Establishment and Evaluation of Polymerase Chain Reaction for Detection of Y-Chromosome-Specific Fetal DNA in Maternal Blood Circulation during Pregnancy and after Delivery


Departments of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, and Maternity Hospital, Kuwait

**Key Words**
Fetal DNA - Y chromosome - Maternal blood - Nested polymerase chain reaction

**Abstract**

**Objective:** To establish and evaluate nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for detection of Y-chromosome-specific fetal DNA in maternal blood circulation during pregnancy and its clearance several days after delivery. **Materials and Methods:** Genomic DNA was isolated from a male donor to amplify Y-chromosome-specific DNA sequences by using four pairs of oligonucleotide primers targeting single or multiple copy genes in standard and nested PCR. The nested PCR was evaluated for detection of Y-chromosome-specific sequences in the maternal blood circulation of pregnant women bearing a male fetus during pregnancy and at 2 and 24 h and 7 days after delivery. **Results:** Although both standard and nested PCR assays were established by using genomic DNA from a male donor to detect Y-chromosome-specific DNA sequences, the nested PCR was 10 times more sensitive than standard PCR. Further experiments showed that nested PCR was able to detect Y-chromosome-specific DNA in the peripheral blood cells as well as in the plasma and serum of pregnant women carrying a male fetus. When tested with plasma samples from women carrying male fetuses during pregnancy, nested PCR was positive with 53/55 (96%), 22/22 (100%), 16/22 (73%) and 0/30 (0%) specimens obtained before and at 2 and 24 h and 7 days after delivery, respectively. These results showed that fetus-specific Y-chromosome DNA was cleared from maternal circulation within 7 days of delivery. **Conclusion:** Our results suggest that nested PCR is useful in fetal sex determination and that prenatal diagnosis can be done without interference from previous pregnancy.

**Introduction**

The noninvasive recovery of fetal cells from maternal blood coupled with chromosomal and genetic diagnostic techniques can potentially revolutionize prenatal diagnosis. Fetal cells such as trophoblasts, lymphocytes and nucleated red cells (erythroblasts) have been shown to exist in maternal blood during pregnancy [1–5]. However,
syncytiotrophoblast cells, not expressing HLA class I and II antigens, do not induce a maternal immunological response. Having originated from previous pregnancies, these cells may survive in maternal blood and therefore are not applicable in prenatal diagnosis [6]. Recent studies suggest that fetal lymphocytes do not as readily cross the placenta as originally believed [7]. Nucleated red blood cells seem to be the promising cell type for prenatal diagnosis because they are relatively short-lived, and therefore the likelihood that these cells originated in prior pregnancies is reduced [2, 5]. It has previously been shown that fetal-nucleated red blood cells may be present in the maternal blood as early as 8 weeks of gestation, thus permitting first trimester diagnosis of fetal aneuploidy [4]. However, the relative paucity of fetal erythroblasts in maternal blood cells (estimated ratio of 1:1 × 10^7 to 1:1 × 10^6 cells), and therefore in each collected sample, complicates their potential in prenatal diagnosis [7]. Retrieval of fetal cells from maternal blood can be enhanced by enrichment techniques involving fluorescence-activated cell sorting and magnetic-activated cell sorting [8, 9]. However, most enrichment and purification techniques are time-consuming and require expensive equipment.

Recently, there has been much interest in the use of DNA derived from plasma and serum for the molecular diagnosis of genetic abnormalities and tumors [10–12]. Furthermore, it has been shown that fetus-specific DNA exists in maternal circulation [12, 13] and can be detected as early as 7 weeks of gestation [14, 15]. These studies demonstrate that DNA from cells dying in the developing embryo passes through both placental and kidney barriers to appear in the maternal circulation; the size of DNA fragments in serum and plasma is sufficient to provide polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis, and the amount of fetal DNA in the mother’s plasma is high enough to serve as a target for the detection of multiple as well as single copy genes. However, to be of value in prenatal diagnosis, the fetus-specific DNA should be cleared from the maternal circulation within a reasonable time period after delivery, so that previous pregnancies do not have any effect on the results of prenatal diagnosis. However, there is only one reported study [16] using quantitative PCR to investigate the clearance of male-specific fetal DNA from maternal plasma within 24 h after delivery [16].

Therefore in the present study, we have established and evaluated the use of highly sensitive nested PCR for the detection of the presence of Y-chromosome-specific DNA in the maternal circulation during pregnancy and its clearance several days after delivery.

