Targeting HER2 in Biliary Tract Carcinomas: Challenges and Opportunities

Dieter Koeberle^a Ralph Fritsch^b

^aDepartment of Medical Oncology and Hematology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ^bDepartment of Medical Oncology and Hematology, Claraspital Basel and University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Biliary tract carcinomas (BTCs) constitute a clinically and genetically heterogeneous group of malignancies arising from the biliary epithelium. The four established clinicopathological subtypes of BTCs (intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, ICC; perihilar cholangiocarcinoma, PCC; distal extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, ECC; gallbladder cancer, GBC) show partially overlapping genomic profiles, yet the prevalence of the various molecular subgroups vary significantly between these groups (Fig. 1). ICCs show the highest abundance of targetable genomic alterations, while ECCs, PCCs and GBCs are characterized by a high prevalence of currently undruggable alterations including activating mutations in *KRAS*.

Personalized treatment for the distinct molecular subgroups of BTC is rapidly emerging, gradually shifting the treatment landscape for this difficult-to-treat tumor entity. In 2020, the FDA granted approval for pemigatinib, a selective FGFR 1–3 inhibitor, for pre-treated unresectable cholangiocarcinoma harboring genomic rearrangements of *FGFR2*, based on data from the phase II FIGHT-202 trial [1]. Both pemigatinib and infigratinib, another selective FGFR 1–3 inhibitor, are currently being evaluated against chemotherapy for 1st line treatment in phase III trials (NCT03656536, NCT03773302) [2]. FGFR2 blockade in FGFR2-altered BTCs is consistently associated with high response rates, making this approach also highly feasible for molecular conversion treatment in the curative-intent setting [3]. The second subgroup of BTCs with a clinically already advanced targeting strategy is those harboring *IDH1* hotspot mutations. For this subgroup, the phase III ClarIDHy trial showed improved progression-free survival (PFS), however not overall survival (OS), for treatment with IDH1 inhibitor ivosidenib

over placebo [4]. Consequently, ivosidenib is undergoing FDA priority review in this indication. Beyond *FGFR2* and *IDH1* alterations, precision treatment for several other smaller molecular subgroups of BTC is gaining increased attention. Less common molecular subgroups include BTCs with high microsatellite instability (MSI-H) [5] and BTCs harboring oncogenic mutations with in the BRAF serine/threonine kinase [6] as well as tumors harboring mutations or amplifications of the *HER2/neu* oncoprotein.

The article by Jacobi et al. [7] within this issue reports focuses on the latter subgroup. The authors report the prevalence of *HER2/neu* genomic alterations in a large real-life cohort of BTCs and illustrate therapeutic targeting of *HER2/neu* in BTC by reporting 3 example cases. The *HER2/neu* oncoprotein is among the best established oncogenic drivers and therapeutic targets in precision oncology. Also for BTCs, *HER2/neu* is an attractive molecular target, particularly since – in contrast to *FGFR2* and *IDH1* alterations – *HER2/neu* activating mutations or copy number alterations also relatively frequently occur in PCC, ECC and GBC. In this respect, results reported by Jacobi et al. are in agreement with previously reported findings [8–10].

Despite the abundance of *HER2/neu* alterations and the available of a rapidly growing range of potent and selective drugs targeting *HER2/neu*, only limited data are available from prospective trials investigating therapeutic targeting of *HER2/neu* in BTCs. In the NCT02091141 (“My Pathway”) phase 2 prospective study, 11 patients with BTCs harboring *Her2* overexpression or amplification [8], or activating mutation [3], received a combination treatment with trastuzumab and pertuzumab. Four

Fig. 1. Targetable genomic alterations in distinct anatomical subtypes of biliary tract carcinoma (BTC). ICC, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; PCC, perihilar cholangiocarcinoma; ECC, distal extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; GBC, gallbladder cancer; dMMR/

