A Comparison of the Effects of Grayanotoxin-Containing Honey (Mad Honey), Normal Honey, and Propolis on Fracture Healing

Aynur Sahin^a Suha Turkmen^b Nizamettin Guzel^c Ahmet Mentese^d
Suleyman Turedi^a Suleyman Caner Karahan^d Esin Yulug^e Selim Demir^f
Osman Aynaci^c Orhan Deger^d Abdulkadir Gunduz^a

^aDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey;

^bDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Acibadem University, Istanbul, Turkey; ^cDepartment of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Samsun Training and Research Hospital, Samsun, Turkey; ^dDepartment of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Karadeniz Technical University, Faculty of Medicine, Trabzon, Turkey;

^eDepartment of Histology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey;

^fDepartment of Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Health Sciences, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey

Significance of the Study

- Although several methods are available for accelerating the healing process, fracture healing is a growing health problem. This is the first experimental study that reveals the time-dependent beneficial effects of grayanotoxin and propolis on fracture healing as assessed by radiography and histology.

Keywords

Grayanotoxin · Mad honey · Propolis · Honey · Fracture healing

Abstract

Objectives: Delayed healing and non-union of fractures have a significant effect upon patient morbidity. Studies have therefore largely concentrated on accelerating fracture healing. This study was intended to compare the effect of “mad honey” and propolis on fracture healing using radiological and histopathological analysis. **Subjects and Methods:** Femur fracture was surgically performed on 48 rats, followed by fixation. Animals were then divided into 8 groups: 2 control groups (15- and 30-day) and 6 treatment groups (15- and 30-day normal honey, 15- and 30-day “mad honey,” and 15- and 30-day propolis). Rats were sacrificed at the end

of these periods, and radiological and histological examinations were performed. **Results:** Radiological healing in the propolis group after 15-day therapy was statistically better than in the control ($p = 0.004$) and normal honey ($p = 0.006$) groups. After 30-day therapy, healing in the propolis group ($p = 0.005$) and grayanotoxin-containing “mad honey” group ($p = 0.007$) were significantly better than in the control group. Histologically, there was a statistically significant difference between the 15-day propolis group and the other groups (control, honey, mad honey: $p = 0.003$, $p = 0.003$, and $p = 0.002$, respectively). We also found a statistically significant difference when the 30-day propolis group ($p = 0.005$) and “mad honey” group ($p = 0.007$) were compared to the control group. **Conclusions:** This study shows that grayanotoxin-containing “mad honey” and propolis can accelerate fracture healing.

© 2018 The Author(s)

Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Setbacks in fracture healing are a growing health problem. These have an adverse psychological impact and also result in workforce and economic losses. Various methods of accelerating fracture healing and permitting a return to daily life as early as possible are currently under investigation [1].

Grayanotoxin (GTX) is found in the flower parts of plant species such as *Rhododendron* and *Kalmia*. GTX is present in rhododendron pollens. It is therefore also found in honeys produced from this regional plant. This toxin-containing honey is known locally as “mad honey” [2]. People in this region use locally produced honey as an alternative therapy for wound healing, to treat arthritis, stomach pain, intestinal diseases, and hypertension, and to increase sexual performance [3, 4].

Propolis is a complex, adhesive product made by bees from various plant seeds, leaves or stems and plant secretions [1]. The main compounds it contains are flavonoids, which have antioxidant characteristics [5]. Propolis has attracted considerable interest in recent years due to its pharmacological and biological effects. Studies have shown that it possesses anticancer, hepatoprotective, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activities [6, 7].

Only 1 experimental study has demonstrated positive effects of propolis in fracture healing [8]. However, the effects of GTX in locally produced mad honey, used as an alternative therapy in wound healing, have not been investigated in fracture healing.

The purpose of this study was to investigate and compare the effects of GTX-containing mad honey and propolis on fracture healing, using radiology and histology, in an experimental femur fracture model.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This randomized, controlled, nonblinded experimental study was performed after Institutional Animal Care and Ethics Committee (2011/23) approval was obtained. The study was performed in accordance with guidelines for the housing and care of laboratory animals.

Study Setting and Population

Forty-eight female Sprague Dawley rats weighing 195–252 g were used. The animals were housed under standard light/dark conditions (12-h light and 12-h dark) at a constant temperature (22 °C) and humidity (55 ± 5%) with unrestricted access to standard laboratory animal chow and water.

Study Protocol

Rats were randomly assigned to 8 groups of 6 members each – 2 control groups (15-day control, 30-day control) and 6 treatment groups (15-day propolis, 30-day propolis, 15-day normal honey, 30-day normal honey, 15-day mad honey, and 30-day mad honey). The same surgical procedure was performed on all groups before treatment. The rats in the treatment groups were treated daily.