### Materials and Methods

#### Donor Groups and Specimens
Peripheral blood cells form male donors were used for standardization experiments. The experimental group consisted of pregnant women attending the outpatient clinic at the Maternity Hospital, Kuwait. All the donors consented to participate in the study. The study procedure was approved by the Ethical Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, Kuwait. To obtain whole blood, plasma and serum samples, peripheral blood (5–10 ml) was collected from donors carrying male fetuses during pregnancy (n = 55) and 2 h (n = 22), 24 h (n = 22) and 7 days (n = 30) after delivery. To avoid false positivity, separate facilities were used for sample preparation, amplification and analysis of the amplified products. In addition, specimens from 40 positive and 25 negative controls were included in all the experiments using clinical specimens. Each positive control contained 10 pg of male genomic DNA extracted from the whole blood. Negative controls were extraction and reagent controls as described by Mustafa et al. [17].

#### Isolation of DNA from Whole Blood
DNA from whole blood was isolated using standard procedures described previously [18]. In brief, 500 μl of whole blood was treated with red cell lysis buffer and centrifuged. The pellet was resuspended in 16 μl of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 μg/ml proteinase-K enzyme (10 mg/ml), 4 μl of 10% SDS, 1 μl of 20% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 30 μl of distilled water. The tube was incubated with slow rotation at 55 °C for 2–4 h. The DNA was then precipitated with 70% ethanol, the pellet air-dried, dissolved in 50 μl TE buffer and 10 μl used for PCR.

#### Isolation of DNA from Plasma and Serum
Plasma and serum samples were processed by a modified method described by Lo et al. [12]. In brief, 200 μl of each plasma or serum sample was heated at 99 °C for 5 min. The sample was centrifuged, supernatant collected and 10 μl was used for PCR.

#### Primers for the Detection of Y-Chromosome
To amplify Y-chromosome-specific DNA sequences, four primer pairs were used. Following are the designation and the nucleotide sequence of the primers and the size of target DNA to be amplified:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Forward Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Reverse Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Size of Target DNA (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1.1</td>
<td>5’-TCCACTTTATTCAGGCCTGTCC-3’</td>
<td>5’-CCCATCCTTTTGTCTGTC-3’</td>
<td>154 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.2</td>
<td>5’-TTGAAATGGACGAGAAGGGG-3’</td>
<td>5’-CCCATCCTTTTGTCTGTC-3’</td>
<td>154 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.3</td>
<td>5’-CAGGACTGGCCAAGCCCAT-3’</td>
<td>5’-CAGGACTGGCCAAGCCCAT-3’</td>
<td>95 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.4</td>
<td>5’-CTAGACCGCAGAGGCGCCAT-3’</td>
<td>5’-CATCCAGAGCGCTCCTGCTGCCC-3’</td>
<td>243 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.5</td>
<td>5’-CTAGACCGCAGAGGCGCCAT-3’</td>
<td>5’-CATCCAGAGCGCTCCTGCTGCCC-3’</td>
<td>243 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.6</td>
<td>5’-CTAGACCGCAGAGGCGCCAT-3’</td>
<td>5’-CATCCAGAGCGCTCCTGCTGCCC-3’</td>
<td>243 bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 95- and 198-bp DNA fragments are internal to the 154- and 243-bp sequences, respectively. The DNA targets for Y1.1/Y1.2 and Y1.3/Y1.4 are present in 2–5 copies whereas the targets for Y1.5/ Y1.6 and Y1.7/Y1.8 are present in a single copy in the Y chromosome [19, 20].

#### PCR and Detection of Amplified DNA
By using the oligonucleotide primers described above, Y-chromosome-specific DNA fragments were amplified according to the standard procedures described previously [17]. In brief, each reaction
mixture (100 μl) contained 200 nM of each relevant primer and 2.5 U of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase, PCR buffer, dNTPS and target DNA. Amplification was carried out in a thermal cycler (Perkin Elmer System 2400). The standard PCR was performed for 45 cycles. For a nested PCR, 10 μl of reaction mixture, after completion of standard PCR with primer pairs Y1.1/Y1.2 and Y1.5/Y1.6, was reamplified for 20 cycles in a second step with the primer pairs Y1.3/Y1.4 and Y1.7/Y1.8, respectively. Each cycle in standard as well as nested PCR consisted of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 45 s. The amplified DNA was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis according to standard procedures [17]. The bands for specific DNA were observed under UV light and photographed.

