The Multifaceted Nature of Tumor Microenvironment in Breast Carcinomas
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Abstract
Heterogeneity in breast carcinomas can be appreciated at various levels, from morphology to molecular alterations, and there are well-known genotypic-phenotypic correlations. Clinical decision-making is strictly focused on the evaluation of tumor cells and is based on the assessment of hormone receptors and of the HER2 status, by means of a combination of immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization techniques. The tumor microenvironment (TME) also shows a multifaceted nature stemming from the different actors populating the intratumoral and the peritumoral stroma of breast carcinomas. Of note, we have now evidence that tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are clinically meaningful as their quantification in the intratumoral stroma strongly correlates with good prognosis, in particular in triple-negative and HER2-positive breast cancer patients. Nevertheless, TILs are just one of the many actors orchestrating the complexity of the TME, which is populated by immune and non-immune cells (cancer-associated fibroblasts, cancer-associated adipocytes), as well as non-cellular components such as chemical inflammation mediators. In this review article we will overview the main features of the distinct cell compartments by discussing (i) the potential impact the TME may have on the prognostic stratification of breast cancers and (ii) the possible predictive value of some markers in the context of immunotherapy in light of the recent results of phase III studies in advanced and early triple-negative breast cancer patients.

Introduction
Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease composed of different subtypes with distinctive histological and molecular features. From a morphological standpoint, the diversity of breast cancer growth patterns is captured mainly by histological grade; nevertheless, the breast cancer stroma is also highly heterogeneous, and this may influence the clinical and radiological diagnosis of breast cancer. The 2019 WHO blue book describes the stromal...
structure of carcinomas of no special type (NST) as extremely variable [1]. Invasive carcinomas of NST are typically associated with a dense and hard stroma rich in cancer-associated fibroblasts (so-called CAFs) that frequently show myofibroblastic differentiation. In other cases, the stroma is fibrotic with scant cellularity. Angiogenesis is generally marked around the tumor mass and readily outlined by specific endothelial markers. In contrast, invasive lobular carcinomas (ILC) generally present with little host reaction or disturbance of the background architecture, which renders its radiological and macroscopical identification quite difficult. This corresponds to an almost normal stroma. Luminal ILC is reported to have a more proliferative CAF population (α-smooth muscle actin positive) compared to luminal NST carcinomas; angiogenesis is also more prominent, although vessels are generally less mature [2]. Finally, there are some special histological types showing unique stromal features, such as mucinous carcinomas and micropapillary carcinomas. Mucinous carcinomas feature cell clusters floating in lakes of mucin partitioned by delicate fibrous septa containing capillary blood vessels [1]. Micropapillary carcinomas display a spongy stroma, characterized by clear and empty spaces around the cell clusters and a delicate stromal framework composed of fibroblasts and connective tissue [1].

At present, clinical decision-making is based on the evaluation of tumor cells and on the assessment of hormone receptors (estrogen [ER] and progesterone [PR] receptors) and of the epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status, by a combination of immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization techniques. Of note, these analyses are strictly focused on the evaluation of tumor cells. Nevertheless, we are coming to terms that some cellular elements populating the stroma, typically immune cells, may impact on prognosis and even prediction of response to specific therapies. For instance, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) have reached level I evidence as a good prognostic factor in triple-negative (TNBC) and HER2-positive breast carcinomas and are now being incorporated in diagnostic practice [3, 4]. TILs are just one of the many actors orchestrating the complexity of the tumor microenvironment (TME), which is populated by a heterogeneous group of immune and non-immune cells, but also non-cellular components such as chemical inflammation mediators, which are often involved in several metabolic pathways [5], immune-evasion strategies [6] and immunotherapy response [7].

In this review article we will overview the main features of the distinct cell compartments by discussing (i) the potential impact the TME may have on the prognostic stratification of breast cancers and (ii) the possible predictive value of some markers in the context of immunotherapy.

### Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are defined as mononuclear immune cells that infiltrate tumor tissue [8] and constitute a continuous variable quantified as a percentage of area occupied by TILs/total stromal area on hematoxylin- and eosin-stained tumor sections [8] (Fig. 1). Recommendations by a panel of experts of the International Immuno-Oncology Biomarker Working Group on Breast Cancer [9] have been provided and constitute the reference to follow to correctly report TILs [1, 8]. A training website has also been developed (www.tilsinbreastcancer.org).

A cut-off comprised between 50 and 60% of stromal area infiltrated by TILs has been largely used to define lymphocyte-predominant breast cancers (LPBCs) (Fig. 1), which account for about 11% of all invasive breast carcinomas [10]. TILs are more frequently observed in high-grade lesions, in TNBC and HER2-positive breast carcinomas [11–13]. In a recently reported cohort of breast carcinoma patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, TIL mean values for TNBCs, HER2-positive carcinomas and luminal carcinomas were reported as 28.5, 26.5 and 24%, respectively [14].