Surgical Procedure

Images of the surgical procedure are shown in Figure 1. Rats were fasted for 4 h before surgery. For induction of anesthesia, 5 mg/kg xylazine hydrochloride (Rompun®; Bayer Healthcare,

Fig. 1. Surgical procedure.

Leverkusen, Germany) and 50 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride (Ketalar®; Pfizer, Istanbul, Turkey) were administered by the intraperitoneal route. Following appropriate cleaning and covering of the surgical field, the skin of the right thigh was opened approximately 2 cm from the lateral aspect with a longitudinal incision (Fig. 1a). The femur was accessed. A transverse fracture was then made using a battery-powered drill with 0.8 mm Kirschner wire (Hipokrat®, Izmir, Turkey) (Fig. 1b). The wire was retracted distally, and once the fracture line had been reduced it was moved in a proximal direction in a retrograde manner. The wire was again moved forward with the tip of another wire so that it would be completely buried (Fig. 1c). Once fixation had been established, the accuracy of the fracture line reduction was confirmed using X-ray imaging (Fig. 1d). On days 15 and 30, as applicable, rats in the control and treatment groups were sacrificed by cervical dislocation under anesthesia. Right femurs were extracted by disarticulation from the hip and knee. Radiological images were taken, and soft tissue specimens were stored in 10% formaldehyde for preparation for histological examination.

Treatment Protocols

Rats received no antibiotic therapy before or after the surgical procedure. No agent was administered for therapeutic purposes in the 15- or 30-day control groups. Each rat in the propolis groups (15- and 30-day) received 200 mg/kg propolis per day by the orogastric route throughout the study period [8]. The propolis samples used in this study were produced by honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) in Trabzon, Turkey, and were provided by the Trabzon Agricultural Development Cooperative. For stock water (50,000 mg/mL) preparation, 1 g of propolis was dissolved in 20 mL water and then incubated at 60 °C and 150 rpm in an orbital shaker for 24 h. After incubation, samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Supernatants were filtered through filter paper and 0.22 mm filters [7].

As the mean amount of mad honey consumed for therapeutic purposes by a 70-kg adult is 4–6 g/day (1 teaspoonful), each rat in the mad honey groups (15- and 30-day) received a mean 80 mg/kg mad honey per day by the orogastric route throughout the study period [1]. Mad honey was obtained from a sample that caused a clinical case of toxicity after consumption; it was confirmed to have originated from a *Rhododendron*-containing area of the Black Sea Region. The amount of GTX it contained was not measured.

Each rat in the normal honey groups (15- and 30-day) received 80 mg/kg normal honey per day by the orogastric route. The normal honey (Balparmak® Blossom Honey, Izmir, Turkey) used in the experiments was obtained from supermarkets and then subjected to standard tests in the production facilities.

Radiological Evaluation

Radiographic images were scored according to the Lane-Sandhu radiological scoring system (Table 1) [9]. Scoring was performed by 2 independent orthopedists blinded to the study groups.

Histopathological Examination

Tissue specimens were fixed in 10% neutral formalin, rendered transparent in xylene, and embedded in paraffin blocks. Sections 5 µm in thickness were made using a fully automatic microtome (Leica RM 2255, Tokyo, Japan). Compounds were analyzed under light microscopy (Olympus BX51, Japan) by an experienced histologist blind to the groups. Compounds from the groups were photographed using a digital camera (Olympus DP71, Japan) un-

Table 1. The Lane-Sandhu radiographic scoring system

<i>Degree of bone formation</i>	
No new bone formed	0
The area of new bone accounts for 25% of the defect area	1
The area of new bone accounts for 50% of the defect area	2
The area of new bone accounts for 75% of the defect area	3
The area of new bone accounts for 100% of the defect area	4
<i>Degree of union</i>	
Fracture line is fully visible	0
Fracture line is partially visible	2
Fracture line is not visible	4
<i>Degree of medullary cavity remodeling</i>	
No sign of remodeling	0
Recanalization of the medullary cavity	2
Recanalization of cortical bone	4

Table 2. The Huo histological scoring system

Fracture region findings	Points
Fibrous tissue only	1
Predominantly fibrous tissue	2
Equal amounts of fibrous and cartilage tissue	3
Predominantly cartilage tissue with little fibrous tissue	4
Cartilage tissue only	5
Predominantly cartilage tissue with little immature bone	6
Equal amounts of cartilage and immature bone tissue	7
Predominantly immature bone with little cartilage tissue	8
Healing with immature bone	9
Healing with mature bone	10

der a light microscope. The 10-point histological scoring system described by Huo and Troiano [10] was used for histological evaluation of compounds (Table 2).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows v.13.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) software. During evaluation of radiological and histological scores, the 15- and 30-day groups were separately compared among themselves. Nonparametric tests were used for data analysis. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for multiple comparisons and the Mann-Whitney U as post hoc test with Bonferroni correction. Values of $p < 0.0083$ after correction were regarded as significant.

