Plasma Metabolomics Profiling in Maintenance Hemodialysis Patients Based on Liquid Chromatography Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry

Yu Chen^{a, b} Ping Wen^b Junwei Yang^b Jianying Niu^a

^aDivision of Nephrology, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; ^bDivision of Nephrology, Second Affiliated Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Keywords

End-stage renal disease · Metabolic profiling · Liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry

Abstract

Background: Key pathogenetic mechanisms underlying renal disease progression are unaffected by current treatment. Metabolite profiling has significantly contributed to a deeper understanding of the biochemical metabolic networks and pathways in disease, but the biochemical details in maintenance hemodialysis (MHD) patients remain largely undefined. **Methods:** The metabolic fingerprinting of plasma samples from 19 MHD patients and 12 healthy controls was characterized using liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal partial least squares-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) were applied to analyze the metabolic data. **Results:** The plasma metabolite profile distinguished the MHD patients from the healthy controls successfully by using both PCA and OPLS-DA models. Sixty-three metabolites were identified as the key metabolites to discriminate the MHD patients from healthy controls, involving

several metabolic pathways (all $p < 0.05$). An increase in plasma levels of D-glucose, hippuric acid, androsterone glucuronide, indolelactic acid, and a reduction in plasma levels of glycerophosphocholine, serotonin, L-lactic acid, phyto-sphingosine, and several lysophosphatidylcholine were observed in MHD patients compared to healthy subjects. Metabolomics analysis combined with KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed that non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, choline metabolism in cancer, the forkhead box O signaling pathway, and the hypoxia-inducible factor-1 signaling pathway in MHD patients were significantly changed ($p < 0.05$). **Conclusion:** The identification of a novel signaling pathway and key metabolite markers in MHD patients provides insights into potential pathogenesis and valuable pharmacological targets for end-stage renal disease.

© 2020 The Author(s)
Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) is a state of disturbed metabolism, although important features such as dyslipidemia [1] and catabolism [2] remain poorly understood.

These factors are believed to contribute to mortality in ESRD, which is much higher than in the general population [3, 4]. Furthermore, ESRD or cardiovascular disease (CVD) develop in a substantial proportion of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) receiving standard-of-care therapy, and all-cause mortality remains unchanged in the CKD population [5]. These data suggest that key pathogenetic mechanisms underlying renal disease progression are unaffected by current treatment and prompt the search for easily identifiable risk factors and novel pharmacological targets.

Current metabolite profiling (or metabolomics) technologies enable high-throughput, high-resolution phenotyping of human plasma and are able to identify novel disease biomarkers and their underlying metabolic pathways in well-characterized epidemiologic cohorts [6, 7]. Metabolomics studies have demonstrated metabolic alterations in clinical samples, including blood and urine samples of CKD [8, 9] and diabetic kidney disease [10]. Wang et al. [11] applied liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry-based metabolite profiling to plasma obtained from participants in the Framingham Heart Study to identify novel predictors of future type 2 diabetes and CKD. In this pilot study, non-targeted, mass spectrometry-based profiling of plasma from maintenance hemodialysis (MHD) patients and healthy controls demonstrated that a large number of chemical species found in systemic circulation change significantly. The aim of this pilot study was to demonstrate that the systemic metabolic alterations associated with ESRD could be evaluated using a rapid metabolomics screening technique. As such, the identification of potential signaling pathway and key metabolite markers could provide useful information not only about potential new pathogenesis of ESRD, but also about valuable therapeutic targets for ESRD-associated comorbidities.

Materials and Methods

Patients

The study population consisted of 19 patients aged over 30 years with ESRD receiving MHD for at least 3 months from one clinical center, and 12 healthy controls from one health examination center. The blood samples of each patient were collected before hemodialysis, while one blood sample was obtained from each control.

Sample Preparation Procedures

Blood plasma samples were drawn before dialysis into Vacutainer tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid for plasma separation. Plasma samples were transported on ice and centri-

fuged at 4 °C at 3,000 rpm for 15 min. Supernatants were stored in aliquots at -80 °C for subsequent metabolomics analysis.

