Molecular and Cellular Function of Transcription Factor 4 in Pitt-Hopkins Syndrome

Huei-Ying Chen^a Joseph F. Bohlen^a Brady J. Maher^{a, b, c}

^aLieber Institute for Brain Development, Johns Hopkins Medical Campus, Baltimore, MD, USA;

^bDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA;

^cDepartment of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Keywords

Transcription factor 4 · Neurodevelopmental disorder · Pitt-Hopkins syndrome · Oligodendrocyte · Basic helix-loop-helix

Abstract

Transcription factor 4 (TCF4, also known as ITF2 or E2-2) is a type I basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor. Autosomal dominant mutations in TCF4 cause Pitt-Hopkins syndrome (PTHS), a rare syndromic form of autism spectrum disorder. In this review, we provide an update on the progress regarding our understanding of TCF4 function at the molecular, cellular, physiological, and behavioral levels with a focus on phenotypes and therapeutic interventions. We examine upstream and downstream regulatory networks associated with TCF4 and discuss a range of in vitro and in vivo data with the aim of understanding emerging TCF4-specific mechanisms relevant for disease pathophysiology. In conclusion, we provide comments about exciting future avenues of research that may provide insights into potential new therapeutic targets for PTHS.

© 2021 S. Karger AG, Basel

TCF4 Mutations in Pitt-Hopkins Syndrome

Transcription factor 4 (*TCF4*) is a gene located on chromosome 18 that encodes a type I basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor and dimerizes with itself or other members within the same protein family. This dimer complex of E-proteins recognizes E-box (CANNTG) sequences located within promoter and enhancer regions of target genes and regulates their expression [1, 2]. Autosomal dominant mutations in *TCF4* are causal for a rare neurodevelopmental disorder called Pitt-Hopkins syndrome (PTHS), first described in 2 unrelated patients in 1978 [3]. Reliable figures for prevalence of this disorder are not available [4]; however, estimates range between 1 in 34,000 and 1 in 41,000 births [5]. PTHS predominantly arises from de novo mutations within the *TCF4* gene locus, with rare instances of parental mosaicism [6, 7]. Clinically, patients are characterized by intellectual disability, developmental delay, breathing abnormalities, absent or limited speech, motor delay, seizure, constipation, and facial features including wide mouth and a broad nasal base with a high bridge [5, 8–11]. Seizure activity is observed in approximately 30–50% of patients [4, 5, 12]. In addition, brain imaging studies iden-

tify several abnormalities that vary between patients, including underdevelopment of the corpus callosum, smaller hippocampus, enlarged caudate nuclei, and cerebellar and vermis hypoplasia [9, 10, 13–15]. Many of these features are not fully penetrant and can complicate diagnosis. Therefore, accurate diagnosis requires genetic screening to rule out other disorders that share overlapping clinical characteristics such as Rett syndrome, Angelman syndrome, and Mowat-Wilson syndrome [13, 14, 16].

A variety of causal mutations within the *TCF4* locus have been identified and include both missense and nonsense mutations that range from point mutations and small deletions to large truncations in the *TCF4* gene. Depending on the mutation, the affected allele leads to haploinsufficient expression of TCF4 protein or expression of a truncated/mutated TCF4 protein which is capable of acting in a dominant-negative or hypomorphic manner [17, 18]. Indeed, the majority of de novo mutations lie within the evolutionary conserved bHLH domain of *TCF4* that is required for dimerization and subsequent DNA binding. Mutations in the 5' end of the gene that are upstream of regulatory regions and only affect expression of long isoforms are associated with mild to moderate nonsyndromic intellectual disability without the typical features of PTHS [19–21]. Attempts to correlate genotype and phenotype are reported with mixed results. One report found a positive correlation among patients harboring missense mutations with a high probability of developing seizures [5]; however, this correlation was not present in another study [9]. These mixed results are likely due to a combination of small sample sizes and variation in genetic background. Therefore, as more patients are identified and characterized, confidence in genotype/phenotype correlations may increase.

Molecular Biology of TCF4

The human *TCF4* gene spans 437 kbs on chromosome 18q21.2 and contains 41 exons. Considering both alternative splicing and alternate transcription initiation sites, so far 18 unique protein isoforms, with differing N-terminals and relatively conserved C-terminals, are identified [22]. Among these 18 N-terminal distinct types of protein isoforms, 5 are expressed only in the testis (TCF4-J, TCF4-K, TCF4-L, TCF4-M, and TCF4-N) [22]. Functional domain analysis identified that TCF4 contains two canonical activation domains, AD1 and AD2, a TFIID-interacting domain (AD3), a bHLH motif located near

the C-terminus, and a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) [17, 22, 23]. The complexity of this genomic structure appears evolutionarily conserved across species. Type I bHLH protein tends to be widely expressed across diverse tissues, and similarly *Tcf4* is expressed in a variety of organs [22]. However, the highest level of *Tcf4* expression is found in the CNS, where expression is observed in most major brain structures [22]. *Tcf4* expression is also developmentally regulated, with the most abundant expression observed during fetal development [24–26].