Results

Radiological Scoring

Fifteen- and thirty-day radiological scoring results from the study groups are shown in Tables 3 and 4. After

Table 3. Statistical analysis between groups on day 15

	Median radiological scores (95% CI)			
	control 2.5 (2–6)	normal honey 3.5 (2–7)	propolis 8.5 (7–11)	mad honey 5 (4–8)
Control		0.411	0.004*	0.061
Normal honey			0.006*	0.120
Propolis				0.034
Mad honey				

* Mann-Whitney-U test, p values <0.0083 (p value adjusted for Bonferroni correction) are regarded as statistically significant.

Table 4. Statistical analysis between groups on day 30

	Median radiological scores (95% CI)			
	control 9.5 (6–12)	normal honey 12.5 (7–14)	propolis 14.5 (12–16)	mad honey 13 (12–16)
Control		0.126	0.005*	0.007*
Normal honey			0.052	0.252
Propolis				0.458
Mad honey				

* Mann-Whitney-U test, p values <0.0083 (p value adjusted for Bonferroni correction) are regarded as statistically significant.

15 days' therapy, animals receiving propolis exhibited significantly higher radiological scores compared to the controls ($p = 0.004$) and subjects receiving normal honey ($p = 0.006$). There was no significant difference between the 15-day mad honey group and the control group (Table 3). Group scores on the 15th day are shown in Figure 2.

After therapy for 30 days, the radiological scores of animals receiving propolis ($p = 0.005$) and mad honey ($p = 0.007$) were significantly higher than those of the control group (Table 4). No statistically significant differences were observed between the radiological scores of the normal honey group and the control group, or between the propolis and mad honey treatment groups. Group scores on the 30th day are shown in Figure 3.

Histopathological Comparison

Evaluation of histological grade in subjects receiving therapy for 15 days revealed no histological grade higher than 3 in the control or normal honey groups, and the difference between these two was insignificant. All subjects in the 15-day mad honey group had histological scores of 4, while all subjects in the 15-day propolis group had histological grade scores of 6 or above (Fig. 4). A significant

increase in histological grade was observed in the 15-day propolis group compared to the control ($p = 0.003$), 15-day normal honey ($p = 0.003$), and 15-day mad honey ($p = 0.002$) groups. No significant increase in histological grade was observed in the 15-day mad honey group compared to the 15-day normal honey or 15-day control groups.

No histological score above 8 was observed in the control or normal honey groups at examination of histological grade after 30 days' therapy. Histology scores of 8 or above were observed in all rats in the 30-day propolis and mad honey groups. The highest histology grade, i.e., 10, was determined in 1 animal of the propolis group (Fig. 5). Figure 6 shows bone tissue samples from the treatment and control groups on days 15 and 30. A significant increase in histological grade was observed in the 30-day propolis ($p = 0.005$) and 30-day mad honey ($p = 0.007$) groups compared to the control group.

Comparison of the 30-day propolis and 30-day normal honey groups revealed a statistically significant histological improvement in the propolis group ($p = 0.008$). There was no significant difference between the 30-day mad honey group and the 30-day propolis or 30-day normal honey groups.

Fig. 2. Specialist scores for the groups on the 15th day of the experiment.

Fig. 3. Specialist scores of the groups on the 30th day of the experiment.

Fig. 4. Histological grades on the 15th day of the experiment.

Fig. 5. Histological grades on the 30th day of the experiment.

Discussion

This experimental study revealed positive effects of propolis and mad honey on fracture healing in an experimental setting, as shown by X-ray and histopathological studies. The study findings may be interpreted as indicating that propolis is more effective than mad honey over both 15- and 30-day treatment periods.

