increased risk of susceptibility to immune-related conditions, specifically asthma [6, 53–55], type I diabetes [56], allergic rhinitis [6], food allergies [6, 47], and celiac disease [57, 58]. In addition, there are strong associations between CS birth and an altered body mass composition [8]. Individuals born via cesarean appear to have a higher propensity towards being overweight in childhood, adolescence, and even early adulthood, independently of the birth weight or known obesity risk factors [7, 59].

Some of these relationships appear to be mediated by the maternal history of atopy. For instance, the relationship between CS and food allergy appears to diminish if there is no maternal history of atopy [6]. Similarly, in one of the largest Brazilian cohort studies looking at CS and the risk of later obesity, adjusted models which took maternal prepregnancy weight into consideration found a substantially reduced risk, except for certain small subgroups of participants such as women in their early 20s [59]. However, for other outcomes, the relationship appears to withstand controlling for potential confounding by maternal prepregnancy diagnoses. For instance, Tollnes et al. [55] found that a maternal history of asthma did not change the increased risk of asthma seen among CS-delivered infants, and Cardwell et al. [56] documented a link between CS and type 1 diabetes after accounting for maternal diabetes. Given the relationship between birth by CS and these outcomes, the pressing question becomes one of pathophysiology. Increasing evidence suggests that the development of certain adverse health outcomes may be due at least in part to a neonatal microbial dysbiosis related to birth by CS. The evidence for this lies in the associations between the risk of certain disease processes and bacterial groups whose presence varies by mode of delivery. These associations are described below.

Health Outcomes Associated with Bacterial Groups Less Common in CS-Delivered Infants

The bacteria found less commonly in infants born by CS are *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Bacteroides*, and *Prevotella*, which, as previously discussed, are common vaginal colonizers. *Lactobacillus* supplementation has been associated with a decreased risk of atopic conditions such as eczema and asthma [60], and functional studies have demonstrate that *Lactobacillus* may prevent asthma by reducing airway hyperresponsiveness and inflammatory cell invasion of lung tissue [61]. Lower abundances of *Bifidobacterium* have been linked to eczema [62], cirrhosis [63], and colorectal cancer [64]. During infancy, *Bifidobacterium* spp. are considered beneficial bacteria with reported protection against necrotizing enterocolitis in part by influencing gut barrier function [65]. Previous work has demonstrated that the protective impact of bifidobacterial species (e.g., *Bifidobacterium bifidum* and *B. longum* subsp. *Infantis*) during infancy is likely mediated by modification of the gut barrier function via utilization of human milk oligosaccharides [66]. The presence of *Bifidobacterium* in fecal samples in infancy has also been associated with a normal weight status by

several groups [67, 68]. The antiobesity effects of *Bifidobacterium* are supported by rodent models that demonstrated that administration of *Bifidobacterium* spp. to male Sprague-Dawley rats on a 5-week high-fat diet resulted in reduced body fat and improved blood lipid levels [69]. Collectively, these data suggest that CS alters the infant microbiome and health outcomes, in part through specific microbe-host interactions that modify the infant lipid metabolism and inflammatory responses.

Importantly, not all associations with vaginally related microbiota are consistently positive. For instance, the presence of *Prevotella* has been negatively associated with both general inflammation [70] and type 1 diabetes among children [71]. However, pediatric obesity research studies have revealed that certain species of *Prevotella* may play a role in carbohydrate fermentation and the production of exogenous short-chain fatty acids (essential for obesity-associated microbiota [72–74]). In addition, the H₂-producing *Prevotella* family has been found in the gastrointestinal tracts of obese subjects [75]. Thus, it is possible that different *Prevotella* spp. may play differential roles in the metabolism of their host; more literature is needed to tease out such differences. Similarly, despite the decreased risk of atopy reported with *Lactobacillus* colonization, the presence of some *Lactobacillus* species such as *Lactobacillus reuteri* has also been associated with obesity [76, 77]. *Bacteroides* is a vaginal colonizer less frequently seen in CS-delivered infants that has been linked to worse, not better, outcomes. In a small case-control study of 32 children, children with type 1 diabetes displayed increased quantities of *Bacteroides* compared to healthy children [71]. In addition, just as for *L. reuteri*, colonization with higher levels of *Bacteroides* has been linked to obesity among children and adults [78–80].

Thus, it appears that some vaginal colonizers may have beneficial effects on human metabolic and inflammatory pathways while others may contribute to a worsened metabolism. The health-mediating role of certain microbes, therefore, appears to have more to do with the specific characteristics of each species than with the fact that they are vaginal colonizers.

