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Abstract
Amyloidosis comprises a diverse group of diseases characterized by misfolding of precursor proteins which eventually form amyloid aggregates and preceding intermediaries, which are deposited in target tissues causing progressive organ damage. In all forms of amyloidosis, vital organs may fail; depending on the specific amyloidosis type, this may occur rapidly or progress slowly. Beyond therapies to reduce the precursor protein (chemotherapy for light chain [AL] amyloidosis, anti-inflammatory therapy in serum A amyloidosis [AA], and antisense RNA therapy in transthyretin amyloidosis [ATTR]), organ transplantation may also be a means to reduce amyloidogenic protein, e.g., in types of amyloidosis in which the variant precursor is produced by the liver. Heart transplantation is a life-saving approach to the treatment of patients with advanced cardiac amyloidosis; however, amyloidosis may still be considered a contraindication to the procedure despite data supporting improved outcomes, similar to patients with other indications. Kidney transplantation is associated with particularly favorable outcomes in patients with amyloidosis, especially if the precursor protein has been eliminated. Overall, outcomes of solid organ transplantation are improving, but more data are needed to refine the selection criteria and the timing for organ transplantation, which should be performed in highly experienced centers involving multidisciplinary teams with close patient follow-up to detect amyloid recurrence.

Introduction

The term amyloidosis encompasses a heterogenous spectrum of diseases that result from the systemic or localized extracellular deposition of insoluble amyloid fibrils which cause disruption of the tissue architecture and progressive organ dysfunction. Up to date, at least 36 different precursor amyloidogenic proteins have been identified in humans [1], of which at least 17 cause systemic diseases [2]. Irrespective of the precursor, amyloid fibrils share common properties. Amyloid fibrils have a highly ordered β-pleated secondary structure which eventually results in stable amyloid aggregates via interaction with glycosaminoglycans and serum amyloid P protein [3].

Primary systemic light chain (AL) amyloidosis is the most common type of systemic amyloidosis, and the am-
The second most common type of amyloidosis is caused by the deposition of amyloid fibers formed by misfolded transthyretin (TTR), a transport protein which is secreted by the liver that carries thyroxine (T4) and retinol (vitamin A1) in the serum. Wild-type ATTR or senile systemic amyloidosis is an acquired form of amyloidosis which affects mainly the elderly population and causes cardiomyopathy. The hereditary form of ATTR is caused by mutations in the TTR gene, is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner, and is encountered in younger populations, and mainly manifests as peripheral neuropathy and heart failure, with varying degrees of relative severity of these 2 major systems, depending on the type of mutation [8].

Serum A (AA) amyloidosis is a form of secondary amyloidosis, associated with underlying chronic inflammatory disorders (rheumatoid arthritis, chronic infections, and periodic fever syndromes) [9]. The precursor protein for amyloid fibrils is the serum amyloid A (SAA) protein, an acute-phase reactant protein produced by the liver. Clinically, AA is almost always characterized by renal involvement, which presents as a nephrotic syndrome and with progressive decline in renal function, and ESRD if left untreated [9, 10]. Other, rarer types of amyloidosis may occur from mutated lysozyme (ALys), fibrinogen (AFib), apolipoprotein A1 or AII, and leukocyte-derived chemotaxin-2, all of which induce hereditary systemic syndromes and affect organs such as the liver and the kidney, and less often the heart (Table 1).

The management of end-stage organ failure (cardiac, renal, or liver) in patients with amyloidosis is significantly more complex than in patients without amyloidosis. Prognosis and life expectancy [11, 12], and quality of life is reported to be worse, although in the past decade there has been a major improvement in outcomes [13]. Possible explanations include multi- rather than single-organ dysfunction with concurrent involvement of the autonomic nervous system, which complicates the management of cardiac failure and dialysis therapy. Given the rarity of all types of amyloidosis and the even lower rates of suitable candidates for organ transplantation, reports on amyloidosis patients with kidney, heart (HT), and liver transplantation (LT) are scarce, and patient cohorts are often small and heterogeneous. The lack of generalized criteria that determine patient eligibility leads to selection bias and limits the quality of data. Available data on solid organ transplantation will be reviewed in this study to elucidate how this treatment option can fit into the treatment algorithm of these patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of amyloidosis</th>
<th>Organ considered for transplantation</th>
<th>Reason for transplantation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Kidney, Heart, Liver</td>
<td>Salvage therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRwt</td>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Salvage therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRm</td>
<td>Liver, Heart</td>
<td>Etiological therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Salvage therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFib</td>
<td>Kidney, Liver</td>
<td>Salvage therapy, Etiological therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALys</td>
<td>Kidney, Liver</td>
<td>Salvage therapy, Etiological therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AApoI</td>
<td>Kidney, Liver, Heart</td>
<td>Salvage therapy, Etiological therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AApoII</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Salvage therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALect2</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Salvage therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL, light-chain amyloidosis; AA, serum A amyloidosis; ATTR, transthyretin amyloidosis; ALys, lysozyme amyloidosis; AFib, fibrinogen amyloidosis; AApo1, apolipoprotein I amyloidosis; AApoII, apolipoprotein II amyloidosis; ALect2, leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin-2 amyloidosis.
Solid Organ Transplantation in AL Amyloidosis