The function of TCF4 is further complicated by the large number of alternatively spliced transcripts and the resulting protein isoforms. The functional properties of specific protein isoforms depend on which 5' exon and internal exons are included in the translated protein. Both the in vitro subcellular localization and transcriptional control are differentially regulated based on isoform specificity. For instance, isoforms containing the NLS are found localized to the nucleus, whereas isoforms lacking the NLS require a heterodimerization partner to gain access to the nucleus through a piggy-back mechanism [22]. Complexity quickly multiplies when considering how each PTHS-associated mutation may affect the function of specific protein isoforms. Two groups carried out a detailed analysis of PTHS-associated mutations and assessed the functional consequences of a variety of mutations [17, 27]. They showed that missense mutations in the bHLH domain and reading frame elongating mutations damage DNA-binding and transactivation function in a manner dependent on dimer context. Elongating and missense mutations at the dimer interface of the bHLH domain destabilizes the protein, whereas missense mutations outside of the bHLH domain cause no apparent functional deficits. In vitro transcription assays using these various mutants led to variable context-specific impairments ranging from hypomorphic to dominant-negative effects and suggests these diverse mechanisms could possibly contribute to phenotypic variability observed in PTHS patients [17, 18, 27].

Understanding upstream regulation of TCF4 expression and transcription is critical to developing therapeutic strategies designed to overcome TCF4 haploinsufficiency; however, our current understanding of this regulation is limited. Hennig and colleagues [28] showed that pharmacological activation of the WNT/ β -catenin pathway in induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)-derived neural progenitor cells (NPC) and neurons derived from PTHS patients led to an increase in *TCF4* expression. In addition, they and others showed upstream modification of chromatin regulatory elements through inhibition of

class I histone deacetylases (HDAC) also increased *TCF4* expression [28, 29]. Another identified upstream regulator of *Tcf4* is TCF3, an E-box protein family member. *Tcf3* expression precedes *Tcf4* expression in the developing cortex, and knockout of *Tcf3* reduced TCF4 protein expression, likely through TCF3 binding to an E-box sequence within the second exon of *Tcf4* [25]. Last, the maternally imprinted transcriptional regulator ZAC1/PLAGL1 was identified to be an upstream regulator of *Tcf4* expression in a mouse neural stem cell line. ZAC1 regulates *Tcf4* expression by binding the proximal promoter and first intron of a long isoform of *Tcf4* (*Tcf4-b*), but not the promoter of the shorter isoform (*Tcf4-a*) [30]. Together, these studies identify upstream regulatory pathways that are capable of increasing *Tcf4* expression and therefore could be suitable therapeutic targets. However, these pathways regulate a broad set of downstream genes in a context-dependent manner and therefore may lack the specificity that is necessary when considering their therapeutic potential.

An additional therapeutic approach involves activation of TCF4-mediated transcription through manipulation of neuronal activity. Sepp and colleagues [31] showed that depolarization of primary cortical neurons significantly enhanced TCF4-dependent transcription. They demonstrated this regulation was triggered by calcium influx and required PKA-dependent phosphorylation of TCF4 [31]. In addition, a functional requirement for upstream regulation by calcium influx and phosphorylation of TCF4 was demonstrated in vivo, whereby TCF4 overexpression in the developing rat prefrontal cortex produced an abnormal distribution of pyramidal neurons within cortical columns. This phenotype required activity-dependent Ca^{2+} signaling and TCF4 phosphorylation [31, 32]. The activity-dependent regulation of TCF4 function has important implications for its involvement in brain development, learning and memory, all of which are disrupted in PTHS. However, safely and effectively manipulating neuronal activity presents significant challenges as a therapeutic intervention.

As a member of the E-box protein family, TCF4 functions as a transcriptional regulator. Identifying genes regulated by TCF4 is critical to gaining insights about disease etiology and potential therapeutic targets. Unfortunately, the E-box consensus motif, “CANNTG,” is relatively nonspecific, and the complex context-specific nature of bHLH dimers precludes in silico identification of genes regulated by TCF4. ChIP-seq studies in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells by two independent labs identified over 10,000 TCF4-binding sites across the genome that could

be assigned to over 5,500 genes [33, 34]. Enrichment analysis of TCF4 target genes identified functions associated with nervous system development, neurogenesis, and ion transport. Moreover, *TCF4* knockdown in the same neuroblastoma cell line led to 1,204 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), and these DEGs were involved in several signaling pathways including TGF- β signaling, epithelial to mesenchymal transition, and apoptosis. In addition, knockdown of *TCF4* led to differential expression of several neurodevelopmental disorder genes including UBE3A, FRM1, and MEF2C [35]. However, cellular context is an important consideration, as *TCF4* knockdown in a human NPC line led to identification of DEGs associated with the cell cycle [36]. Hennig and colleagues [28] generated a stable human NPC line that overexpressed a flag-tagged TCF4 (isoform 10) and performed ChIP-seq on differentiated neurons. Among all the binding sites observed, they noted sites near genes encoding the synaptic proteins *GRIA1* and *DLG2*. In addition to these whole-genome ChIP-seq approaches, several ChIP-qPCR studies have identified direct targets of TCF4 binding and include *Bmp7* [37], *Nrxn1* [38], *Gadd45g* [31], *Gjb2*, and *Plp1* [39]. Beyond these ChIP-seq studies, several groups have identified specific downstream targets of TCF4 using a variety of cell and animal models. Manipulation of TCF4 expression in these models leads to altered expression of a variety of downstream targets which include two ion channels, *Scn10a* (Nav1.8) and *Kcnq1* (Kv7.1) [26], *Wnt7b* [40], *Gadd45g* [31], *Nrxn1* [27, 38], *Syn*, and *Dlg1* [41]. The role of these downstream target genes in the generation of phenotypes will be discussed in greater detail below. Together, these studies indicate TCF4 regulates a variety of neural genes that are involved in early development, differentiation, intrinsic excitability, synapses, and survival. However, TCF4 is expressed in a variety of cell types in the central nervous system (see below), and therefore it will be useful to generate cell-specific ChIP-seq datasets to improve our understanding of TCF4 regulation in specific cellular contexts.