Health Outcomes Associated with Bacterial Groups Seen More Frequently after CS

The bacterial groups that appear to be more frequently found in the microbiome of CS-delivered infants and that have the most literature linking them to later childhood health outcomes are *Staphylococcus* and *Clostridium*. Colonization with increased amounts of *Staphylococcus* in the gut of children has been associated in some studies with a later development of atopic dermatitis [81–83]. However, other research has shown no association between *Staphylococcus* and other atopic conditions such as asthma [84]. *Clostridium* spp. have also been linked in the literature with atopic conditions, specifically atopic dermatitis and asthma. In a prospective cohort of 2,733 children, fecal colonization with *Clostridium difficile* at 1 month of age was associated with a greater than 2-fold risk of asthma and eczema throughout the first 6–7 years of life [5]. However, stratified analyses revealed that this increased risk was limited to children of parents who were atopic themselves [5].

Other Maternal Factors Mitigating the Development of the Neonatal Microbiome

It is clear from the above literature that mode of delivery does not fully explain either the construction of the neonatal microbi-

ome or the relationship between early microbial colonization and later health outcomes. However, there is mounting evidence that other maternal factors such as antibiotic use, stress, diet, and breastfeeding also help to shape the neonatal microbiome and likely mediate the effect of mode of delivery on the infant microbial colonization patterns. For instance, high cumulative maternal stress has been linked to a decrease in the relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* in infants and a higher abundance of potentially pathogenic flora such as *Escherichia*, *Serratia*, and *Enterobacter* [85]. In addition, Mandal et al. [86] noted an association between a higher maternal intake of saturated/monounsaturated fat and fat-soluble vitamins and the presence of proinflammatory organisms such as Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Bacteroidetes in offspring. Chu et al. [87] described the same association between a high maternal fat intake and a relative abundance of *Enterococcus* (of the Firmicutes phylum) as well as a depletion of *Bacteroides* spp. during pregnancy and at 6 weeks of age, particularly in infants delivered via CS. Breastfeeding has also been seen to affect both the diversity and the abundance of microbiota in infants, with some recent data indicating that breastfed infants have less microbial diversity earlier and more diversity by 1 year of age [31].

The role of maternal antibiotics in the development of the infant microbiome and potential downstream effects on adverse pediatric health outcomes is one that merits particular attention [88]. “Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis” or IAP regimens are usually ordered for prophylaxis against vertically transmitted group B streptococcus infections in newborns or for operative skin prophylaxis prior to or during a CS. Extensive evidence has shown perioperative antibiotics to be highly effective in reducing maternal infectious complications after CS, with rates of endometritis and surgical site infections dropping by half after prophylactic intravenous perioperative antibiotics [89]. As a result, the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends that all women undergoing CS receive broad-spectrum antibiotics 60 min prior to incision [90]. Although population studies have shown incomplete uptake of this recommendation, close to 60% of newborn born via CS are exposed to these broad-spectrum antibiotics [91]. When looking at all newborns irrespectively of mode of delivery, the proportion with antibiotic exposure is estimated to be about 40%, which is still substantial [31].

Several studies have noted that antibiotic use during gestation is associated with a reduced bacterial diversity, and in particular with a lower abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* in the offspring gut [92–97]. Others have noted an 84% increased risk of developing childhood obesity in children whose mothers received any kind of antibiotics prenatally [16]. A large cohort of Canadian infants concluded that all types of maternal antibiotics were associated with differences in the diversity of infants’ postnatal microbial communities in both CS-delivered and VD infants [31]. IAP was also found to be associated with deficiencies in *Bacteroides* spp. and elevated levels of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria in infants up to a year of life. Interestingly, breastfeeding in this cohort appeared to rescue IAP-exposed infants in that they became less “deficient” in *Bacteroides* over time [31]. Most of these studies either looked at all antibiotic use or focused on the use of broad-spectrum medications such as those used for surgical prophylaxis. In one study that looked simply at narrow-coverage penicillin use for group B streptococcus prophylaxis, the only difference found was a reduction in *Clostridium* colonization among exposed infants

and no differences in *Bifidobacterium* [93]. However, a more recent cohort study did conclude that even narrow-coverage penicillin use during vaginal deliveries was associated with a decreased abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and an increased abundance of *Clostridium* bacteria that persisted over the first 12 weeks of life [98].

This limited literature indicates that there are certain maternal factors that may independently help to determine the diversity, richness, and composition of the postnatal microbiome and some which need to be included at least as covariates when assessing the effect of mode of delivery on microbial colonization and downstream health outcomes. Some factors, like receipt of IAP, may worsen or at least help to explain the potential deleterious effect of cesarean delivery on an infant’s microbiome, while others, like breastfeeding, may be able to mitigate the risk of microbial dysbiosis associated with birth by CS [31, 90]. In addition, though there is evidence that receipt of any kind of antibiotic is associated with microbial changes in infants, there may be differences when comparing narrow- and broad-spectrum coverage. Given the unclear mechanisms through which such variables as pre-/perinatal antibiotic use, maternal stress, and maternal/infant diet alter the offspring microbiome and mediate the effect of CS on microbial development, further studies that take into account these overlapping relationships are merited.