There are solid data supporting the association between high degree of TIL infiltration and good outcome in triple-negative and HER2-negative disease [10, 12, 15–17]. High levels of TILs correlate also with high pathological complete response (pCR) rates in the neoadjuvant setting in triple-negative [18, 19] and HER2-negative disease [19, 20]. Taken together, the evidence provided so far supports the assessment of TILs as a robust prognostic factor in TNBC and HER2-positive breast carcinomas treated with chemotherapy with level I evidence provided by the multiple retrospective analyses of prospective clinical trial samples [4]. Therefore, it is not surprising that the latest 2019 WHO classification of breast tumors has acknowledged the importance of stromal response patterns and in particular the relevance of TILs as gaining as a prognostic marker [1]. In addition, an invasive carcinoma of NST with medullary pattern (or basal-like features) rather than the historical medullary carcinoma has been proposed [1]. In other words, medullary carcinomas are now best considered as part of a spectrum of TIL-rich breast cancers.
Of note, a recent analysis of the prognostic value of TILs in surgically resected TNBC patients not treated with chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting has shown that a cut-off of 30% of TILs was able to identify patients with extremely good outcome, in the entire population and in stage I disease patients [21]. In addition, another analysis on the value of TIL evaluation in the context of HER2-positive disease has been reported for the Short-HER study: patients with low TILs appeared to benefit from 1-year trastuzumab, whereas patients affected by tumors with high TILs did extremely well with both 1 year and 6 months of trastuzumab-containing chemotherapy [22].

Taken together, these recent data may suggest possible strategies of chemotherapy de-escalation in specific subsets of patients.

Although the wealth of data on TILs in breast carcinomas seems quite robust, controversies and open questions remain to be answered.

First, we have not completely teased out the reasons behind a more immunogenic nature of TNBC and HER2-positive carcinomas versus luminal carcinomas. More-

---

**Fig. 1.** An overview of the heterogeneity of stromal features across different breast carcinomas, including special histological types. 

- **a** An example of immune-enriched cancer (so-called lymphocyte-predominant breast cancer) with >90% of stroma occupied by tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs): the tumor cells aggregate in a solid growth pattern and show high nuclear grade. 
- **b** A well-differentiated tubular carcinoma showing dense fibroblastic stroma and rare TILs interspersed in the intratumoral stroma (<5%). 
- **c** An NST (no special type) carcinoma displaying a dense fibrosclerotic immune-desert stroma. 
- **d** An example of intratumor heterogeneity in breast cancer with a mixed carcinoma showing opposite stromal features in the two components: fibrosclerotic stroma in the NST component colliding with the typical spongy stroma of the micro-papillary component (inset). 
- **e, f** The unique stroma of mucinous carcinomas is composed by a lake of mucin, which is typically immune depleted and comprises tiny vessels (arrows).
over, in the most frequent special histological type of breast cancer, invasive lobular carcinoma, TILs have shown an inverted pattern in terms of correlation with outcome, as they appear to be significantly associated with a dismal prognosis [12].

Second, a controversy remains concerning the association between high levels of TILs and increased trastuzumab benefit in HER2-positive disease. Data from the FinHER trial showed for each 10% increase in TILs a significant decrease in distant recurrence rates in patients randomized to the trastuzumab arm [16]. On the other hand, analysis of TILs in the N9831 adjuvant trial in patients with early-stage HER2-positive breast cancer showed that the presence of TILs was prognostically associated with recurrence-free survival in patients treated with chemotherapy, but not in patients treated with trastuzumab-containing chemotherapy [23].

Third, we should mention that in diagnostic practice we assess TILs on a mere count on morphological grounds, which is cost-effective and has an acceptable yet not brilliant reproducibility [24–26]. Moreover, TILs represent a heterogeneous aggregate of immune cells with different phenotypes, and each subtype plays a different role in inflammatory responses (Fig. 2).

T-lymphocytes (CD3+) are the main component of TILs [27, 28]. T-lymphocytes include CD4+, CD8+ and T-regulatory cells (Treg). CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells represent the most significant anti-tumor cells [29, 30]; CD8+ T-lymphocytes recognize specific tumor antigens such as MHC-I and exert anti-tumor activity in TME through the perforin complex releases [31]; CD4+ T-lymphocytes are able to differentiate into specific effectors, including T-helper-1 (Th1)(secreting IL-2, IFN-γ and IFN-α which increase the anti-tumor activity of macrophages and natural killer, NK, cells) [32] and T-helper-2 (Th2) (secreting IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-10 and IL-13 which increase the pro-tumoral activity of macrophages) [32]. Effectively, TILs CD8+ and Th1 cytokines correlate with a favorable prognosis in many cancer types [33–35], whereas high levels of Treg cells in breast carcinomas are associated with an unfavorable prognosis [36–38]. Treg cells suppress T-cell activation [36], IFN-γ production [39] and the anti-tumor immune response. In addition, the association between an increase in Treg-circulating cells and breast cancer metastasis has been described [40].