Current Status in the Management of AL Amyloidosis

Almost any organ or tissue may be affected by AL amyloidosis, and the immunoglobulin light chain variable region (IGLV) gene family of the involved clone seems to play a significant role in organ tropism [14–16]. Renal involvement is seen in approximately 70% of patients, and about 30% will require dialysis due to ESRD [17–20]. The heart is involved in 60–70% of cases and is the most critical factor for prognosis, and about 10–20% of patients present with severe or terminal-stage heart failure with a poor prognosis and an expected median survival of 4–12 months [21–23]. Finally, hepatic AL amyloid with hyperbilirubinemia is also associated with very poor outcomes (<4 months) [24, 25].

Treatment and monitoring of patients with AL amyloidosis has evolved over the past 20 years, and this has affected the place of organ transplantation in the treatment plan for these patients. The extent of cardiac involvement is the major determinant of outcome in patients with AL amyloidosis, and risk assessment is mainly based on NTproBNP and cardiac troponins [21, 26–28]. A renal staging system based on proteinuria and glomerular filtration rate is also available [17] with advanced-stage patients having a very high probability of progression to dialysis within 2 years from the start of therapy.

A critical aspect of AL amyloidosis therapy is the assessment of hematologic response, the degree and depth of which may be a critical factor when organ transplantation is considered or planned. Reduction in the concentration of the clonal immunoglobulin levels (of the circulating free light chains) is the strongest predictor for prolonged survival and for organ function improvement [29], and a critical factor that may affect post-organ transplantation outcome. However, even among patients who have achieved a complete hematologic response, organ function may continue to deteriorate, either due to irreversible organ damage or due to residual production of toxic immunoglobulins, which is undetected by standard methods. New tools to detect minimal residual disease, such as next-generation flow cytometry, next-generation sequencing, and serum and urine mass spectrometry, are now incorporated into clinical practice to assess depth of response and tailor the therapeutic plan [30–33], which may also include decisions concerning organ transplantation.

Treatment of AL amyloidosis is risk adapted and tailored to the patient’s characteristics, but organ involvement patterns and the severity of dysfunction are the main determinants [5, 34]. High-dose melphalan plus autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) is associated with favorable outcomes in selected patients [35, 36], but mostly the introduction of novel regimens, which include proteasome inhibitors (bortezomib, ixazomib, and carfilzomib) [37–39], immunomodulators (lenalidomide and pomalidomide) [40–42], monoclonal anti-CD38 antibodies (daratumumab) [43], and their combinations have resulted in improved hematologic response rates. These improvement have led to improved organ responses, which may be translated into more patients being able to avoid terminal organ failure, but also more long-term survivors who may be in need of organ transplantation after gradual failure of an affected organ, for example ESRD, while in complete hematologic remission.

Solid Organ Transplantation in AL Amyloidosis

The short-term goal of treatment is the rapid reduction in the circulating toxic FLC and the long-term improvement in organ response and eventually prolonged survival.

Unfortunately, in a significant proportion of patients, organ damage is irreversible at the time of diagnosis. In addition, patients with end-stage cardiac disease and hepatic failure are often unsuitable candidates for chemoinmunotherapy and cannot tolerate anticlonal therapies to suppress the aberrant plasma cell clone [2, 5].

Solid organ transplantation in patients with AL amyloidosis may require a rapid assessment and decision making when this concerns end-stage cardiac failure, or it may be a decision that can be made on a more mid-term basis.

