Hedonic Rating of Sucrose Is Sub-Regionally Associated with Striatal Dopamine Transporter in Humans
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Abstract

Background: Eating behavior is determined by both homeostatic and hedonic values. Objective: We investigated the association of hedonic value with striatal dopamine transporter (DAT) availability sub-regionally. Method: An intravenous bolus injection of 18F-FP-CIT was administered after the infusion of glucose or placebo, and the emission data were acquired over 90 min. DAT availability and binding potential (BPND) were measured via the simplified reference tissue method. Subjects were assessed with sensory taste test of sucrose solutions. The “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) was determined as the hedonic rating for sucrose. Results: Twenty healthy males participated in this study. After glucose loading, BPNDs of putamen significantly increased, and those of caudate nucleus showed the increasing trend, while those of ventral striatum were not significantly different. After glucose loading, the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) was negatively associated with BPNDs of caudate nucleus and showed the trend of positive association with those from ventral striatum. Slopes of regression lines were significantly different according to the sub-regions of striatum. Conclusion: We have highlighted that striatal DAT increased after glucose loading in dorsal striatum, not in ventral striatum. These changes of striatal DAT were sub-regionally associated with the hedonic rating of sucrose from each subject.

Introduction

The brain plays a critical role in controlling the energy balance of the body [1]. Eating behavior is controlled by both the homeostatic system from hypothalamus and dorsal striatum, and the hedonic system from ventral striatum [2]. These brain circuits for the homeostatic and hedonic values are distinct, however closely linked with...
The decision to eat is influenced not only by the internal factor of the body, but also by external factors such as food palatability and environmental cues. Dopamine is a neurotransmitter that plays a major role in the eating behavior of humans. Feeding induces dopamine release in the striatum, and a dysfunction of the dopaminergic reward system can lead to overeating, which shares common underlying neurobiological mechanisms with drug addiction.

Brain dopamine neurotransmission is regulated by dopamine transporter (DAT), which drives reuptake of extracellular dopamine into presynaptic neurons. DAT is a major target for various pharmacologically active drugs. Previously, we reported the first human study of striatal DAT changes after glucose loading in healthy subjects using positron emission tomography (PET). After injection of 300 mg/kg of glucose, substantial increases of DAT availabilities were observed, probably by the action of insulin, the key hormone involved in the regulation of glucose metabolism.

Craving for sweet taste is innate and spans all ages in humans. The sensitivity to mood altering effect of sweet foods and craving for sweet foods was directly associated with a higher hedonic response to sweet taste. The hedonic response to sweet taste also predicted the future weight gain in humans. In this study, subjects were assessed with a 12-item self-reporting Sweet Taste Questionnaire (STQ) and sensory taste test of sucrose solutions to evaluate subjects’ response to sweet taste. Therefore, we investigated the association of hedonic rating of sucrose with striatal DAT availability subregionally as ventral striatum, caudate nucleus, and putamen.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
Twenty healthy, nonobese male subjects were recruited into this study with a mean age of 23.9 ± 1.7 years (range 22–28 years). Subjects who had more than 10% change in weight over 6 months, were heavy smokers, or gave history of drug abuse, brain injury, neuropsychological disorders, or endocrine disorders were excluded. All participants signed an informed consent form prior to participation. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Pusan National University Hospital. The participants in this study were included in a previous study of striatal DAT changes after glucose loading. Each subject visited the institution 2 times, on separate days, for 2 PET scans with a mean interval of 9.6 days. After 2 PET scans, twenty subjects agreed on an additional visit for STQ and sensory taste test of sucrose solutions within a month. On the day of each visit, the subjects were instructed to fast overnight for at least 12 h and abstain from smoking and alcohol consumption. During the visits, the height (m) and weight (kg) of the subject were measured. The BMI was calculated as follows: weight/height^2. The study design is shown in Figure 1.

