The Metabolic Syndrome Is a Risk Factor for Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

\textbf{Background:} The metabolic syndrome (MetS) has been associated with the pathogenesis and prognosis of various malignant tumors. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we explored the relationship between MetS and breast cancer (BC). \textbf{Methods:} Relevant studies were systematically searched on Ovid MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane database, and PubMed up to September 16, 2019, using “breast cancer” and “metabolic syndrome” as keywords. Eligible studies with clear definition of MetS, available data, and relationships between MetS and BC were evaluated using a risk ratio (RR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI). \textbf{Results:} Twenty-five studies, including 13 cohort studies and 12 case-control studies, met the inclusion criteria, which assessed a total of 392,583 female participants and 19,628 BC patients. The results revealed a statistically significant increase by 52% of the risk of BC in adult females with MetS (RR = 1.49, 95\% CI = 1.31–1.70, \(p < 0.0001\)). Postmenopausal MetS patients may have a twofold risk to suffer BC (RR = 2.01, 95\% CI = 1.55–2.60, \(p < 0.001\)). The risk of BC increased markedly with the number of MetS components: RR = 1.00 for 1 component (\(p = 0.976\)), RR = 1.40 for 2 components (\(p = 0.121\)), and RR = 1.98 for > 3 components (\(p < 0.001\)). The risk factors associated with BC were obesity, hypertension, and diabetes (RR = 1.33, 1.19, and 1.30 respectively, all \(p < 0.001\)). \textbf{Conclusions:} Our study demonstrated that MetS is highly related with BC. In postmenopausal patients with ≥2 MetS components or a combination of obesity, hypertension, and diabetes, routine BC screening could help to detect BC at an early stage.

© 2020 The Author(s)
Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Ning Xia
Guangxi Medical University
22, Shuangyong Road
Qingxiu District, Nanning, Guangxi Province 530021 (PR China)
xianing@tom.com
Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is a common malignancy of which the incidence ranks first in women, and second in both men and women. Also, it is the leading cause of tumor-related death in women and the fifth of that in the general population around the world [1]. In Western countries, a woman’s lifetime risk of developing BC is 12% [2]. Asian countries have a lower prevalence than Western countries, but due to the shift of lifestyle to Western countries, it shows an increasing trend in recent years [3, 4]. Studies have found that the occurrence of BC was not only related to the traditional risk factors such as age, family history, birth history, and menstrual history, but also to obesity, diabetes, and dyslipidemia [5].

The metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a series of metabolic abnormalities characterized by insulin resistance [6], with main components including obesity, hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, which promote the development and progression of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases [6]. The concept and definition of MetS first appeared in 2001, and its content was constantly revised as research progressed [7]. Due to ethnic differences and diagnostic criteria, MetS incidence varies widely from region to region. In developed countries, the incidence is 22–39% [8]. According to a survey by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys in the United States, the incidence of MetS in people over 20 years is 31.9% (30.6% for men and 33.2% for women) [9]. A study conducted in China found an incidence of 27.4% (27.9% for men and 26.8% for women) [10]. MetS has gradually become a public health problem that cannot be ignored in some countries with high obesity and Western diet patterns [11]. Studies have shown that the incidence and mortality of cardiovascular diseases in people who fulfill the diagnostic criteria is about 2–3 times higher than in those without MetS [11].

Recently, MetS has been found to be associated with the pathogenesis and prognosis of various malignant tumors [12, 13]. A large number of studies have also confirmed that multiple components of MetS are closely related to the occurrence and development of BC [14, 15]. The main components (central obesity, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension) can affect the occurrence and prognosis of BC through various mechanisms [16]. However, some other studies suggested that the number of components is not only related to the risk of BC [17], some studies even suggested that the occurrence of both MetS and BC increase with age, and thus menopausal status may be a reason for the relationship between both diseases [18]. Based on the large-scale studies reported in recent years, we designed and conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to explore the relationship between MetS and BC.

Methods

This study was performed in accordance with the preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [19].

Search Strategy

This study aimed to explore the relationship between MetS and the occurrence and prevalence of BC. A systematic search was conducted on Ovid MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and PubMed (up to September 16, 2019). Besides, Google Scholar and other related websites and databases were also searched for gray literature. For the search, we used medical terms and related extended versions: “breast neoplasm,” “breast carcinoma,” “breast cancer,” and
"metabolic syndrome." The studies containing abstracts and titles were all imported into EndNote (Clarivate Analytic, version X5) to find duplicate studies and then for literature screening.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All the studies mentioning and discussing the relationship between MetS and BC were included in our review. Inclusion criteria were: (1) MetS was clearly defined; (2) the data of occurrence of breast malignancy could be extracted using events or hazard ratio (HR) or odds ratio (OR); and (3) the study design was limited to prospective or retrospective cohort studies, and case-control studies. The other meta-analyses, reviews, conference abstracts, and comments were read for further inclusion. Only papers in English language were included in our systematic review.