A minor component of TILs is represented by B-lymphocytes and plasma cells, adaptive immune-system cells [41] whose presence in the TME has been identified in many cancer types [42, 43], including breast cancer [44]. B-cells have been reported in some studies to be found in up to 25% of all breast carcinomas [45–48], and they are often found in tertiary lymphoid structures with CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells and dendritic cells [49–51]. Similarly to T-cells, B-cells have been implicated in favorable survival rates in medullary breast cancer [52, 53] and common forms of breast cancer [54]. It has been reported that the inflammatory infiltrate associated with “medullary-like carcinomas” contains also plasma cells of the IgA immunophenotype, in analogy to those described in normal breast tissue and in contrast to the few plasma cells of infiltrating ductal carcinoma that were found to be predominantly of the IgG type. It has been supposed that likely in all secretory organs, such as salivary glands, and the gastrointestinal tract, the microenvironment in mammary glands is capable of attracting IgA precursors or IgA plasma cells. The predominance of IgA plasma cells in the majority of medullary carcinoma has been supposed to be a sign of a better functional preservation of this tumor [55].

Yeong et al. [56] used immunohistochemistry coupled with NanoString measurement of mRNA expression of immunoglobulin metagenes to quantify tumor-infiltrating B-cells and plasma cells in TNBC samples. The authors used CD38 antibodies to discriminate plasma cells within tumors, as CD138 immunocytochemical staining was also present on tumor cells. Multivariate analysis revealed that TNBCs with high intratumoral CD38+ plasma cell density were associated with longer disease-free survival independently of clinicopathological parameters, and of the densities of tumor-infiltrating T-cells and B-cells. Immunoglobin gene IGKC, IGHM and IGH1 mRNA expression correlated specifically with the density of CD38+ plasma cells. After adjusting the multivariate analysis for the effect of intratumoral CD38+ plasma cell density, the expression levels of all three genes lost the significant prognostic value, suggesting a biologically important role of plasma cells. Of the three genes tested, IGH1 conferred the highest added prognostic value for both disease-free survival and overall survival (OS), when coupled with CD38+ plasma cell density [56].

**Inflammation, TILs and Tumor Mutation Burden**

The tumor mutation load or tumor mutation burden (TML or TMB) is defined as the number of non-synonymous mutations somatically occurring in a tumor, potentially generating neoepitopes that are able to improve the...
Fig. 2. The tumor microenvironment (TME) in breast carcinomas. The picture summarizes the different cellular immune and non-cellular components of the TME in breast carcinomas. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are mainly represented by T-cells (CD3+) and include CD4+, CD8+ and T-regulatory cells (Treg). A minor component of TILs is represented by plasma cells and B-cells, usually found in tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) with CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells and dendritic cells. Natural killer (NK) cells secrete cytokines such as TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor) and interferon-γ (IFN-γ). Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are able to polarize into different phenotypes, M1 and M2. Tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) can polarize into two distinct sub-types: N1 TANs and N2 TANs. TANs are able to release neutrophil elastase. In addition, neutrophil-derived oncostatin M, released by granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF)-stimulated TANs, can induce breast cancer cell detachment. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are classified into four subtypes (CAF-S1 to CAF-S4). CAF-S1 and CAF-S4 types are intratumoral CAFs, CAF-S3 are representative of the extratumoral stroma and CAF-S2 are equally distributed in both environments. Cancer-associated adipocytes (CAAs) are involved in tumor progression, metastasis and therapy resistance by secretion of adipokine such as leptin and adiponectin. Breast carcinomas need new blood vessels to maintain growth, ensure cell proliferation and fuel metastatic dissemination: vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) represents the most important pro-angiogenic factor, released by multiple TME components. The complement, a crucial part of the innate immune system, has emerged as a major regulator of cancer immunity. Complement anaphylatoxins in the TME can exert a pro- and anti-tumorigenic potential.
stimulation of the immune system against the tumor cells, recognized as carriers of “non-self” antigens.

Among all cancers, breast carcinomas are characterized by an intermediate level of TMB [57], which is higher in TNBC and HER2-positive carcinomas compared to luminal carcinomas [58]. The mutation rate is the key value defining TMB, calculated as the number of mutations per mega-base of a genome. This can be devised by whole exome sequencing (WES) data (cumbersome in routine diagnostic practice) or from more feasible targeted panels, with a longitudinal coverage higher than 1.3 Mb in size (reviewed in Fancello et al. [59]). There is not a unique cut-off limit used to discern between high-TMB and low-TMB tumors: as pointed out by Samstein et al. [60] the right TMB cut-off cannot be completely gene panel-, histology- and clinical question-agnostic.