TCF4 at the Cellular Level

The functional significance of any gene is often associated with its spatiotemporal expression pattern, and fortunately a detailed understanding of TCF4 expression pattern is emerging within specific cell types of the brain. Early RNA sequencing studies from human postmortem brain and qPCR of rat brain samples showed that *TCF4* expression is elevated during fetal development and be-

gins to subside around birth but is still present in the adult brain [26]. These findings were then replicated in the mouse, rhesus monkey, and human [24, 25, 42, 43], suggesting an evolutionarily conserved role of TCF4 across species. More detailed studies in mouse show *Tcf4* is turned on early in brain development, around embryonic day 11.5 (E11.5) where it is highly expressed in proliferative zones and primordia of the cortex, hippocampus, cerebellum, amygdala, striatum, and hypothalamus [42]. This high expression seemingly follows the early wave of neurogenesis as the migrating postmitotic neurons begin to populate cortical layer 5/6. Although its expression level begins to be downregulated after E11.5 in the ventricular and subventricular zones, TCF4 remains moderately expressed in the ventricular/subventricular zones and can be detected in later born neurons that eventually become layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons. This type of developmental regulation of TCF4 across different proliferation/maturation stages is not present in the hippocampus, where TCF4 expression persists at high levels both embryonically and postnatally [42], partially due to ongoing neurogenesis that continues into adulthood in the hippocampus.

Cell type-specific distribution of TCF4 was elegantly demonstrated using a *Tcf4* reinstatement mouse model in which a GFP reporter is under the control of the endogenous *Tcf4* promoter, thus allowing regional and cell type-specific visualization of TCF4 expression [44]. Kim and colleagues [44] showed that TCF4 is expressed in several major cell types of the brain including excitatory and inhibitory neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (OLs). This includes three major subclasses of all cortical inhibitory neurons, categorized by expression of PV, SOM, and 5HT3aR. However, microglia which are labeled by the marker IBA1 do not appear to express TCF4. This specificity across these four major cell types was consistent across the cortex and hippocampus; however, in the striatum, PV and SOM interneuron populations expressed TCF4 but not medium spiny projection neurons or cholinergic interneurons. In the cerebellum, TCF4 is enriched in the molecular and internal granule layers but is absent in the external granule layer and Purkinje cell layers at P10 and older. Together, these expression data indicate TCF4 is critical during early brain development, but continues to have functional relevance in specific cell types throughout the lifespan. This developmental and cell type-specific expression pattern complicates the timeframe for when therapeutic approaches will be most amenable and whether specific cell types should be targeted.

Phenotypes Associated with Altered TCF4 Expression: Development

The peak of *Tcf4* expression coincides with cortical neurogenesis, migration, and neuronal maturation, suggesting it plays a role in regulating these processes as shown in Figure 1. To begin to understand the functional role of TCF4 during development, several groups have performed TCF4 loss-of-function (LOF) studies in the developing mouse and fruit fly brain. These studies have observed several consistent phenotypes that indicate disease-causing mutations have profound effects on early brain development. In *Drosophila*, LOF of Daughterless (Da), a *Tcf4* ortholog, disrupts neural development and leads to complete loss of peripheral neurons [45]. These various developmental defects can be rescued by overexpression of human TCF4 in the fly nervous system early in development [46]. Similarly, in the mouse, *Tcf4*'s functional role begins early in cortical development as it regulates NPC proliferation and lineage specification of pyramidal neurons in the developing cortex [25, 30, 43] and hippocampus [40]. In addition, TCF4 LOF is reported to disrupt neuronal migration in the cortex [25, 37] and hippocampus which may be due to abnormal radial glial fibers [40]. These early developmental phenotypes likely contribute to the abnormal distribution of cortical pyramidal cells within cortical layers and columns [25, 32, 43], reduced cortical thickness, hypoplasia of the hippocampus, malformations of the corpus callosum, and reduced brain weight [40, 43, 47, 48], which are concordant phenotypes observed in the PTHS patient population [9, 10, 13–15].