Exclusion criteria were: (1) animal experiments; (2) no clear definition of MetS; (3) no available data of the relationship between MetS and BC; (4) not limited to BC; (5) case reports, or non-English language studies. Data from the same institution would be included only once for further meta-analysis.

Literature Screening and Data Extraction

Two investigators (P.Z. and H.Z.) independently screened the abstracts and titles based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Full texts were further evaluated when the selection could not be made by abstracts. The third investigator (X.N.) was consulted for discussion in case of any disagreement.

The data were extracted into a standard Excel file which included study characteristics (e.g., author, year of publication, country, institution, recruitment period, and study design), BC type and stage, MetS definition and components, patient characteristics (median age and menopausal status), HR or OR which resulted from MetS, and the occurrence of BC in MetS and non-MetS patients.

Quality Assessment

Two reviewers (P.Z. and T.Z.) independently assessed the quality of the papers enrolled. For case-control and cohort studies, the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used for quality evaluation. High quality was defined as a score > 7, and moderate quality was defined as a score of 5–7 [20]. Moreover, the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system was used to evaluate the overall quality of the evidence [21].

Definition of MetS

Currently, the most common definition of MetS is in accordance with NCEP (National Cholesterol Education Program) ATP III (Adult Treatment Panel III). MetS has been defined as: (1) waist circumference >88 cm; (2) triglycerides (TG) $\geq$ 150 mg/dL; (3) decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels (female $< 50$ mg/dL); (4) elevated blood pressure (systolic $\geq$ 130 mm Hg and/or diastolic $\geq$ 85 mm Hg); (5) fasting blood glucose $\geq$ 100 mg/dL, or undergoing therapy [7]. However, some other studies adapted the modified NCEP ATP III definition. For example, Watanabe et al. [12] followed the definition of MetS as body mass index (BMI) $\geq 25$ kg/m² and the presence of $\geq 2$ of the following criteria: (1) systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 130/85$ mm Hg or the use of antihypertensive medication; (2) TG $\geq 150$ mg/dL and/or HDL-C $< 40$ mg/dL and/or the use of antihyperlipidemic medication; (3) fasting blood glucose $\geq 110$ mg/dL (with a fasting duration of $\geq 3$ h), or casual blood glucose (for $< 3$ h or without regard to the time since the last meal) $\geq 140$ mg/dL and/or the use of antidiabetic medication. In some Chinese studies, experts defined the cutoff of waist circumference as 80 cm for females [17].
Statistical Analysis

HR from cohort studies and the OR from the case-control studies were combined in a forest plot using the meta-analysis method, and their risk ratios (RR) were calculated. Both ratios were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CI), and a p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The $I^2$ statistic and $\chi^2$ test were used for heterogeneity assessment ($I^2 \geq 50\%$ indicating presence of heterogeneity). When heterogeneity existed, a random-effect model was used; or a fix-effect model was adopted. Finally, forest plots were drawn, and funnel plots were used to evaluate publication bias. Statistical analysis was performed by Stata 15.0 software (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Literature Selection

The search identified 881 studies. The flowchart is shown in Figure 1. Among these, after first screening of the titles and abstracts, 109 studies were further assessed in full text. In accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 25 studies were finally enrolled [12–18, 22–39].

Characteristics of the Selected Studies and Quality Assessment

The characteristics of the studies included are shown in Tables 1 and 2. There were 13 cohort studies (Table 1), of which 5 were conducted in the USA, 3 in Korea, 2 in Japan, and the remaining 3 in the Netherlands, Italy, and France, respectively. These 13 studies involved a total of 330,403 female participants, and among them 8,569 were diagnosed with BC from 1986 to 2010. Follow-up ranged from 5.5 to 18.5 years to achieve a higher recall rate. Besides, the cohort studies were all adjusted with variables to calculate HR. The case-control studies are listed in Table 2. There were 12 studies with 62,180 participants enrolled. Among them, 11,059 patients were diagnosed with BC. The quality assessments are available in Tables 1 and 2 for cohort stud and case-control studies, respectively, with scores ranging from 5 to 8 on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Nine studies were considered high quality, with a score of 8, and the remaining 17 studies were evaluated as median quality. According to the GRADE system, due to lack of randomized controlled trials, the overall quality of MetS evidence as a predictive factor for BC should be considered “very low.”