Syllogistic simplifications virtually relate the number of immunogenetic mutations with recruitment of intratumoral lymphocytes, and a positive correlation between TMB and immune infiltration has been initially reported across different tumor entities, including breast cancer [61]. However, recent works decouple this association in most neoplasms [62, 63], and this holds true also for breast carcinomas [64–66]. In these studies, the degree of immune cell enrichment was not evaluated by TIL raw counts, rather this information was extrapolated from analyses of immunophenotypic gene expression profiles (GEPs), obtained by using either RNA-sequencing [64] or RNA-based NanoString targeted panels [62]. Spranger et al. [63] exploited the TCGA dataset to correlate the immune infiltration landscape with the number of genomic mutations focusing on melanomas, but also reporting GEPs and mutation count for about 10,000 tumors, comprising a total number of 1,067 breast carcinomas. They stratified cases into non-inflamed, intermediate or inflamed tumors, taking into account a T-cell gene signature of 13 genes. Interestingly, the three inflammation groups did not significantly differ in terms of TMB, suggesting that mutation densities are not directly correlated with T-cell enrichment [63]. Similarly, a weak association between a different expression signature of 18 genes associated with T-lymphocytes and TMB values has been reported by Cristescu et al. [62], with an in silico analysis on the same TCGA database. In addition, the authors applied this signature on a selected cohort of patients who were subjected to pembrolizumab treatment from different clinical trials, including also ER-positive/HER2-negative and TNBC patients. From the so-called Pan-Cancer cohort (without a discriminative approach as TILs) they introduced a new concept, in which a joint stratification through the combination of a high TMB and a high T-cell phenotype identified a subset of “super-responder” patients with a higher progression-free survival (PFS) compared to that obtained when the two single parameters were considered alone [62]. In this context, when samples from the TCGA cohort were stratified using the three classes (TMB<sub>low</sub>/T-Cell<sub>low</sub>, TMB<sub>high</sub>/T-Cell<sub>high</sub>, TMB<sub>high</sub>/T-Cell<sub>high</sub>) about 50% of breast carcinomas with a HER2-positive or a triple-negative phenotype clustered in the subset with at least high TMB or high T-cell phenotype but only a minority showed a concomitant high TMB/high T-cell phenotype [62].

More specifically, in breast cancer, RNA sequencing-based immune metagenes have been shown to significantly correlate with lower clonal heterogeneity in all subtypes and with a trend for lower overall mutation, neoantigen and copy number alteration loads in TNBC and HER2-positive cancers [66]. Conversely, in ER-positive carcinomas mutation load, neoantigen load and copy number alteration load were weakly but positively associated with immune infiltration, which reached significance for overall mutation load only [66].

In TNBCs Karn et al. [65] found RNA sequencing-based immune metagenes to correlate well with histological TILs quantification and to be inversely associated with clonal heterogeneity and somatic copy number alteration levels. In addition, lymphocyte-rich TNBCs harboring a good prognosis had significantly lower mutation and neoantigen counts than did lymphocyte-poor TNBCs with poor prognosis. Taken together, these data may lead to hypothesize that immune-rich TNBCs may be under an immune surveillance that continuously eliminates many immunogenic clones, resulting in lower clonal heterogeneity [65].

Still based on RNA-sequencing data, Thomas et al. [67] classified samples of TCGA and METABRIC breast cancer cohorts according to the level of infiltration (hot, warm and cold tumors) and observed an improved overall survival for patients with high TMB/hot tumors, regardless of type of therapy. They confirmed higher levels of both TMB and immune cell infiltration in ER-negative patients; however, they observed an antithetical behavior for luminal and the triple-negative carcinomas: the worst survival curve for TNBC patients was characterized by a high mutation rate but a low infiltrate expression signal, whereas the best overall survival was observed in high TMB/hot luminal A carcinomas [67].
Natural Killer Cells

Natural killer (NK) cells are cytotoxic lymphocytes participating in innate immunity that efficiently recognize and kill tumor cells through several mechanisms including the expression of ligands for NK cell-activating receptors on target cells (Fig. 2). Activated NK cells secrete a wide variety of cytokines such as TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor) and IFN-γ [68] and exert a cellular cytolytic effect through the liberation of granzymes and cytotoxic perforins [69]. A recent meta-analysis has shown that breast cancer displayed variable expression of ligands for NK cell receptors, in particular NKG2D ligands and DNAM1 ligands [70]. A better survival for patients showing higher expression of NCR3 (NKp30), NCR1 (NKp46), CD96, CRTAM, DNAM1 and NKG2D was also reported [71, 72].

Verma et al. [73] analyzed a series of breast cancer patients with locally advanced carcinomas subjected to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and observed an association between increased NK cells in the blood and better pathological responses. No differences in terms of NK cell population were observed in tissue specimens before and after treatment. On the other side, in HER2-positive carcinomas treated with trastuzumab-containing chemotherapy Muntasell et al. [74] observed that baseline tumor-infiltrating NK cells were significantly associated with pCR. In addition, molecular signatures associated with NK cells seem to represent a predictive marker of relapse-free survival in breast carcinomas [75], and up-regulation of GZMB, PRF1, NCR1, KIRs and KLRCs were also associated with breast cancer patient outcome [76].

It should be acknowledged that when tumors develop mechanisms of immune evasion, some of these mechanisms include also downregulation of NK cell ligands such as NKG2D and DNAM1 receptors, which can therefore lead to inhibition of NK cell functions [77–80].