Conclusions

CS is one of the most commonly performed procedures in the world and it is currently the most common operating room procedure in the USA [99]. The positive impact it has had on both maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality is undeniable [41]. However, it is important for both obstetric and pediatric clinicians to be aware of the significant literature tying birth by CS to certain adverse short- and long-term health outcomes in infants and children. The epidemiologic differences in the microbial communities of infants delivered by CS compared to infants delivered vaginally may be mediating the differential risk CS-delivered children face with respect to disease processes related to autoimmunity and obesity. The majority of studies reviewed here highlight a decreased or delayed presence of common vaginal colonizers such as *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Bacteroides*, and *Prevotella* in infants delivered by CS and a concomitant increased prevalence of skin and gastrointestinal flora such as *Staphylococcus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Clostridium*. Furthermore, a growing number of cross-sectional and longitudinal observational studies suggest an association between microbes the abundance of which appear to be linked to CS and a variety of chronic conditions including obesity, metabolic disease, necrotizing enterocolitis, inflammatory bowel disease, liver disease, atopy, and asthma. Table 1 depicts a summary of these associations.

Table 1. Summary of microbiota associated with cesarean delivery and related health outcomes

Observed association with cesarean section	Microbial group affected (genus level)	Specific disease/health condition	References
Decreased or delayed colonization after cesarean section	<i>Bifidobacterium</i>	Necrotizing enterocolitis, eczema, obesity, liver disease, colorectal cancer	Hong et al. [62], Chen et al. [63], Lu and Ni [65], Kalliomaki et al. [67], Luoto et al. [68], Tojo et al. [64]
	<i>Lactobacillus</i>	Atopy, obesity	Million et al. [77]
	<i>Bacteroides</i>	Obesity, type 1 diabetes	Murri et al. [71], Ignacio et al. [78]
	<i>Prevotella</i>	Inflammation, type 1 diabetes	Murri et al. [71], Sher et al. [70]
Increased colonization after cesarean section	<i>Staphylococcus</i>	Atopic dermatitis	Bjorksten et al. [83], Kalliomaki et al. [67], Marrs et al. [82]
	<i>Clostridium</i>	Atopic disease including atopic dermatitis and asthma	van Nimwegen et al. [5]

It is important to recognize that the microbiome may only be one of several potential etiologic pathways that explain the relationship between birth by CS and later adverse health outcomes. Studies have demonstrated that infants born via prelabor CS lack the gradual surge of stress hormones associated with the uterine contractions and fetal hypoxia normally experienced during vaginal delivery [54]. This altered stress response to birth may have maladaptive effects on the developing immune system directly through poor activation of the normal hormonal pathways or perhaps indirectly through stress-related epigenetic changes leading to adverse gene methylation [54]. These nonmicrobial immunologic processes may help to explain the increased incidence of autoimmune-mediated adverse health outcomes in children born via CS; the microbiome is likely not the full story. This is especially true given that all of the studies reviewed here are epidemiological in nature and thus direct causation between microbial differences and adverse outcomes cannot be inferred. Whether microbial differences seen in CS versus VD infants are the cause or result of a particular health outcome that has been impacted by other variables cannot be determined from the studies we have reviewed. For example, it remains unclear whether the loss of anti-inflammatory bacteria, the gain of pathogenic bacteria, or both are to blame for the higher risk of the abnormal body mass composition leading to obesity seen in CS-delivered infants and children or whether CS-delivered obese children have altered microbial taxonomic signatures due to reasons wholly unrelated to mode of delivery. In cases where the former is true, a great deal of additional work is needed to better understand how certain characteristics of the human micro-

biota result in a given disease. Additionally, it appears that microbial composition – not total abundances or diversity – may be key, especially given contradictory evidence regarding whether diversity is protective or deleterious. However, this must be confirmed. Rather than focusing on one specific taxonomic group, future investigative efforts would be well served by examining overall microbial diversity measures. Finally, future research should also seek to understand the ways in which other maternal prenatal factors such as antibiotic use, stress, or diet potentially mediate or alter the effect of birth by CS on the development of the neonatal microbial community.