Altered early brain development due to TCF4 mutation sets the stage for abnormal neuronal and circuit development, and therefore several studies have focused on the effects of TCF4 LOF in neurons. For instance, TCF4 appears to be critical for neurite development as several studies show defects in neurite length and complexity in the mouse cortex and olfactory bulb due to TCF4 gain-of-function and LOF [25, 38, 47]. In addition, TCF4 appears to regulate the development of synapses. TCF4 LOF was shown to decrease the number of synaptophysin puncta in primary neuronal cultures [25] and increase the number of synaptic boutons in the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction through regulation of Neurexin [38]. TCF4 is also shown to regulate intrinsic excitability, synaptic transmission, and synaptic plasticity. Embryonic knockout of *Tcf4* led to downregulation of *Kcna1* (Kv1.1), a voltage-gated potassium channel involved in a variety of human diseases [43, 49]. In addition, knock-

Fig. 1. Disease-causing mutations in *Tcf4* disrupt brain development at various developmental stages and ultimately compromise behavior. TCF4 is expressed in radial glial cells (RGs), progenitor cells, excitatory neurons, inhibitory neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs), and oligodendrocytes (OLs). In the neuronal lineage, *Tcf4* mutation disrupts progenitor proliferation and fate specification, migration, differentiation, maturation, morphology, excitability, and plasticity. In the OL lineage, *Tcf4*

mutation enhances OPC proliferation and reduces the density of mature OLs. TCF4 is expressed in astrocytes and interneurons, but how *Tcf4* mutation in these cell types affects their function is unknown. Ultimately, these developmental phenotypes lead to behavioral deficits in PTHS mouse models. Bold text indicates a biological process for which *Tcf4* mutation produces a phenotype. iOL, immature oligodendrocyte; mOL, mature oligodendrocyte.

down of *Tcf4* in the rat prefrontal cortex caused two peripherally enriched ion channels, *Scn10a* (Nav1.8) and *Kcnq1* (Kv7.1), to be ectopically expressed in the brain, which resulted in abnormal membrane excitability of pyramidal neurons [26, 43]. These results led to the hypothesis that inhibition of Nav1.8 may be a potential therapeutic target for PTHS. Interestingly, a high-throughput drug screen against Nav1.8 identified the FDA-approved calcium channel blocker nicardipine [50], and treatment of a PTHS mouse model with nicardipine led to rescue of a variety of behavioral deficits [51]. Lastly, in several TCF4 LOF mouse models, hippocampal long-term synaptic plasticity was exaggerated, and evoked synaptic transmission showed elevated NMDA receptor function. Both of these phenotypes were consistent with deficits observed in several hippocampus-dependent behaviors [29, 48].

Phenotypes Associated with Altered TCF4 Expression: Cell-Type Specificity

TCF4 expression is widespread across the brain and body, yet it is heterogeneous within the same tissue, as its expression is often observed only in a subset of cell types within the tissue. For instance, in the striatum, PV-positive GABAergic neurons express TCF4, whereas the GABAergic medium spiny neurons do not [44]. Such cell type-specific regulation indicates TCF4 is playing unique roles in a context-dependent manner. Additional examples exist showing TCF4 is critical for the genesis and/or survival of certain cellular populations. For instance, TCF4 is an essential regulator of plasmacytoid dendritic cell (PDC) development [52]. PDCs are a distinct type of immune cell that specialize in type I interferon (IFN α) secretion in response to viral nucleic acids, and TCF4

LOF completely prevents the production of PDCs and IFN α . Moreover, this phenotype was observed in blood samples from PTHS patients, where a significant reduction in the number of PDCs and IFN α was observed [52]. In addition, TCF4 LOF was shown to disrupt development in the midbrain whereby a lack of MATH1/TCF4 heterodimers resulted in the loss of a restricted neuronal population within the pontine nucleus [53]. During dopaminergic neurogenesis, TCF4 LOF causes temporal dysregulation of developmentally relevant genes such as *Th*, *Nxph3*, and *Ahd2*, likely through upregulation of *Ascl1*, and suggests TCF4 regulates differentiation of a subset of dopaminergic neurons [54]. Lastly, two studies show TCF4 is critical for OL differentiation and/or survival in the spinal cord and brain [24, 39]. Both groups demonstrated *Tcf4* mutation led to a cell autonomous reduction in mature OLs and a concomitant reduction in myelination. Phan and colleagues [24] further demonstrated the reduced myelination resulted in a functional deficit, whereby a larger proportion of action potentials were observed traveling along unmyelinated axons in the corpus callosum. Furthermore, Wedel and colleagues [39] demonstrated that defects in OL differentiation appear to involve TCF4 preferentially binding to OLIG2, a bHLH domain transcription factor critical for OL development. Moreover, OLIG1, a bHLH family member that is required for OL differentiation, was shown to be downregulated in *Tcf4* knockout mice [43]. Together, these results indicate that TCF4 is critical in the regulation of cellular differentiation and/or survival across numerous cell types in the body and brain. However, our understanding of TCF4 expression and regulation of cell type-specific development is limited, as knowledge of TCF4-specific functions in the majority of these cell types is still lacking.

Phenotypes Associated with Altered TCF4 Expression: Behavior

How the molecular, cellular, and physiological phenotypes add up to the clinical features of PTHS is complex. One potential way forward is to determine which phenotypes underlie specific behavioral deficits in the PTHS mouse model. If rescue of cellular and/or circuit level phenotypes can normalize behavioral deficits, this may improve confidence that a potential therapy could be developed for humans. Fortunately, several groups have now demonstrated consistent behavioral deficits across a variety of PTHS mouse models. Initially, Kennedy and

colleagues [29] showed that TCF4 haploinsufficient animals were hyperactive and displayed deficits in social interaction, ultrasonic vocalization, prepulse inhibition, and spatial and associative learning and memory. Many of these behavioral deficits were then replicated by Thaxton and colleagues [48] in a study that characterized four genetically different PTHS mouse models. Across these mouse models, they observed hyperactivity, reduced anxiety, and deficits in spatial learning [48]. A third study has also replicated these initial behavioral deficits along with identifying additional abnormalities including abnormal nesting, increased self-grooming, and reduced grip strength [51]. It is worth noting that some of these behavioral phenotypes are also present in fruit flies. Silencing of *Da* was shown to impair appetitive associative learning and memory in fly larvae and locomotion in adult flies [41].