Evaluation of the radiological and histological scores of the propolis treatment group in our study showed significant healing in both the 15- and 30-day experimental animals compared to the control group. Many cellular and biochemical events such as neurohormonal and neurohumoral mechanisms are involved in fracture healing, and studies have shown that free oxygen radicals have a deleterious impact on healing [11, 12]. Various drugs and

Fig. 6. Histological images at the 15th day (**a–d**) and 30th day (**e–h**). **a, e** Control. **b, f** Normal honey. **c, g** Mad honey. **d, h** Propolis. Cartilage tissue (**c**), fibrous tissue (**f**), immature bone tissue (**b**). Hematoxylin eosin. $\times 100$.

factors have been investigated for their ability to accelerate fracture healing. One such is propolis, which possesses antioxidant properties. Propolis consists of 50% flavonoid- and phenolic acid-based resin and vegetable balsam, 30% wax, 10% essential oils, 5% pollen, and 5% other organic compounds. Flavonoids in propolis are antioxidants that eradicate free oxygen radicals by donating hydrogen. In addition, flavonoids complexed with iron ions suppress the Fenton reaction that causes hydroxyl radicals [2]. Several studies have demonstrated the antioxidant property of propolis in tissues. In a histomorphometric study, Altan et al. [13] showed that propolis stimulated new bone formation in bone suture separation and increased inflammatory cell numbers and capillary numbers in tissues. Guney et al. [8] observed a positive effect of propolis on fracture healing; they reported that while radiological scores in the 3rd and 6th weeks were better compared to the control group, histological scores were

comparable to those of the control group at the 6th week. Our propolis samples were collected in Trabzon in the Northeast of Turkey. Propolis is known to be rich in polyphenolic compounds, such as pinocembrin, isalpinin, pinostropin, naringenin, quercetin, chrysin, pinobanksin, galangin, apigenin, 3,40 ,7-trimethoxy flavanone, and caffeic acid [14]. The positive effect of propolis described in the literature thus supports the findings of our study.

When the efficacy of the mad honey and control groups was compared in terms of fracture healing, no significant difference was observed as evidenced by radiological and histopathological scores on day 15 of treatment. However, at the end of the 30-day protocol, both scoring results were significantly superior in the mad honey group compared to the control group. As mad honey is used to treat numerous diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia, the mechanisms of action of GTX in these pathologies have been studied [15–

17]. However, there are no reports on the effects of mad honey on fracture healing.

Several mechanisms may underlie the positive effects observed by us. First, bone metabolism events are affected by the nervous system. Mediators producing this effect include glutamate, catecholamines, leptin, and VIP [18]. Glutamate has been shown to play a significant role in bone-nerve interaction in particular, being associated with other nerve markers in bone cells, and has been reported to play an important role in remodeling after mechanical loading by balancing catabolic and anabolic activities [19]. Kim et al. [20] reported increased GABA and glutamate release after GTX administration. Increased glutamate release after GTX intake may explain the positive effect on bone healing of mad honey. Durdagi et al. [21] showed that GTX-3 inhibits cytosolic carbonic anhydrase 2 in the micromolar range in mammals. Osteoclasts perform bone resorption through hydrogen released by means of carbonic anhydrase. A decrease in the effect of carbonic anhydrase, and thus of osteoclasts, with the administration of GTX may be a possible cause of the positive effect of mad honey on fracture healing. This study showed that GTX-containing mad honey increased vascularity in the fracture region. Yet another reason for the effect of GTX on bone healing may be increased blood flow in association with peripheral vasodilation. Sibel et al. [22] reported that GTX increased antioxidant activity in tissues in their experimental study. The antioxidant property of GTX may therefore explain the positive effect on healing.

Normal honey was included in this study in order to assess its efficacy in terms of fracture healing of other chemical compounds contained in propolis and mad honey. Honey has a complex chemical composition. It contains 25 carbohydrates, free amino acids, vitamins, trace elements, flavonoids, and antioxidant, antimicro-

bial, and anti-inflammatory substances [23]. Additionally, substances or toxins specific to the flower flora from which it is obtained may also cause differing effects. Several studies have investigated its use in wound healing and for treating coughs, for boosting the immune system, and for antimicrobial activity [23, 24]. In our study, the effect on radiological and histopathological scores in the normal honey group in both the 15- and 30-day protocols resulted in no significant improvement in healing compared to the control group. Interestingly, no significant difference was determined between long-term radiological score values in the propolis and mad honey groups and those of the normal honey group.

Limitations

There are a number of limitations to this study. The amount of GTX contained in the mad honey used in the experimental protocol was not determined using a quantitative method. One of the main limitations of this study is the absence of any investigation of dose response. Blood parameters showing the antioxidant characteristic of GTX and factors increasing blood supply were also not investigated. Biomechanical testing using the contralateral, nonfractured femur as an internal control was also not performed.