Macrophages

Macrophages are large mononuclear cells that belong to the phagocyte system and are one of the immune cell types more abundantly present in breast cancer TME [81] where they play a key role in tumor progression, immunosuppression and therapy resistance [82–85] (Fig. 2). Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) display great plasticity and polarize into different phenotypes: classically activated (M1) and alternatively activated (M2) macrophages. The first one is polarized by Th1 cells and triggers anti-tumor immune responses [86]. Conversely, M2 macrophages are stimulated by Th2 cytokines such as transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1), IL-4 and IL-13 [87], which activate STAT6 target genes [88] (suppressing immune system functions) and promote tumor cell proliferation. M2 TAMs are the most frequent type in breast cancers [89] since during carcinogenesis TME most likely drives M1 to the M2 phenotype [90]. It is well known that macrophages are recruited to the tumor by a range of chemokines (including vascular endothelial growth factor, VEGF, and C-C chemokine ligand 2) and foster breast cancer progression in different ways: regulating stroma invasion and cell intravasation [91, 92], promoting angiogenesis [93] and metastasis [94, 95], and remodeling extracellular matrix (ECM) by metalloproteinase secretion [96]. Sousa et al. [97] reported a strong association between M2 levels and poor cancer differentiation, ER-negative and basal-like subtypes. Moreover, many other studies have described a correlation between TAMs and higher histological grade, hormone receptor-negative status, advanced stages and worse outcome [98, 99]. Interestingly, bisphosphonate drugs, used in combination with hormonal therapy for breast cancer treatment, are also known to exert an anti-macrophage activity [100]. In a study of postmenopausal women with luminal carcinomas and receiving bisphosphonates disease recurrence and mortality were significantly reduced compared to the patients who did not receive bisphosphonate therapy [101].

Neutrophils

Neutrophil granulocytes represent 50–70% of the leukocytes present in human blood [102] and their ability to phagocytize and degrade external pathogens [103] is one of the characteristics that makes neutrophils the main cells of innate immunity. Neutrophils can efficiently migrate to inflammatory sites due to the action of different factors. They are also present in numerous cancer models and in the TME (tumor-associated neutrophils, TANs) where they play an important role in pro- and anti-tumorigenic processes [104, 105] (Fig. 2). Similarly to macrophages, neutrophils can polarize in two distinct subtypes with opposite functions: N1 TANs show pro-inflammatory activity and induce CD8 T-cell activation, whereas N2 TANs inactivate T-lymphocytes [105] and promote cancer growth.

Albrengues et al. [106] described the mediator role of neutrophils in neoplastic progression by converting dis-
semianated dormant tumor cells in metastatic cells in a murine model. This process is the result of neutrophil elastase (NE) and matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) action on laminin, which induce the proliferation of dormant tumor cells by α3β1-integrin activation [106]. Additionally, in an in vitro co-culture model the authors were able to demonstrate the ability of neutrophil-derived oncostatin M, released by GM-CSF-stimulated neutrophils, to induce breast cancer cell detachment, promote the invasiveness capacity of MDA-MB-231 and T47D human breast cancer cells and induce breast cancer progression [107]. In this scenario, TANs may represent a source of oncostatin M for cancer cells, resulting in oncostatin M-induced tumor progression and metastasis. In fact, the authors suggested that GM-CSF, released by breast cancer cells, stimulates neutrophils in producing oncostatin M and storing it in granules. Cell-to-cell contact between neutrophil and breast cancer cells causes the release of oncostatin M from TANs, its binding to oncostatin M receptors on breast cancer cells, increasing cell detachment, triggering tumor cell proliferation via activation of STAT3, VEGF secretion and invasiveness [107].

Recently, neutrophils have also been shown to be important for the survival and transport of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in blood vessels, by formation of CTC-neutrophil clusters [108, 109], which probably protect CTCs facilitating their migration in other tissues [106, 110, 111]. CTC-neutrophil clusters are characterized by a different genetic profile compared to CTCs alone, which makes them efficient metastatic precursors [108]. In fact, CTCs isolated from the CTC-neutrophil clusters present an increase in cellular replication capacity (also confirmed by higher levels of Ki-67) and a marked expression of the genes that code for TNF-α, OSM, IL-1β and IL-6 [108].

Current evidence indicates that an increased neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR, obtained dividing the serum neutrophil count by the lymphocyte count) assessed in blood samples, can have a predictive value for breast cancer patients not only in the early setting but also in the metastatic setting: the inflammatory status upon initial treatment, resumed by NLR values, is associated not only with a high recurrence rate, but also with the survival outcome following recurrence [112]. Therefore, monitoring the NLR during the follow-up period may lead to early detection of patients who potentially have a higher risk of recurrence. In addition, Iwase et al. [112] demonstrated that TNBC had a significantly higher NLR at the time of recurrence and the highest change (an increase of 2.0) compared with the other subtypes.

Several studies and a recent meta-analysis have confirmed and extend the prognostic role of NLR in breast cancer [113–115]. However, Ferroni et al. [115] demonstrated that the NLR may represent a negative prognostic factor to define distant metastasis-free survival, especially in stage I breast cancer, but not for local recurrence. Following their suggestions, the NLR prognostic value should be regarded with caution, and additional studies are required to consolidate the clinical value of NLR. Nevertheless, in stage I patients the presence of a high NLR might raise the question as to whether they should be more aggressively managed [113–115]. Furthermore, a retrospective study was performed to investigate the role of NLR in breast cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy [116]: the pCR rate in patients with low pre-treatment NLR (NLR < 2.06) was higher than in those with higher NLR (NLR ≥ 2.06) (24.5 vs. 14.3%, p < 0.05). In addition, high NLRs were an independent significant predictor of lower relapse-free survival and breast cancer-specific survival in patients undergoing pre-operative chemotherapy.