MSI-H, mismatch repair-deficient/microsatellite instability-high; HRD, homologous recombination deficiency; SNV, single nucleotide variant (modified from Akhoundova, Hussung and Fritsch, *healthbook TIMES Onco Hema* 2020;(5):52–59).

of these patients showed a partial response and further 3 patients stable disease for more than 4 months [11]. Further retrospective studies and case reports reported on small number of patients treated with heterogeneous combinations of Her2 antibodies +/- chemotherapy [12]. Less encouraging prospective treatment data are available for tyrosine kinase inhibitor lapatinib, evaluated in two phase 2 studies, showing lack of activity in molecular unselected patients' population [13, 14]. Two of the patients reported by Jacobi et al. [7] received oral HER2 inhibitor neratinib within a non-specified clinical trial. The phase 2 SUMMIT basket trial treated patients with BTCs harboring activating mutations in HER2/neu with neratinib, observing an objective response rate of 2/19 and disease control rate of 6/19 patients, respectively. Median PFS in this cohort was reported to be 1.8 months [15]. Trials testing newer HER2-targeting agents in BTC are ongoing including antibody-drug conjugate trastuzumab deruxtecan [16], a compound with very encouraging response rates in HER2-positive breast [17], gastric [18] and colorectal cancers [19].

While targeting HER2/neu in BTCs shows considerable promise, there are also significant limitations and unmet challenges to overcome. Thus, it remains unclear how to best distinguish in a given case between a HER2/neu oncogenic driver alterations and mere passenger events. Deciphering the role of HER2/neu in an individual tumor requires both detailed information on the al-

teration identified and the genetic context it occurs in. For instance, while HER2/neu amplifications in a *KRAS* wild type background are well-established targets for precision treatment, validated across several entities and with proven therapeutic potential, the oncogenic impact of activating *HER2/neu* mutations are much less clear. Similarly, the best way of targeting HER2/neu activating mutations in a given entity remains to be established [20]. From Jacobi et al. [7], we neither learn about the co-alterations found in BTCs with identified HER2/neu alterations nor essential details about HER2/neu copy numbers or HER2/neu mutation variant allele frequencies. Both critical parameters also are not routinely reported by Foundation One CDx, which in the context of HER2/neu-directed precision treatment, is a major limitation of the test.

In summary, prospective precision oncology trials establishing the best possible targeting strategies for the distinct type of HER2/neu alterations found in BTC are urgently required in order to exploit the full potential of HER2/neu targeting in BTCs. In the meantime, detailed reporting of real-world data can help to better establish a precision oncology workflow for HER2/neu testing and targeting in BTC. From a clinician's perspective, many questions remain including timing and modality of testing (tissue vs. liquid biopsy, which test?) as well as correct interpretation of results in the genomic context of a given tumor and the individual patient's clinical situation.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Author Contributions

Both authors contributed equally to this editorial.

Funding Sources

The authors have received no funding for this editorial.