Given the current literature, it may be possible that mode of delivery is simply a proxy or mediating covariate along the pathways leading to the establishment of the postnatal microbiome and not an independent determinant. Ultimately, what is clear is that mode of delivery plays an important role in the development of the postnatal microbiome but likely tells only part of the story. The search for a better understanding of the differential risk for and pathophysiology of common chronic childhood diseases and adverse adult health outcomes would be well served by more comprehensive investigations into all the pre- and perinatal factors that have the potential to contribute to the neonatal microbiome. This is particularly important given emerging strategies to inoculate CS-delivered infants with vaginal microbiota by exposing them to vaginal fluids immediately after birth [100]. Unintended consequences such as an increased risk of neonatal infection from such attempted microbial transfers or altered colonization may result from a lack of understanding of how prenatal exposures and mode of delivery

interact to affect the risk of disease later on. Thus future investigations should aim to evaluate the way in which all such variables interact at the level of the individual maternal-infant dyad.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. David Allison for his guidance in developing and completing this project.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

Funding Sources

The work discussed in this article was supported by the Robin Hood Foundation.

Author Contributions

Drs. Montoya-Williams, Lemas, and Carson conceptualized and formulated this review, performed literature searches, reviewed and summarized the pertinent literature, drafted the initial paper, and approved the final paper as submitted. Drs. Spiryda and Neu provided intellectual expertise, critically reviewed and revised this paper, and approved the final paper as submitted. Mr. Patel and Ms. Carney organized and managed the data reported in this review, critically reviewed this paper, assisted with revisions, and approved the final paper as submitted.

References

- 1 Flint HJ, Scott KP, Louis P, Duncan SH: The role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; 9:577–589.
- 2 Prince AL, Antony KM, Ma J, Aagaard KM: The microbiome and development: a mother's perspective. *Semin Reprod Med* 2014;32: 14–22.
- 3 Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM, Ganu R, Petrosino J, Versalovic J: The placenta harbors a unique microbiome. *Sci Transl Med* 2014;6: 237ra65.
- 4 Pandey PK, Verma P, Kumar H, Bavdekar A, Patole MS, Shouche YS: Comparative analysis of fecal microflora of healthy full-term Indian infants born with different methods of delivery (vaginal vs. cesarean): *Acinetobacter* sp. prevalence in vaginally born infants. *J Biosci* 2012;37:989–998.
- 5 van Nimwegen FA, Penders J, Stobberingh EE, Postma DS, Koppelman GH, Kerkhof M, et al: Mode and place of delivery, gastrointestinal microbiota, and their influence on asthma and atopy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128:948–955–3.
- 6 O'Shea TM, Klebanoff MA, Signore C: Delivery after previous cesarean: long-term outcomes in the child. *Semin Perinatol* 2010;34: 281–292.
- 7 Goldani HS, Bettiol H, Barbieri M, Silva AM, Agranonik M, Morais MB, et al: Cesarean delivery is associated with an increased risk of obesity in adulthood in a Brazilian birth cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011;93:1344–1347.
- 8 Li H, Zhou Y, Liu J: The impact of cesarean section on offspring overweight and obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Obes* 2013;37:893–899.
- 9 Montoya-Williams D, Lemas DJ, Spiryda L, Patel K, Neu J, Carson TL: What are optimal cesarean section rates in the US and how do we get there? A review of evidence-based recommendations and interventions. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2017;26:1285–1291.
- 10 Neu J, Rushing J: Cesarean versus vaginal delivery: long-term infant outcomes and the hygiene hypothesis. *Clin Perinatol* 2011;38:321–331.
- 11 Bezirtzoglou E, Stavropoulou E: Immunology and probiotic impact of the newborn and young children intestinal microflora. *Anaerobe* 2011;17:369–374.
- 12 Fallani M, Amarri S, Uusijarvi A, Adam R, Khanna S, Aguilera M, et al: Determinants of the human infant intestinal microbiota after the introduction of first complementary foods in infant samples from five European centres. *Microbiology* 2011;157:1385–1392.
- 13 Perez-Muñoz ME, Arrieta M-C, Ramer-Tait AE, Walter J: A critical assessment of the “sterile womb” and “in utero colonization” hypotheses: implications for research on the pioneer infant microbiome. *Microbiome* 2017;5:48.
- 14 Prince AL, Antony KM, Ma J, Aagaard KM: The microbiome and development: a mother's perspective. *Semin Reprod Med* 2014;32: 14–22.
- 15 Neu J, Rushing J: Cesarean versus vaginal delivery: long-term infant outcomes and the hygiene hypothesis. *Clin Perinatol* 2011;38:321–331.
- 16 Mueller NT, Bakacs E, Combellick J, Grigoryan Z, Dominguez-Bello MG: The infant microbiome development: mom matters. *Trends Mol Med* 2015;21:109–117.
- 17 Endesfelder D, zu Castell W, Ardisson A, Davis-Richardson AG, Achenbach P, Hagen M, et al: Compromised gut microbiota networks in children with anti-islet cell autoimmunity. *Diabetes* 2014;63:2006–2014.
- 18 Biasucci G, Rubini M, Riboni S, Morelli L, Bessi E, Retetangos C: Mode of delivery affects the bacterial community in the newborn gut. *Early Hum Dev* 2010;86:13–15.
- 19 Bäckhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, Feng Q, Jia H, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al: Dynamics and stabilization of the human gut microbiome during the first year of life. *Cell Host Microbe* 2015;17:690–703.
- 20 Jakobsson HE, Abrahamsson TR, Jenmalm MC, Harris K, Quince C, Jernberg C, et al: Decreased gut microbiota diversity, delayed Bacteroidetes colonisation and reduced Th1 responses in infants delivered by caesarean section. *Gut* 2014;63:559–566.
- 21 Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, Stelma FF, Snijders B, Kummeling I, et al: Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. *Pediatrics* 2006;118: 511–521.
- 22 Hu J, Nomura Y, Bashir A, Fernandez-Hernandez H, Itzkowitz S, Pei Z, et al: Diversified microbiota of meconium is affected by maternal diabetes status. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e78257.
- 23 Doyle RM, Alber DG, Jones HE, Harris K, Fitzgerald F, Peebles D, et al: Term and preterm labour are associated with distinct microbial community structures in placental membranes which are independent of mode of delivery. *Placenta* 2014;35:1099–1101.
- 24 Collado MC, Cernada M, Bäumel C, Vento M, Pérez-Martínez G: Microbial ecology and host-microbiota interactions during early life stages. *Gut Microbes* 2012;3:352–365.
- 25 Hesla HM, Stenius F, Jäderlund L, Nelson R, Engstrand L, Alm J, et al: Impact of lifestyle on the gut microbiota of healthy infants and their mothers – the ALADDIN birth cohort. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2014;90:791–801.