Conclusions

This experimental study reveals a time-dependent beneficial effect of GTX and propolis on fracture healing as evaluated by radiographic and histological assessment. It also shows that GTX and propolis have similar radiological and histological therapeutic effects in bone fracture over a period of 30 days. Further studies are needed to clarify the effects of GTX on fracture healing.

References

- 1 Victoria G, Petrisor B, Drew B, et al: Bone stimulation for fracture healing: What's all the fuss? *Indian J Orthop* 2009;43:117–120.
- 2 Gunduz A, Turedi S, Russell RM, et al: Clinical review of grayanotoxin/mad honey poisoning past and present. *Clin Toxicol* 2008; 46:437–442.
- 3 Tatli O, Karaca Y, Turkmen S, et al: The effect of mad honey on testosterone levels of male rats. *Bratisl Lek Listy* 2016;117:677–680.
- 4 Gunduz A, Turedi S, Uzun H, et al: Mad honey poisoning. *Am J Emerg Med* 2006;24: 595–598.
- 5 Cao XP, Chen YF, Zhang JL, et al: Mechanisms underlying the wound healing potential of propolis based on its in vitro antioxidant activity. *Phytomedicine* 2017;34:76–84.
- 6 Wagh VD: Propolis: A wonder bees product and its pharmacological potentials. *Adv Pharmacol Sci* 2013;2013:308249.
- 7 Demir S, Aliyazicioglu Y, Turan I, et al: Antiproliferative and proapoptotic activity of Turkish propolis on human lung cancer cell line. *Nutr Cancer* 2016;68:165–172.
- 8 Guney A, Karaman I, Oner M, et al: Effects of propolis on fracture healing: an experimental study. *Phytother Res* 2011;25:1648–1652.
- 9 Lane JM, Sandhu HS: Current approaches to experimental bone grafting. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1987;18:213–225.
- 10 Huo MH, Troiano NW: The influence of ibuprofen on fracture repair: biomechanical, biochemical, histologic, and histomorphometric parameters in rats. *J Orthop Res* 1991;9:383–390.

- 11 Khallaf FG, Kehinde EO, Hussein S: Bone healing and hormonal bioassay in patients with long-bone fractures and concomitant head injury. *Med Princ Pract* 2016;25:336–342.
- 12 Shuid AN, Mohamad S, Muhammad N, et al: Effects of α -tocopherol on the early phase of osteoporotic fracture healing. *J Orthop Res* 2011;29:1732–1738.
- 13 Altan BA, Kara IM, Nalcaci R, et al: Systemic propolis stimulates new bone formation at the expanded suture: a histomorphometric study. *Angle Orthod* 2013;83:286–291.
- 14 Uzel A, Sorkun K, Oncag O, et al: Chemical compositions and antimicrobial activities of four different Anatolian propolis samples. *Microbiol Res* 2005;160:189–195.
- 15 Gunduz A, Kalkan A, Turedi S, et al: Pseudocholinesterase levels are not decreased in grayanotoxin (mad honey) poisoning in most patients. *J Emerg Med* 2012;43:1008–1013.
- 16 Gunduz A, Eraydin I, Turkmen S, et al: Analgesic effects of mad honey (grayanotoxin) in mice models of acute pain and painful diabetic neuropathy. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 2014;33:130–135.
- 17 Oztasan N, Altinkaynak K, Akcay F, et al: Effects of mad honey on blood glucose and lipid levels in rats with streptozocin-induced diabetes. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci* 2005;29:1093–1096.
- 18 Jones KB, Mollano AV, Morcuende JA, et al: Bone and brain: a review of neural, hormonal and musculoskeletal connections. *Iowa Orthop J* 2004;24:123–132.
- 19 Skerry TM, Taylor AF: Glutamate signalling in bone. *Curr Pharm Des* 2001;7:737–750.
- 20 Kim SE, Shin MC, Akaike N, et al: Presynaptic effects of grayanotoxin on excitatory and inhibitory nerve terminals in rat ventromedial hypothalamic neurons. *Neurotoxicology* 2010;31:230–238.
- 21 Durdagi S, Scozzafava G, Vullo D, et al: Inhibition of mammalian carbonic anhydrases I–XIV with grayanotoxin III: solution and in silico studies. *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem* 2014;29:469–475.
- 22 Sibel S, Enis YM, Hüseyin S, et al: Analysis of grayanotoxin in *Rhododendron* honey and effect on antioxidant parameters in rats. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2014;156:155–161.
- 23 Oduwale O, Meremikwu MM, Oyo-Ita A, et al: Honey for acute cough in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;CD 007094.
- 24 Zbucnea A: Up-to-date use of honey for burns treatment. *Ann Burns Fire Disasters* 2014;27:22–30.