For several years, the role of solid organ transplantation in the management of AL amyloidosis remained a rather debatable issue, mainly due to concerns of early graft failure and amyloidosis recurrence in the allograft or other tissues [44, 45]. Organ donor shortage complicates the issue further. The introduction of new therapies which can induce very deep and long-lasting hematologic responses have changed this perception [13, 46, 47].

Renal Transplantation in AL Amyloidosis (Table 2)

Historically, the first case of kidney transplantation in an AL patient was reported by Belzer et al. [48]. During the following years, kidney transplantation was rather rarely performed in patients with AL amyloidosis, but the evolving anticlonal options have changed the field landscape. A major barrier in clinical practice remains the lack of established eligibility criteria to determine candidates for transplantation and when they should be considered for renal transplants. Over the last 2 decades, few retro-
Prospective studies demonstrated encouraging data in meticulously selected AL patients, although the small sample size constitutes a major limitation secondary to selection bias. In a series of 22 renal transplant patients with AL amyloidosis and established ESRD from the UK National Amyloidosis Centre (NAC), median graft survival was 5.8 years, and median overall survival (OS) from the time of renal transplantation was 6.5 years (range 0.2–13.3) [47], and 1- and 5-year OS was 95 and 67%, respectively. The general inclusion criteria for renal transplantation were age < 70 years and ECOG performance status of 1 or 2, while patients with symptomatic myeloma or extensive extrarenal amyloidosis were excluded. In this cohort, most patients had anticlonal therapy, either chemotherapy, immunotherapy, or ASCT before the time of renal transplantation, but this cohort included mostly patients treated before the introduction of bortezomib and other new therapies. There are older data to support that plasma cell-targeted therapy should preferably be administered prior to renal transplantation to stop the production of “toxic” free light chains and protect the function of the renal allograft [49]. However, currently, more treatment options are available, and this may not be absolutely necessary. Leung et al. [50] published a series of 8 patients who received renal transplants from living donors followed by ASCT. Two of the patients suffered unanticipated complications after kidney transplantation, 2 had subclinical acute rejection, and 1 had clinical cellular rejection, which were all reversible with corticosteroid therapy; 6 patients had successful stem cell harvests, and 5 underwent ASCT, and renal function remained stable following ASCT in 4 and deteriorated in 1 due to inftious and bleeding complications. Importantly, 1 patient, who elected not to undergo ASCT, had histologic evidence of recurrent renal amyloidosis. In 2011, the Mayo Clinic published another series of 19 patients with AL amyloidosis that underwent renal transplantation (18 from living donors) before the bortezomib era. This cohort also included the previous 8 patients who had a renal transplantation before ASCT and compared them to patients who had ASCT followed by renal transplantation (6 patients), and another 5 patients who had renal transplantation after complete response (CR) that was achieved with nonmyeloablative therapy. The authors found no difference in survival rates between the 3 groups, and recurrent amyloidosis was diagnosed following biopsy in 1 patient who had ASCT before renal transplantation and in another patient that received a transplant while in complete hematologic response without ASCT [51].

In another series of 25 patients with AL who underwent renal transplantation in the UK, no significant difference in graft survival between those who had achieved CR and those who were in partial response (PR) was reported. In addition to the above, the attainment of at least a PR at the time of kidney transplantation led to better overall graft survival when compared with those who had no response (8.9 vs. 5.2 years, \( p = 0.02 \)) [52].

Recent data, coming from the Amyloidosis Center in Boston, based on the long-term follow-up of 49 patients who received kidney transplants (the largest cohort so far), are very encouraging [53]. The patients in this report received kidney transplants between 1987 and 2017, and median survival from the time of renal transplantation was 10.5 years (range 1–27.7), while median graft surviv-