- 26 Azad MB, Konya T, Maughan H, Guttman DS, Field CJ, Chari RS, et al: Gut microbiota of healthy Canadian infants: profiles by mode of delivery and infant diet at 4 months. *Can Med Assoc J* 2013;185:385–394.
- 27 Lif Holgersson P, Harnevik L, Hernell O, Tanner ACR, Johansson I: Mode of birth delivery affects oral microbiota in infants. *J Dent Res* 2011;90:1183–1188.
- 28 Chu DM, Ma J, Prince AL, Antony KM, Seferovic MD, Aagaard KM: Maturation of the infant microbiome community structure and function across multiple body sites and in relation to mode of delivery. *Nat Med* 2017;23:314–326.
- 29 Ardisson AN, de la Cruz DM, Davis-Richardson AG, Rechcigl KT, Li N, Drew JC, et al: Meconium microbiome analysis identifies bacteria correlated with premature birth. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e90784.
- 30 Liu D, Yu J, Li L, Ai Q, Feng J, Song C, et al: Bacterial community structure associated with elective cesarean section versus vaginal delivery in Chinese newborns. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2015;60:240–246.
- 31 Azad M, Konya T, Persaud RR, Guttman DS, Chari R, Field C, et al: Impact of maternal intrapartum antibiotics, method of birth and breastfeeding on gut microbiota during the first year of life: a prospective cohort study. *BJOG* 2016;123:983–993.
- 32 Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Fierer N, et al: Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010;107:11971–11975.
- 33 Collado MC, Cernada M, Neu J, Pérez-Martínez G, Gormaz M, Vento M: Factors influencing gastrointestinal tract and microbiota immune interaction in preterm infants. *Pediatr Res* 2015;77:726–731.
- 34 Dominguez-Bello MG, Blaser MJ, Ley RE, Knight R: Development of the human gastrointestinal microbiota and insights from high-throughput sequencing. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140:1713–1719.
- 35 Dogra S, Sakwinska O, Soh S-E, Ngom-Bru C, Brück WM, Berger B, et al: Dynamics of infant gut microbiota are influenced by delivery mode and gestational duration and are associated with subsequent adiposity. *MBio* 2015;6:1–9.
- 36 Kabeerdoss J, Ferdous S, Balamurugan R, Mechenro J, Vidya R, Santhanam S, et al: Development of the gut microbiota in southern Indian infants from birth to 6 months: a molecular analysis. *J Nutr Sci* 2013;2:e18.
- 37 Sirilun S, Takahashi H, Boonyaritchaikij S, Chaiyasut C, Lertruangpanya P, Koga Y, et al: Impact of maternal bifidobacteria and the mode of delivery on *Bifidobacterium* microbiota in infants. *Benef Microbes* 2015;6:767–774.
- 38 Makino H, Kushiro A, Ishikawa E, Kubota H, Gawad A, Sakai T, et al: Mother-to-infant transmission of intestinal bifidobacterial strains has an impact on the early development of vaginally delivered infant's microbiota. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e78331.
- 39 Huurre A, Kalliomäki M, Rautava S, Rinne M, Salminen S, Isolauri E: Mode of delivery – effects on gut microbiota and humoral immunity. *Neonatology* 2008;93:236–240.
- 40 Fallani M, Young D, Scott J, Norin E, Amarri S, Adam R, et al: Intestinal microbiota of 6-week-old infants across Europe: geographic influence beyond delivery mode, breast-feeding, and antibiotics. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2010;51:77–84.
- 41 Molina G, Weiser TG, Lipsitz SR, Esquivel MM, Uribe-Leitz T, Azad T, et al: Relationship between cesarean delivery rate and maternal and neonatal mortality. *JAMA* 2015;314:2263–2270.
- 42 Gregory KE, LaPlante RD, Shan G, Kumar DV, Gregas M: Mode of birth influences preterm infant intestinal colonization with bacteroides over the early neonatal period. *Adv Neonatal Care* 2015;15:386–393.
- 43 Fouhy F, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF, Stanton C, Cotter PD: Composition of the early intestinal microbiota: knowledge, knowledge gaps and the use of high-throughput sequencing to address these gaps. *Gut Microbes* 2012;3:203–220.
- 44 Goedert JJ, Hua X, Yu G, Shi J: Diversity and composition of the adult fecal microbiome associated with history of cesarean birth or appendectomy: analysis of the American Gut Project. *EBioMedicine* 2014;1:167–172.