Results

To establish PCR assays for detection of Y-chromosome-specific DNA sequences, genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood of a male donor and used with all the four primer pairs (Y1.1/Y1.2, Y1.3/Y1.4, Y1.5/Y1.6 and Y1.7/Y1.8). Each primer pair was added to reaction mixtures in separate reaction tubes and the amplification was done for 45 cycles. The results showed that DNA fragments of expected size were amplified with all the four primer pairs in standard PCR (fig. 1). Moreover, all the primer pairs had the same sensitivity of target detection, i.e. the detection limit in each case was 125 pg genomic DNA equivalent to 38 cells (table 1). Thus the sensitivity of detection with multiple copy targets (2–5 copies) of primer pairs Y1.1/Y1.2 and Y1.3/Y1.4 was the same as that of single copy targets amplified by the primer pairs Y1.5/Y1.6 and Y1.7/Y1.8.

To improve the sensitivity of detection of Y-chromosome-specific DNA fragments, nested PCRs were developed first by using outer primers Y1.1/Y1.2 and Y1.5/Y1.6 in the standard PCR, followed by reamplification with the internal primers Y1.3/Y1.4 and Y1.7/Y1.8, respectively. The results showed that the nested PCR improved the sensitivity of detection by ×10 (data not shown). This improved sensitivity of the nested PCR was further confirmed by testing blood specimens from a pregnant woman bearing a male fetus. The specimens did not show the presence of Y-chromosome-specific DNA in standard PCR by using primers Y1.1/Y1.2 (fig. 2, lanes 2 and 4). However, the same specimens in the nested PCR showed the presence of Y-chromosome-specific 95-bp DNA in blood (fig. 2, lanes 5 and 7). The negative control specimen remained negative with standard PCR (fig. 2, lane 3) as well as with nested PCR (fig. 2, lane 6). Further-

Table 1. Sensitivity of detection of Y-chromosome-specific DNA with standard PCR by using Y-chromosome-specific primers and genomic DNA isolated from peripheral blood cells of a male donor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Size of target DNA bp</th>
<th>1.250 pg</th>
<th>125 pg</th>
<th>12.5 pg</th>
<th>1.25 pg</th>
<th>0.0 pg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1.1/Y1.2</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.3/Y1.4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.5/Y1.6</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1.7/Y1.8</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Amplification of Y-chromosome-specific DNA sequences in standard PCR using genomic DNA from a male donor. Genomic DNA isolated from peripheral blood of a male donor was used to amplify Y-chromosome-specific DNA by using Y-chromosome-specific primers in standard PCR as described in ‘Materials and Methods’. Negative controls lacked the genomic DNA. The PCR products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis as described in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section. Lane 1 = Molecular weight marker (123-bp DNA ladder), lane 2 = 154 bp DNA amplified with primers Y1.1 and Y1.2; lane 3 = 95 bp DNA amplified with primers Y1.3 and Y1.4; lane 4 = 243 bp DNA amplified with primers Y1.5 and Y1.6; lane 5 = 198 bp DNA amplified with primers Y1.7 and Y1.8; lane 6 = negative control with primers Y1.1 and Y1.2. Similar negative results were obtained with other primers.
Fig. 2. Standard and nested PCR using DNA isolated from peripheral blood of a pregnant woman bearing a male fetus. Genomic DNA isolated from peripheral blood of a pregnant woman carrying a male fetus was subjected to standard PCR by using primers Y1.1 and Y1.2. The nested PCR was performed by reamplification of 10 µl product from standard PCR with primers Y1.3 and Y1.4. Negative control lacked the genomic DNA. The products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis as described in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section. Lane 1 = Molecular weight marker (123-bp DNA ladder); lanes 2 and 4 = standard PCR with genomic DNA from peripheral blood and primers Y1.1 and Y1.2; lane 3 = standard PCR with negative control and primers Y1.1 and Y1.2; lane 5 = nested PCR with 10 µl standard PCR product from the reaction loaded in lane 2 and primers Y1.3 and Y1.4; lane 6 = nested PCR with 10 µl standard PCR product from the reaction loaded in lane 4 and primers Y1.3 and Y1.4.

Fig. 3. Nested PCR by using DNA isolated from plasma and serum of a pregnant woman bearing a male fetus. Genomic DNA isolated from plasma and serum of a pregnant woman carrying a male fetus was subjected to standard PCR by using primers Y1.1 and Y1.2. The nested PCR was performed by reamplification of 10 µl product from standard PCR with primers Y1.3 and Y1.4. Negative controls lacked the genomic DNA. The products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis as described in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section. Lane 1 = Molecular weight marker (123-bp DNA ladder); lane 2 = nested PCR with negative control; lane 3 = amplification of 95 bp DNA in nested PCR with plasma; lane 4 = amplification of 95 bp DNA in nested PCR with serum.

more, testing of plasma and serum specimens from women carrying male fetuses showed that both of these specimen types contained Y-chromosome-specific DNA that could be detected by nested PCR (fig. 3). Similarly, positive results with genomic DNA from blood, plasma and serum, and negative results form the negative control samples were obtained in nested PCR by using the primer pair Y1.5/Y1.6 in the first step followed by reamplification in the nested step with the primer pair Y1.7/Y1.8 (fig. 4).