References

- 1 Abou-Alfa GK, Sahai V, Hollebecque A, Vaccaro G, Melisi D, Al-Rajabi R, et al. Pemigatinib for previously treated, locally advanced or metastatic cholangiocarcinoma: a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020 May;21(5):671–84.
- 2 Javle MM, et al. Infigratinib versus gemcitabine plus cisplatin multicenter, open-label, randomized, phase 3 study in patients with advanced cholangiocarcinoma with FGFR2 gene fusions/translocations: The PROOF trial. 2019;37:TPS4155. doi:https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2019.37.15_suppl.TPS4155.
- 3 Petrowsky H, Fritsch R, Guckenberger M, De Oliveira ML, Dutkowski P, Clavien PA. Modern therapeutic approaches for the treatment of malignant liver tumours. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020 Dec;17(12):755–72.
- 4 Lowery MA, et al. ClarIDHy: A phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind study of AG-120 vs placebo in patients with an advanced cholangiocarcinoma with an IDH1 mutation. 2017;35:TPS4142. doi:https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.TPS4142.
- 5 Le DT, et al. PD-1 Blockade in Tumors with Mismatch-Repair Deficiency. 2015;372:2509–2520. doi:https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1500596.
- 6 Wainberg ZA, et al. Efficacy and safety of dabrafenib (D) and trametinib (T) in patients (pts) with BRAF V600E-mutated biliary tract cancer (BTC): A cohort of the ROAR basket trial. 2019; 37: 187. doi:https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2019.37.4_suppl.187.
- 7 Jacobi O, Ross JS, Goshen-Lago T, Haddad R, Moore A, Sulkes A, et al. ERBB2 Pathway in Biliary Tract Carcinoma: Clinical Implications of a Targetable Pathway. *Oncol Res Treat*. 2020 Dec;1–8.
- 8 Jusakul A, Cutcutache I, Yong CH, Lim JQ, Huang MN, Padmanabhan N, et al. Whole-Genome and Epigenomic Landscapes of Etiologically Distinct Subtypes of Cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov*. 2017 Oct;7(10):1116–35.
- 9 Lowery MA, et al. Comprehensive Molecular Profiling of Intrahepatic and Extrahepatic Cholangiocarcinomas: Potential Targets for Intervention. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*. 2018;24:4154–4161. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0078.
- 10 Valle JW, Lamarca A, Goyal L, Barriuso J, Zhu AX. New Horizons for Precision Medicine in Biliary Tract Cancers. *Cancer Discov*. 2017 Sep;7(9):943–62.
- 11 Javle MM, et al. Pertuzumab + trastuzumab for HER2-positive metastatic biliary cancer: Preliminary data from MyPathway. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2017; 35(4): 402. doi:https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.35.4_suppl.402.
- 12 Yarlagadda B, Kamatham V, Ritter A, Shahjehan F. A ERBB2-amplified HER2-positive refractory cholangiocarcinoma. *npj Precision Oncology* 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-019-0091-4.
- 13 Ramanathan RK, Belani CP, Singh DA, Tanaka M, Lenz HJ, Yen Y, et al. A phase II study of lapatinib in patients with advanced biliary tree and hepatocellular cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2009 Sep;64(4):777–83.
- 14 Peck J, Wei L, Zalupski M, O'Neil B, Villalona Calero M, Bekaii-Saab T. HER2/neu may not be an interesting target in biliary cancers: results of an early phase II study with lapatinib. *Oncology*. 2012;82(3):175–9.
- 15 Harding J, Cleary J, Shapiro G, Braña I, Moreno V, Quinn D, et al. Treating HER2-mutant advanced biliary tract cancer with neratinib: benefits of HER2-directed targeted therapy in the phase 2 SUMMIT 'basket' trial. *Ann Oncol*. 2019;30:iv127.
- 16 Ohba A, Morizane C, Ueno M, Kobayashi S, Kawamoto Y, Komatsu Y, et al. Multicenter phase II study of trastuzumab deruxtecan (DS-8201) for HER2-positive unresectable or recurrent biliary tract cancer: HERB trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38 15_suppl:TPS4654.
- 17 Modi S, Saura C, Yamashita T, Park YH, Kim SB, Tamura K, et al.; DESTINY-Breast01 Investigators. Trastuzumab Deruxtecan in Previously Treated HER2-Positive Breast Cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Feb;382(7):610–21.
- 18 Shitara K, Bang YJ, Iwasa S, Sugimoto N, Ryu MH, Sakai D, et al.; DESTINY-Gastric01 Investigators. Trastuzumab Deruxtecan in Previously Treated HER2-Positive Gastric Cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Jun;382(25):2419–30.
- 19 Siena S, Di Bartolomeo M, Raghav KP, Masuishi T, Loupakis F, Kawakami H, et al. A phase II, multicenter, open-label study of trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd; DS-8201) in patients (pts) with HER2-expressing metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): DESTINY-CRC01. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38 15_suppl:4000.
- 20 Connell CM, Doherty GJ. Activating HER2 mutations as emerging targets in multiple solid cancers. *ESMO Open*. 2017 Nov; 2(5):e000279.