- 45 Nelun Barfod M, Magnusson K, Lexner MO, Blomqvist S, Dahlén G, Twetman S: Oral microflora in infants delivered vaginally and by cesarean section. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2011;21:401–406.
- 46 Gonzales-Marin C, Spratt DA, Millar MR, Simmonds M, Kempley ST, Allaker RP: Identification of bacteria and potential sources in neonates at risk of infection delivered by cesarean and vaginal birth. *J Med Microbiol* 2012;61:31–41.
- 47 Hyde MJ, Mostyn A, Modi N, Kemp PR: The health implications of birth by caesarean section. *Biol Rev* 2012;87:229–243.
- 48 Doan E, Gibbons K, Tudehope D: The timing of elective caesarean deliveries and early neonatal outcomes in singleton infants born 37–41 weeks' gestation. *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol* 2014;54:340–347.
- 49 Natile M, Ventura ML, Colombo M, Bernasconi D, Locatelli A, Plevani C, et al: Short-term respiratory outcomes in late preterm infants. *Ital J Pediatr* 2014;40:52.
- 50 Esteves TM, Dumas RP, Oliveira MI, Andrade CA, Leite IC: Factors associated to breastfeeding in the first hour of life: systematic review. *Rev Saude Publica* 2014;48:697–708.
- 51 Tzur T, Weintraub AY, Sheiner E, Wiznitzer A, Mazor M, Holcberg G: Timing of elective repeat caesarean section: maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. *J Matern Neonatal Med* 2011;24:58–64.
- 52 Riskin A, Gonen R, Kugelman A, Maroun E, Ekhilevitch G: Does cesarean section before the scheduled date increase the risk of neonatal morbidity? *Isr Med Assoc J* 2014;16:559–563.
- 53 Magnus MC, Häberg SE, Stigum H, Nafstad P, London SJ, Vangen S, et al: Delivery by cesarean section and early childhood respiratory symptoms and disorders: the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011;174:1275–1285.
- 54 Cho CE, Norman M: Cesarean section and development of the immune system in the offspring. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2013;208:249–254.
- 55 Tollånes MC, Moster D, Daltveit AK, Irgens LM: Cesarean section and risk of severe childhood asthma: a population-based cohort study. *J Pediatr* 2008;153:112–116.
- 56 Cardwell CR, Stene LC, Joner G, Cinek O, Svensson J, Goldacre MJ, et al: Caesarean section is associated with an increased risk of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Diabetologia* 2008;51:726–735.
- 57 Decker E, Engelmann G, Findeisen A, Gerner P, Laass M, Ney D, et al: Cesarean delivery is associated with celiac disease but not inflammatory bowel disease in children. *Pediatrics* 2010;125:e1433–e1440.
- 58 Mårild K, Stephansson O, Montgomery S, Murray JA, Ludvigsson JF: Pregnancy outcome and risk of celiac disease in offspring: a nationwide case-control study. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142:39–45.e3.
- 59 Barros FC, Matijasevich A, Hallal PC, Horta BL, Barros AJ, Menezes AB, et al: Cesarean section and risk of obesity in childhood, adolescence, and early adulthood: evidence from 3 Brazilian birth cohorts. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95:465–470.
- 60 Kuitunen M, Kukkonen K, Juntunen-Backman K, Korpela R, Poussa T, Tuure T, et al: Probiotics prevent IgE-associated allergy until age 5 years in cesarean-delivered children but not in the total cohort. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;123:335–341.
- 61 Yu J, Jang S-O, Kim B-J, Song Y-H, Kwon J-W, Kang M-J, et al: The effects of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* on the prevention of asthma in a murine model. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res* 2010;2:199–205.
- 62 Hong P-Y, Lee BW, Aw M, Shek LPC, Yap GC, Chua KY, et al: Comparative analysis of fecal microbiota in infants with and without eczema. *PLoS One* 2010;5:e9964.
- 63 Chen Y, Yang F, Lu H, Wang B, Chen Y, Lei D, et al: Characterization of fecal microbial communities in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2011;54:562–572.
- 64 Tojo R, Suarez A, Clemente MG, de los Reyes-Gavilan CG, Margolles A, Gueimonde M, et al: Intestinal microbiota in health and disease: role of bifidobacteria in gut homeostasis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;20:15163–15176.
- 65 Lu C-Y, Ni Y-H: Gut microbiota and the development of pediatric diseases. *J Gastroenterol* 2015;50:720–726.