The frozen plasma samples were thawed slowly. The correct amount of cold methanol (precooled to -20 °C) was added to the samples to make a final 80% (vol./vol.) methanol solution. The samples were gently shaken to mix and incubated for 6–8 h at -20 °C, then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were collected, transferred to a new 1.5-mL Eppendorf tube, and evaporated to dryness at room temperature in a SpeedVac concentrator (Thermo Scientific) with no heat. The dried samples were reconstituted in 100 µL of 60% methanol with 0.1% formic acid, and clarified by centrifugation for 5 min at 12,000 g. The clarified samples were transferred to plastic HPLC vials for liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF-MS) measurements.

Liquid Chromatography-Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry Measurements

The LC-QTOF-MS measurements were performed using an Agilent 1260 series LC binary pump and well plate autosampler coupled to a 6,520 accurate-mass Q-TOF LC-MS system equipped with a dual electrospray ionization (ESI) source (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). A Cogent Diamond Hydride™ (MicroSol, Eatontown, NJ, USA) aqueous normal phase column (4 µm, 2.1 × 100 mm) was used for the separation of metabolites. The LC parameters were as follows: autosampler temperature, 4 °C; injection volume, 5 µL; column temperature, 35 °C; flow rate, 0.4 mL/min. The solvents and optimized gradient conditions for LC were: solvent A, 50% methanol/50% water/0.05% formic acid; solvent B, 90% acetonitrile with 5 mM ammonium acetate; elution gradient, 0–20 min in 100% B, 20–25 min in 40% B; post-run time for equilibration, 10 min in 100% B. A blank injection was run after every 3 samples. The optimized ESI Q-TOF parameters for MS experiments were: ion polarity, positive and negative; gas temperature, 325 °C; drying gas, 10 L/min; nebulizer pressure, 45 psig; capillary voltage, 4,000 V; fragmentor, 140 V; skimmer, 65 V; mass range, 70–1,100 m/z; acquisition rate, 1.5 spectra/s; instrument state, extended dynamic range (1,700 m/z, 2 GHz). Spectra were internally mass calibrated in real time by continuous infusion of a reference mass solution using an isocratic pump connected to a dual sprayer feeding into an ESI source. Data were collected in both the positive and negative ESI mode. Data were acquired with MassHunter Acquisition software (Agilent Technologies).

Data Processing and Metabolomics Data Analysis

Following LC-QTOF-MS data acquisition, the acquired raw data files were processed with Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis software (version 5.0). Reproducibility of chromatograms was first inspected by overlaying the total ion chromatograms of all samples. Data files that showed extraneous peaks were excluded for further processing. The positive and negative data were combined. The normalization procedure was confirmed by a comparison of the total ion intensity of peaks in MS profiles. Initial, putative metabolite identification was achieved by searching the accurate m/z values of the peaks against an in-house database derived from HMDB, METLIN, and other public databases. At the same time, the extracted ion chromatograms for these matched putative metabolites were generated by performing find by formula function integrated into the software. The abundance of the extracted ion chromatograms was calculated by summing the in-

tensities of all compound-related peaks (e.g., isotopic peaks, adduct peaks, etc.). The preprocessed data files were imported into Agilent Mass Profiler Professional software (version 12.1) for further statistical analysis. MS/MS spectra and retention times acquired from reference metabolites were used for confirmation of the identification of statistically significant metabolites. More specifically, the exact *m/z* values and intensities of fragment ions from the acquired MS/MS spectra of putative metabolites must have a reasonable match with that of reference metabolites or the fragment ions from public databases (e.g., METLIN, MassBank), if available. Unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA) and supervised orthogonal partial least squares-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) models were employed to evaluate the difference between 2 tested groups by using SIMCA 14.1 software (Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden). Also, the permutation tests (the number of permutations was 200) were used to validate each group in the OPLS-DA models. S-plot and the variable importance for projection (VIP) values were used to figure out the key mediators that contribute significantly to the discrimination of the 2 groups. Those variables with a VIP >1.0 were considered relevant for group discrimination. A heatmap of the different metabolites in this process was constructed using the pheatmap package in R (3.40).