One important step to identifying therapies for PTHS is to demonstrate rescue of behavioral deficits in the PTHS mouse model. Along these lines, HDAC inhibitors, administered either with intraperitoneal injection of the broad-spectrum inhibitor SAHA or via intracerebroventricular injection of antisense oligonucleotide against *Hdac2*, were shown to be effective at rescuing contextual and trace-cued threat recognition memory in a PTHS mouse model [29]. Similarly, in fruit flies, feeding SAHA was able to rescue the negative geotaxis phenotype associated with silencing *Da* in female flies [41]. In addition, the dihydropyridine calcium channel inhibitor, nifedipine, was shown to be effective at rescuing a variety of behavioral deficits in the PTHS mouse model. This effect was potentially through blockade of the sodium channel Nav1.8 [51], which was found upregulated in the brain after TCF4 downregulation [26]. Finally, an exciting future direction concerns the elegantly created *Tcf4* reinstatement mouse, which allows for genetic rescue of TCF4 expression in a cell type- and/or temporally-specific manner [44]. Future experiments will determine if reinstatement of TCF4 in specific cell types can lead to cellular and behavioral rescue, thus allowing for the identification of specific cell populations that may be amenable to therapeutic targeting. Moreover, such a model may help optimize the ideal temporal timeframe of rescue for specific cell types, which will be essential for the development of therapies. If behavioral phenotypes are amenable to rescue when TCF4 is reinstated in postnatal mice, it would suggest gene therapy approaches may be beneficial to PTHS patients. However, if postnatal reinstatement of TCF4 does not rescue phenotypes, then gene therapy approaches may not be suitable unless delivered in utero,

and in this case, developing therapies that normalize brain function by modifying pathways downstream of TCF4 should be prioritized.

Conclusions

Over the past few decades, significant progress has been made in our understanding of the etiology of PTHS and the identification of upstream and downstream targets that may greatly inform therapeutic strategies. Given its broad expression pattern across many cell types in the central nervous system, a greater understanding of its cell type-specific role is warranted. For instance, an understanding of the function of TCF4 in GABAergic interneurons is completely lacking. In early development, TCF4 is heavily expressed in regions of the basal forebrain that generate inhibitory neurons [43, 47], and TCF4 is expressed postnatally in various subclasses of GABAergic interneurons [44]. Moreover, *Ascl1*, a known TCF4 binding partner that is a critical regulator of interneuron development, was shown to be upregulated in *Tcf4* knock-outs [43, 55, 56] and therefore could potentially impact the development and function of interneurons. An imbalance of excitation and inhibition is commonly observed in numerous neuropsychiatric disorders [57], and therefore determining if this type of imbalance is present in PTHS mouse models may shed light on new avenues for therapeutic intervention.

Two critical questions are outstanding: which phenotypes should be targeted for greatest improvement in clinical outcomes and when are these phenotypes most amenable to rescue. Clearly from the research literature, disease-causing mutations lead to profound defects in brain development, some of which may be hardwired defects that are resistant to postnatal normalization. However, postnatal brain function can be modified by targeting signaling pathways, synaptic transmitter receptors, and/or ion channels, and therefore normalization of brain function may be possible. Functional studies using patient-derived iPSCs will be useful for determining whether cellular and physiological deficits in mouse models translate to the human context. A set of patient and parental control iPSCs are now available [58] to the field, and studies using these lines may help prioritize phenotypes that when normalized may have clinical significance. In addition, studies using the *Tcf4* reinstatement mouse model will be instrumental to determine which phenotypes are hardwired during brain development and which phenotypes are responsive to rescue in the postna-

tal period. This mouse model will also be incredibly useful to determine whether TCF4 reinstatement in specific cell types can lead to gainful improvements. For instance, one cell type that may be capable of overcoming temporal specificity are OLs which are reduced in PTHS mouse models [24, 39]. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) are the most abundant proliferating cell population in the adult human brain, allowing for regeneration of myelin throughout the lifespan. It will be exciting to determine if postnatal reinstatement of TCF4 within OPCs increases OL numbers and myelination and ultimately leads to functional improvements in physiology and behavior in the PTHS mouse model.