PET Scan
On the day of each visit for PET scans, bilateral antecubital veins were cannulated: 1 for blood sampling and for injection of ^18F-FP-CIT, and the other for glucose or placebo infusions. The subjects were blinded and randomly assigned for either glucose or placebo infusions. Over 10 min, 300 mg/kg of glucose in a 50% solution was administered. The placebo (normal saline) was also administered in the same speed and volume. The serum glucose level (mg/dL) and insulin level (µU/mL) were measured before and after the infusions of glucose and placebo. The serum glucose level was determined through an enzymatic reference method using hexokinase with the Glucose HK Gen.3 (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The serum insulin level was determined through an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay method using Elecsys Insulin (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). An intravenous bolus injection of ^18F-FP-CIT was performed.
CIT (210.9 ± 16.3 MBq) was administered after the infusion of glucose or placebo. The emission data were acquired over 90 min with 50 frames of progressively increasing durations (15 s × 8 frames, 30 s × 16 frames, 60 s × 10 frames, 240 s × 10 frames, and 300 s × 6 frames) using the Siemens Biograph 40 Truepoint PET/CT (Siemens Healthcare, Knoxville, TN, USA). The dynamic PET data were collected in the 3-dimensional mode, with 148 slices with image sizes of 256 × 256 and pixel sizes of 1.3364 × 1.3364 mm². These were reconstructed using an iterative method with a Gaussian filter.

Image Analysis
For a volume-of-interest (VOI)-based analysis, an averaged image (0–10 min after injection) was created from dynamic PET frames and spatially normalized to ¹⁵O-water PET template in statistical parametric mapping 5 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK). To extract time-activity curves of VOIs from full dynamic PET scans, Oxford-GSK-Imanova striatal atlas from FMRIB Software Library v. 5.0 (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) was applied, which is an atlas involving sub-striatal regions of ventral striatum, caudate nucleus, and putamen segmented according to the anatomical structure, and manually delineated on the nonlinear MNI 152 template [14]. DAT availability, expressed in terms of binding potential (BPND), was measured by analyzing time-activity curves via the simplified reference tissue method [15] with a reference of cerebellum. Image analysis was done using PMOD version 3.6 (PMOD Technologies LLC, Zurich, Switzerland).

For a voxel-based analysis, parametric maps were generated for each subject and analyzed for regression with the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%). Results were displayed at a significance threshold of uncorrected p < 0.005 and minimum cluster size for 10 contiguous voxels. Image analysis was done using SPM8 (Welcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) implemented on MATLAB R2013a (MathWorks, MA, USA).

Sweet Taste Questionnaire and Sensory Taste Test of Sucrose Solutions
On the day of the 3rd visit, subjects were assessed with 12-item self-reporting STQ to evaluate subjects’ reactions to eating sweets, craving for sweets, and degree of control over eating sweet foods. There were 2 subscales in STQ: STQ1, mood altering effect of sweet food and STQ2, impaired control over eating sweets [11]. After completion of STQ, subjects received sucrose (Sucrose, Alfa Aesar, MA, USA) in solution (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16%). They were instructed to taste each concentration of sucrose solution and scored it for sweetness using the 9-point hedonic scale that they were given as verbal scale ranging from dislike extremely to like extremely. Sucrose solutions were labeled with 3-digit random numbers, and the order of tasting was randomized. The “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) was determined as the hedonic scale of each subject for sucrose. Average concentration was used when there were more than 1 concentration levels with the same liking score.

Statistical Analysis
Normality was assessed using the D’Agostino & Pearson normality test. The one-way analysis of variance was used to test the difference of the hedonic scales for sucrose between concentrations of sucrose solution. Percentage changes of BPND were calculated as follows: (BPND after glucose loading – BPND after placebo loading)/BPND after placebo loading × 100(%). The paired t test was used to compare BPND after glucose loading and those after placebo loading from each subject. The Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine whether the variables (the “most liked” sucrose concentration, STQ, BMI, and BPND) were associated. The linear regression analysis was used to model the relationship between the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) and BPND. Analysis of covariance was used to compare slopes of linear regression lines between the “most liked” sucrose concentration and BPND according to sub-regions of striatum (ventral striatum, caudate nucleus, and putamen). All analyses were conducted using Prism (v7.0d, GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA, USA).
Results