### Immunotherapy in Breast Cancer

Immunotherapy-based approaches in breast cancer have only recently gained strong evidence to enter clinical practice, and this is particularly true for TNBCs. In this context, the use of TILs and of other biomarkers of immunogenicity such as ligand 1 of programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-L1) expression seem to be playing a role in the prediction of response [117]. PD-L1 is the ligand 1 of the programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), which represents the predominant checkpoint present on both CD8+ and CD4+ T-cells. The results of the IMpassion130 phase III study showed a clinical benefit from addition of atezolizumab (a monoclonal antibody targeting PD-L1) to nab-paclitaxel in patients with locally advanced/metastatic TNBCs [118]. The median PFS was 7.5 months in the atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel arm versus 5 months in the placebo plus nab-paclitaxel arm (p < 0.0001) [118]. There was no significant difference in overall survival between the treatment groups in the intention-to-treat population; however, the data suggested a clinically meaningful OS benefit with atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel in patients with PD-L1-positive status. A significant predictor of response was indeed identified in PD-L1 expression in immune cells in more than 1% of the tumor area (Fig. 3). Thanks to these results in 2019 the US Food and Drug Administration has granted accelerated approval to...
atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel as a first-line treatment for patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic TNBCs showing PD-L1 expression on immune cells covering 1% or more of the tumor area (PD-L1 immune-cell positive) [119]. More recently, the European Commission has also approved the combination of atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel for the treatment of unresectable locally advanced or metastatic TNBC patients with tumors that are PD-L1 positive (≥1% PD-L1 expression) who have not received previous chemotherapy for metastatic disease [120].

A key point is represented by the scoring system of PD-L1 expression and the assay that was used for the immunohistochemical test. Contrarily to other neoplastic diseases such as lung cancer, PD-L1 expression in breast cancer is mainly expressed in immune cells populating the stroma (prevalence of 41% of cases) rather than in tumor cells (Fig. 3), where it can be rarely appreciated (less than 10% of cases) [118]. Since PD-L1 is mainly expressed in immune cells (ICs), it is not surprising that breast carcinomas with a certain degree of TILs are those likely to show PD-L1 expression. Nevertheless, PD-L1 can also be expressed by macrophages, neutrophils and dendritic cells. Indeed, in the IMpassion130 study PD-L1 expression was quantified in the whole immune cell compartment, and the data show that the presence of PD-L1 in immune cells rather than mere TILs quantification or CD8+ phenotyping positively correlated with response: patients with TIL-positive tumors derived clinical benefit (in terms of PFS) only if their tumors were also PD-L1 immunohistochemically positive.

**Fig. 3.** Core biopsies of relapsed invasive breast carcinomas of no special type (NST) of triple-negative phenotype (a, b) assessed for PD-L1 expression by the SP142 assay (b, d): note in both cases the typical punctuate dark brown staining of immune cells.
IC-positive; similarly, patients with CD8-positive tumors only derived clinical benefit if their tumors were also PD-L1 IC-positive [121].

In IMpassion130, evaluation of both primary tumors and metastatic deposits was allowed, and no difference was observed in predicting response according to type of specimen evaluated [118]. Still we do not have data on direct comparison of paired primary tumor and metastatic sites from the same patient. From a post-hoc analysis performed on the study we know that immunogenicity may vary according to the metastatic site, with liver metastases displaying the lowest level of IC infiltration overall [122].

A major debate relates to the interchangeability of anti-PD-L1 antibodies in diagnostic practice, a topic of major interest for pathologists. In the IMpassion130 study, the SP142 assay was used, and the Food and Drug Administration approved the drug with this specific companion diagnostic. Tumor specimens of the IMpassion130 cohort have been further analyzed by means of two other clones used in diagnostic practice, the SP263 and the 22C3 with corresponding scoring systems (Table 1). The 22C3 and the SP263 identify a larger number of positive cases (81 and 75%, respectively) compared to SP142 (46%), and a significant correlation with response to atezolizumab was observed also for all of the clones [122]. However, it is important to consider that the subpopulation of cases positive for SP263 and/or the 22C3 but negative for SP142 does not show PFS advantage in the atezolizumab arm, thus demonstrating that the clinical benefit observed for the other two clones is driven by the positive population that is identified by the SP142 clone [122]. In addition, the SP142 assay identified patients with the smallest hazard ratio point estimates and longest median PFS and OS from atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel [122].

In the context of immunotherapy approaches in breast cancer, it should also be acknowledged that results on early TNBCs treated in neoadjuvant studies with combination of chemotherapy and immunotherapy are now available. The phase III KEYNOTE-522 trial has recently shown significantly higher pCR rates for those patients treated with the addition of pembrolizumab to chemotherapy [123]. Although a higher pCR rate was observed for the PD-L1-positive tumors, the difference was not statistically significant. Of note, the PD-L1 evaluation in this study was carried out by using the combined positive score, which takes into account tumor and stromal cell expression (Table 1).