---

**Table 2. Comparison of major outcomes of renal transplantation in patients with amyloidosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amyloid type</th>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Patients, ( n )</th>
<th>Median OS, years</th>
<th>5-year survival, %</th>
<th>Median graft survival, years</th>
<th>5-year graft survival, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>US Renal Data System</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10.5 (1–27.7)</td>
<td>86.1/93.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>72.4–84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Amyloidosis Center of Boston</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>8.3 (0.3–20.3)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Mayo Clinic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>French Multicenter Study</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>93.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALlys</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFib</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.3 (in isolated KT)</td>
<td>85% (in isolated KT) and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 (in CLKT)</td>
<td>63% (in CLKT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AApol</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL, light chain amyloidosis; AA, serum A amyloidosis; ATTR, transthyretin amyloidosis; ALys, lysozyme amyloidosis; AFib, fibrinogen amyloidosis; AApol, apolipoprotein I amyloidosis; KT, kidney transplantation; CLKT, combined liver and kidney transplantation; NAC, National Amyloidosis Centre.
al was 8.3 years (range 0.3–20.3). Graft survival at 1-, 3-, and 5-years was 94, 89, and 81%, respectively. In comparison with the results from the NAC in the UK and the Mayo Clinic almost a decade ago, there was clearly an improvement in both overall and graft survival similar to outcomes achieved with renal transplantation for other etiologies.

Clinical or pathological indicators of disease recurrence in the graft were reported in 4 (15%) patients with CR or very good PR versus in 11 (69%) patients with no or PR at the time of kidney transplantation, emphasizing the importance of deeper hematologic response to improve graft survival. When divided according to the date of renal transplantation, survival from diagnosis was better in the last decade (2007–2017) than the 2 previous (1987–2006) ones, but survival from transplantation, graft survival, and time to recurrence of amyloid in the graft were also improved during the same period although the difference did not reach statistical significance.

Despite the strong rationale behind the performance of renal transplantation following chemotherapy, it is extremely challenging to perform a head-to-head comparison of the 2 approaches due to the rarity of the disease and the difficulty in selecting suitable candidates [54]. Currently, a deep hematologic response (CR or very good PR) is considered by most clinicians a prerequisite for renal transplantation [55, 56]. Based on the available data, markedly better posttransplant outcomes and improved graft survival have been achieved after the introduction of novel and more effective therapies. Since more patients are now achieving deep and sustainable hematologic responses, renal transplantation could be further pursued as a treatment for selected patients, and the diagnosis of AL amyloidosis should not be an exclusion criterion for kidney transplantation [55]. Definite selection criteria do not exist; however, it is reasonable to consider patients with either isolated renal AL or patients without severe dysfunction of other involved organs who have achieved at least a very good PR. In some patients, further therapy to improve hematologic response after renal transplantation may also be a feasible option, including ASCT. Ongoing data collection of patients with AL who have received kidney transplants in the more recent era may help to further clarify selection criteria for optimal outcomes.

### Table 3. Survival after heart transplantation (HT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of amyloidosis</th>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Post-HT therapy</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Survival, %</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>2 years</th>
<th>5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td>No therapy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Massachusetts General Hospital</td>
<td>ASCT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60–77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Mayo Clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>University of Heidelberg</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 (in 2002–2007)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 (in 2008–2017)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-AL amyloidosis</td>
<td>UK NAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRwt</td>
<td>Mayo Clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRm</td>
<td>University of Heidelberg</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (in 2002–2007)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (in 2008–2017)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRm Leu&lt;sup&gt;111&lt;/sup&gt;Met</td>
<td>FAPWTR</td>
<td>LT + HT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71 (4, 5, and 10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRm Thr&lt;sup&gt;60&lt;/sup&gt;Ala</td>
<td>FAPWTR</td>
<td>LT + HT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>58 (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRm Ser&lt;sup&gt;77&lt;/sup&gt;Tyr</td>
<td>FAPWTR</td>
<td>LT + HT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>44 (10 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRm Gln&lt;sup&gt;89&lt;/sup&gt;Gln</td>
<td>FAPWTR</td>
<td>LT + HT</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60 (7 years)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL, light chain amyloidosis; ATTRwt, wild-type transthyretin amyloidosis; ATTRm, mutated transthyretin amyloidosis; NAC, National Amyloidosis Centre; FAPWTR, Familial Amyloid Polyneuropathy World Transplant Registry.
HT in AL Amyloidosis (Table 3)

The prognosis in patients with cardiac AL amyloidosis is ominous, and the survival of patients with severe cardiomyopathy is about 4–12 months [57], with a high risk of early death. The main causes of death are decompen-sated end-stage heart failure and sudden death. Unfortunately, the benefits of novel agent combinations in terms of response rates and OS have not been translated into major improvement in the subset of patients with advanced stage (Mayo stage IIIb or revised Mayo stage IV patients) [22]. The risk of early mortality may also increase due to poor tolerance of the chemotherapeutic regimens, although it may be difficult to prove the exact cause and association. HT may represent the only viable solution for patients with end-stage heart failure who are also unable to tolerate chemotherapy, but the limited organ availability significantly narrows its clinical appliance [58].