Statistical Data Analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check the normality of the data distribution. Numerical variables were expressed as the mean $\pm$ SE for normally distributed parameters or median (interquartile range) for skewed distributions, while categorical variables were expressed as counts (%) or as a ratio. To identify statistically significant differences between plasma samples from the healthy controls and MHD patients, the processed data files were imported into Agilent Mass Profiler Professional software version 12.1 (Agilent Technologies) for statistical analysis. The intensities of the identified metabolites in the plasma samples from healthy controls and MHD patients were compared using a *t* test followed by a Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction.

Results

Patient Characteristics

A total of 31 subjects were enrolled in this study and 19 of them were ESRD patients receiving MHD treatment. Table 1 lists the clinical information for all of the 31 subjects, including clinical chemistry values, as well as their disease history as diagnosed by the attending physician or by previous medical histories. Twelve volunteers without documented kidney disease were used as healthy controls.

Metabolic Differences between Healthy Controls and MHD Patients

The plasma samples from both individual MHD patients and healthy controls were subjected to methanol protein precipitation and subsequent centrifugation, be-

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the study population and controls

	Controls	MHD patients
<i>n</i>	12	19
Male	6 (50)	12 (63)
Age, years	42 (39–50)	56 (45–57)*
Disease history		
Myocardial infarction	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hypertension	0 (0)	17 (89)*
Diabetes mellitus	0 (0)	2 (11)
Hypercholesterolemia	0 (0)	2 (11)
NAFLD	0 (0)	1 (5)
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	123.1 $\pm$ 15.6	143.3 $\pm$ 28.1*
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	81.0 $\pm$ 12.1	70.8 $\pm$ 22.6*
Laboratory values		
Hemoglobin, g/L	–	100.4 $\pm$ 15.5
Parathyroid hormone, pg/mL	–	222.3 $\pm$ 196.1
Calcium, mmol/L	–	2.3 $\pm$ 0.2
Phosphorus, mmol/L	–	1.6 $\pm$ 0.4
Medication		
Use of CCB	–	11 (58)
Use of ARB	–	2 (11)
Use of ACEI	–	5 (26)
Use of β -receptor blocker	–	10 (53)
Use of aspirin	–	1 (5)
Statins	–	6 (32)
Weekly dose of epoetin, IU	–	3,000 (3,000–6,000)

Values expressed as *n* (%), mean $\pm$ SE, or median (IQR). * *p* < 0.05, compared with healthy controls. Comparisons between 2 groups were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. MHD, maintenance hemodialysis; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; CCB, calcium-channel blocker; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II type 1 receptor blocker.

cause this technique was previously reported to be the most effective, straightforward, and reproducible extraction method for such samples [12].

To study the statistically significant metabolic alterations in the plasma between the 2 groups in more depth, PCA, an unsupervised multivariate statistical method, was performed based on the ion intensities of all the identified metabolites in the plasma samples of the healthy controls and MHD patients. As seen in Figure 1a, the results of the PCA (plotting principle component 1 vs. principle component 2) shows an excellent separation of the MHD patients and healthy controls (R²X = 0.459, Q² = 0.361).

Then, OPLS-DA, a supervised multivariate statistical method, provided valuable insight into group relationships from simple visual inspection of scores-space clustering patterns. One predictive component and one orthogonal components model were constructed with a 2-dimensional score plot illustrated in Figure 1b (R²X =

0.598, $R^2Y = 0.995$, $Q^2 = 0.976$), which shows visual separation of the 2 groups in the t1-axis. S plots of the (O) PLS-DA performed to verify the differentiated metabolites between the 2 groups and supervise the multivariate analysis are shown in Figure 1c.