- 66 Sela DA, Chapman J, Adeuya A, Kim JH, Chen F, Whitehead TR, et al: The genome sequence of *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. infantis reveals adaptations for milk utilization within the infant microbiome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008;105:18964–18969.
- 67 Kalliomäki M, Collado MC, Salminen S, Isolauri E: Early differences in fecal microbiota composition in children may predict overweight. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87:534–538.
- 68 Luoto R, Kalliomäki M, Laitinen K, Delzenne NM, Cani PD, Salminen S, et al: Initial dietary and microbiological environments deviate in normal-weight compared to overweight children at 10 years of age. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2011;52:90–95.
- 69 An HM, Park SY, Lee DK, Kim JR, Cha MK, Lee SW, et al: Antiobesity and lipid-lowering effects of *Bifidobacterium* spp. in high fat diet-induced obese rats. *Lipids Health Dis* 2011;10:116.
- 70 Sher JU, Sczesnak A, Longman RS, Segata N, Ubeda C, Rostron T, et al: Expansion of intestinal *Prevotella copri* correlates with enhanced susceptibility to arthritis. *Elife* 2013;2:e01202.
- 71 Murri M, Leiva I, Gomez-Zumaquero JM, Tinahones FJ, Cardona F, Soriguer F, et al: Gut microbiota in children with type 1 diabetes differs from that in health children: a case-control study. *BMC Med* 2013;11:46.
- 72 Michail S, Lin M, Frey MR, Fanter R, Paliy O, Hilbush B, et al: Altered gut microbial energy and metabolism in children with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2015;91:1–9.
- 73 Salminen S, Bouley C, Boutron-Ruault MC, Cummings JH, Franck A, Gibson GR, et al: Functional food science and gastrointestinal physiology and function. *Br J Nutr* 1998;80 (suppl 1):S147–S171.
- 74 Pouteau E, Nguyen P, Ballèvre O, Krempf M: Production rates and metabolism of short-chain fatty acids in the colon and whole body using stable isotopes. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2003;62:87–93.
- 75 Zhang H, DiBaise JK, Zuccolo A, Kudrna D, Braidotti M, Yu Y, et al: Human gut microbiota in obesity and after gastric bypass. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009;106:2365–2370.
- 76 Bervoets L, Van Hoorenbeeck K, Kortleven I, Van Noten C, Hens N, Vael C, et al: Differences in gut microbiota composition between obese and lean children: a cross-sectional study. *Gut Pathog* 2013;5:10.
- 77 Million M, Maraninchi M, Henry M, Armougom F, Richet H, Carrieri P, et al: Obesity-associated gut microbiota is enriched in *Lactobacillus reuteri* and depleted in *Bifidobacterium animalis* and *Methanobrevibacter smithii*. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2012;36:817–825.
- 78 Ignacio A, Fernandes MR, Rodrigues VA, Groppo FC, Cardoso AL, Avila-Campo MJ, et al: Correlation between body mass index and faecal microbiota from children. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016;22:258.
- 79 Schwartz A, Taras D, Schäfer K, Beijer S, Bos N, Donus C, et al: Microbiota and SCFA in lean and overweight healthy subjects. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2010;18:190–195.
- 80 Abdallah IN, Ragab SH, Abd Elbaky A, Shoeib ARS, Alhosary Y, Fekry D: Frequency of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in gut microbiota in obese and normal weight Egyptian children and adults. *Arch Med Sci* 2011;7:501–507.
- 81 Kalliomäki M, Kirjavainen P, Eerola E, Kero P, Salminen S, Isolauri E: Distinct patterns of neonatal gut microflora in infants in whom atopy was and was not developing. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;107:129–134.
- 82 Marrs T, Bruce KD, Logan K, Rivett DW, Perkin MR, Lack G, et al: Is there an association between microbial exposure and food allergy? A systematic review. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2013;24:311–320.e8.
- 83 Björkstén B, Sepp E, Julge K, Voor T, Mikkelsen M: Allergy development and the intestinal microflora during the first year of life. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001;108:516–520.