Fig. 1. Flowchart of the studies included.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Recruitment year</th>
<th>Total females</th>
<th>MetS</th>
<th>Non-MetS</th>
<th>BC in MetS</th>
<th>BC in non-MetS</th>
<th>FU, years</th>
<th>Adjusted variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watanabe [12], 2019</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1992–1995</td>
<td>7,028</td>
<td>6,406</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>age, smoking status, alcohol intake, marital status, educational attainment, physical activity, occupation category, and menopausal status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibaba [16], 2019</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1995–1996</td>
<td>94,555</td>
<td>4,956</td>
<td>89,599</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>age, plus BMI, region, race, physical activity, smoking, and marital status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gathirua-Mwangi [13], 2018</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1988–1994</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>age, race, education, physical activity, cigarette smoking, alcohol intake, and use of insulin, hypertensive and cholesterol-lowering medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabat [23], 2017</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1993–1998</td>
<td>20,944</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee [15], 2017</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>23,820</td>
<td>5,867</td>
<td>17,953</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>age and BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko [24], 2016</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>2002–2013</td>
<td>37,807</td>
<td>5,371</td>
<td>32,436</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>age group, smoking status, alcohol intake, and regular exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin [27], 2015</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>2010–2012</td>
<td>24,148</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>age and health behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnoli [28], 2015</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1993–1998</td>
<td>22,494</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>menopausal status, parity, age at menarche, smoking status, total physical activity, education, and alcohol consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Kruijsdijk [29], 2013</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>1997–2010</td>
<td>1,589</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>age and gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reeves [34], 2012</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1986–1988</td>
<td>8,956</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>2,037</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>age, hormone use, and family history of BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osaki [35], 2012</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1992–2000</td>
<td>15,386</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>age, smoking status, and heavy drinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagherazzi [38], 2010</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>1993–2005</td>
<td>69,088</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>alcohol intake, total dietary fat, energy intake, ever use of oral contraceptives, age at menarche, menopause, and first pregnancy, number of children, family history of BC, diabetes, school years, postmenopausal hormone therapy, and personal history of benign breast disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitzur [25], 2016</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>2000–2010</td>
<td>6,903</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>age and gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabat [18], 2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1993–1998</td>
<td>4,588</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>age, education, ethnicity, BMI, oral contraceptive use, hormone therapy, age at menarche, first birth, and menopause, alcohol, family history of BC, history of breast biopsy, physical activity, caloric intake, smoking status, randomization status in hormone therapy, calcium plus vitamin D, and dietary modification trials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BC, breast cancer; BMI, body mass index; FU, median follow-up; MetS, metabolic syndrome; NG, not given.
Table 2. Characteristics of included case-control studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Recruitment year</th>
<th>Total females</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>Non-BC</th>
<th>MetS in BC</th>
<th>MetS in non-BC</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wu [17], 2018</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2015–2017</td>
<td>3,807</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>3,212</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fang [22], 2018</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2012–2016</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park [14], 2017</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>2004–2013</td>
<td>2,920</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>2,336</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang [26], 2015</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>2011–2013</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noh et al. [30], 2013</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>1995–2001</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capasso [31], 2013</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2008–2011</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttrós [32], 2013</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronco [33], 2012</td>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>2004–2009</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosato [36], 2011</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1983–2007</td>
<td>7,851</td>
<td>3,869</td>
<td>4,082</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordeleau [37], 2011</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1982–2009</td>
<td>6,052</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen [39], 2004</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1996–1998</td>
<td>28,429</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NG, not given; MetS, metabolic syndrome; BC, breast cancer.

Fig. 2. Forest plot assessing the risk of breast cancer incidence associated with the metabolic syndrome according to the study type (cohort study or case-control study).
Meta-Analysis of Whole Studies

The forest plot of whole studies is shown in Figure 2. Overall, the risk for BC was increased by 49% in adult females with MetS and considered statistically significant and with moderate heterogeneity (RR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.31–1.70, \( p < 0.0001 \), \( I^2 = 78.8\% \), random effect model). When 1 study was excluded, \( I^2 \) would decrease to 70.5%, with a slight increase in RR (1.56) [22]. Besides, due to using different methods to calculate RR, we divided the studies into cohort and case-control studies. In cohort studies, female MetS patients may have a 1.55-fold risk for developing BC during the follow-up (RR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.25–1.74, \( p < 0.0001 \), \( I^2 = 54.1\% \), random effect model). Similarly, in case-control studies, BC patients may have a higher risk for developing MetS (RR = 1.49, 95% CI = 1.22–1.81, \( p < 0.0001 \), \( I^2 = 85.4\% \), random effect model).