As shown in Figure 2, heatmap analysis revealed distinct patterns of metabolites in the MHD and control groups, with 151 metabolites detected as being significantly changed. Also, the VIP values for each variable were generated and are presented in Table 2, which were

all >1.00 ($p < 0.05$). Of 63 key metabolites, 30 were increased, while 33 were reduced in the plasma of MHD patients compared to those in healthy controls. This showed that the plasma levels of D-glucose, hippuric acid, androsterone glucuronide, and indolelactic acid were increased, and the plasma levels of glycerophosphocholine (GPC), serotonin, L-lactic acid, phytosphingosine, and several lysophosphatidylcholines were decreased in the MHD patients compared to those of controls.

Fig. 1. Plasma metabolic profiles of LSMs for the MHD patients and healthy controls. **a** Two-dimensional PCA score plots show visual separation of MHD patients (red dots) from the healthy controls (blue dots). $R^2X = 0.459$, $Q^2 = 0.361$. The percentage variation in the plotted principal components is marked on the axes. Each spot represents one sample, and each group is indicated by a different color. **b** Two-dimensional OPLS-DA score plots high-

light the difference between the patients (red dots) and healthy controls (blue dots). $R^2X = 0.598$, $R^2Y = 0.995$, $Q^2 = 0.976$. **c** S-plots of the OPLS-DA models for the processed data. **d** Permutation plots for the MHD patients and controls all show that the OPLS-DA models used above are valid. The green dots represent R^2 while blue dots represent Q^2 . The number of permutations for each plot was 200.

Fig. 2. Heatmap analysis of the blood metabolite patterns in MHD patients and healthy controls. The heatmap shows the significantly changed metabolites between the 2 groups. Each column in the

heatmap represents one sample, and each row represents one metabolite. The color bar showing green to red indicates the relative content of metabolites.

(For figure see next page.)

Table 2. Identification of significant differential metabolites in plasma samples by comparison of the MHD patients and healthy controls using a VIP threshold of 1 ($p < 0.05$)