Taken together, on one side the available data highlight the efficacy of new therapeutic agents in a disease...
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with high unmet needs (TNBC patients). On the other side, the possible biomarkers predicting response to treatment are yet to be fully elucidated, including the precise role of PD-L1 expression in relation to timing of expression (primary tumors vs. residual disease, relapses, metastatic deposits) and disparities among technical assays that may impact on patient selection.

Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts

Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are the main cell component of the breast cancer stromal compartment, which is usually desmoplastic with some exceptions in histological special types of breast cancer such as micropapillary carcinomas, mucinous carcinomas or medullary-like carcinomas, thus highlighting a certain degree of heterogeneity also in the stromal compartment of breast cancer (Fig. 1).

CAFs are able to secrete a number of proteases, which enhance their ability to migrate and remodel ECM [124]; they have been shown also to secrete inflammatory molecules and growth factors, which are capable of recruiting inflammatory cells in neoplastic sites [125].

In a recent meta-analysis, a high density of fibroblasts was associated with worse clinical outcome, poor tumor differentiation and lymph node metastasis in breast carcinomas [126]. Moreover, collagen density can reduce the number of CD8+ TILs in breast tumors and modulate T-cell cytotoxic activity [127]. By using in vivo heterotypic cell recombinant models, Dumont et al. [128] demonstrated that CAFs can promote a mesenchymal phenotype acquisition both in pre-malignant and malignant mammary epithelial cells, and modulate dissemination, tumorigenesis and metastasis of breast cancer cells through deposition of a distinct ECM characterized by aligned collagen fibers/deposits. A recent study on mouse models of TNBC has shown that the hedgehog ligand produced by neoplastic cells mediates CAF reprogramming leading to stemness and chemoresistance via FGFR5 expression and production of fibrillar collagen [129]. Interestingly, the use of smoothened inhibitors (SMOis) for stromal treatment on patient-derived xenografts seems to be able to mediate downregulation of cancer stem cell marker expression and to sensitize cells to taxanes that reduced metastatic disease and improved survival. These in vivo data, coupled with evidence from phase I studies of efficacy of the combination of SMOi and docetaxel, pose the preclinical bases for a potential new therapeutic target choice in TNBC patients [129].

Interestingly, Costa et al. [130] have recently identified distinct CAF subsets (S1–S4) in breast cancer stroma by means of multicolor flow cytometry and concomitant analysis of distinct fibroblast marker expression levels. CAF-S1 and CAF-S4 types are intratumoral CAFs and are more present in HER2-positive carcinomas and TNBCs, CAF-S3 cells are representative of the extratumoral stroma, and CAF-S2, equally distributed in both environments, are enriched in luminal A carcinomas. Furthermore, CAF-S1 and CAF-S4 show distinct genetic profiles and different characteristics: CAF-S4 seems to be responsible for muscle contraction, actin-cytoskeleton and oxidative metabolism regulation, whereas the CAF-S1 type is involved in ECM organization and contributes to the formation and maintenance of an immunosuppressive microenvironment by attracting and increasing the survival of CD4+ CD25+ T-cells, promoting T-cell differentiation into Treg and enhancing Treg-mediated inhibition of T effector proliferation [130]. Hence, breast carcinoma tumors enriched in CAF-S1 may acquire resistance to immunotherapies, and it can be postulated that CAF-S1 molecules provide potential targets that may pave the way for additional treatment strategies.

Cancer-Associated Adipocytes

Adipocytes represent a relatively abundant component of breast parenchyma. Cancer-associated adipocytes (CAAs) differ from the normal adipocytes in size, metabolic activity and adipokines expression [131, 132] and have been demonstrated to be involved in tumor progression, metastasis and therapy resistance by secretion of adipokines, such as leptin and adiponectin, and a series of inflammatory chemokines and interleukins [133, 134]. For instance, the increased leptin secretions observed in CAAs can promote cell proliferation and tumor angiogenesis by upregulation of lysyl hydroxylase enzyme, activation of ER, JAK/STAT3, PI3K/AKT and SRC-1 signaling pathways, and by increasing cyclin D1 and VEGF/VEGFR expression [132, 135]. Conversely, adiponectin (APN), which plays an anti-tumorigenic role by inducing apoptosis, suppressing growth and invasion of breast cancer cells through AMPK activation and PI3K/AKT inhibition, is decreased in CAAs [133]. An APN reduction was detected both in co-culture in vitro models and in CAAs located in human breast cancer tissues as compared with normal mammary adipose tissue [136].

Cruz et al. [137] observed in in vitro models that leptin also promotes the activation of focal adhesion kinase,
Microvascular Density in TME

Breast carcinomas need new blood vessels to maintain growth, ensure cell proliferation and fuel metastatic dissemination. A high microvascular density has been largely associated with adverse clinical-pathological features (large tumor size, high histological grade, lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis) [143–145]. A wealth of data supports a central role of multiple TME components in angiogenesis by releasing pro-angiogenic factors such as VEGF, acid and basic fibroblast growth factor, transforming growth factor-β1, hypoxia-inducible factors (HIF-1 and HIF-2) and mast cell-derived metalloproteinases [146, 147].