Twenty healthy males were participated in this study. The mean BMI of the study group was 22.9 kg/m² ranging between 18.9 and 26.4 kg/m². After glucose loading, the glucose (mean 21 mg/dL) and the insulin (mean 7 μU/mL) levels were increased. The 12% sucrose solution received the highest liking score, however was not significantly different from 10%. BMI was associated neither with the “most liked” sucrose concentration ($r = -0.1812; p = 0.4446$), nor with STQ1 ($r = -0.1525; p = 0.5210$), STQ2 ($r = 0.0266; p = 0.9115$). The “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) showed the trend toward the positive correlation with STQ1 ($r = 0.3962; p = 0.0837$), not with STQ2 ($r = -0.0376; p = 0.8750$).

After glucose loading, BPNDs of putamen were significantly increased ($p = 0.0195$, mean percentage change of BPND ± standard deviation; $+33.7 ± 51.7%$), and those of caudate nucleus showed the increasing trend ($p = 0.0601$, $+70.4 ± 113.6%$), while those of ventral striatum were not significantly different ($p = 0.3330$, $+29.6 ± 94.0%$) compared with those after placebo loading (Fig. 2). The relationships between the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) and BPNDs were investigated by both VOI-based and voxel-based analyses. With a VOI-based analysis, after glucose loading, the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) was negatively associated with BPND of caudate nucleus ($r = -0.5437; p = 0.0132$) and showed the trend of positive association with those from ventral striatum ($r = 0.4115; p = 0.0715$). Slopes of regression lines were significantly different according to the sub-regions of striatum ($F = 6.150; p = 0.0039$) (Fig. 3a). After placebo loading, the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) was not associated with BPNDs of ventral striatum ($r = -0.1285; p = 0.5893$), caudate nucleus ($r = -0.2331; p = 0.3227$), and putamen ($r = -0.2506; p = 0.2866$) without the significant difference in slopes of regression lines ($F = 0.1235; p = 0.8841$) (Fig. 3b). With a voxel-based analysis, the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) was not associated with DAT availabilities of brain regions except for left putamen (MNI coordinates; $-24, 12, 8, k = 87, T = 3.51$) and left caudate nucleus (MNI coordinates; $-18, 14, 12; -16, -6, 24, k = 104, T = 3.36; 3.07$) negatively after glucose loading (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The results of the current study indicate the following. First, DAT availabilities after glucose loading were increased in dorsal striatum (caudate nucleus and putamen), not in ventral striatum. Second, DAT availabilities after glucose loading were sub-regionally related to the “most liked” sucrose concentration for sucrose.

Previously, we reported the first human study of striatal DAT changes after glucose loading in healthy subjects [8]. After injection of 300 mg/kg of glucose, substantial increases of DAT availabilities were observed [8]. This might have been done by the action of insulin, the key hormone involved in the regulation of glucose metabolism [9]. Insulin is released from the beta cells of the pancreas in response to increased glucose levels as a consequence of food intake [9]. After crossing the blood-brain barrier, insulin activates the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway by acting on insulin receptors, which enhances surface expression of DAT [16]. DAT, located on the plasma membrane [17], drives reuptake of extracellular dopamine into presynaptic neurons [7]. As DATs take up the
synaptic dopamine into the presynaptic neuron, higher expression of striatal DAT might result in a higher clearance of synaptic dopamine leading to lower concentrations of synaptic dopamine, which might stop food intake. In addition, DAT is a major target for various pharmacologically active drugs, or substances, such as cocaine and amphetamine [7].