Compound	VIP	FC	Change	Pathway name
L-Lactic acid	10.22810	0.43789	Down	HIF-1 signaling pathway
LysoPC (16:0)	9.98057	0.48435	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
Creatinine	9.58230	2.45733	Up	Arginine and proline metabolism
Felbamate	9.28300	0.0003567	Down	Drug metabolism – cytochrome P450
Hippuric acid	5.30880	58.94476	Up	Phenylalanine metabolism
Acetyl-N-formyl-5-methoxykynurenamine	3.84561	54.30022	Up	Tryptophan metabolism
Geranyl-PP	3.78926	0.33354	Down	Phosphonate and phosphinate metabolism
Pyroglutamic acid	3.72451	0.45121	Down	D-Glutamine and D-glutamate metabolism
Hypoxanthine	3.62224	13.02824	Up	Purine metabolism
Glycerophosphocholine	3.54836	0.33648	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
D-Glucuronic acid	3.21844	17.55045	Up	Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism
D-Glucose	3.13755	49.69100	Up	NAFLD
1-Methylhistidine	3.10201	2.65084	Up	Histidine metabolism
LysoPC (18:0)	2.95710	0.66651	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
Glucosamine	2.91254	0.23987	Down	Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism
LysoPC (18:3 [6Z, 9Z, 12Z])	2.83799	0.26197	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
Thiamine	2.73887	39.48890	Up	Thiamine metabolism
Uridine	2.62345	12.56130	Up	Chemical carcinogenesis
D-Xylose	2.56559	12.33261	Up	ABC transporters
Galactonic acid	2.40013	287.15969	Up	Galactose metabolism
Lactosylceramide (d18:1/12:0)	2.38472	0.56823	Down	Sphingolipid metabolism
Trigonelline	2.34204	15.59125	Up	Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism
N-acetylputrescine	2.32334	0.0014299	Down	Arginine and proline metabolism
Uric acid	2.23204	0.52909	Down	Bile secretion
Mercaptopurine	2.15339	91.55563	Up	Bile secretion
5-Acetyl-amino-6-formylamino-3-methyluracil	2.0563	11.81809	Up	Caffeine metabolism
L-Isoleucine	1.97048	0.62502	Down	Central carbon metabolism in cancer
L-Histidine	1.88933	0.47130	Down	Central carbon metabolism in cancer
LysoPC (20:4 [5Z, 8Z, 11Z, 14Z])	1.88369	0.59427	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
Galactitol	1.87569	46.61731	Up	Galactose metabolism
L-Tryptophan	1.81269	0.31861	Down	Central carbon metabolism in cancer
2-Hydroxyethanesulfonate	1.81057	7.84180	Up	Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism
Lysine	1.78813	0.50828	Down	Protein digestion and absorption
3-Dehydroquinate	1.77531	16.16455	Up	Biosynthesis of amino acids
5-Hydroxy-N-formylkynurenine	1.72359	0.002373	Down	Tryptophan metabolism
Cortol	1.70001	0.53788	Down	Steroid hormone biosynthesis
Betaine	1.69081	0.66840	Down	ABC transporters
LysoPC (20:3 [5Z, 8Z, 11Z])	1.63686	0.52152	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
L-Tyrosine	1.62937	0.56811	Down	Cocaine addiction
L-Glutamic acid	1.55062	2.70260	Up	Cocaine addiction
Linoleic acid	1.54579	0.63493	Down	Linoleic acid metabolism
D-1-Piperidine-2-carboxylic acid	1.52247	0.40154	Down	Lysine degradation
cis-Aconitic acid	1.52231	1.59038	Up	Citrate cycle
Homovanillic acid	1.49443	35.46233	Up	Tyrosine metabolism
LysoPC (20:5 [5Z, 8Z, 11Z, 14Z, 17Z])	1.49287	0.28354	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
Pyruvic acid	1.41018	0.08689	Down	HIF-1 signaling pathway, citrate cycle
4-Hydroxycyclophosphamide	1.37597	773.31431	Up	Drug metabolism – cytochrome P450
LysoPC (16:1 [9Z])	1.35209	0.40768	Down	Choline metabolism in cancer
Hydroxybenzoic acid	1.33573	48.97962	Up	Phenylalanine metabolism
Androsterone glucuronide	1.29119	70.87835	Up	Steroid hormone biosynthesis
L-Aspartic acid	1.28381	143.33270	Up	Central carbon metabolism in cancer
Ascorbic acid	1.28257	34.16483	Up	HIF-1 signaling pathway
Phytosphingosine	1.25514	0.09685	Down	Sphingolipid metabolism
Oxoglutaric acid	1.22487	0.51611	Down	HIF-1 signaling pathway
Ornithine	1.22003	0.43673	Down	Arginine biosynthesis
Estrone glucuronide	1.15291	3,320.94099	Up	Steroid hormone biosynthesis
N-Acetyl-L-aspartic acid	1.14337	5.31335	Up	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism
Hydroxyproline	1.12281	0.44768	Down	Arginine and proline metabolism
Palmitic acid	1.11319	0.60753	Down	Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids
Dethiobiotin	1.08593	26.11047	Up	Biotin metabolism

Table 2 (continued)

Compound	VIP	FC	Change	Pathway name
Indolelactic acid	1.06475	4.19283	Up	Tryptophan metabolism
Serotonin	1.04025	0.057756	Down	Bile secretion
Acetic acid	1.00395	20.11659	Up	Glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis – heparan sulfate/heparin

MHD, maintenance hemodialysis; VIP, variable importance for projection; FC, fold change (MHD patients vs. healthy controls); NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

The KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway enrichment analysis based on the changed metabolites showed that 47 biological pathways were significantly changed, and that these changes were primarily linked to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), choline metabolism in cancer, the forkhead box O (FoxO) signaling pathway, and the hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) signaling pathway (Fig. 3).

Discussion

A broad, untargeted, LC-QTOFMS-based profiling of plasma distinguished the MHD patients from the healthy controls successfully by using both PCA and OPLS-DA models. As seen in Figure 1, PCA shows an excellent separation of the MHD patients and healthy controls. We next turned our focus toward the identification of those features that showed significant differences in levels between the MHD and healthy control sample sets. Sixty-three key metabolites (VIP score >1) were significantly altered in plasma samples of MHD patients, involving several key metabolic pathways (all $p < 0.05$), including the NAFLD, choline metabolism in cancer, FoxO signaling pathway, and HIF-1 signaling pathway, and so on.