The first reported HT for cardiac amyloidosis was in 1984 [59] followed by small numbers of heart transplants in the following decade [45, 60]. In a report from 2004 of 17 patients with AL amyloidosis, the survival of 10 patients who had HT but no additional chemotherapy was 50, 50, and 20% at 1, 2, and 5 years, respectively, while the amyloid recurred in the grafts of these patients after a median of 11 months, and extracardiac amyloidosis contributed to mortality in 70% of these patients. Among the 7 patients who also had chemotherapy, survival at 1, 2, and 5 years was 71, 71, and 36%, respectively, and 1 patient had amyloid recurrence in the graft at 60 months. The 5-year survival after HT in patients with AL amyloidosis was less than that after HT for other indications [45]. In another report from the UK, 14 patients had a median survival of 6.3 months after diagnosis of amyloidosis. At the time of HT, 8 patients had no extracardiac amyloidosis, but 6 had amyloidotic dysfunction of additional organs. The median survival from HT was 7.5 years. The median survival of the 8 patients who underwent ASCT after HT was 9.7 years compared to 3.4 years for those without (p = 0.01), but no patient who underwent ASCT after HT had major extracardiac organ dysfunction at the time of ASCT.

Importantly, 5 patients received chemotherapy before HT, and all achieved a hematologic PR, and 11 received chemotherapy after HT, including ASCT in 8 patients. Amyloid recurred in the cardiac allografts of 5 patients, all of whom had persistence or relapse of their clonal disease. Four of 5 patients with recurrence died, and another 3 died from progressive extracardiac amyloidosis. In a retrospective observational analysis of 31 patients with heart failure attributed to AL amyloidosis in the Massachusetts General Hospital, 18 (58.1%) patients survived to receive HT, 11 (35.4%) did not, while 2 patients remained on the HT waiting list [13]. The average time from entering the list to transplant was 53 ± 48 days for those who survived HT and 63 ± 45 days for those who died before HT. Patients who survived had lower free light chain load than patients who did not survive to HT (p = 0.05), and they tended to have lower BMI (p = 0.05).

In comparison with patients listed for nonamyloid cardiomyopathies, patients with AL amyloidosis had a mortality hazard ratio of 4.7 (p < 0.001), but survival after HT was the same for amyloid and nonamyloid patients. According to data from the Mayo Clinic [11], in 23 HT patients with AL amyloidosis with a median age of 53 years, there were no deaths in the immediate perioperative period, and the median overall survival of the cohort was 3.5 years, with 1-, 2-, and 5-year survival after transplant being 77, 65, and 43%, respectively; notably, for nonamyloid patients undergoing HT during the same era, 5-year survival was 85%. This series included many patients treated in the era before new therapies became available, and progressive amyloidosis was associated with death in 12 (52%) patients. Eight patients had evidence of graft rejection, but only 1 of them died. The median survival was 6.3 years for patients who received ASCT versus 2.4 years for patients who did not, but response depth was critical: median OS was 1.2 years for non-responders, 5.4 years for patients who achieved very good PR or PR, and almost 11 years for patients who achieved a hematologic CR to either chemotherapy or ASCT. Another common issue of debate is the role of ASCT in patients who are candidates for HT and the optimal timing of the 2 transplants. An ASCT before cHT is not feasible, since these patients are at extremely high risk of death during ASCT [36]. However, the 5-year survival increases significantly to 60–77% when HT is followed by ASCT [11, 13, 45, 61, 62]. The interval between HT and ASCT depends on the hematologic response status and on the timing of immunosuppressive treatment cessation to allow minimization of infection risk post-ASCT, and the optimal time between HT and ASCT is probably 6–8 months [63, 64]. Today, however, available therapies may be safer and at least or more effective than ASCT [65–67].