- 84 Keski-Nisula L, Katila M-L, Remes S, Heinonen S, Pekkanen J: Intrauterine bacterial growth at birth and risk of asthma and allergic sensitization among offspring at the age of 15–17 years. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;123:1305–1311.
- 85 Zijlmans MAC, Korpela K, Riksen-Walraven JM, de Vos WM, de Weert C: Maternal prenatal stress is associated with the infant intestinal microbiota. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2015;53:233–245.
- 86 Mandal S, Godfrey KM, McDonald D, Treuren WV, Bjørnholt JV, Midtvedt T, et al: Fat and vitamin intakes during pregnancy have stronger relations with a pro-inflammatory maternal microbiota than does carbohydrate intake. *Microbiome* 2016;4:55.
- 87 Chu DM, Antony KM, Ma J, Prince AL, Showalter L, Moller M, et al: The early infant gut microbiome varies in association with a maternal high-fat diet. *Genome Med* 2016;8:77.
- 88 Lemas DJ, Yee S, Cacho N, Miller D, Cardel M, Gurka M, et al: Exploring the contribution of maternal antibiotics and breastfeeding to development of the infant microbiome and pediatric obesity. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;21:406–409.
- 89 Weinstein RA, Boyer KM: Antibiotic prophylaxis for cesarean delivery – when broader is better. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:1284–1286.
- 90 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists: ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 120: use of prophylactic antibiotics in labor and delivery. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;117:1472–1483.
- 91 Brubaker SG, Friedman AM, Cleary KL, Prendergast E, D'Alton ME, Ananth CV, et al: Patterns of use and predictors of receipt of antibiotics in women undergoing cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014;124:338–344.
- 92 Mshvildadze M, Neu J, Shuster J, Theriaque D, Li N, Mai V: Intestinal microbial ecology in premature infants assessed with non-culture-based techniques. *J Pediatr* 2010;156:20–25.
- 93 Jauréguy F, Carton M, Panel P, Foucaud P, Butel M-J, Doucet-Populaire F: Effects of intrapartum penicillin prophylaxis on intestinal bacterial colonization in infants. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:5184–5188.
- 94 Keski-Nisula L, Kyyräinen H-R, Kärkäinen U, Karhukorpi J, Heinonen S, Pekkanen J: Maternal intrapartum antibiotics and decreased vertical transmission of *Lactobacillus* to neonates during birth. *Acta Paediatr* 2013;102:480–485.
- 95 Aloisio I, Mazzola G, Corvaglia LT, Tonti G, Faldella G, Biavati B, et al: Influence of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis against group B *Streptococcus* on the early newborn gut composition and evaluation of the anti-*Streptococcus* activity of *Bifidobacterium* strains. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2014;98:6051–6060.
- 96 Fouhy F, Guinane CM, Hussey S, Wall R, Ryan CA, Dempsey EM, et al: High-throughput sequencing reveals the incomplete, short-term recovery of infant gut microbiota following parenteral antibiotic treatment with ampicillin and gentamicin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;56:5811–5820.
- 97 Tanaka S, Kobayashi T, Songjinda P, Tateyama A, Tsubouchi M, Kiyohara C, et al: Influence of antibiotic exposure in the early postnatal period on the development of intestinal microbiota. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 2009;56:80–87.
- 98 Stearns JC, Simioni J, Gunn E, McDonald H, Holloway AC, Thabane L, et al: Intrapartum antibiotics for GBS prophylaxis alter colonization patterns in the early infant gut microbiome of low risk infants. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:16527.
- 99 Pfuntner A, Wier LM, Stocks C: Most Frequent Procedures Performed in US Hospitals, 2010: Statistical Brief No 149. Rockville, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2006.
- 100 Dominguez-Bello MG, De Jesus-Laboy KM, Shen N, Cox LM, Amir A, Gonzalez A, et al: Partial restoration of the microbiota of cesarean-born infants via vaginal microbial transfer. *Nat Med* 2016;22:250–253.