In the kidney, renal fibrosis is a poor prognostic indicator and represents a common final pathway in the development of ESRD irrespective of the underlying cause. Morphologically, tubulointerstitial fibrosis is associated with tubular atrophy, glomerulosclerosis, interstitial inflammation, and loss of peritubular capillaries. Our data demonstrated that the FoxO and HIF-1 signaling pathways were significantly changed in MHD patients, which are all involved in renal fibrosis. HIF-1 and HIF-2 are basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors that allow cells to survive in a low oxygen environment by regulat-

ing energy metabolism, vascular remodeling, erythropoiesis, cellular proliferation, and apoptosis. Recent studies suggest that HIF activation promotes epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) and renal fibrogenesis. Hypoxia plays an active role in the progression of fibrotic kidney disease and identifies epithelial HIF-1 as an oxygen-regulated transcription factor that is capable of promoting fibrogenesis through increased expression of extracellular matrix modifying factors, lysyl oxidase genes, and by facilitating EMT [13]. On the other hand, pharmacological targeting of HIF- α degradation by prolyl-hydroxylase inhibition increased serum epoetin levels in humans and improved anemia of chronic disease and inflammation in patients and animal models [14–16].

Medial vascular calcification is a common complication of CKD or ESRD. Mokas et al. [17] found that HIF-1, the key hypoxic transcription factor, was essential for enhanced vascular smooth muscle cell calcification in CKD-relevant conditions. Elevated inorganic phosphate rapidly activates HIF-1, even in normal oxygenation, and the enhance stimulates vascular smooth muscle cell osteogenic transdifferentiation and calcification.

Recent research showed that FoxOs exerted redundant effects and divergent functions in many diseases, including fibrosis, CVDs, and cancer EMT. FoxO is a potent transcription factor for TGF- β -induced regulatory T cells, which are central to TGF- β anti-inflammatory function [18]. Hypoxia-activated HIF-1 α contributed to FoxO3 activation and functioned to protect the kidneys, as tubular deletion of HIF-1 α decreased hypoxia-induced FoxO3 activation and resulted in more severe tubular injury and interstitial fibrosis following ischemic injury. Strikingly, tubular deletion of FoxO3 during the AKI to CKD transition aggravated renal structural and functional damage, leading to a more profound CKD phenotype [19]. Additionally, FoxO expression progressively increased in aging human and

Fig. 3. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis based on the changed metabolites. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, Fisher's exact test with BH correction.

mouse brains. The nervous system-specific deletion of FoxO transcription factors in mice accelerates aging-related axonal tract degeneration. FoxOs exert both detrimental and protective effects on neurodegenerative diseases [20]. More work is required before FoxO proteins can be considered clinically valuable therapeutic targets for reversing renal fibrosis and ESRD-associated comorbidities.

KEGG pathway enrichment analysis based on the changed metabolites demonstrated that NAFLD pathways were significantly changed in MHD patients. The presence and severity of NAFLD has been related to the incidence and stage of CKD independently of traditional CKD risk factors; conversely, the presence of CKD

increases overall mortality in patients with NAFLD compared with the general population [21, 22]. Further supporting a pathogenic link between NAFLD and CKD, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis-related cirrhosis carries a higher risk of renal failure than other etiologies of cirrhosis, is an increasing indication for simultaneous liver-kidney transplantation, and is an independent risk factor for kidney graft loss and CVD [23, 24]. Collectively, these data suggest that common pathogenic mechanisms underlie both liver and kidney injury. Therefore, additional research is needed to explore the possible mechanisms.