According to the recommendations from the 2016 International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation, meticulously selected patients with heart failure due to AL amyloidosis who cannot tolerate other treatment due to cardiovascular compromise may be suitable candidates for HT in highly experienced centers with established col-
laborations between cardiovascular and hematology teams (class IIA, level of evidence: B) [63]. However, the largest constraint in the process of HT in AL amyloidosis is the long waiting list, which in association with end-stage heart dysfunction and treatment intolerance leads to very low survival rates, with very few patients finally reaching HT [11, 12]. It remains debatable whether patients with AL amyloidosis who need HT to survive may have a similar benefit as other patients requiring transplants for other diseases; given the scarcity of cardiac grafts, this is relevant and one of the most commonly used arguments delaying the selection of patients with AL amyloidosis or excluding them from transplant lists. However, this is probably not the case anymore. In a retrospective analysis of 48 patients who were diagnosed with cardiac amyloidosis and underwent HT during 2 different periods (2002–2007 and 2008–2017), the 5-year survival rate post-HT was 31% in the first period and 77% in the second [13]. What is most important is that the 5-year survival rates during the second period were comparable to those of nonamyloid patients. Plausible explanations include improved, more stringent selection criteria and improved hematologic responses secondary to improved treatment options. Taking emerging novel treatments with more manageable toxicity profiles into consideration, survival before and after HT is expected to improve in the future. Furthermore, circulatory support with intravenous inotropes or mechanical support using left- or biventricular assist devices may offer the additional time required while waiting for HT [13, 68–70]. Current HT selection criteria, which are not validated, include primary cardiac organ involvement, absence of significant extracardiac organ involvement, lack of organ response despite treatment administration, and attainment of hematologic response prior to HT [11]. However, concomitant or tandem heart and kidney transplantation have been reported and were associated with a good outcome in carefully selected patients [71].

**LT in AL Amyloidosis**

Patients with severe liver dysfunction have a very poor outcome [25] and present usually with multisystemic involvement [72]. Data on LT for patients with AL amyloidosis are very limited. Patients with dominant hepatic involvement are poor candidates for chemoimmunotherapy as they will rarely tolerate regimens even at adjusted doses. In that context, LT could be a reasonable alternative. In an NAC series, 9 patients received orthotopic LT (OLT) with 1- and 5-year survival from transplantation of 33 and 22%, respectively [47]. Six patients received chemotherapy (including ASCT in 3 patients) after OLT, and, interestingly, 4 patients developed rapidly progressive proteinuria secondary to preexisting renal amyloidosis. Thus, the experience with OLT in patients with AL amyloidosis is very limited, and more data are required to determine whether there is a place for LT in decompensated patients.

**ATTR Amyloidosis and Solid Organ Transplantation**

In contrast to AL amyloidosis, ATTR amyloidosis is progressing at a slower pace with gradual and incremental worsening of neuropathy and gastrointestinal symptoms in case of mutated ATTR (ATTRm) with dominant neuropathy or with usually slowly progressing cardiomyopathy with gradual ventricular wall thickening, deterioration of diastolic function, and appearance of conduction disorders [73].

It has been documented that the type of mutation in ATTRm affects the disease phenotype and prognosis to a considerable extent. The most common mutation causing a mainly neuropathic or mixed neuropathic/cardio-myopathy phenotype (familial amyloid polyneuropathy [FAP]) is a valine-to-methionine substitution at position 30 (Val30Met). Series and data from patients with mutations other than Val30Met are significantly more limited. The 10-year survival for non-Val30Met mutations varies markedly from 21% for Ser50Arg to 85% for Val71Ala [74]. The most common mutation associated with cardiac ATTR is the valine-to-isoleucine substitution at position 122 (V122I).

Given that the liver is the main source of amyloid precursor protein, LT was the only established therapy since 1990 [75] until recently. Survival following LT depends on the mutation variant. Five-year survival following LT was almost 100% for carefully selected Val30Met patients [76, 77], while the 5-year survival was 59% for non-Val30Met patients [78]. LT was also associated with a positive impact on the quality of life as deterioration of nerve dysfunction, which renders patients helpless and frail over the course of the disease, was prevented. The main causes of mortality after LT in Val30Met patients, however, beyond sepsis and malnutrition, were also cardiac complications.

