The “First 1,000 Days+” as Key Contributor to the Double Burden of Malnutrition
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**Abstract**
Growth from conception through age 2 years, the “First 1,000 days,” is important for long-term health of the growing fetus and child and is influenced by several factors including breastfeeding and complementary feeding. Low- and middle-income countries face a complicated array of factors that influence healthy growth, ranging from high food insecurity, poor sanitation, limited prenatal or neonatal care, and high levels of poverty that exacerbate the “vicious cycle” associated with intergenerational promotion of growth retardation. It is now well recognized that the period prior to conception, both maternal and paternal health and diet, play an important role in fetal development, giving rise to the concept of the “First 1,000 Days+”. Breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices can be improved through a combination of interventions such as baby-friendly hospitals, regulations for marketing of foods and beverages to children, adequate counseling and support, and sound social and behavior change communication, but continued research is warranted to make such programs more universal and fully effective. Thus, improving the overall understanding of factors that influence growth, such as improved breastfeeding and age-appropriate and adequate complementary feeding, is critical to reducing the global prevalence of the double burden of malnutrition.

**The “First 1,000 Days+” and the Double Burden of Malnutrition**

Fetal growth is characterized by rapid cell division and differentiation. As tissues and organ systems grow and develop, the need for essential nutrients and energy to support the growth increases. Thus, improper nutrient availability or delivery threatens healthy growth. The pre- and periconceptual period has also been found to be a factor that influences fetal growth \cite{1} and this time period, the first 1,000 days+, has a significant impact on growth and adult health. Therefore, to fully understand how to prevent or reverse the double burden of malnutrition (DBM), it is important to discuss all aspects of the first 1,000 days+, beginning with the preconception period through a child’s first 1,000 days up to age 2.

**Preconception and Pregnancy**

Paternal and maternal body composition and diet influence the first generation of offspring and may have an influence on the second generation as well \cite{2}. In a study of grand-paternal obesity, the second-generation offspring of obese mice showed defects in lipid and glucose metabolism when fed a high fat diet \cite{1}. It has also been found that maternal...
body composition was the most significant predictor of fetal growth [3]. Moreover, siblings born during or after the Dutch Famine Winter had epigenetic differences in genes associated with chronic diseases, depending on the period of intra-uterine famine exposure [4]. These studies suggest that preventing the DBM not only begins with the health of the parents but also has significant implications for the growth of the child. Innovative strategies to improve the health of men and women in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is clearly needed to effectively address the DBM. Such strategies would have to be broad and address the preconception health of both men and women, such as improved community-level access to nutrient dense foods, nutrition education for adolescents, but most important include improvements in social, income, and health-care inequalities [5, 6].

Breastfeeding and the DBM

One of the most important infant feeding practices to prevent the DBM is breastfeeding. Breastfeeding is essential for healthy growth and development [7], protects children against infections, overweight, and protects mothers from certain types of cancer and type 2 diabetes. Suboptimal breastfeeding is related to over 800,000 deaths in children under 5 years of age and 20,000 maternal deaths per year [8] with estimated economic losses at USD 302 billion per year [7].

Breastfeeding rates are far from optimal. In LMICs, less than half of all new-borns initiate breastfeeding in the first hour of life, only 41% of infants under 6 months of age are exclusively breastfed, and 45% of 2-year olds are breastfed [9]. This situation may be explained by various factors. In 2014, the global sales of breastmilk substitutes were almost USD 45 billion [7], and the marketing of breastmilk substitutes negatively impacts breastfeeding practices [10]. Other factors include inadequate support for breastfeeding in health facilities, communities, families, and the workplace. Yet, every dollar invested in breastfeeding brings USD 35 in economic returns [11].

At the 2018 IAEA/UNICEF/WHO symposium on the DBM, Dr. Nigel Rollins outlined factors that create barriers to exclusive breastfeeding around the globe [12] and noted that there is a dearth of environments that support breastfeeding at school, work, or home. More important, there is a lack of collective responsibility for low rates of breastfeeding, and the sole responsibility of breastfeeding is often delegated to the woman. Substandard science is used in the development of policies and claims for breast-milk substitutes often go unchallenged. As well, nutritionists and health professionals often do not hold institutions and governments accountable for breastfeeding practices considering the inadequate regulation of the marketing of breastmilk substitutes.