There were 3 studies comparing the relationship between MetS and BC types. Two of them discussed the relationship between MetS and estrogen receptor-positive BC. However, no significant relationship was found due to the small sample size (RR = 1.59, 95% CI = 0.65–3.89, \( p = 0.310 \), \( I^2 = 80.9\% \), random effect model).

The Impact of Menopausal Status on BC Incidence

In terms of the menopausal status, the relationship between MetS and the incidence of BC is shown in Figure 3. There was no significant relationship between BC and MetS when patients were in the premenopausal period (RR = 0.91, 95% CI = 0.64–1.29, \( p = 0.580 \), \( I^2 = 0\% \), random effect model).
random effect model). However, in postmenopausal females, MetS may induce a twofold risk to suffer BC, which is without heterogeneity (RR = 2.01, 95% CI = 1.55–2.60, \( p < 0.0001 \), \( I^2 = 55.5\% \), random effect model).

The Impact of Components of MetS on BC Incidence

Analyses of different MetS component subgroups are depicted in Figure 4. When patients had 1 MetS component, there appeared no significant relationship between MetS and the incidence of BC (RR = 1.00, 95% CI = 0.86–1.17, \( p = 0.976 \), \( I^2 = 63.5\% \), random effect model). However, when patients had 2 components of MetS, the risk of BC increased markedly, i.e., 1.40-fold compared to patients without MetS, but no statistically significant difference and a high heterogeneity were demonstrated (RR = 1.40, 95% CI = 0.91–2.15, \( p = 0.121 \), \( I^2 = 92.7\% \), random effect model). Moreover, when patients had >3 components of MetS, the incidence of BC was significantly increased versus patients without MetS, without any heterogeneity (RR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.75–2.25, \( p < 0.001 \), \( I^2 = 0\% \), fixed effect model).

Next, we analyzed the association between different MetS components and the incidence of BC, and results are shown in Table 3. Patients with obesity or higher BMI may have a higher incidence of BC (RR = 1.33, 95% CI = 1.14–1.56, \( p < 0.001 \)). Besides, patients with high blood pressure or diabetes also had a higher risk of developing BC (RR = 1.19 and 1.30, 95% CI =
1.09–1.31 and 1.16–1.44, respectively, both \( p < 0.001 \). The subgroups of cohort and case-control studies also demonstrated increased risks of developing BC with respect to obesity, hypertension, and diabetes. Low high-density lipoprotein level was associated with an increased risk of BC in all the studies (\( p = 0.003 \)), while no statistical significance was found in cohort studies due to small sample sizes. High waist circumference and high triglyceride levels did not result in a significantly increased BC incidence (\( p = 0.329 \) and \( p = 0.873 \)).

### Discussion

This is the largest-scale systematic review which included 25 studies with 392,583 female participants and 19,628 BC patients. Our meta-analysis demonstrated that MetS increased the risk of BC. Moreover, as the number of MetS components increased, the patients had a higher BC incidence rate during the follow-up, especially in postmenopausal patients. In these patients, the incidence of BC was twofold increased compared to those without MetS. In this study, we also discussed which components were the most important risk factor, and demonstrated that obesity, hypertension, and diabetes were all independently associated with a higher incidence of BC.

Obesity, especially central obesity, is an important component of MetS. Studies have shown that an increased BMI can rise the incidence of BC in postmenopausal women and protect women in the premenopausal period [40, 41]. However, there are also studies suggesting that obesity increased the risk of BC in women, regardless of whether they entered menopause or not [42, 43]. Some studies suggested the risk of developing BC not only associated with obesity, but also with the obesity phenotype [23, 44]. Kabat et al. [23] compared different obesity phenotypes and found that both patients with metabolic healthy obesity and patients with metabolic unhealthy obesity were at an increased risk of developing BC. The RR
for the former was 1.31 and 1.61 for the latter in a total of 19,819 patients included. However, another research with 50,884 participants from the Sister Study showed that patients with metabolic healthy obesity did not have a significantly increased incidence of BC (RR = 1.14, 95% CI = 0.95–1.37), while those with metabolic unhealthy obesity had a 1.28-fold risk, with a statistically significant difference (RR = 1.28, 95% CI = 1.12–1.48) [44]. Moreover, the study also showed an increase in BC development among postmenopausal patients with unhealthy metabolic components but a normal BMI (RR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.01–1.56). Nevertheless, the impact of the obesity phenotype on the incidence of BC was still controversial. More cohort and case-control studies need to be undertaken in terms of the phenotype and duration of obesity.