LT for ATTRm was significantly fostered as a viable treatment approach by the concept of domino liver transplantation (DLT), which emerged in the 1990s. The idea of using the liver graft originating from patients with metabolic diseases who undergo LT themselves has some
benefit. Most importantly, the liver donor pool is expanded, and grafts become available for aged patients with cirrhotic liver or hepatocellular cancer. Patients with FAP were the first domino liver transplant donors for various reasons. Their liver was considered ideal given their relatively young age (frequently under 50 years of age). Moreover, the liver of FAP patients is architecturally and functionally normal. The primary skepticism regarding domino liver transplants remains amyloid production, despite the fact that it takes almost 30 years for amyloid fibrils to form, deposit in target organs, and cause symptomatic disease [79]. The first case of de novo ATTR following domino liver transplants was reported in 2005 in a 55-year-old patient who developed progressive peripheral neuropathy of the lower extremities 8 years after the transplantation [80]. Other case reports followed. FAP presents at approximately 7–9 years following domino LT, which is earlier than expected [81, 82] by the natural history of the disease. Factors that interfere with the natural history of the disease may play a role in the development of de novo ATTR. For instance, the use of immunosuppression to prevent organ rejection following DLT might contribute to the acceleration of ATTR symptoms in liver graft recipients from an FAP donor. The recipient’s age might be another contributing factor. Development of cardiac ATTR after LT for FAP has also been reported [83]. Thus, clinicians have to be conscious of amyloid development in recipients of such grafts, and screening protocols are required for their assessment.

Since ATTRm may also present with a mixed or predominantly cardiac phenotype, which leads to end-stage heart failure, HT has been combined with LT. The 10-year survival in ATTRm patients with cardiac involvement ranged from 47 to 71% [13, 74]. Isolated HT has been performed in selected patients with end-stage heart failure and absence of extracardiac amyloidosis. Importantly, the outcomes of patients with ATTRm receiving cardiac grafts has been very similar to that of patients with other indications for cardiac transplants [13].

Non-Val Met patients with cardiac involvement may also be candidates for LT and HT. The 5-year graft survival was satisfactory, but there were concerns regarding amyloid recurrence secondary to TTR production by the liver [84, 85]. Combined LT-HT has also been performed [86, 87]. Patients with familial TTR cardiac amyloidosis should be considered for combined HT-LT in highly specialized centers with established collaboration between cardiology, hepatology, and neurology teams (class IIA, level of evidence: B) [63].

For patients with wild-type ATTR (ATTRwt), LT has no place, since the disease is caused by non-mutated TTR. However, HT may be considered in selected patients, but most patients with ATTRwt are quite elderly and not eligible for HT. In a small cohort of 7 patients with ATTRwt (all male, with a mean age of 66 ± 9 years) who underwent HT between 2007 and 2015, the 3-year survival was 100%, and 1 patient died due to pancreatic cancer 45 months after transplant [88]. However, symptomatic gastrointestinal involvement (in 2 patients) and peripheral nerve involvement (in 4 patients) by ATTRwt developed subsequently.

Treatment options for patients with hereditary ATTR and ATTRwt are expanding. TTR tetramer stabilizers (such as tafamidis), which bind to the thyroxine-binding site of TTR, prevent the formation of β-pleated oligomers and inhibit amyloidogenesis. Tafamidis has received approval for patients with FAP in Europe and Japan [89, 90] and for patients with ATTRwt in the USA and Europe based on a randomized study [91]. RNA interference agents are able to control TTR production via interference with TTR messenger RNA and substantially reduce hepatic TTR synthesis, which suppresses amyloid production. Based on 2 different prospective randomized trials in patients with ATTRm neuropathy, 2 RNA-interfering agents, inotersen and patisiran, have been approved for the treatment in patients with hereditary TTR amyloidosis [92, 93]. These agents also seem to affect favorably cardiac amyloidosis [94, 95] due to ATTRm and are under investigation for other indications and ATTRwt. Agents that target amyloid deposits to assist in their clearance are also in clinical development.

AA Amyloidosis and Organ Transplantation

Renal involvement is seen in most cases of AA amyloidosis, which eventually progresses to ESRD. Efforts to pharmacologically delay the progression of renal disease have poor results [9, 96]. A large multicentric retrospective survey in France presented data from 59 patients with AA amyloidosis and ESRD who underwent renal transplantation [97]. The cohort included 25 patients with chronic inflammatory disorders (inflammatory arthritis, Still’s disease, Crohn’s disease, and Hodgkin’s lymphoma), 21 patients with hereditary periodic fever syndromes (familial Mediterranean fever, Schnitzler’s syndrome, and tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic syndrome), 10 patients with chronic infections (tuberculosis and cystic fibrosis), and 3 patients with undetermined primary etiology for AA amyloidosis. Prior to re-
nal transplantation, 49.1% of patients had been treated with steroids, and 26.3% had received immunosuppressive drugs, including colchicine for familial Mediterranean fever. Median time from AA diagnosis to ESRD was 3 years, and median time from ESRD to renal transplantation was 2.6 years. The median follow-up time was 5.5 years, and 5- and 10-year survival rates were significantly lower compared to the control group (82.5 vs. 94.2% at 5 years, \( p = 0.028 \), and 61.7 vs. 83.4% at 10 years, \( p = 0.013 \), respectively). Biopsy-proven recurrence of renal AA occurred in 8 patients (14%), leading to allograft loss in 4 patients. Death was mainly due to cardiovascular complications and severe infections, and mortality was increased compared to the control group.