Continued research is necessary to determine which genes are upstream regulators of TCF4 expression, as modulation of these regulators could potentially overcome TCF4 haploinsufficiency. In addition, increasing our understanding of genes regulated downstream of TCF4 could also lead to the identification of additional therapeutic targets. However, it is important that these studies keep in mind the cellular and temporal context of TCF4 function, as this understanding will be necessary for identifying contextually-specific therapeutic targets. In addition, single-cell RNA sequencing (sc-RNAseq) is now routine, and the TCF4 field would greatly benefit from obtaining a sc-RNAseq dataset from at least one of the PTHS mouse models. So far, there are four bulk RNA-seq datasets from several different PTHS mouse models available, which have provided valuable insights about the biological functions of TCF4 [24, 25, 29, 43]. However, given the fact that TCF4 expression is heterogeneous even within the same brain area, the resolution of bulk RNAseq is not sufficient. Thus, sc-RNAseq analysis will provide important details about DEGs within specific cell types while also identifying common pathways that are consistently dysregulated between cell types that may be more appropriate for therapeutic intervention.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful for the vision and generosity of the Lieber and Maltz families, who made this work possible. We thank the parents of the Pitt-Hopkins Research Foundation for providing both inspiration and financial support for this work. We thank Dr. Brit-tany A. Davis for her critical comments on the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by the Lieber Institute for Brain Development, the Pitt-Hopkins Research Foundation Award, and NIH R01 grant 5R01MH110487.

Author Contributions

J.F.B, H.C., and B.J.M. contributed to literature review and data research. H.C. and B.J.M. wrote and discussed the content of this article.

References

- Massari ME, Murre C. Helix-loop-helix proteins: regulators of transcription in eucaryotic organisms. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2000 Jan;20(2):429–40.
- Dennis DJ, Han S, Schuurmans C. bHLH transcription factors in neural development, disease, and reprogramming. *Brain Res*. 2019 Feb 15;1705:48–65.
- Pitt D, Hopkins I. A syndrome of mental retardation, wide mouth and intermittent overbreathing. *Aust Paediatr J*. 1978 Sep;14(3):182–4.
- de Winter CF, Baas M, Bijlsma EK, van Heukelingen J, Routledge S, Hennekam RC. Phenotype and natural history in 101 individuals with Pitt-Hopkins syndrome through an internet questionnaire system. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2016 Apr 12;11:37.
- Rosenfeld JA, Leppig K, Ballif BC, Thiese H, Erdie-Lalena C, Bawle E, et al. Genotype-phenotype analysis of TCF4 mutations causing Pitt-Hopkins syndrome shows increased seizure activity with missense mutations. *Genet Med*. 2009 Nov;11(11):797–805.
- Steinbusch CV, van Roozendaal KE, Tserpelis D, Smeets EE, Kranenburg-de Koning TJ, de Waal KH, et al. Somatic mosaicism in a mother of two children with Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *Clin Genet*. 2013 Jan;83(1):73–7.
- Kousoulidou L, Tanteles G, Moutafi M, Sismani C, Patsalis PC, Anastasiadou V. 263.4 kb deletion within the TCF4 gene consistent with Pitt-Hopkins syndrome, inherited from a mosaic parent with normal phenotype. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2013 Jun;56(6):314–8.
- Peippo MM, Simola KO, Valanne LK, Larsen AT, Kähkönen M, Auranen MP, et al. Pitt-Hopkins syndrome in two patients and further definition of the phenotype. *Clin Dysmorphol*. 2006 Apr;15(2):47–54.
- Whalen S, Héron D, Gaillon T, Moldovan O, Rossi M, Devillard F, et al. Novel comprehensive diagnostic strategy in Pitt-Hopkins syndrome: clinical score and further delineation of the TCF4 mutational spectrum. *Hum Mutat*. 2012 Jan;33(1):64–72.
- Marangi G, Ricciardi S, Orteschi D, Lattante S, Murdolo M, Dallapiccola B, et al. The Pitt-Hopkins syndrome: report of 16 new patients and clinical diagnostic criteria. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2011 Jul;155A(7):1536–45.
- Sweetser DA, Elsharkawi I, Yonker L, Steeves M, Parkin K, Thibert R. Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, Bean LJ, Stephens K, et al., editors. *GeneReviews*®. Seattle, WA: University of Washington; 1993.
- Sparber P, Filatova A, Anisimova I, Markova T, Voinova V, Chuhrova A, et al. Various haploinsufficiency mechanisms in Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2020 Oct 15;63:104088.