Complementary Feeding and the DBM

During the first year of life, lean body mass nearly doubles, yet the energy provided from breastmilk remains constant and the gap in energy needs versus supply is met by the introduction of complementary foods, making it essential for healthy growth. When complementary foods are introduced after 6 months of age, energy and nutrient requirements are not met and growth either slows or ceases [13]. While delayed introduction of complementary feeding has been associated with a greater risk of overweight in life [14, 15], the evidence is not conclusive [16, 17]. Nonetheless, to prevent the DBM, it is necessary that parents and caregivers understand the importance of introducing appropriate foods at the right time with continued breastfeeding. In particular, complementary foods need to be introduced from 6 months of age, provided with an age-appropriate frequency and amount and in a responsive manner, safely prepared and stored, of good quality (diverse, with an adequate micronutrient and energy content and a low anti-nutrient content), and continued during and after illness [13]. However, among LMICs, only 25% of children 6–23 months received foods from the minimum number of food groups that is recommended for their age, and nearly 1/3 of children aged 6–8 months did not receive complementary foods [9].

Programs to promote complementary foods have been found to be somewhat effective, but challenges remain to make them fully effective [18]. Understanding risk factors and barriers to adequate complementary feeding, conducting situation analysis and formative research to inform strategies and interventions to put in place, having good monitoring and evaluation systems in place and sufficient resources are key elements to make programs more effective to promote adequate complementary feeding [18]. Also, many programs focus on stunting, the most severe form of growth retardation, as the primary outcome studied. Yet, a number of successes can be claimed for programs that do not necessarily influence stunting, such as improved iron status, increased maternal empowerment or education, and so on. Thus, it is important for evaluations to focus on changes in growth and other areas of interest rather than a single programmatic cutoff that may be influenced by a number of competing factors.
Potential Interventions to Improve Nutrition in the “First 1,000 Days”

There are a number of avenues through which improved fetal growth and child health may be influenced. Aside from poverty reduction and improved diet quality for adolescents and adults who may become parents, breastfeeding and complementary feeding remain the primary drivers of child growth and health. Breastfeeding practices can be improved by providing adequate support via different delivery platforms, the community, and the home. A large review of the evidence found that combining interventions via different delivery platforms improved exclusive breastfeeding by 79% with a doubling of the effect when combining health system and the community [19]. As well, regulations for the marketing of breastmilk substitutes and for maternity protection are crucial to ensure optimal breastfeeding practices [10, 20]. Other avenues to improve breastfeeding practices include implementing the actions of the Baby-friendly Hospital Initiative (WHO and UNICEF 2018) [21] and adherence to the 10 Steps [22]. Recently, UNICEF and WHO established the Global Breastfeeding Collective [23, 24] with 7 policy actions that advocate for improved breastfeeding practices at the global level, including increased funding, implementing the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes, maternity protection regulations and the BFHI’s 10 Steps, and strengthening monitoring systems to track progress.

For designing a set of comprehensive actions to improve complementary feeding, countries are recommended to conduct barrier and bottleneck analyses, as used by UNICEF, government counterparts, and partners in a range of settings and countries, to assess the main determinants of effective coverage or optimal practices for selected interventions [25]. Quantitative and qualitative data are combined to characterize the environment (e.g., social norms, policies, and budget), supply- and services-related factors (e.g., availability of materials and access to services), and demand-related factors (e.g., financial, social, and cultural factors) [26]. This approach was applied in Ethiopia for complementary feeding and brought together stakeholders to review and prioritize bottlenecks and potential solutions. The results showed that food taboos, weak multisectoral engagements, limited father/male involvement, limited availability of fruits and vegetables, and poor knowledge and awareness from caregivers were identified as key bottlenecks to proper complementary feeding. The involvement of the stakeholders helped build consensus and prioritize solutions and strategies that were felt to be manageable by the stakeholders.

Bottleneck analysis should be part of an ongoing process to improve programs and coverage by making adjustments as needed. Apart from infant feeding practices, it is also important to emphasize the importance of parental health for the prevention of the DBM given that the health and diet of parents, even prior to conception of a child, has a profound influence on the health of their child during gestation and perhaps for the life of their child.

Conclusions

As the DBM continues to increase across the world, particularly in LMICs, addressing proper nutritional practices during pregnancy and early childhood remains a high priority. Determining how best to support and normalize such behaviors depends on availability and access to nutrient-rich foods, access to education, empowerment of women to control household resources, and greater father’s involvement in child care. As well, the health and nutrition community needs to advance policies that promote healthy and sustainable diets, starting with breastfeeding, and investments in the implementation and scale up of evidence-informed interventions to prevent the DBM. It is also important to emphasize that the health and diet of parents, even prior to conception of a child, have a profound influence on the health of their child during gestation and, perhaps, for life. Finally, innovative and interdisciplinary research and interventions with responsible public–private partnerships have key roles to play to ensure that such knowledge and policies function in a coordinated fashion to reduce the DBM.
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