In another series of 43 AA patients who underwent renal transplantation, SAA levels were measured 6 months prior to transplantation. Allograft survival and recurrence of amyloidosis correlated with SAA levels. Graft survival was 14.5 years in patients with SAA levels <10 mg/L, and 7.8 years in those with SAA >10 mg/L, but this difference was not statistically significant; however, median SAA value was significantly higher among patients with recurrent kidney amyloidosis (\( p = 0.04 \)) [52]. Thus, close follow-up is required after transplantation, and SAA level monitoring could be utilized to determine high-risk patients for allograft amyloid recurrence. New treatments may offer additional options to control inflammation after kidney transplantation and reduce the risk of recurrence [98–100]. Although data are scarce, given the limited availability of therapeutic options, following careful patient selection, renal transplantation seems currently to be a suitable therapeutic approach for patients with AA amyloidosis with ESRD.

**Organ Transplantation in Other Types of Amyloidosis**

Lysozyme amyloidosis (ALys) is a hereditary form of systemic nonneuropathic amyloidosis, which is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion. The precursor protein is lysozyme, a ubiquitous bacteriolytic enzyme synthesized by hepatocytes, neutrophils, and macrophages. Symptomatic liver and gastrointestinal amyloidosis is common, as well as renal involvement. In a small cohort from NAC [101], 4 patients received OLT and 3 received renal transplantation. In all cases, graft function and survival were excellent at the time of censoring, so that LT and renal transplantation appear to be successful for patients with ALys and liver rupture or ESRD.

Fibrinogen amyloidosis (AFib) is a hereditary systemic amyloid disease with visceral, vascular, cardiac, and neurologic involvement, caused by variants of fibrinogen A α-chain. Variant fibrinogen is produced in the liver, and solitary renal allografts fail within 1–7 years due to recurrent amyloidosis [102] with LT combined with renal transplant being the most promising therapies [103–105]. In a report of 22 patients who were evaluated, 9 patients received combined LT and kidney transplantation; most were excluded due to advanced systemic atheromatosis and increased cardiovascular risk associated with AFib. At a median follow-up of 67 months, 6 of 9 patients were alive (67%), with good allograft function and no amyloidosis; 4 explanted livers were used successfully for domino transplantations. In another report [52], 19 patients with AFib underwent renal transplantation receiving a total of 21 allografts, including 9 patients who received combined LT and kidney transplant. Four of 10 patients with kidney transplant alone died, and among 9 patients who received combined transplants, 3 died in the early postoperative period. Median graft survival in patients who received isolated kidney transplant was 7.3 years and 6.4 years in those who received combined transplants. Five- and 10-year graft survival was 85 and 30%, respectively, in patients with an isolated renal transplant, and 63 and 31% in those with combined LT and renal transplant. Recurrent amyloid was identified in the renal allografts of 7 patients, all of whom had isolated renal transplant, while no patient with combined transplant developed renal allograft amyloid.

Patients with hereditary apolipoprotein AI (apoAI) amyloidosis often develop ESRD, but solid organ transplantation is controversial due to the multisystem and progressive nature of the disease and the risk of recurrence of amyloid in the graft. In a report of 10 patients with apoAI, all of whom received a renal transplant, 2 had both heart and kidney grafts, and 2 received both LT and kidney transplants, followed for 9 years after transplantation, 8 were alive, and 7 had a functioning graft at censoring. The renal transplant of 1 patient failed due to recurrence of amyloid after 25 years. Amyloid disease progression was very slow, and the natural history of the condition was favorably altered in both LT cases.

**Conclusions**

Following the introduction of modern highly effective therapies for precursor proteins and advances in solid organ transplantation, with better management of...
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