VEGF represents the most important pro-angiogenic factor, shown to be over-expressed in several breast cancer models, and it has been correlated with OS in both node-positive and node-negative breast cancer [148, 149]; it has also been related with impaired response to tamoxifen or chemotherapy in advanced breast cancer patients [150]. VEGF receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) is the predominant form that controls angiogenesis, and the regulation of its expression has been investigated in several in vitro models with the aim of developing new pharmacological strategies to target cancer cell proliferation and survival [151]. Estrogen (E2) regulates VEGFR-2 in angiogenesis through complex mechanisms, involving both genomic and non-genomic effects. Higgins et al. [152] have demonstrated an E2-dependent down-regulation of VEGFR-2 expression in MCF-7 breast cancer cells, but also an E2-dependent stimulation of VEGFR-2 mRNA and protein expression in ZR-75 breast cancer cells [153]. In the latter scenario, it could be hypothesized that by blocking secretion of E2 angiogenesis would be inhibited [154].

Linderholm et al. [155] reported higher intratumoral VEGF levels and both shorter recurrence-free survival and OS in TNBC patients compared to non-TNBC subgroups.

Other factors contribute to increase the breast angiogenic microenvironment. For instance, recent studies showed that CCL2/CCR2 signaling in breast cancer cells regulates tumor growth and invasion by promoting angiogenesis through the recruitment and branching of endothelial cells, recruiting and polarizing macrophages to M2 phenotype, and suppressing cytotoxic T-cell activity [156]. Kim et al. [157] analyzed both the expression levels of Notch1, a signaling pathway involved in cell proliferation and angiogenesis, and microRNAs-34a in 114 TNBC samples and reported better OS in patients presenting low EC Notch1 (Notch1 microvascular density to CD34 microvascular density ratio) than those with a high ratio, and a significant correlation between high microRNAs-34a levels, decreased vessel formation (low EC Notch1 levels) and higher survival benefit in a lymph-node-positive group [157].

The hypoxia is another pro-angiogenesis signal. HIF-1α, one of the major transcription factors that lead hypoxic cells to up-regulate proteins that promote survival and increase growth of hypoxic tumor cells, is expressed in BRCA1-2 carrier [158]. HIF-1α is higher in poorly differentiated than in well-differentiated lesions, and its increased expression is also associated with increased expression of ER and VEGF [159]. IL-8, a chemotactic factor for neutrophils, is also known to be a powerful promoter of angiogenesis and, in oxidative stress states (such as inflammation conditions), IL-8 can be produced by the activation of endothelial cells and showed a metastatic potential role, by mediating formation, in neutrophil-endothelial cell co-culture, of neutrophil extracellular trap (NET), also known as NETosis [160, 161]. NETs can promote inflammatory progression in TME causing endothelial damage and activating platelets that promote cell proliferation and neovascularization [161]. NETs are also a prognostic indicator of venous thromboembolism:
Breast cancer patients present an increased risk (3–4 times) of venous thromboembolism, also associated with lower survival benefit [162], and high plasma levels of citrullinated histone H3, a biomarker of NET formation, are predictive of relapse in several cancers including breast types [161].

Conclusions

Heterogeneity in breast carcinomas can be appreciated at various levels, from morphology to molecular alterations, and there are well-known genotypic-phenotypic correlations. The TME also shows a multifaceted nature stemming from the different actors populating the intra-tumoral and the peritumoral stroma of breast carcinomas, and this has been acknowledged in the latest WHO classification of breast cancer. TNBCs and HER2-positive carcinomas are more immune-enriched (“hot tumors”) compared to largely immune desert (“cold tumors”) luminal carcinomas. TILs represent a strong indicator of good prognosis in TNBCs, and preliminary data are emerging suggesting de-escalation of therapy based on this biomarker. Nevertheless, a high level of TILs does not necessarily correlate with response to immune therapies. The IMPassion130 phase III study in metastatic breast cancer patients has shown that PD-L1 expression observed in immune cells (including lymphocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, dendritic cells) is predictive of response to the combination therapy of atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel. At present, for patients with PD-L1 immune cell-positive metastatic TNBC, atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel is an important therapeutic option in a disease with high unmet needs. It should be acknowledged, however, that PD-L1 quantification represents a measurement of only one of the many potential mechanisms of immune evasion used by tumor cells. Moreover, the role of PD-L1 expression is yet to be fully elucidated, as the available data on early breast cancer from the phase III neoadjuvant KEYNOTE522 study show a higher number of pCR rates in the pembrolizumab arm, regardless of the presence of PD-L1 expression. In this context, methods of quantification and scoring systems may also impact, and pathologists seem to have a central role in guiding the precise biomarker evaluation. Finally, there is evidence to support an important role played by other components of the microenvironment; nevertheless, these features are yet to be incorporated in diagnostic algorithms.
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