- Zweier C, Peippo MM, Hoyer J, Sousa S, Bottani A, Clayton-Smith J, et al. Haploinsufficiency of TCF4 causes syndromal mental retardation with intermittent hyperventilation (Pitt-Hopkins syndrome). *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007 May;80(5):994–1001.
- Amiel J, Rio M, de Pontual L, Redon R, Malan V, Boddaert N, et al. Mutations in TCF4, encoding a class I basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, are responsible for Pitt-Hopkins syndrome, a severe epileptic encephalopathy associated with autonomic dysfunction. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007 May;80(5):988–93.
- de Pontual L, Mathieu Y, Golzio C, Rio M, Malan V, Boddaert N, et al. Mutational, functional, and expression studies of the TCF4 gene in Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *Hum Mutat*. 2009 Apr;30(4):669–76.
- Zollino M, Zweier C, Van Balkom ID, Sweetser DA, Alaïmo J, Bijlsma EK, et al. Diagnosis and management in Pitt-Hopkins syndrome: first international consensus statement. *Clin Genet*. 2019 Feb 18;95(4):462–78.
- Sepp M, Pruunsild P, Timmusk T. Pitt-Hopkins syndrome-associated mutations in TCF4 lead to variable impairment of the transcription factor function ranging from hypomorphic to dominant-negative effects. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2012 Jul 1;21(13):2873–88.
- Rannals MD, Page SC, Campbell MN, Gallo RA, Mayfield B, Maher BJ. Neurodevelopmental models of transcription factor 4 deficiency converge on a common ion channel as a potential therapeutic target for Pitt Hopkins syndrome. *Rare Dis*. 2016 Aug 5;4(1):e1220468.
- Kharbanda M, Kannike K, Lampe A, Berg J, Timmusk T, Sepp M. Partial deletion of TCF4 in three generation family with non-syndromic intellectual disability, without features of Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2016 Jun;59(6–7):310–4.
- Maduro V, Pusey BN, Cherukuri PF, Atkins P, du Souich C, Rupps R, et al. Complex translocation disrupting TCF4 and altering TCF4 isoform expression segregates as mild autosomal dominant intellectual disability. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2016 May 14;11(1):62.
- Bedeschi MF, Marangi G, Calvillo MR, Ricciardi S, Leone FPC, Baccarin M, et al. Impairment of different protein domains causes variable clinical presentation within Pitt-Hopkins syndrome and suggests intragenic molecular syndromology of TCF4. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2017 Nov;60(11):565–71.
- Sepp M, Kannike K, Eesmaa A, Urb M, Timmusk T. Functional diversity of human basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor TCF4 isoforms generated by alternative 5' exon usage and splicing. *PLoS One*. 2011 Jul 15;6(7):e22138.
- Chen WY, Zhang J, Geng H, Du Z, Nakadai T, Roeder RG. A TAF4 coactivator function for E proteins that involves enhanced TFIID binding. *Genes Dev*. 2013 Jul 15;27(14):1596–609.
- Phan BN, Bohlen JF, Davis BA, Ye Z, Chen HY, Mayfield B, et al. A myelin-related transcriptomic profile is shared by Pitt-Hopkins syndrome models and human autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Neurosci*. 2020 Feb 3;23(3):375–85.
- Li H, Zhu Y, Morozov YM, Chen X, Page SC, Rannals MD, et al. Disruption of TCF4 regulatory networks leads to abnormal cortical development and mental disabilities. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2019 Jan 31;24(8):1235–46.
- Rannals MD, Hamersky GR, Page SC, Campbell MN, Briley A, Gallo RA, et al. Psychiatric risk gene transcription factor 4 regulates intrinsic excitability of prefrontal neurons via repression of scn10a and KCNQ1. *Neuron*. 2016 Apr 6;90(1):43–55.
- Forrest M, Chapman RM, Doyle AM, Tinsley CL, Waite A, Blake DJ. Functional analysis of TCF4 missense mutations that cause Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *Hum Mutat*. 2012 Dec;33(12):1676–86.
- Hennig KM, Fass DM, Zhao WN, Sheridan SD, Fu T, Erdin S, et al. WNT/β-catenin pathway and epigenetic mechanisms regulate the Pitt-Hopkins syndrome and schizophrenia risk gene TCF4. *Mol Neuropsychiatry*. 2017 Jul 14;3(1):53–71.
- Kennedy AJ, Rahn EJ, Paulukaitis BS, Savell KE, Kordasiewicz HB, Wang J, et al. Tcf4 regulates synaptic plasticity, DNA methylation, and memory function. *Cell Rep*. 2016 Sep 6;16(10):2666–85.
- Schmidt-Edelkraut U, Daniel G, Hoffmann A, Spengler D. Zac1 regulates cell cycle arrest in neuronal progenitors via Tcf4. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2014 Mar;34(6):1020–30.
- Sepp M, Vihma H, Nurk K, Urb M, Page SC, Roots K, et al. The intellectual disability and schizophrenia associated transcription factor TCF4 is regulated by neuronal activity and protein kinase A. *J Neurosci*. 2017 Oct 25;37(43):10516–27.