Dopamine receptor has been investigated widely in the field of neuroimaging with regard to eating behavior and obesity. However, there is a fundamental limitation of dopamine receptor availability acquired by PET scans, as endogenous dopamine competes with the radiopharmaceuticals for binding with dopamine receptor [18]. Therefore, a lower dopamine receptor availability can be interpreted as either a higher release of endogenous dopamine or a downregulation of dopamine receptors [18]. Different from dopamine receptor, DAT availability acquired by PET scans is not affected by endogenous dopamine concentrations [19, 20]. However, DAT was not supposed to be involved in the neurobiology of eating behavior in humans, as DAT availability was not correlated with BMI in previous studies [21–23].

Eating behavior is thought to be determined by both homeostatic and hedonic values [24]. Homeostatic value is related to maintaining the body weight, balancing of energy, and nutrients [24]. Hypothalamus expresses receptors for neuropeptides associated with homeostatic feeding [25]. Also, dorsal striatum involves in regulating eating behavior by maintaining caloric requirements for survival [26]. With $^{11}$C-raclopride PET, Volkow et al. [26] demonstrated that dorsal striatum might be involved in nonhedonic food motivation. On the other hand, hedonic value is linked to sensory perception or pleasure after eating behavior tied to memories, emotions, and events.

**Fig. 4.** Negatively associated brain regions with the “most liked” sucrose concentration (%) after glucose loading: left putamen (MNI coordinates; $−24, 12, 8, k = 87, T = 3.51$) and left caudate nucleus (MNI coordinates; $−18, 14, 12; −16, −6, 24, k = 104, T = 3.36; 3.07$).
The dopamine pathway projecting from the ventral tegmental area to ventral striatum (including nucleus accumbens) plays a major role in processing reward cues and motivation to seek palatable foods [29]. Abnormalities that change the setting of energetic balance or the sensitivity to food rewards might lead to food addiction, inability to control appetite, or obesity [4]. Separate brain circuits for the homeostatic and hedonic values are known to mediate eating behavior [2]. In mice, during sugar intake, suppressing hedonic value inhibited dopamine release in ventral striatum, not in the dorsal striatum [2]. On the contrary, suppressing nutritional value inhibited dopamine release in dorsal, not in ventral striatum [2]. However, these brain circuits are not completely dissociable from one another with overlapping features, as both of them are activated during eating behavior [28]. The degree of activation of each brain circuit may be dependent on the type of food and the physiological state of humans [28]. In this study, DAT availabilities were measured after intravenous glucose loading. Glucose loading increases the blood glucose level with an effect on homeostatic value of the body without adding hedonic value. In this regard, insulin released from the pancreas in response to increased glucose levels might act on dorsal striatum resulting in the increases of DAT availabilities. However, DAT availabilities of ventral striatum showed the different responses to glucose loading. After glucose loading, DAT availabilities of ventral striatum did not increase or decrease, however showed the trend of positive association with the “most liked” sucrose concentration, and the regression line of ventral striatum was significantly different from those of dorsal striatum. As hedonic response to sweet taste is known to be a stable trait [30] and closely linked to the perception of taste [31], DAT of ventral striatum may react to insulin according to hedonic value of each subject. DAT availability is not affected by endogenous dopamine concentrations [19, 20]; therefore, the changes of DAT availabilities after glucose loading might reflect the direct response to increased blood glucose level, a change in homeostatic factor of eating behavior. Previously, Pepino et al. [10] reported the association between sucrose preference and dopamine receptor availability from 11C-NMB PET scans. Preferences for higher sucrose concentration were correlated with lower dopamine receptor availabilities from both ventral and dorsal striata in nonobese subjects [10]. However, this was not observed in obese subjects, probably due to the disruption of dopaminergic neural circuits [10].

There are several limitations to this study. First, twenty healthy males were included; as such, the sample size was small. Second, as nonobese subjects without endocrine disorders were included in this study, we could not investigate the effect of obesity on the hedonic response to sweet taste. Third, BP_{ND} that were measured did not distinguish between DAT density and affinity.

We have highlighted that striatal DAT increased after glucose loading in dorsal striatum, not in ventral striatum. These changes of striatal DAT were sub-regionally associated with the hedonic rating of sucrose from each subject.
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