Additionally, our KEGG analysis suggested that the choline metabolism in cancer metabolic pathways was al-

tered significantly in MHD patients, involving the key metabolites GPC. Besides, GPC in the plasma of healthy controls was 2-fold higher than that in the plasma of MHD patients, which has been reported to be correlated with neurodegeneration, and could improve metabolic health [25]. GPC is an orthomolecular water-phase, non-membrane phospholipid. It has been clinically validated in 21 clinical trials for attention, immediate recall, and other cognitive functions, as well as for Alzheimer's and vascular dementia, stroke recovery, and recovery from brain trauma. Although not directly incorporated into cell membranes, GPC is readily coupled with the omega-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) by enzymes specialized for this task. The resulting phosphatidylcholine molecules containing DHA are highly fluidizing for the new nerve cell membrane. Little energy is required for this conversion, making it highly facile in the brain [26]. Also, GPCs are believed to protect the tissues from urea by stabilizing macromolecules, and thus counteracting the actions of urea [27], while high concentrations of urea are present in the mammalian renal medulla. Urea generally destabilizes biological macromolecules, altering their structure and function. Such effects are expected to be deleterious.

Our study has some limitations that should be considered. Firstly, only a limited number of MHD patients and healthy control plasma samples were examined here. In addition, MHD patients differed from controls in terms of age, cardiovascular history, and blood pressure and treatment. More subjects need to be involved in our future study to discover novel metabolomics biomarkers and signaling pathways of MHD patients.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates striking differences from metabolomics studies between MHD patients and healthy controls, and may provide clues to potential functional pathways and valuable pharmacological targets for reversing renal fibrosis and ESRD-associated comorbidities.

References

- 1 Vaziri ND. Dyslipidemia of chronic renal failure: the nature, mechanisms, and potential consequences. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2006 Feb;290(2):F262–72.
- 2 Raj DS, Sun Y, Tzamaloukas AH. Hypercatabolism in dialysis patients. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2008 Nov;17(6):589–94.
- 3 Shinohara K, Shoji T, Emoto M, Tahara H, Koyama H, Ishimura E, et al. Insulin resistance as an independent predictor of cardiovascular mortality in patients with end-stage renal disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2002 Jul;13(7):1894–900.
- 4 Kopple JD. Effect of nutrition on morbidity and mortality in maintenance dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 1994 Dec;24(6):1002–9.
- 5 Palmer SC, Mavridis D, Navarese E, Craig JC, Tonelli M, Salanti G, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of blood pressure-lowering agents in adults with diabetes and kidney disease: a network meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2015 May;385(9982):2047–56.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the patients who took part. The authors would like to thank Mr. Zhao Liang (Center for Alternatives to Animal Testing, Department of Environmental Health Sciences Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA) for his technical support in metabonomic measurements.

Statement of Ethics

The present study was approved by the responsible Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University (permit No. KY2013019). Written informed consent was provided by the patients before the study. Patient consent for publication was not applicable.

Disclosure Statement

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Funding Sources

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30801214) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai Municipality (16ZR1426900), with grants from the Scientific and technological Innovation Project of Traditional Chinese Medicine of Shanghai (ZYKC201601030). The funding agencies were not involved in the study design, interpretation of the data, or writing of the paper.

Author Contributions

J.N., J.Y., and Y.C. conceived the current study. Y.C. and P.W. performed the experiments. Y.C. contributed to the statistical analysis. Y.C. and J.N. drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials

All datasets used and/or analyzed during the present study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