- 32 Page SC, Hamersky GR, Gallo RA, Rannals MD, Calcaterra NE, Campbell MN, et al. The schizophrenia- and autism-associated gene, transcription factor 4 regulates the columnar distribution of layer 2/3 prefrontal pyramidal neurons in an activity-dependent manner. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2018;23(2):304–15.
- 33 Xia H, Jahr FM, Kim NK, Xie L, Shabalin AA, Bryois J, et al. Building a schizophrenia genetic network: transcription factor 4 regulates genes involved in neuronal development and schizophrenia risk. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2018 Sep 15;27(18):3246–56.
- 34 Forrest MP, Hill MJ, Kavanagh DH, Tansey KE, Waite AJ, Blake DJ. The psychiatric risk gene transcription factor 4 (TCF4) regulates neurodevelopmental pathways associated with schizophrenia, autism, and intellectual disability. *Schizophr Bull*. 2018 Aug 20;44(5):1100–10.
- 35 Forrest MP, Waite AJ, Martin-Rendon E, Blake DJ. Knockdown of human TCF4 affects multiple signaling pathways involved in cell survival, epithelial to mesenchymal transition and neuronal differentiation. *PLoS One*. 2013 Aug 23;8(8):e73169.
- 36 Hill MJ, Killick R, Navarrete K, Maruszak A, McLaughlin GM, Williams BP, et al. Knockdown of the schizophrenia susceptibility gene TCF4 alters gene expression and proliferation of progenitor cells from the developing human neocortex. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2017; 42(3):181–8.
- 37 Chen T, Wu Q, Zhang Y, Lu T, Yue W, Zhang D. Tcf4 controls neuronal migration of the cerebral cortex through regulation of Bmp7. *Front Mol Neurosci*. 2016 Oct 3;9:94.
- 38 D'Rozario M, Zhang T, Waddell EA, Zhang Y, Sahin C, Sharoni M, et al. Type I bHLH proteins daughterless and Tcf4 restrict neurite branching and synapse formation by repressing neurexin in postmitotic neurons. *Cell Rep*. 2016 Apr 12;15(2):386–97.
- 39 Wedel M, Fröb F, Elsesser O, Wittmann MT, Lie DC, Reis A, et al. Transcription factor Tcf4 is the preferred heterodimerization partner for Olig2 in oligodendrocytes and required for differentiation. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2020 May 21;48(9):4839–57.
- 40 Wang Y, Lu Z, Zhang Y, Cai Y, Yun D, Tang T, et al. Transcription factor 4 safeguards hippocampal dentate gyrus development by regulating neural progenitor migration. *Cereb Cortex*. 2020 May 14;30(5):3102–15.
- 41 Tamberg L, Jaago M, Säälik K, Sirp A, Tuvikene J, Shubina A, et al. Daughterless, the Drosophila orthologue of TCF4, is required for associative learning and maintenance of the synaptic proteome. *Dis Model Mech*. 2020 Jul 30;13(7):dmm042747.
- 42 Jung M, Häberle BM, Tschakowsky T, Wittmann MT, Balta EA, Stadler VC, et al. Analysis of the expression pattern of the schizophrenia-risk and intellectual disability gene TCF4 in the developing and adult brain suggests a role in development and plasticity of cortical and hippocampal neurons. *Mol Autism*. 2018 Mar 22;9:20.
- 43 Mesman S, Bakker R, Smidt MP. Tcf4 is required for correct brain development during embryogenesis. *Mol Cell Neurosci*. 2020 May 28;106:103502.
- 44 Kim H, Berens NC, Ochandarena NE, Philpot BD. Region and cell type distribution of TCF4 in the postnatal mouse brain. *Front Neuroanat*. 2020 Jul 17;14:42.
- 45 Caudy M, Grell EH, Dambly-Chaudière C, Ghysen A, Jan LY, Jan YN. The maternal sex determination gene daughterless has zygotic activity necessary for the formation of peripheral neurons in Drosophila. *Genes Dev*. 1988 Jul;2(7):843–52.
- 46 Tamberg L, Sepp M, Timmusk T, Palgi M. Introducing Pitt-Hopkins syndrome-associated mutations of TCF4 to Drosophila daughterless. *Biol Open*. 2015 Nov 30;4(12):1762–71.
- 47 Schoof M, Hellwig M, Harrison L, Holdhof D, Lauffer MC, Niesen J, et al. The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor TCF4 impacts brain architecture as well as neuronal morphology and differentiation. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2020 Jun;51(11):2219–35.
- 48 Thaxton C, Kloth AD, Clark EP, Moy SS, Chitwood RA, Philpot BD. Common pathophysiology in multiple mouse models of Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *J Neurosci*. 2018 Jan 24; 38(4):918–36.
- 49 Paulhus K, Ammerman L, Glasscock E. Clinical spectrum of KCNA1 mutations: new insights into episodic ataxia and epilepsy comorbidity. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020 Apr 17;21(8):21.
- 50 Dalecki AG, Zorn KM, Clark AM, Ekins S, Narmore WT, Tower N, et al. High-throughput screening and Bayesian machine learning for copper-dependent inhibitors of Staphylococcus aureus. *Metalomics*. 2019 Mar 20; 11(3):696–706.
- 51 Ekins S, Puhl AC, Davidow A. Repurposing the dihydropyridine calcium channel inhibitor nifedipine as a nav1.8 inhibitor in vivo for pitt hopkins syndrome. *Pharm Res*. 2020 Jun 11;37(7):127.
- 52 Cisse B, Caton ML, Lehner M, Maeda T, Scheu S, Locksley R, et al. Transcription factor E2-2 is an essential and specific regulator of plasmacytoid dendritic cell development. *Cell*. 2008 Oct 3;135(1):37–48.
- 53 Flora A, Garcia JJ, Thaller C, Zoghbi HY. The E-protein Tcf4 interacts with Math1 to regulate differentiation of a specific subset of neuronal progenitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007 Sep 25;104(39):15382–7.
- 54 Mesman S, Wever I, Smidt MP. Tcf4 is involved in subset specification of mesodiencephalic dopaminergic neurons. *Biomedicines*. 2021 Mar 20;9(3):317.
- 55 Casarosa S, Fode C, Guillemot F. Mash1 regulates neurogenesis in the ventral telencephalon. *Development*. 1999 Feb 1;126(3):525–34.
- 56 Bertrand N, Castro DS, Guillemot F. Proneural genes and the specification of neural cell types. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2002 Jul;3(7):517–30.
- 57 Sohal VS, Rubenstein JLR. Excitation-inhibition balance as a framework for investigating mechanisms in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2019 May 14;24(9):1248–57.
- 58 Sripathy SR, Wang Y, Moses RL, Fatemi A, Batista DA, Maher BJ. Generation of 10 patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) to model Pitt-Hopkins syndrome. *Stem Cell Res*. 2020 Sep 17;48:102001.