Diabetes was another essential MetS component associated with the incidence of BC. A longitudinal study of 5,450 postmenopausal women followed up for 8 years reported that women with high serum insulin and blood glucose levels had a twofold increased risk of BC in the highest tertile compared to the lowest group [45]. Besides, a meta-analysis of 18 retrospective studies and 22 prospective studies conducted by Boyle et al. [46] showed that women with type 2 diabetes had a 27% increased risk of developing BC. In terms of dyslipidemia, a prospective study undertaken by Kitahara et al. [47] showed that serum total cholesterol >240 mg/dL was associated with an increased incidence of BC compared to those <160 mg/dL. Furberg et al. [48] observed 38,823 Norwegian women and found that low HDL-C may be associated with a 25% higher risk of BC versus a high HDL-C. However, a prospective study of 288,057 women conducted by Strohmaier et al. [49] came to the opposite conclusion, i.e., that women with a lower serum cholesterol had a lower risk of BC. In recent years, hypertension and BC have been the focus of research, but no definite conclusion regarding the possible relationship between hypertension and BC has been found. In 1988, Törnberg et al. [50] found that hypertension was associated with an increased BC risk, while Lindgren et al. [51] reported no effect of hypertension on the incidence of postmenopausal BC compared with the general population at the same age in a 27-year prospective study. Another case-control study showed that hypertension increased BC incidence, and the earlier the onset of hypertension (<50 years), the more obvious was the increase in BC incidence [52]. In this systematic review, we demonstrated that it is controversial whether MetS had a relationship with BC in patients with 1 MetS component. However, when patients had several MetS components, MetS increased the risk for BC.

The mechanism by which MetS increase the incidence of BC is still under exploration. Insulin resistance may be one of the reasons. Insulin is the main hormone that stimulates cell proliferation, and it directly promotes the proliferation of breast tissue and tumor cells, thus possibly promoting BC incidence. Besides, insulin promotes tumor cell proliferation by upregulating insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), which increases mitotic activity in tumor cells [53, 54]. Adiponectin, also known as adipocyte-associated protein, promotes glucose and fatty acid metabolism, and also improves insulin sensitivity and resistance. Adiponectin is reduced in patients with obesity, diabetes, and coronary heart disease, and a high adiponectin level is associated with lower mortality in BC patients with lower level [45]. Moreover, adiponectin was able to exert an antitumor effect by inhibiting aromatase in estrogen receptor-positive BC patients. The effect of low serum adiponectin on tumor angiogenesis is attenuated, which in turn promotes BC [55]. In obese postmenopausal BC patients, adipose tissue is the main source of estrogen production. Estradiol is converted from androgen by aromatization of the cytochrome P450 enzyme system present in adipose tissue. Adipocytes secrete IL-6 and TNF-α, which induce aromatization together with prostaglandins. Thus, obesity can increase the production of cytokines and thereby stimulate aromatization to increase estradiol. Estradiol also reduces adiponectin production, thereby attenuating the antitumor effect of adiponectin. Sex hormone-binding globulins (SHBG) are glycoproteins produced by the liver that bind to
and transport most of the biologically active androgens and estrogens in the circulation, attenuating the effects of these hormones; hyperinsulinemia and IGF-1 inhibit SHBG synthesis, which can in turn impair SHBG production, thus inducing a vicious circle [56]. Our study demonstrated that the postmenopausal status was a crucial factor, which indicated a significant increase in the risk of BC when patients had a diagnosis of MetS. Screening patients with metabolic disorders for BC is important for early BC detection, especially for females in the menopausal period. Figuring out the relationship between MetS and BC can provide clues for the epidemiology of BC, and then lay a foundation to prevent and treat BC.

There were some limitations to our study. Firstly, only observational studies could be designed to study the relationship between MetS and BC, which weakened the quality of the evidence and classified it as “low quality” according to the GRADE criteria. Secondly, there still existed heterogeneity even though we had undertaken subgroup analysis. However, due to the lack of studies which focused on 1 component and cancer type, the heterogeneity could not be avoided in the present meta-analysis. Thirdly, though we have included a large scale of participants and BC patients, this study was not a meta-analysis based on “individual patients,” thus a lot of important data was lost on reviewing manuscripts. Further meta-analyses and regressions of individual patients need to be done to clarify the risk of MetS in BC patients.

Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that MetS is highly associated with the risk of BC. For postmenopausal female patients with ≥2 components of MetS, or a combination of obesity, hypertension, and diabetes, routine BC screening could help to detect BC at an early stage.
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