- 6 Nicholson JK, Lindon JC, Holmes E. 'Metabonomics': understanding the metabolic responses of living systems to pathophysiological stimuli via multivariate statistical analysis of biological NMR spectroscopic data. *Xenobiotica*. 1999 Nov;29(11):1181–9.
- 7 Fiehn O. Metabolomics—the link between genotypes and phenotypes. *Plant Mol Biol*. 2002 Jan;48(1-2):155–71.
- 8 Rhee EP, Souza A, Farrell L, Pollak MR, Lewis GD, Steele DJ, et al. Metabolite profiling identifies markers of uremia. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2010 Jun;21(6):1041–51.
- 9 Toyohara T, Akiyama Y, Suzuki T, Takeuchi Y, Mishima E, Tanemoto M, et al. Metabolomic profiling of uremic solutes in CKD patients. *Hypertens Res*. 2010 Sep;33(9):944–52.
- 10 Sharma K, Karl B, Mathew AV, Gangoi JA, Wassel CL, Saito R, et al. Metabolomics reveals signature of mitochondrial dysfunction in diabetic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2013 Nov;24(11):1901–12.
- 11 Wang TJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, Cheng S, Rhee EP, McCabe E, et al. Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing diabetes. *Nat Med*. 2011 Apr;17(4):448–53.
- 12 Want EJ, O'Maille G, Smith CA, Brandon TR, Uritboonthai W, Qin C, et al. Solvent-dependent metabolite distribution, clustering, and protein extraction for serum profiling with mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem*. 2006 Feb;78(3):743–52.
- 13 Higgins DF, Kimura K, Iwano M, Haase VH. Hypoxia-inducible factor signaling in the development of tissue fibrosis. *Cell Cycle*. 2008 May;7(9):1128–32.
- 14 Haase VH. Hypoxia-inducible factors in the kidney. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2006 Aug;291(2):F271–81.
- 15 Chen N, Hao C, Liu BC, Lin H, Wang C, Xing C, et al. Roxadustat treatment for anemia in patients undergoing long-term dialysis. *N Engl J Med*. 2019 Sep;381(11):1011–22.
- 16 Chen N, Hao C, Peng X, Lin H, Yin A, Hao L, et al. Roxadustat for anemia in patients with kidney disease not receiving dialysis. *N Engl J Med*. 2019 Sep;381(11):1001–10.
- 17 Mokas S, Larivière R, Lamalice L, Gobeil S, Cornfield DN, Agharazii M, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 plays a role in phosphate-induced vascular smooth muscle cell calcification. *Kidney Int*. 2016 Sep;90(3):598–609.
- 18 Qiao X, Rao P, Zhang Y, Liu L, Pang M, Wang H, et al. Redirecting TGF- β signaling through the b-Catenin/Foxo complex prevents kidney fibrosis. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2018 Feb;29(2):557–70.
- 19 Li L, Kang H, Zhang Q, D'Agati VD, Al-Awqati Q, Lin F. FoxO3 activation in hypoxic tubules prevents chronic kidney disease. *J Clin Invest*. 2019 Mar;129(6):2374–89.
- 20 Hwang I, Oh H, Santo E, Kim DY, Chen JW, Bronson RT, et al. FOXO protects against age-progressive axonal degeneration. *Aging Cell*. 2018 Feb;17(1):e12701.
- 21 Musso G, Gambino R, Tabibian JH, Ekstedt M, Kechagias S, Hamaguchi M, et al. Association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2014 Jul;11(7):e1001680.
- 22 Musso G, Cassader M, Cohnsey S, De Michieli F, Pinach S, Saba F, et al. Fatty liver and chronic kidney disease: novel mechanistic insights and therapeutic opportunities. *Diabetes Care*. 2016 Oct;39(10):1830–45.
- 23 Singal AK, Salameh H, Kuo YF, Wiesner RH. Evolving frequency and outcomes of simultaneous liver kidney transplants based on liver disease etiology. *Transplantation*. 2014 Jul;98(2):216–21.
- 24 Mikolasevic I, Racki S, Zaputovic L, Lukenda V, Sladoje-Martinovic B, Orlic L. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and cardiovascular risk in renal transplant recipients. *Kidney Blood Press Res*. 2014;39(4):308–14.
- 25 Kidd PM. Neurodegeneration from mitochondrial insufficiency: nutrients, stem cells, growth factors, and prospects for brain rebuilding using integrative management. *Altern Med Rev*. 2005 Dec;10(4):268–93.
- 26 Barbagallo Sangiorgi G, Barbagallo M, Giordano M, Meli M, Panzarasa R. Alpha-glycerophosphocholine in the mental recovery of cerebral ischemic attacks. An Italian multicenter clinical trial. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1994 Jun;717(1):253–69.
- 27 Burg MB, Peters EM. Effects of glycine betaine and glycerophosphocholine on thermal stability of ribonuclease. *Am J Physiol*. 1998 Apr;274(4):F762–5.