After establishing the improved sensitivity of nested PCR, it was evaluated for the detection of Y-chromosome-specific DNA in the plasma of 55 pregnant women carrying male fetuses during pregnancy. The nested PCR assay detected Y-chromosome-specific DNA in 53 women. The sensitivity and the specificity of the plasma-nested PCR were 96 and 88%, respectively. To determine how long fetal DNA could be detected in the maternal circulation, plasma specimens from 22, 22 and 30 women delivering a male baby were tested for the presence of Y-chromosome-specific DNA after 2 and 24 h and 7 days post partum, respectively. The results showed that all the women were positive for Y-chromosome-specific DNA at 2 h, 73% were positive at 24 h and none was positive at 7 days after delivery (table 2). Thus, within 1 week of delivery, the male-specific fetal DNA could not be detected in the plasma of women carrying male fetuses during pregnancy.

Discussion

In this study, we have demonstrated the presence of Y-chromosome-specific DNA sequences in the maternal specimens of women bearing male fetuses by using highly sensitive nested PCR. In previous reports, in which standard PCR was used, it was shown that Y-chromosome-
Fig. 4. Nested PCR with primers Y1.5/Y1.6 and Y1.7/Y1.8 and DNA isolated from peripheral blood, plasma and serum of a pregnant woman bearing a male fetus. Genomic DNA isolated from peripheral blood, plasma and serum of a pregnant woman carrying a male fetus was subjected to standard PCR by using primers Y1.5 and Y1.6. Negative controls lacked the genomic DNA. The nested PCR was performed by reamplification of 10 \( \mu \)l product from standard PCR with primers Y1.7 and Y1.8. The products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis as described in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section. Lane 1 = Molecular weight marker (123-bp DNA ladder), lane 2 = 198 bp DNA amplified in nested PCR with peripheral blood; lane 3 = 198 bp DNA amplified in nested PCR with plasma; lane 4 = 198 bp DNA amplified in nested PCR with serum; lane 5 = nested PCR with negative control.

Table 2. Detection of Y-chromosome-specific DNA in plasma of women bearing male fetuses during pregnancy and after delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of test</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Nested PCR results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During pregnancy</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

specific DNA sequences were detected in 80–87% of maternal plasma samples during pregnancy [10, 21]. Our results demonstrate improved sensitivity by showing the detection of Y-chromosome-specific DNA in 96% of plasma specimens from pregnant women bearing male fetuses. This could have been due to the improved sensitivity of nested PCR as compared to standard PCR. This is because in nested PCR the initial starting template for the second round of PCR is actually the PCR product, and therefore the target region is in high copy number compared to the genomic template used in the first round of PCR.

Our previous study using plasma from 25 women bearing female fetuses showed false positive Y-chromosome signals in 3 (12%) of the specimens [15]. The false positive results were due to sporadic contamination, supporting the hypothesis of an almost unavoidable sporadic contamination in some tubes during PCR preparation [22]. On the other hand, false negative results of 4% could be due to the presence of inhibitors or a low concentration of fetal DNA in some samples [12].

To detect Y-chromosome-specific DNA, we targeted single as well as multiple copy DNA sequences in Y chromosomes. It has been shown in other systems that multiple copy targets have usually greater sensitivity than single copy targets [23]. However, in our study, the sensitivity of single as well as multiple copy targets was similar in both standard as well as nested PCR. These results could be explained on the basis that the sensitivity of amplification of a target in PCR, in addition to target copy number, also depends on the primers and size of the amplified DNA etc. [23].

The nested PCR results using specimens from pregnant women suggest that whole blood, serum and plasma samples are useful in determination of fetal sex and could be applicable to noninvasive prenatal diagnosis of genetic Y-linked abnormalities, single gene disorders or determination of fetal sex etc. The clearance of fetal DNA from maternal plasma to a nondetectable level within 7 days after delivery (table 2) shows that fetal DNA in maternal circulation exists only for a short time, and therefore it seems that its use in prenatal diagnosis will not be affected by previous pregnancies.

**Conclusion**

Our results suggest that highly sensitive nested PCR was reliably used in fetal sex determination and prenatal diagnosis can be done without interference from previous pregnancy.
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